# THE STRUCTURE OF SOME SUBGROUPS OF THE MODULAR GROUP ${ }^{1}$ 

BY<br>Morris Newman<br>Introduction

Let $\Gamma$ be the $2 \times 2$ modular group. In a recent article [7] the notion of the type of a subgroup $\Delta$ of $\Gamma$ was introduced. If the exponents of

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

modulo $\Delta$ are $r$ and $s$ respectively, then $\Delta$ is said to be of type $(r, s)$. It is trivial to verify that if $\Delta$ is of finite index in $\Gamma$, then $r s \neq 0$. In fact if $G$ is any group and $H$ a subgroup of finite index $i$, then there is an integer $e>0$ such that $g^{e} \in H$ for all $g \in G$, since the $i+1$ elements $1, g, \cdots, g^{i}$ of $G$ cannot all be distinct modulo $H$.

Thus if $\Delta$ is of finite index in $\Gamma$, then $\Delta \supset \Gamma^{m}$, the fully invariant subgroup of $\Gamma$ generated by the $m^{\text {th }}$ powers of the elements of $\Gamma$, for some positive integer $m$. An obvious question to ask is whether $\Delta$ is of finite index in $\Gamma$ if it contains such a subgroup. In this connection see [3], where certain necessary and sufficient conditions are given for this to occur. It is clearly sufficient to consider only $\Delta=\Gamma^{m}$. It turns out that the answer to this question is in the negative, but the proof requires the recent results of Novikov [9] on the Burnside problem.

The purpose of this paper is to elucidate the structure of the groups $\Gamma^{m}$, and incidentally to characterize $\Gamma^{\prime}$, the commutator subgroup of $\Gamma$, by the relationship $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma^{2} \cap \Gamma^{3}$. This has a pleasing similarity to the formula $\Gamma=\Gamma^{2} \Gamma^{3}$. In addition certain related questions will be considered.

The problem is similar to the Burnside problem, the difference being that the modular group $\Gamma$ is not a free group, but is instead the free product of a cyclic group of order 2 and a cyclic group of order 3.

## The groups $\mathrm{I}^{m}$

The modular group $\bar{\Gamma}$ is generated by the matrices $\bar{x}, \bar{y}$, where

$$
\bar{x}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1  \tag{1}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \bar{y}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with defining relationships $\bar{x}^{2}=\bar{y}^{3}=-I$, where $I$ is the identity matrix. If $\bar{z}$ is any element of $\bar{\Gamma}$ and $\bar{z}$ is identified with $-\bar{z}$, the group so obtained

[^0](which is $\bar{\Gamma}$ modulo its center $\{I,-I\}$ ) is the modular group $\Gamma$, which may be regarded as the group generated by the symbols $x, y$ with defining relationships $x^{2}=y^{3}=1$, and we find it convenient to take this interpretation.

We shall write $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots\right\}$ for the group generated by $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots$. Thus

$$
\Gamma=\{x, y\}, \quad x^{2}=y^{3}=1
$$

The fully invariant subgroups $\Gamma^{m}$ of $\Gamma$ are then defined by

$$
\Gamma^{m}=\left\{x_{1}^{m}, x_{2}^{m}, \cdots\right\}
$$

where $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots$ are the elements of $\Gamma$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma^{m} \supset \Gamma^{m n}  \tag{2}\\
\left(\Gamma^{m}\right)^{n} \supset \Gamma^{m n} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is also true that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{m} \Gamma^{n}=\Gamma^{(m, n)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $m, n$ ) is the greatest common divisor of $m$ and $n$. To prove (4) we notice first that the product is well defined since the groups $\Gamma^{m}$ are normal subgroups of $\Gamma$. We have $\Gamma^{(m, n)} \supset \Gamma^{m}, \Gamma^{(m, n)} \supset \Gamma^{n}$ (by (2)), so that $\Gamma^{(m, n)} \supset \Gamma^{m} \Gamma^{n}$. Also let $z$ be any element of $\Gamma$. Determine integers $m_{1}, n_{1}$ so that $m_{1} m+n_{1} n=(m, n)$. Then $z^{m_{1} m} \in \Gamma^{m}, z^{n_{1} n} \in \Gamma^{n}, z^{m_{1} m+n_{1} n} \in \Gamma^{m} \Gamma^{n}$, $z^{(m, n)} \in \Gamma^{m} \Gamma^{n}$. This implies that $\Gamma^{m} \Gamma^{n} \supset \Gamma^{(m, n)}$, and so $\Gamma^{m} \Gamma^{n}=\Gamma^{(m, n)}$, completing the proof of (4).

In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{2} \Gamma^{3}=\Gamma \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wc first work out the structure of $\Gamma^{2}$ and $\Gamma^{3}$.
Theorem 1. The group $\Gamma^{2}$ is the free product of two cyclic groups of order 3, and

$$
\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{2}\right)=2, \quad \Gamma=\Gamma^{2}+x \Gamma^{2}, \quad \Gamma^{2}=\{y, x y x\}
$$

The elements of $\Gamma^{2}$ may be characterized by the requirement that the sum of the exponents of $x$ be divisible by 2 .

Theorem 2. The group $\Gamma^{3}$ is the free product of three cyclic groups of order 2, and

$$
\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{3}\right)=3, \quad \Gamma=\Gamma^{3}+y \Gamma^{3}+y^{2} \Gamma^{3}, \quad \Gamma^{3}=\left\{x, y x y^{2}, y^{2} x y\right\}
$$

The elements of $\Gamma^{3}$ may be characterized by the requirement that the sum of the exponents of $y$ be divisible by 3 .

Proof of Theorem 1. Set $H=\{y, x y x\}$. Then, as is easily verified, $H$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma$ contained in $\Gamma^{2}$, and the elements of $H$ satisfy the requirements of Theorem 1 ; that is, the sum of the exponents of $x$ is even.

Let $z$ be any element of $\Gamma$. Then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=y^{c_{1}} x y^{c_{2}} x \cdots y^{c_{n}} x y^{c_{n+1}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $c_{i}$ 's are integers which may be 0 . Thus

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
z=y^{c_{1}}(x y x)^{c_{2}} y^{c_{3}} \cdots(x y x)^{c_{n}} y^{c_{n+1}} & \text { for } n \text { even } \\
z=y^{c_{1}}(x y x)^{c_{2}} y^{c_{3}} \cdots y^{c_{n}}(x y x)^{c_{n+1}} x & \text { for } n \text { odd }
\end{array}
$$

Hence $z \epsilon H$ or $z x \in H$. Since $x$ is not in $H$, this implies that $\Gamma=H+H x=$ $H+x H$. Now $\Gamma \supset \Gamma^{2} \supset H$ and $(\Gamma: H)=2$, which implies that $\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{2}\right)=1$ or 2 . But $\Gamma \neq \Gamma^{2}\left(x\right.$ is not in $\left.\Gamma^{2}\right)$, and so $\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{2}\right)=2$. Thus $\Gamma^{2}=H$. It is also clear that $H$ is the free product of two cyclic groups of order 3 since the defining relations for $H$ are $y^{3}=(x y x)^{3}=1$. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2. Set $K=\left\{x, y x y^{2}, y^{2} x y\right\}$. Then $K$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma$ contained in $\Gamma^{3}$, and the elements of $K$ satisfy the requirements of Theorem 2; that is, the sum of the exponents of $y$ is a multiple of 3. Let $w_{n}$ be any word of the form $y^{c_{1}} x y^{c_{2}} x \cdots y^{c_{n}} x$. We have $y^{c_{1}} x=y^{c_{1}} x y^{2 c_{1}} \cdot y^{-2 c_{1}}$, so that

$$
w_{n}=y^{c_{1}} x y^{2 c_{1}} w_{n-1}
$$

where $w_{n-1}=y^{c_{2}-2 c_{1}} x \cdots y^{c_{n}} x$. But $y^{c_{1}} x y^{2 c_{1}}=x, y x y^{2}$ or $y^{2} x y$. This implies by induction on $n$ that $w_{n}=k y^{c_{0}}$, where $k \in K$ and $c_{0}$ is an integer. Hence for $z$ as given by (6) we have that $z=w_{n} y^{c_{n+1}}=k y^{c}$ where $c$ is an integer. Since neither $y$ nor $y^{2}$ belongs to $K$, this implies that $\Gamma=K+K y+K y^{2}=$ $K+y K+y^{2} K$.

Now $\Gamma \supset \Gamma^{3} \supset K$ and $(\Gamma: K)=3$, which implies that $\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{3}\right)=1$ or 3 . But $\Gamma \neq \Gamma^{3}\left(y\right.$ is not in $\left.\Gamma^{3}\right)$, and so $\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{3}\right)=3$. Thus $\Gamma^{3}=K$.

To prove that $K$ is the free product of three cyclic groups of order 2, we need only show that no generator belongs to the group generated by the other two, so that $K$ has defining relations $x^{2}=\left(y x y^{2}\right)^{2}=\left(y^{2} x y\right)^{2}=1$. This is easy to verify since the generators are all of period 2 . Thus setting $y x y^{2}=z$, the elements of $\{x, z\}$ are of the form $(x z)^{n},(z x)^{n},(x z)^{n} x,(z x)^{n} z$; and that none of these can equal $y^{2} x y$ may be seen from the matrix representation of $x$ and $y$ given in (1). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

For the case when $m$ is not divisible by 6 , Theorems 1 and 2 determine $\Gamma^{m}$ completely. In fact we have

Theorem 3. The groups $\Gamma^{m}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Gamma^{m}=\Gamma, & (m, 6)=1 \\
\Gamma^{2 m}=\Gamma^{2}, & (m, 3)=1  \tag{7}\\
\Gamma^{3 m}=\Gamma^{3}, & (m, 2)=1
\end{array}
$$

Proof. When $(m, 6)=1, \Gamma^{m}$ contains both $x$ and $y$ since $x=x^{m}, y=y^{ \pm m}$,
so that $\Gamma^{m}=\Gamma$. Suppose that $(m, 3)=1$. Then $y=y^{ \pm 2 m}, x y x=(x y x)^{ \pm 2 m}$, so that $\Gamma^{2} \subset \Gamma^{2 m}$. Since in addition $\Gamma^{2} \supset \Gamma^{2 m}($ by $(2))$, we have that $\Gamma^{2}=\Gamma^{2 m}$. Finally suppose that $(m, 2)=1$. Then $x=x^{3 m}, y x y^{2}=\left(y x y^{2}\right)^{3 m}, y^{2} x y=$ $\left(y^{2} x y\right)^{3 m}$, so that $\Gamma^{3} \subset \Gamma^{3 m}$. Since in addition $\Gamma^{3} \supset \Gamma^{3 m}$ (by (2)), we have that $\Gamma^{3}=\Gamma^{3 m}$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

We also require the structure of $\Gamma^{\prime}$. This is well known, and we have
Lemma 1. The commutator subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ is a free group of rank 2, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{\prime}\right)=6, \quad \Gamma=\sum_{r=0}^{5}(x y)^{r} \Gamma^{\prime}, \quad \Gamma^{\prime}=\left\{x y x y^{2}, x y^{2} x y\right\} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact J. Nielsen has shown [8] that the commutator subgroup of the free product of a finite number of cyclic groups of finite order is a free group of finite rank.

We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=x y x y^{2}, \quad b=x y^{2} x y \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $a$ and $b$ have the matrix representations

$$
\bar{a}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 1  \tag{10}\\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \bar{b}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

We note that the quotient groups $\Gamma / \Gamma^{2}, \Gamma / \Gamma^{3}$ are cyclic and therefore abelian, so that $\Gamma^{2} \supset \Gamma^{\prime}, \Gamma^{3} \supset \Gamma^{\prime}$. Hence $\Gamma^{2} \cap \Gamma^{3} \supset \Gamma^{\prime}$. By one of the isomorphism theorems ( $\Gamma^{2}$ and $\Gamma^{3}$ being normal subgroups of $\Gamma$ ),

$$
\Gamma^{2} \Gamma^{3} / \Gamma^{3} \cong \Gamma^{2} / \Gamma^{2} \cap \Gamma^{3}
$$

By (5) this becomes

$$
\Gamma / \Gamma^{3} \cong \Gamma^{2} / \Gamma^{2} \cap \Gamma^{3}
$$

Hence

$$
\left(\Gamma^{2}: \Gamma^{2} \cap \Gamma^{3}\right)=\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{3}\right)=3
$$

But

$$
\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{2} \cap \Gamma^{3}\right)=\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{2}\right)\left(\Gamma^{2}: \Gamma^{2} \cap \Gamma^{3}\right)=2 \cdot 3=6
$$

Since $\Gamma \supset \Gamma^{2} \cap \Gamma^{3} \supset \Gamma^{\prime}$ and $\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{\prime}\right)=\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{2} \cap \Gamma^{3}\right)=6$, it follows that $\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma^{2} \cap \Gamma^{3}$. Thus we have proved

Theorem 4. The commutator subgroup $\Gamma^{\prime}$ of $\Gamma$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{\prime}=\Gamma^{2} \cap \Gamma^{3} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of Theorem 3 we have left only the groups $\Gamma^{6 m}$ to consider. Since $\Gamma^{2} \supset \Gamma^{6}$ and $\Gamma^{3} \supset \Gamma^{6}$, (11) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{\prime} \supset \Gamma^{6} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then because $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is a free group and $\Gamma^{6} \supset \Gamma^{6 m}$, we have by Schreier's theorem [10]

Theorem 5. The groups $\Gamma^{6 m}$ are free groups.

We can say something more about the groups $\Gamma^{6 m}$. In the first place, $\Gamma^{6 m} \supset\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)^{6 m}$ since $\Gamma \supset \Gamma^{\prime}$. Hence if $\left(\Gamma^{\prime}:\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)^{6 m}\right)<\infty$, then the same holds for ( $\Gamma: \Gamma^{6 m}$ ). In particular M. Hall's solution of the Burnside problem for 6 (see [2] for an account of this) implies that ( $\left.\Gamma^{\prime}:\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)^{6}\right)<\infty$, so that $\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{6}\right)<\infty$. Secondly, we have from (3) and (12) that

$$
\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)^{m} \supset\left(\Gamma^{6}\right)^{m} \supset \Gamma^{6 m} .
$$

Then the results of Novikov on the Burnside problem [9] imply that $\left(\Gamma^{\prime}:\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)^{m}\right)=\infty$ for $m \geqq 72$, so that $\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{6 m}\right)=\infty$ for $m \geqq 72$. There are left therefore the 70 cases

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{6 m}, \quad 2 \leqq m \leqq 71 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the index ( $\Gamma: \Gamma^{6 m}$ ) is unknown.
We are going to determine the structure of $\Gamma^{6}$. We have
Lemma 2. Let $G$ be a group generated by two elements $\alpha, \beta$. Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$ containing

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\alpha, \beta]=\alpha \beta \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $N$ contains $G^{\prime}$, the commutator subgroup of $G$.
Proof. $G$ is abelian modulo $N$, which implies that $N \supset G^{\prime}$.
Corollary 1. $\Gamma^{6} \supset \Gamma^{\prime \prime}$, the second commutator subgroup of $\Gamma$.
For $\Gamma^{\prime} \supset \Gamma^{6}, \Gamma^{\prime}$ is generated by the two elements $a, b$ given in (9), $\Gamma^{6}$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma^{\prime}$, and

$$
[a, b]=(x y x y x)^{6} \in \Gamma^{6} .
$$

Corollary 2. The quotient group $\Gamma^{\prime} / \Gamma^{6}$ is abelian.
We remark that $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ is of infinite index in $\Gamma$ and is countably infinitely generated, being the commutator subgroup of a free group of finite rank [5]. Hence $\Gamma^{6} \neq \Gamma^{\prime \prime}$.

Let $p, q$ be positive integers. We define a class of normal subgroups $\Gamma^{\prime}(p, q)$ of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ as follows: The element

$$
w=a^{r_{1}} b^{s_{1}} \cdots a^{r_{n}} b^{s_{n}}
$$

of $\Gamma^{\prime}$ belongs to $\Gamma^{\prime}(p, q)$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod p), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod q)
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{\prime}(p, q) \supset \Gamma^{\prime \prime} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Gamma^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime}(p, q)\right)=p q, \quad \Gamma^{\prime}=\sum_{r=0}^{p-1} \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} a^{r} b^{s} \Gamma^{\prime}(p, q), \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that $\Gamma^{\prime}(p, q)$ is a free group of rank $1+p q$. The latter fact follows from Schreier's formula

$$
R=1+i(r-1)
$$

for the rank $R$ of a subgroup of index $i$ in a free group of rank $r$ (see [10]), since $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is of rank 2 and ( $\Gamma^{\prime}: \Gamma^{\prime}(p, q)$ ) $=p q$. Formula (15) follows from the fact that the word $w$ belongs to $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ if and only if

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i}=0
$$

We are going to prove
Theorem 6. The group $\Gamma^{6}$ is just $\Gamma^{\prime}(6,6)$. Hence $\Gamma^{6}$ is of index 216 in $\Gamma$ and is the free group on 37 generators. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Gamma^{\prime}: \Gamma^{6}\right)=36, \quad \Gamma^{\prime}=\sum a^{r} b^{s} \Gamma^{6}, \quad 0 \leqq r, s \leqq 5 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $w=a^{r_{1}} b^{s_{1}} \cdots a^{r_{n}} b^{s_{n}} \in \Gamma^{\prime}(6,6)$. Then because $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is abelian modulo $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ we may write

$$
w=a^{r_{1}+\cdots+r_{n}} b^{s_{1}+\cdots+s_{n}} w_{1}
$$

where $w_{1} \in \Gamma^{\prime \prime}$. Since $\Gamma^{\prime \prime} \subset \Gamma^{6}($ Corollary 1) and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_{i} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 6)
$$

it follows that $w \in \Gamma^{6}$. Hence $\Gamma^{\prime}(6,6) \subset \Gamma^{6}$.
Now let $u$ be an arbitrary element of $\Gamma$. By Lemma 1 there is an integer $r, 0 \leqq r \leqq 5$ such that $u=(x y)^{r} u^{\prime}$, where $u^{\prime} \in \Gamma^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{6}=\left\{(x y)^{r} u^{\prime}\right\}^{6} & =\left\{(x y)^{r} u^{\prime}(x y)^{-r}\right\}\left\{(x y)^{2 r} u^{\prime}(x y)^{-2 r}\right\} \cdots\left\{(x y)^{6 r} u^{\prime}(x y)^{-6 r}\right\}(x y)^{6 r}
\end{aligned}
$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x y)^{6}=a b^{-1} a^{-1} b \in \Gamma^{\prime \prime} \subset \Gamma^{\prime}(6,6) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now if $w$ is any element of $\Gamma$, define $S(w)=(x y) w(x y)^{-1}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{6}=S^{r}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \quad S^{2 r}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \cdots S^{6 r}\left(u^{\prime}\right)(x y)^{6 r} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $S^{k}\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in \Gamma^{\prime}$ for every integer $k$, and that $S^{k}(g h)=S^{k}(g) S^{k}(h)$ for arbitrary elements $g, h$ of $\Gamma$. This implies that integers $\alpha, \beta$ exist such that
(20) $u^{6}=\left\{S^{r}(a) S^{2 r}(a) \cdots S^{6 r}(a)\right\}^{\alpha}\left\{S^{r}(b) S^{2 r}(b) \cdots S^{6 r}(b)\right\}^{\beta} u_{1}$,
where $u_{1} \in \Gamma^{\prime \prime} \subset \Gamma^{\prime}(6,6)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
S(a) & =a b^{-1}, & S(b) & =a, \\
S^{2}(a) & =a b^{-1} a^{-1}, & S^{2}(b) & =a b^{-1}, \\
S^{3}(a) & =a b^{-1} a^{-1} b a^{-1}, & S^{3}(b) & =a b^{-1} a^{-1}, \\
S^{4}(a) & =a b^{-1} a^{-1} b^{2} a^{-1}, & S^{4}(b) & =a b^{-1} a^{-1} b a^{-1},  \tag{21}\\
S^{5}(a) & =a b^{-1} a^{-1} b a b a^{-1}, & S^{5}(b) & =a b^{-1} a^{-1} b^{2} a^{-1} \\
S^{6}(a) & =a b^{-1} a^{-1} b a b^{-1} a b a^{-1}, & S^{6}(b) & =a b^{-1} a^{-1} b a b a^{-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we examine the exponent sums of $a$ and of $b$ in table (21) and take formula (20) into account, we find that if $r \neq 0$, then $u^{6} \in \Gamma^{\prime \prime} \subset \Gamma^{\prime}(6,6)$; while if $r=0$, then $u^{6} \in \Gamma^{\prime}(6,6)$. Hence $u^{6} \in \Gamma^{\prime}(6,6)$ always, implying that $\Gamma^{6} \subset \Gamma^{\prime}(6,6)$. Together with the previous inclusion this implies that $\Gamma^{6}=\Gamma^{\prime}(6,6)$ and completes the proof of the theorem.

A noteworthy result implied by the previous discussion is that the decomposition of $\Gamma^{6}$ modulo $\Gamma^{\prime \prime}$ is given by

$$
\Gamma^{6}=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} a^{6 r} b^{6 s} \Gamma^{\prime \prime}
$$

Going to the matrix representation of $\Gamma$, we define $\Gamma(n)$, the principal congruence subgroup of $\Gamma$ of level $n$, as the totality of $2 \times 2$ rational integral matrices $A$ of determinant 1 satisfying $A \equiv \pm I(\bmod n)$; and $\bar{\Gamma}(n)$ as the totality of $2 \times 2$ rational integral matrices $A$ of determinant 1 satisfying $A \equiv I(\bmod n)$.

It is easy to prove
Theorem 7. $\Gamma^{\prime} \supset \Gamma(6) \supset \Gamma^{6}$.
The proof of the latter inclusion consists of showing that

$$
A^{6} \equiv \pm I \quad(\bmod 6) \quad \text { for matrices } A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \epsilon \bar{\Gamma}
$$

This is best done from the relationship $A^{2}=t A-I, t=a+d$, by considering $t$ modulo 2 and modulo 3 separately. Furthermore, it is not difficult to show that $\Gamma(2)$ is generated by elements of $\Gamma^{2}$ and $\Gamma(3)$ by elements of $\Gamma^{3}$, so that $\Gamma^{2} \supset \Gamma(2), \Gamma^{3} \supset \Gamma(3)$. Since $\Gamma(2) \cap \Gamma(3)=\Gamma(6)$, it follows from (11) that $\Gamma^{\prime} \supset \Gamma(6)$.

Theorem 7 is in agreement with some recent work of van Lint on the commutator subgroup $\bar{\Gamma}^{\prime}$ of $\bar{\Gamma}$ (see [6]). In particular van Lint shows that $\bar{\Gamma}^{\prime} \supset \bar{\Gamma}(12)$. The observation that $\Gamma^{\prime} \supset \Gamma(6)$ was communicated to the author independently by J. R. Smart.

The remaining subgroups (13), if not of infinite index, are of high index in $\Gamma$.

For example we have that

$$
\Gamma^{6} \supset\left(\Gamma^{6}\right)^{2} \supset \Gamma^{12}, \quad \Gamma^{6} \supset\left(\Gamma^{6}\right)^{3} \supset \Gamma^{18}
$$

and on the basis of Theorem 6 we have that

$$
\left(\Gamma^{6}:\left(\Gamma^{6}\right)^{2}\right)=2^{37}, \quad\left(\Gamma^{6}:\left(\Gamma^{6}\right)^{3}\right)=3^{8473}
$$

since $\Gamma^{6}$ is the free group on 37 generators (see [2]). Hence

$$
\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{12}\right) \geqq 6^{3} \cdot 2^{37}, \quad\left(\Gamma: \Gamma^{18}\right) \geqq 6^{3} \cdot 3^{8473}
$$

In conclusion we mention that each of the groups $\Gamma^{2}$ and $\Gamma^{3}$ is of genus 0 (see [1]).
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