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## 1. Introduction

In certain psychological experiments connected with the learning of periodic sequences of symbols, ${ }^{2}$ it is reasonable to identify two sequences if we can get one from the other by beginning at a different point, by permuting the symbols, or by a combination of these operations. For example, if there are two symbols, say 0 and 1 , and if the period is 3 , the following sequences are equivalent:

$$
(011), \quad(101), \quad(110), \quad(100), \quad(010), \quad(001)
$$

Also, (000) and (111) are equivalent. Thus, the eight possible sequences fall into two equivalence classes. It is of interest to determine how many classes there are for a given period $n$. Since a sequence of period $n$ also has period $k n$, where $k$ is any integer, there will be duplications as we run through all periods. For example, the class $\{(000),(111)\}$ will already have been counted for $n=1$ and $n=2$. We should therefore determine, for each $n$, the number of classes of sequences which have period $n$ but no smaller period; that is, the number $F(n)$ of classes ${ }^{3}$ with primitive period $n$. In our example, $F(1)=1, F(2)=1, F(3)=1, F(4)=2$, and so forth. If $F^{*}(n)$ denotes the total number of classes with period $n$, whether primitive or not, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{*}(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} F(d), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation is over all (positive) divisors $d$ of $n$. This follows from the fact that every class of period $n$ has a primitive period $d$ which divides $n$.

## 2. Formulation

Let $A$ denote the set of all periodic sequences

$$
a=\left(\cdots, a_{-1}, a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots\right)
$$

where the $a_{j}$ may take any of the $q$ values $1,2, \cdots, q$. Let $Q$ denote the symmetric group on these $q$ symbols, its elements being denoted generically by $\pi$, the identity element by $e$. Let $T$ be the infinite cyclic group generated by the element $\tau$. We can make $Q$ and $T$ act on $A$ by the following rules:

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \pi(a)=\left(\cdots, \pi\left(a_{1}\right), \pi\left(a_{0}\right), \pi\left(a_{1}\right), \cdots\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \tau(a)=\left(\cdots, b_{-1}, b_{0}, b_{1}, \cdots\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $b_{j}=a_{j+1}$. It is not difficult to see that $\pi$ and $\tau^{n}$ commute: $\pi \tau^{n}(a)=\tau^{n} \pi(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Furthermore, $Q$ and $T$ have only the identity element in common, that is, $\pi(a)=\tau^{n}(a)$ for all $a \in A$ implies $\pi=\tau^{n}=e$. Therefore the group $G$ of permutations of $A$ generated by $Q$ and $T$ is their direct product, and every element of $G$ has a unique representation in the form $\pi \tau^{n}$.

For each $a \in A$, there is a largest subgroup $H_{a}$ of $G$ under which $a$ is invariant. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \epsilon H_{a} \leftrightarrow \eta(a)=a . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $H=H_{a}$ for some $a \in A$, we shall say that $a$ pertains to $H$, and we shall call $H$ a special subgroup of $G$. For each subgroup $H$ of $G$, we define $A_{H}$ as the set of all $a \in A$ which pertain to $H$, and we write $f(H)$ for the number of elements in $A_{H}$. Clearly, $f(H)>0$ if and only if $H$ is special. Also, if $H$ is special, it contains $\tau^{n}$ for some $n>0$, so every $a$ which pertains to (or is merely invariant under) $H$ must have period $n$. Since there are at most $q^{n}$ such elements, $f(H)$ is finite. Also, $H$ is of finite index $j(H)$ in $G$.

Let $k\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ denote the number of elements in $A$ which are invariant under the special subgroup $H^{\prime}$. Every such element belongs to a unique $A_{H}$ for some $H \supset H^{\prime}$. Conversely, if $a \in A_{I I}$ for some $H \supset H^{\prime}$, then $a$ is invariant under $H^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
k\left(H^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{H \supset H^{\prime}} f(H) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the first of two fundamental equations that will lead to a solution of our problem, the evaluation of $F(n)$.

To derive the second equation, we digress for a moment to count the number of elements in a class $C=C_{a}$ containing a given element $a \in A_{H}$. $C$, which is the orbit of $a$ under $G$, consists of the set of all $\gamma(a)$ for $\gamma \epsilon G$. Let $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{j}$ be a system of left coset representatives for $H$ in $G$, so that

$$
G=\beta_{1} H \mathbf{u} \beta_{2} H \mathbf{u} \cdots \beta_{j} H
$$

where $j=j(H)$. If $\gamma \in G, \gamma=\beta_{i} h$ for some $i$ and for some $h \in H$, so $\gamma(a)=\beta_{i} h(a)=\beta_{i}(a)$. These $j$ elements are all distinct, for if $\beta_{i}(a)=\beta_{i^{\prime}}(a)$, we have $\beta_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} \beta_{i}(a)=a, \beta_{i^{\prime}}^{-1} \beta_{i} \in H, \beta_{i} \in \beta_{i^{\prime}} H$, and finally $i=i^{\prime}$. Hence $C=$ $\left\{\beta_{1}(a), \cdots, \beta_{j}(a)\right\}$, and $|C|$, the number of elements in $C$, is equal to $j\left(H_{a}\right)$.

Now, to determine $F(n)$, we can count each element $a$ of primitive period $n$ with frequency $1 /\left|C_{a}\right|$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& F(n)=\sum_{a}{ }_{(n)} \frac{1}{\left|C_{a}\right|}=\sum_{a}(n) \frac{1}{j\left(H_{a}\right)}=\sum_{H}(n) \frac{1}{j(H)} \sum_{a \in A_{H}} 1 \\
& F(n)=\sum_{H}{ }_{(n)} \frac{f(H)}{j(H)} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscripts ( $n$ ) indicate that we sum over those elements or subgroups with primitive period $n$. Thus, sufficient information about $f(H)$ and $j(H)$ will yield a solution to our problem. Once we have analyzed the structure of the special subgroups, $j(H)$ will be easy to compute, as will $k\left(H^{\prime}\right)$ in equation (5). A further analysis of the conditions under which $H \supset H^{\prime}$ will enable us to combine (5) and (6) and to solve for $F(n)$. The method sketched above is fairly general and may be applied to many combinatorial problems.

## 3. The special subgroups

Let $H=H_{a}$ be a special subgroup of $G$, and let $Q_{a}=Q \cap H_{a}$. Define $\rho$ as the set of all $i \in\{1,2, \cdots, q\}$ such that $\pi(i)=i$ for all $\pi \epsilon Q_{a}, \rho_{1}$ as the set of all $i$ which appear in $a$. Clearly $\rho_{1} \subset \rho$. If $\theta \in Q$ leaves $\rho$ pointwise fixed, it does so to $\rho_{1}$, and therefore $\theta(a)=a, \theta \in Q_{a}$. Hence

$$
Q_{a}=Q(\rho) \equiv\{\theta \in Q \mid \theta(i)=i \text { for all } i \in \rho\}
$$

If the cardinality of $\rho_{1}$, say $r\left(\rho_{1}\right)$, is $q$ or $q-1$, then $Q_{a}=\{e\}$ and $\rho=\{1, \cdots, q\}$. If $r\left(\rho_{1}\right) \leqq q-2$, then $\rho=\rho_{1}$. For suppose that $i \in \rho-\rho_{1}$. There exists an $i^{\prime} \notin \rho_{1}, i^{\prime} \neq i$. Let $\theta$ be the transposition ( $i i^{\prime}$ ). Then $\theta(a)=a$, so $\theta \in Q_{a}=Q(\rho)$, and $i^{\prime}=\theta(i)=i$. This contradiction shows that $\rho \subset \rho_{1}$, and since $\rho_{1} \subset \rho$, our assertion is proved. The possible values of $r(\rho)$ are $1,2, \cdots, q-2, q$, and the correspondence between $\rho$ and $Q(\rho)$ is one-to-one. Obviously $Q(\rho)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group on $q-r(\rho)$ letters.

Now we shall prove that $Q_{a}$ is a direct factor in $H$. If $\beta \in H$, then $\beta=\lambda \tau^{m}$ uniquely, where $\lambda \in Q$. The set of all $\lambda$ which arise in this way is a group $L \supset Q_{a}=Q(\rho)$. If $\lambda \in L, \theta \in Q(\rho)$, then $\theta^{\prime}=\lambda^{-1} \theta \lambda \in Q$. But $\lambda^{-1} \theta \lambda=$ $\left(\beta \tau^{-m}\right)^{-1} \theta\left(\beta \tau^{-m}\right)=\beta^{-1} \theta \beta \in H$. Hence $\theta^{\prime} \in Q \cap H=Q(\rho)$, and $Q(\rho)$ is normal in $L$. Next we show that every $\lambda \in L$ leaves $\rho$ setwise invariant. For if not, there exist an $i \in \rho$ and $i^{\prime} \notin \rho$ such that $\lambda\left(i^{\prime}\right)=i$ for some $\lambda \epsilon L$. For each $\theta^{\prime} \in Q(\rho)$, there is a $\theta \in Q(\rho)$ for which $\theta^{\prime}=\lambda^{-1} \theta \lambda$, and

$$
\theta^{\prime}\left(i^{\prime}\right)=\lambda^{-1} \theta \lambda\left(i^{\prime}\right)=\lambda^{-1} \theta(i)=\lambda^{-1}(i)=i^{\prime}
$$

Thus, every element of $Q(\rho)$ leaves $i^{\prime}$ fixed, contradicting the fact that $i^{\prime} \notin \rho$, and our assertion is proved. Now the set of all elements in $Q$ which leave $\rho$ invariant as a set is precisely $Q(\rho) \times Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$, where $\rho^{*}$ is the complement of $\rho$ if $r(\rho) \neq 1$, and $\rho^{*}=\{1, \cdots, q\}$ if $r(\rho)=1$. Therefore $L \subset Q(\rho) \times Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$, and every $\lambda \in L$ is representable uniquely as $\lambda=\theta \pi, \theta \in Q(\rho), \pi \in Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$. It follows that every $\beta \in H$ is representable uniquely as $\beta=\theta \pi \tau^{m}, \theta \in Q(\rho)$, $\pi \in Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$. Let $E$ be the subgroup of $H$ consisting of all $\beta$ for which $\theta=e$, that is, $\beta=\pi \tau^{m}$ with $\pi \in Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$. Then $Q(\rho)$ commutes with $E$, the two together generate $H$, and $Q(\rho) \cap E=\{e\}$. (If $\theta=\pi \tau^{m}$, with $\theta \in Q(\rho), \pi \tau^{m} \in E$, then $\theta=\theta \cdot e=e \cdot \pi r^{m}$, so $\theta=e$ by the uniqueness of the representation.) This proves that $H=Q(\rho) \times E$, as was asserted.

We shall now show that $E$ is cyclic. Let $M$ be the set of integers $m$ for
which there is a $\pi \in Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ with $\pi \tau^{m} \in E$. It is easy to see that $M$ is a subgroup of the integers, therefore cyclic, with generator $\delta \geqq 0$. Since $\tau^{n} \epsilon E$ for some minimal positive $n, \delta>0$ and $\delta \mid n$. Let $\pi \in Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ be associated with $\delta\left(\pi \tau^{\delta} \in E\right)$, and let $\pi^{\prime} \tau^{m}$ be an arbitrary element of $E, \pi^{\prime} \in Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ and $m \in M$. Then $m=k \delta$, and $\left(\pi^{\prime} \tau^{m}\right)\left(\pi \tau^{\delta}\right)^{-k}=\pi^{\prime} \pi^{-k}$ belongs to $E$ and to $Q$, hence to $H \cap Q=Q(\rho)$. But $Q(\rho) \cap E=\{e\}$, so $\pi^{\prime}=\pi^{k}$ and $\pi^{\prime} \tau^{m}=\left(\pi \tau^{\delta}\right)^{k}$. This proves that $E$ is cyclic, with generator $\pi \tau^{\delta}$. We remark that if the order of $\pi$ is $d=d(\pi)$, then $n=d \delta$. For $\left(\pi \tau^{\delta}\right)^{d}=\tau^{\delta d} \epsilon H \cap T$, which is the cyclic group generated by $\tau^{n}$. Hence $n \mid \delta d$. On the other hand,

$$
\tau^{-n}\left(\pi \tau^{\delta}\right)^{n / \delta}=\pi^{n / \delta} \in E \cap Q=\{e\}
$$

Therefore $n / \delta$ is a multiple of $d$, so $\delta d \mid n$. Since $\delta d$ and $n$ are positive integers which divide each other, they are equal.

To summarize, every special subgroup $H$ is of the form $Q(\rho) \times H_{\pi}^{\delta}$, where $H_{\pi}^{\delta}$ is the cyclic subgroup generated by $\pi \tau^{\delta}, \pi \epsilon Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$, and $\delta d(\pi)=n$ is the least positive integer for which $\tau^{n} \in H$. Furthermore, $\rho, \pi, \delta$ are uniquely determined by $H$. We may characterize $n$ as the primitive period of any $a \in A_{H}$.

## 4. Development of the fundamental equations

From the results of the last section, we may write equation (6) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(n)=\sum_{\substack{\rho, \pi, \delta \\ \delta(\pi)=n}} \frac{f\left(Q(\rho) \times H_{\pi}^{\delta}\right)}{j\left(Q(\rho) \times H_{\pi}^{\delta}\right)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute the index $j=(G: H)$, we observe that

$$
H_{e}^{n} \subset H_{e}^{1} \subset Q \times H_{e}^{1}=G, \quad H_{e}^{n} \subset H_{\pi}^{\delta} \subset Q(\rho) \times H_{\pi}^{\delta} \subset G
$$

Hence

$$
\left(G: H_{e}^{n}\right)=\left(G: H_{e}^{1}\right)\left(H_{e}^{1}: H_{e}^{n}\right)=q!n
$$

Also

$$
\left(G: H_{e}^{n}\right)=(G: H)\left(H: H_{\pi}^{\delta}\right)\left(H_{\pi}^{\delta}: H_{e}^{n}\right)=(G: H)(q-r)!d(\pi)
$$

since $d(\pi)$ is the least positive integer $t$ for which $\left(\pi \tau^{\delta}\right)^{t}=\tau^{n}$. (Here $r=r(\rho)$, of course.) Combining these results, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
j(H) & =(G: H)=\frac{q!n}{(q-r)!d(\pi)}, \\
q!n F(n) & =\sum_{\substack{\rho, \pi, \delta \\
\delta d(\pi)=n}}(q-r)!d(\pi) f_{\rho, \pi}(\delta), \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have defined

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\rho, \pi}(\delta)=f\left(Q(\rho) \times H_{\pi}^{\delta}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now introduce the formal Dirichlet series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(s)=\mathfrak{F}_{q}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n F(n)}{n^{s}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\rho, \pi}(s)=\sum_{\delta=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{\rho, \pi}(\delta)}{\delta^{s}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

No use will be made of convergence for these series, and we could equally well permit the summations to run over some fixed, but arbitrary, range $1, \cdots, N$. The results obtained would be valid for $n \leqq N$. Since $N$ is arbitrary, they would hold for all $n$. However, it is more convenient to work with the full formal series in order to avoid clumsy circumlocutions.

We multiply (8) by $n^{-s}$ and sum for all $n \geqq 1$, to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& q!\mathfrak{F}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\rho, \pi, \delta \\
\delta d(\pi)=n}}(q-r)!d(\pi) \frac{f_{\rho, \pi}(\delta)}{n^{s}}=\sum_{\rho, \pi}(q-r)!d(\pi) \sum_{\delta=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{\rho, \pi}(\delta)}{d(\pi)^{s} \cdot \delta^{s}}, \\
& q!\mathfrak{F}(s)=\sum_{\rho}(q-r)!\sum_{\pi \in Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)} d(\pi)^{1-s} Z_{\rho, \pi}(s) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Now if $r(\rho)=r\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$, there is a permutation of the symbols which carries $\rho$ into $\rho^{\prime}$ and $\pi \in Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ into $\pi^{\prime} \in Q\left(\rho^{\prime *}\right)$. Since such a permutation does not change the number of elements pertaining to $Q(\rho) \times H_{\pi}^{\delta}$, we have $Z_{\rho^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}}(s)=$ $Z_{\rho, \pi}(s)$. The inner sum therefore depends only on $r$. The number of $\rho$ for which $r(\rho)=r$ is clearly $\binom{q}{r}=q!/(q-r)!r!$ Hence, for each $r=1,2, \cdots$, $q-2, q$ we may select a normalized $\rho=\{1,2, \cdots, r\} . \quad Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$ is then the symmetric group on $\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$, which we shall denote by $S_{r}$. Also, $Z_{\rho, \pi}$ will be written as $Z_{r, \pi}$ if $\rho$ is normalized. Performing the indicated reductions, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{F}(s)=\sum_{r} \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\pi \in S_{r}} d(\pi)^{1-s} Z_{r, \pi}(s) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we adopt the convention that $Z_{q-1, \pi}(s)=0$, we may regard the summation as running from $r=1$ to $r=q$.

Now we turn to equation (5) and evaluate $k(H)$ directly. If $a$ is to be invariant under $H=Q(\rho) \times H_{\pi}^{\delta}$, then $a_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, \delta)$ can be chosen arbitrarily from $\rho$, and all others are determined by

$$
a_{i+m \delta}=\left(\tau^{m \delta}(a)\right)_{i}=\left(\pi^{-m}(a)\right)_{i}=\pi^{-m}\left(a_{i}\right)
$$

for all $i$ and $m$. Hence

$$
k(H)=r^{\delta}
$$

and equation (5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime \delta^{\prime}}=\sum_{H \supset H^{\prime}} f(H) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation $H \supset H^{\prime}$ must now be analyzed. If it holds, then $Q(\rho)=H \cap Q \supset H^{\prime} \cap Q=Q\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)$, so $\rho \subset \rho^{\prime}$. Next, $\pi^{\prime} \tau^{\delta^{\prime}} \epsilon Q(\rho) \times H_{\pi}^{\delta}$, so

$$
\pi^{\prime} \tau^{\delta^{\prime}}=\theta\left(\pi \tau^{\delta}\right)^{m}
$$

which implies that $\delta^{\prime}=\delta m$ and $\pi^{\prime}=\theta \pi^{m}$. These necessary conditions are easily seen to be sufficient, so $H \supset H^{\prime}$ is equivalent to
(i) $\rho \subset \rho^{\prime}$,
(ii) $\pi^{\prime} \pi^{-m} \epsilon Q(\rho)$ for some $m \geqq 1$,
(iii) $\delta^{\prime}=\delta m$.

If $\pi^{\prime}$ and $\pi$ are related by (ii), we write $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}(\rho)$. Given $\rho, \delta^{\prime}, \pi$, and $\pi^{\prime}$, with $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}(\rho)$, there is a minimum $m$ for which (ii) holds, say $\mu$, and every $m \equiv \mu(\bmod d(\pi))$ for which $m \mid \delta^{\prime}$ is also acceptable. Thus (14) may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{\prime \delta^{\prime}}=\sum_{\rho \rho^{\prime}} \sum_{\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}(\rho)} \sum_{m \equiv \mu(\bmod d(\pi))} f_{\rho, \pi}\left(\delta^{\prime} / m\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the formal Dirichlet series

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{r}(s)=\sum_{\delta=1}^{\infty} \frac{r^{\delta}}{\delta^{s}} \quad(r \neq q-1) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, multiplying (16) by $\delta^{\prime-s}$ and summing over all $\delta^{\prime} \geqq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{r^{\prime}}(s) & =\sum_{\rho \subset \rho^{\prime}} \sum_{\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}(\rho)} \sum_{\delta^{\prime}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{m \mid \delta^{\prime} \\
m \equiv \mu(\bmod d(\pi))}} f_{\rho, \pi}\left(\delta^{\prime} / m\right) \delta^{-s} \\
& =\sum_{\rho \subset \rho^{\prime}} \sum_{\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}(\rho)} \sum_{m \equiv \mu(\bmod d(\pi))} m^{-s} \sum_{\delta=1}^{\infty} f_{\rho, \pi}(\delta) \delta^{-s} \\
& =\sum_{\rho \subset \rho^{\prime}} \sum_{\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}(\rho)} Z_{\rho, \pi}(s) \sum_{m=\mu(\bmod d(\pi))} m^{-s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mu, d}(s)=\sum_{m \equiv \mu(\bmod d)} m^{-s} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{r^{\prime}}(s)=\sum_{\rho \subset \rho^{\prime}} \sum_{\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}(\rho)} H_{\mu, d(\pi)}(s) Z_{\rho, \pi}(s) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This holds for all $\rho^{\prime}$ with $r\left(\rho^{\prime}\right)=r^{\prime}$, all $\pi^{\prime} \in Q\left(\rho^{\prime *}\right)$, and it is understood that $\pi \in Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$.

We may normalize $\rho^{\prime}=\left\{1, \cdots, r^{\prime}\right\}$, so that $\pi^{\prime} \in S_{r^{\prime}}$. If $\rho, \bar{\rho} \subset \rho^{\prime}, r(\rho)=$ $r(\bar{\rho})$, there is a permutation which carries $\rho$ into $\bar{\rho}, \rho^{\prime}$ into itself, and $\pi^{\prime}$ into $\bar{\pi}^{\prime}$. For each $\pi \in Q\left(\rho^{*}\right)$, the image $\bar{\pi} \in Q\left(\bar{\rho}^{*}\right)$, and if $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}(\rho)$, then $\bar{\pi} \rightarrow \bar{\pi}^{\prime}(\bar{\rho})$. Furthermore $\mu\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}, \rho\right)=\mu\left(\bar{\pi}, \bar{\pi}^{\prime}, \bar{\rho}\right), d(\bar{\pi})=d(\pi), H_{\bar{\mu}, d(\bar{\pi})}(s)=H_{\mu, d(\pi)}(s)$, $Z_{\bar{\rho}, \bar{\pi}}(s)=Z_{\rho, \pi}(s)$. Thus the inner sum in (19) depends only on $r(\rho)$. For each $r \leqq r^{\prime}$, there are $\binom{r^{\prime}}{r}$ sets $\rho \subset \rho^{\prime}$ for which $r(\rho)=r$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{r^{\prime}}(s)=\sum_{r \leqq r^{\prime}}\binom{r^{\prime}}{r} \sum_{\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}(r)} H_{\mu, d(\pi)}(s) Z_{r, \pi}(s) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is understood that $\pi \in S_{r}, \pi^{\prime} \in S_{r^{\prime}}$, and that $r^{\prime}=1,2, \cdots, q-2, q$. If we want (20) to hold for $q-1$, we may complete the definition (17) by putting $K_{q-1}(s)=0$.

## 5. Solution of the equations

A straightforward solution of (20) for $Z_{r, \pi}$, followed by substitution in (13), solves our problem in a sense, but we can make further progress towards a more explicit result. We observe that we do not need to determine the individual $Z_{r, \pi}$ but only the particular combination appearing in (13). This turns out to be possible.

Let us multiply (20) by an unspecified function $Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ and sum over all $r^{\prime}$ and $\pi^{\prime}$. The right side will yield a linear combination of the $Z_{r, \pi}$, with coefficients depending on $Y$. If we equate these coefficients with those appearing in (13) we obtain $\mathfrak{F}$ as our sum. Our problem is then transformed to finding $Y$. It is unimportant whether $Y$ is unique, although this is probably true. We can make any a priori assumptions about $Y$ that we please, the end justifying the means. For example, we shall assume that $Y$ depends only on $r^{\prime}$ and $d\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$.

Carrying out the above-mentioned summation, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}\right) K_{r^{\prime}}=\sum_{r^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}} \sum_{r \leqq r^{\prime}} \sum_{\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}(r)}\binom{r^{\prime}}{r} H_{\mu, d(\pi)} Z_{r, \pi} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}\right) \\
&=\sum_{r, \pi} Z_{r, \pi} \sum_{r^{\prime} \geqq r} \sum_{\substack{\pi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \\
\pi \rightarrow \boldsymbol{S}^{\prime}(r)}}\binom{r^{\prime}}{r} H_{\mu, d(\pi)} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Referring to (13), we shall require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r!} d(\pi)^{1-s}=\sum_{r^{\prime} \geqq r}\binom{r^{\prime}}{r} \sum_{\substack{\pi \prime \prime \in s_{r}^{\prime} \\ \pi_{\rightarrow}^{\prime} \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}(r)}} H_{\mu, d(\pi)} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (21) is satisfied, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{F}(s)=\sum_{r^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi\right) K_{r^{\prime}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for fixed $r, r^{\prime}$, and $\pi \in S_{r}$, the relations $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}(r), \pi^{\prime} \in S_{r^{\prime}}$ are equivalent to $\pi^{\prime}=\pi^{\mu} \theta$, where $\theta \in Q(r)=Q(\{1,2, \cdots, r\})$. But $\theta=\pi^{\prime} \pi^{-\mu}$ belongs to $S_{r^{\prime}}$, since $S_{r} \subset S_{r^{\prime}}$. Hence $\theta$ belongs to the symmetric group $\bar{S}$ on $\left\{r+1, \cdots, r^{\prime}\right\}$. Conversely, if $\theta \epsilon \bar{S}$, then for every $m, \pi^{m} \theta$ belongs to the group generated by $S_{r}$ and $\bar{S}$, which is contained in $S_{r^{\prime}}$. The minimum positive $m$ (which we have denoted by $\mu$ ) will be one of the integers $1, \cdots$, $d(\pi)$. Hence (21) may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r!} d(\pi)^{1-s}=\sum_{r^{\prime} \geqq r}\binom{r^{\prime}}{r} \sum_{\theta \in S} \sum_{\mu=1}^{d(\pi)} H_{\mu, d(\pi)} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{\mu} \theta\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $\pi^{\mu}$ and $\theta$ operate on the disjoint sets of symbols $\{1, \cdots, r\}$ and $\left\{r+1, \cdots, r^{\prime}\right\}$, so they commute, and $d\left(\pi^{\mu} \theta\right)$ is equal to the least common multiple of $d\left(\pi^{\mu}\right)$ and $d(\theta)$, denoted by $\left[d\left(\pi^{\mu}\right), d(\theta)\right]$. Also, $d\left(\pi^{\mu}\right)=d\left(\pi^{v}\right)=$ $d(\pi) / v$, where $v$ is the greatest common divisor ( $\mu, d(\pi)$ ). Recalling our assumption on $Y$, the inner sum is expressible as
(24) $\sum_{v \mid d(\pi)} \sum_{\substack{\mu=1 \\(\mu, d(\pi))=v}}^{d(\pi)} H_{\mu, d(\pi)} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{v} \theta\right)=\sum_{v \mid d(\pi)} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{v} \theta\right) \sum_{\substack{\mu=1 \\(\mu, d(\pi))=v}}^{d(\pi)} H_{\mu, d(\pi)}$.

Write $\mu=k v, k=1,2, \cdots, d(\pi) / v, k$ prime to $d(\pi) / v$. Then

$$
\sum_{\substack{\mu=1 \\(\mu, d)=v}}^{d} H_{\mu, d}=\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\(k, d / v)=1}}^{d / v} H_{k v,(d / v) \cdot v}
$$

Now

$$
H_{k v, l v}(s)=\sum_{m \equiv k v(\bmod l v)} m^{-s}=\sum_{n \equiv k(\bmod l)} n^{-s} v^{-s}=v^{-s} H_{k, l}(s) .
$$

Our inner sum (24) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \mid d(\pi)} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{v} \theta\right) v^{-s} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\(k, d(\pi) / v)=1}}^{d(\pi) / v} H_{k, d(\pi) / v}=\sum_{v \mid d(\pi)} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{v} \theta\right) v^{-s} M\left(\frac{d(\pi)}{v}\right), \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M(\delta)=\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\(k, \delta)=1}}^{\delta} H_{k, \delta}=\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\(k, \delta)=1}}^{\infty} k^{-s}
$$

But it is easy to see, by expanding each factor in a geometric series, that

$$
\prod_{p \nmid \delta} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}=\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\(k, \delta)=1}}^{\infty} k^{-s},
$$

the product being over all primes $p$ which do not divide $\delta$. Hence
(26) $M(\delta)=\prod_{p \nmid \delta} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}=\prod_{\left.p\right|^{\delta}}\left(1-p^{-s}\right) \cdot \prod_{p} \frac{1}{1-p^{-s}}=\zeta(s) \prod_{\left.p\right|^{\delta}}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)$.

Returning to (25), we replace $v$ by its complementary divisor $\delta=d(\pi) / v$ to get

$$
\sum_{\delta \mid d(\pi)} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{d(\pi) / \delta} \theta\right)\left(\frac{d(\pi)}{\delta}\right)^{-s} M(\delta)=d(\pi)^{-s} \sum_{\delta \mid d(\pi)} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{d(\pi) / \delta} \theta\right) \delta^{\delta} M(\delta)
$$

Putting this back in (23), simplifying, and changing the order of summation, we get

$$
\frac{1}{r!} d(\pi)=\sum_{\delta \mid d(\pi)} \delta^{s} M(\delta) \sum_{r^{\prime} \geqq r}\binom{r^{\prime}}{r} \sum_{\theta \in S} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{d(\pi) / \delta} \theta\right)
$$

Observe that the order of $\pi^{d(\pi) / \delta} \theta$ is $[\delta, d(\theta)]$, so the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r, \delta)=\sum_{r^{\prime} \geqq r}\binom{r^{\prime}}{r} \sum_{\theta \in \bar{S}} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{d(\pi) / \delta} \theta\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

depends only on $r$ and $\delta$. The equation

$$
\frac{1}{r!} d(\pi)=\sum_{\delta \mid d(\pi)} \delta^{s} M(\delta) V(r, \delta)
$$

has an obvious solution

$$
\delta^{s} M(\delta) V(r, \delta)=(1 / r!) \varphi(\delta)
$$

where $\varphi$ denotes the Euler function

$$
\varphi(\delta)=\delta \prod_{p \mid \delta}\left(1-p^{-1}\right)
$$

since it is well known that

$$
d=\sum_{\delta \backslash d} \varphi(\delta) .
$$

Thus we have reduced our problem to

$$
V(r, \delta)=\varphi(\delta) \delta^{-s} / r!M(\delta)
$$

where $V$ is given by (27). This is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\delta)=\sum_{\substack{r^{\prime}=r \\ r^{\prime} \neq q-1}}^{q} \frac{r^{\prime}!}{\left(r^{\prime}-r\right)!} \sum_{\theta \in S} Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{d(\pi) / \delta} \theta\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have defined

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\delta)=\varphi(\delta) \delta^{-s} / M(\delta) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that the condition $r^{\prime} \neq q-1$ in (28) can be removed by requiring that $Y\left(q-1, \pi^{\prime}\right)=0$.

A few trial computations suggest that $Y$, which has depended implicitly on $q$, does so only as a function of $q-r^{\prime}$. Therefore we make the following change of notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y\left(r^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}\right)=\frac{y\left(q-r^{\prime}, d\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right)}{r^{\prime}!\left(q-r^{\prime}\right)!} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

It will be seen later that the extra factors lead to a convenient symmetry. (28) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\delta)=\sum_{r^{\prime}=r}^{q} \frac{1}{\left(r^{\prime}-r\right)!\left(q-r^{\prime}\right)!} \sum_{\theta \in S} y\left(q-r^{\prime},[\delta, d(\theta)]\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we introduce $j=q-r^{\prime}, m=r^{\prime}-r, b=q-r$, so that $j$ and $m$ are nonnegative integers whose sum is $b$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\delta)=\sum_{j+m=b} \frac{1}{j!m!} \sum_{\theta \in S} y(j,[\delta, d(\theta)]) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\bar{S}$ is the symmetric group on the $r^{\prime}-r=m$ symbols $\left\{r+1, \cdots, r^{\prime}\right\}$. The particular set is unimportant now, since only the order of $\theta$ is involved, so we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\delta)=\sum_{i+m=b} \frac{1}{j!m!} \sum_{\theta \in S_{m}} y(j,[\delta, d(\theta)]) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, a few trials indicate that we should try a solution in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(j, k)=\sum_{\pi \in S_{j}} A_{j}(\pi) g([k, d(\pi)]) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting this into (33) and observing that $[[\delta, d(\theta)], d(\pi)]=[\delta, d(\theta), d(\pi)]$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\delta)=\sum_{j+m=b} \frac{1}{j!m!} \sum_{\theta \in S_{m}} \sum_{\pi \in S_{j}} A_{j}(\pi) g([\delta, d(\theta), d(\pi)]) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

This should be satisfied identically in $g$, so we collect the coefficient of $g(k \delta)$ on the right, and require that it be equal to 1 if $k=1$ and to 0 if $k>1$. This coefficient is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\delta}(k)=\sum_{j+m=b} \frac{1}{j!m!} \sum_{\theta, \pi} A_{j}(\pi) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the inner sum is over all $\theta \epsilon S_{m}, \pi \epsilon S_{j}$ for which $[\delta, d(\theta), d(\pi)]=k \delta$. If $k=1$, this means that $d(\theta) \mid \delta$ and $d(\pi) \mid \delta$, that is, $\theta^{\delta}=e$ and $\pi^{\delta}=e$. Thus we require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\sum_{j+m=b} \frac{1}{j!m!} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_{j} \\ \pi^{\delta}=e}} A_{j}(\pi) \sum_{\substack{\theta \in S_{m} \\ \theta^{\delta}=e_{e}}} 1 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although (37) is needed only for certain values of $b$ and $\delta$, we shall insist on it for all $b \geqq 0$ and $\delta \geqq 1$. It will then follow that $C_{\delta}(k)=0$ for $k>1$, as required. For if we sum $C_{\delta}(k)$ over all $k \mid a$, the inner sum in (36) is then over all $\theta, \pi$ for which $[\delta, d(\theta), d(\pi)$ ] divides $a \delta$. But this is equivalent to $[a \delta, d(\theta), d(\pi)]=a \delta$, so

$$
\sum_{k \mid a} C_{\delta}(k)=C_{a \delta}(1)=1
$$

by assumption. The result then follows from the Möbius inversion formula.
To solve (37), we define

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{j}(\delta)=\frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_{j} \\
d(\pi) \mid \delta}} A_{j}(\pi), \quad L_{m}(\delta)=\frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\substack{\theta \in \mathcal{S}_{m} \\
d(\theta) \mid \delta}} 1,  \tag{38}\\
\beta(\delta)=\beta(\delta, x)=\sum_{j \geqq 0} B_{j}(\delta) x^{j}, \quad \lambda(\delta)=\lambda(\delta, x)=\sum_{m \geqq 0} L_{m}(\delta) x^{m} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Then (37) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(\delta) \lambda(\delta) & =\sum_{j \geqq 0} B_{j}(\delta) x^{j} \sum_{m \geqq 0} L_{m}(\delta) x^{m} \\
& =\sum_{b \geqq 0} x^{b} \sum_{j+m=b} B_{j}(\delta) L_{m}(\delta)=\sum_{b \geqq 0} x^{b}=\frac{1}{1-x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(\delta)=\frac{1}{(1-x) \lambda(\delta)} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known ${ }^{4}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(\delta)=\exp \sum_{d \mid \delta} \frac{x^{d}}{d} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\beta(\delta) & =\exp (-\log (1-x)) \cdot \exp \left(-\sum_{d \mid \delta} \frac{x^{d}}{d}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{d}}{d}-\sum_{d \mid \delta} \frac{x^{d}}{d}\right)=\exp \sum_{d \nmid \delta} \frac{x^{d}}{d} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Either (41) or the equivalent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(\delta)=\frac{1}{1-x} \exp \left(-\sum_{d \mid \delta} \frac{x^{d}}{d}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

may be used, whichever is more convenient. We may therefore regard $B_{j}(\delta)$ as known.

To determine $A_{j}(\pi)$, we introduce the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{j}(k)=\frac{1}{j!} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_{j} \\ d(\pi)=k_{k}}} A_{j}(\pi) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly

$$
\sum_{k \mid \delta} X_{j}(k)=B_{j}(\delta)
$$

By the Möbius inversion formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{j}(k)=\sum_{\delta \mid k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{\delta}\right) B_{j}(\delta) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

With $X_{j}$ determined by (44), we can satisfy (43) in many ways, for example by making $A_{j}(\pi)$ depend only on $d(\pi)$. This does not affect the value of $y(j, k)$. For, by (34),

$$
\begin{aligned}
y(j, k) & =\sum_{d \geqq 1} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \in j_{j} \\
(\pi)=d}} A_{\jmath}(\pi) g([k, d(\pi)]) \\
& =\sum_{d \geqq 1} g([k, d]) \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_{j} \\
d(\pi)=d}} A_{j}(\pi)=j!\sum_{d \geqq 1} X_{j}(d) g([k, d]),
\end{aligned}
$$

the last step following from (43).
Now, from (44), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y(j, k)}{j!}=\sum_{d \geqq 1} \sum_{\delta \mid d} \mu\left(\frac{d}{\delta}\right) B_{j}(\delta) g([k, d])=\sum_{\delta \geqq 1} B_{j}(\delta) \sum_{c \geqq 1} \mu(c) g([k, c \delta]) . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may write the inner sum in the form

$$
\sum_{a \geq 1} g(a[k, \delta]) \sum_{[k, c \delta]=a[k, \delta]} \mu(c) .
$$

[^1]For fixed $k$ and $\delta$, define

$$
V(a)=\sum_{[k, \delta \delta]=a[k, \delta]} \mu(c) .
$$

Then for arbitrary $d$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a \mid d} V(a)=\sum_{[k, \delta \delta] \mid d[k, \delta]} \mu(c)=\sum_{c \delta \mid d[k, \delta]} \mu(c)=\sum_{c \mid(d[k, \delta] / \delta)} \mu(c) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\sum_{c \mid t} \mu(c)=1$ if $t=1,=0$ if $t>1$. Thus the sum in (46) is 0 unless $d[k, \delta]=\delta$, that is, unless $d=1$ and $k \mid \delta$, in which case it is equal to 1 . Defining $\chi_{k}(\delta)=1$ if $k \mid \delta,=0$ if $k \nmid \delta$, we have

$$
\sum_{a \mid d} V(a)=\sum_{a \mid d} \chi_{k}(\delta) \mu(a) .
$$

By the Möbius inversion formula the summands must be equal, so

$$
V(a)=\chi_{k}(\delta) \mu(a)
$$

Therefore the inner sum in (45) is

$$
\sum_{a \geqq 1} g(a[k, \delta]) \chi_{k}(\delta) \mu(a)=\sum_{a \geqq 1} \mu(a) g(a \delta) \chi_{k}(\delta),
$$

and (45) becomes, on putting $\delta=v k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y(j, k)}{j!}=\sum_{v \geqq 1} B_{j}(v k) \sum_{a \geqq 1} \mu(a) g(a v k) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now reduce the inner sum in (47). Writing $\delta=v k$, define $h(a)=g(a \delta) / g(\delta)$. It is easily verified that $h(a)$ is a multiplicative ${ }^{5}$ function of $a$, and so is $\mu(a)$. Hence

$$
\sum_{a \geqq 1} \mu(a) h(a)=\prod_{p}\left(1+\mu(p) h(p)+\mu\left(p^{2}\right) h\left(p^{2}\right)+\cdots\right)=\coprod_{p}(1-h(p))
$$

Now if $p \mid \delta$,

$$
h(p)=\frac{g(p \delta)}{g(\delta)}=\frac{\varphi(p \delta)(p \delta)^{-s}}{\prod_{p^{\prime} \mid p \delta}\left(1-p^{\prime-s}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{p^{\prime}, \delta}\left(1-p^{\prime-s}\right)}{\varphi(\delta) \delta^{-s}}=p^{1-s}
$$

If $p \nmid \delta$,

$$
h(p)=\frac{(p-1) p^{-s}}{1-p^{-s}} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{p}(1-h(p)) & =\prod_{p \mid \delta}\left(1-p^{1-s}\right) \prod_{p \nmid \delta} \frac{\left(1-p^{1-s}\right)}{\left(1-p^{-s}\right)} \\
& =\prod_{p}\left(1-p^{1-s}\right) \cdot \frac{\prod_{p 1 \delta}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)}{\prod_{p}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)}=\frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(s-1)} \prod_{p \mid \delta \delta}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^2]Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \geqq 1} \mu(a) g(a \delta) & =g(\delta) \sum_{a \geqq 1} \mu(a) h(a) \\
& =\frac{\varphi(\delta) \delta^{-s}}{\zeta(s) \prod_{p \mid \delta}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)} \cdot \frac{\zeta(s) \prod_{p \mid \delta}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)}{\zeta(s-1)}=\frac{\varphi(\delta) \delta^{-s}}{\zeta(s-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting this back in (47), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{y(j, k)}{j!}=\sum_{v \geq 1} \frac{1}{\zeta(s-1)} B_{j}(v k)(v k)^{-s} \varphi(v k) \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

We return now to the evaluation of $\mathfrak{F}(s)$. From (22),

$$
\mathfrak{F}(s)=\sum_{r=1}^{q} K_{r} \sum_{\pi \in S_{r}} Y(r, \pi)=\sum_{r=1}^{q} T(r) K_{r}
$$

say. By (30),

$$
T(r)=\sum_{\pi \in S_{r}} \frac{y(j, d(\pi))}{r!j!}
$$

where we put $j=q-r$ for brevity. Continuing,

$$
T^{\prime}(r)=\sum_{k} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_{r} \\ d(\pi)=k}} \frac{y(j, d(\pi))}{r!j!}=\sum_{k} \frac{y(j, k)}{j!} \cdot \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{S}_{r} \\ d(\pi)=k}} 1=\sum_{k} \frac{y(j, k)}{j!} D_{r}(k)
$$

say. Recalling the definition of $L_{r}(k)$ in (38), we see that

$$
L_{r}(k)=\sum_{d \backslash k} D_{r}(d)
$$

By the Möbius inversion formula,

$$
D_{r}(k)=\sum_{d \mid k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) L_{r}(d)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r)=\sum_{k} \sum_{d \backslash k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) L_{r}(d) \frac{y(j, k)}{j!} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now equation (48) may be written

$$
\zeta(s-1) \frac{y(j, k)}{j!}=\sum_{a \geqq 1} \chi_{k}(a) B_{j}(a) \varphi(a) a^{-s}
$$

Using this in (49), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta(s-1) T(r)=\sum_{k \geqq 1} \sum_{d \mid k} \sum_{a \geqq 1} \chi_{k}(a) & B_{j}(a) a^{-s} \varphi(a) \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) L_{r}(d) \\
& =\sum_{a \geqq 1} B_{j}(a) \varphi(a) a^{-s} \sum_{d \geqq 1} L_{r}(d) \sum_{\substack{d \mid k \\
k \geqq 1}} \chi_{k}(a) \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the inner sum, we write $k=m d$, to obtain

$$
\sum_{m \geqq 1} \chi_{m d}(a) \mu(m)=\sum_{m \mid(a / d)} \mu(m)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } & d=a \\
0 & \text { if } & d \neq a
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(s-1) T(r)=\sum_{a \geqq 1} B_{j}(a) L_{r}(a) \varphi(a) a^{-s} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta(s-1) \mathfrak{F}(s) & =\sum_{r=1}^{q}(\zeta(s-1) T(r)) K_{r}(s) \\
& =\sum_{r=1}^{q} \sum_{a \geq 1} B_{j}(a) L_{r}(a) \varphi(a) a^{-s} K_{r}(s) \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

Now

$$
\zeta(s-1)=\sum_{m \geqq 1} \frac{1}{m^{s-1}}, \quad \mathcal{F}(s)=\sum_{d \geqq 1} \frac{F(d)}{d^{s-1}}
$$

so

$$
\zeta(s-1) \mathcal{F}(s)=\sum_{n \geqq 1} \frac{1}{n^{s-1}} \sum_{d \mid n} F(d)=\sum_{n \geqq 1} \frac{n F^{*}(n)}{n^{s}}
$$

Using Dirichlet multiplication on the right of (51), we get

$$
\sum_{n \geqq 1} \frac{1}{n^{s}} \sum_{r=1}^{q} \sum_{a \mid n} B_{j}(a) L_{r}(a) \varphi(a) r^{n / a}
$$

and equating coefficients of $n^{-s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n F^{*}(n)=\sum_{r=1}^{q} \sum_{a \mid n} B_{j}(a) L_{r}(a) \varphi(a) r^{n / a} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $F^{*}(n)$ is the total number of classes with period $n$, not necessarily primitive, and that $F(n)$ may be recovered from it by Möbius inversion.

Equation (52) is not quite satisfactory, since it apparently requires a knowledge of the coefficients $B_{j}(a)$ and $L_{r}(a)$ for all values of $a$. It turns out, however, that these coefficients are periodic in $a$. In fact, let $\sigma$ be the least common multiple of the integers $1,2, \cdots, q$, and suppose that $a \equiv b(\bmod \sigma)$. From (38) we see that the values of $B_{j}(a)$ and $L_{r}(a)$ depend only on the set of $\pi$ in $S_{j}$ and $S_{r}$ for which $d(\pi)$ divides $a$. But $j \leqq q$ and $r \leqq q$, so $d(\pi)$ always divides $\sigma$, and $d(\pi) \mid a$ is equivalent to $d(\pi) \mid b$. Therefore the sets for $a$ and $b$ are identical, and $B_{j}(a)=B_{j}(b), L_{r}(a)=L_{r}(b)$. By the same token, if every divisor of $a$ and $\sigma$ is also a divisor of $b$ and $\sigma$, and conversely, then the coefficients have the same values. That is, if $(a, \sigma)=(b, \sigma)$, then $B_{j}(a)=B_{j}(b), L_{r}(a)=L_{r}(b)$. We may therefore write

$$
\begin{equation*}
n F_{q}^{*}(n)=\sum_{r=1}^{q} \sum_{d \mid n} r^{n / d} \varphi(d) h_{r}^{*}(d) \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{r}^{*}(d)=L_{r}((d, \sigma)) B_{q-r}((d, \sigma)) . \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once we have computed $F^{*}(n)$, we have immediately

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{q}(n)=\sum_{m \mid n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{m}\right) F_{q}^{*}(m) \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another expression for $F_{q}(n)$ may be derived as follows. We have already proved that

$$
T(r)=\sum_{k} \frac{y(j, k)}{j!} D_{r}(k)
$$

and

$$
\frac{y(j, k)}{j!}=\sum_{d} X_{j}(d) g([k, d])
$$

Combining these, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(r)=\sum_{b} W_{r}(b) g(b) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{r}(b)=\sum_{[k, d]=b} X_{j}(d) D_{r}(k) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recalling the definitions of $X_{j}$ and $D_{r}$, we see that to each pair $d, k$ contributing to the sum (57), there corresponds a pair $\theta \in S_{j}$ and $\pi \in S_{r}$, with $d(\theta)=d$ and $d(\pi)=k$. Since $j=q-r$, it follows that $[d, k]$ divides $\sigma$ (we may regard $\theta$ as acting on $\{r+1, \cdots q\}$, so that $\theta \pi \in S_{q}$ and $[d, k]=$ $d(\theta \pi) \mid \sigma$ ). Hence $W_{r}(b)=0$ unless $b \mid \sigma$, and the sum in (56) extends over such $b$. We can evaluate $W_{r}(b)$ in terms of $L_{r}$ and $B_{j}$. In fact, we have

$$
\sum_{b \mid c} W_{r}(b)=\sum_{[k, d] \mid c} X_{j}(d) D_{r}(k)=\sum_{k \mid c} D_{r}(k) \sum_{d \mid c} X_{j}(d)=L_{r}(c) B_{j}(c)
$$

By the Möbius inversion formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{r}(b)=\sum_{c \mid b} \mu\left(\frac{b}{c}\right) L_{r}(c) B_{q-r}(c) \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now define

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mu_{b}(m) & =\mu(m) \quad & \text { if } \quad(m, b)=1 \\
& =0 & & \text { if } \quad(m, b)>1 \tag{59}
\end{array}
$$

We shall also adopt the convention that $\mu_{b}(y)=0$ if $y$ is not an integer. Then

$$
g(b)=\frac{\varphi(b) b^{-s}}{\zeta(s) \coprod_{p \mid b}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)}=\varphi(b) b^{-s} \sum_{m \geqq 1} \mu_{b}(m) m^{-s}=\varphi(b) \sum_{m \geqq 1} \mu_{b}\left(\frac{m}{b}\right) m^{-s}
$$

Putting this back in (56), multiplying by $K_{r}$, and picking out the coefficient of $n^{-s}$, we find

$$
\left[T(r) K_{r}\right]_{n}=\sum_{b \mid \sigma} W_{r}(b) \varphi(b) \sum_{d \mid n} \mu_{b}\left(\frac{d}{b}\right) r^{n / d}
$$

Summation over $r$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
n F_{q}(n)=\sum_{r=1}^{q} \sum_{d \backslash n} r^{n / d} h_{r}(d) \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{r}(d)=\sum_{b \mid \sigma} W_{r}(b) \varphi(b)_{\mu_{b}}\left(\frac{d}{b}\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $W_{r}(b)$ is given by (58).
Equations (53) and (60) constitute the solution to our problem. In any numerical case they may be checked against each other by means of (55) or (1).

## 6. Some special results

Suppose that $(n, \sigma)=1$. Then, in (53), the only admissible value of $a$ is 1 , so

$$
n F_{q}^{*}(n)=\sum_{r=1}^{q} L_{r}(1) B_{q-r}(1) \sum_{d \mid n} \varphi(d) r^{n / d} .
$$

But

$$
L_{r}(1)=\frac{1}{r!}, \quad B_{q-r}(1)=\sum_{t=0}^{q-r} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{t!}
$$

Therefore
$n F_{q}^{*}(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{r=0}^{q} \sum_{t=0}^{q-r} \frac{(-1)^{t}}{r!t!} r^{d}=\sum_{d \mid n} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{1}{s!} \sum_{r+t=s}(-1)^{t}\binom{s}{r} E^{r} 0^{d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n F_{q}^{*}(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\Delta^{s} 0^{d}}{s!} \quad((n, \sigma)=1) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $(n, \sigma)=1,(60)$ becomes

$$
n F_{q}(n)=\sum_{r=1}^{q} L_{r}(1) B_{q-r}(1) \sum_{d \backslash n} \mu(d) r^{n / d}
$$

which reduces in exactly the same way to

$$
\begin{equation*}
n F_{q}(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sum_{s=1}^{q} \frac{\Delta^{s} 0^{d}}{s!} \quad((n, \sigma)=1) \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

It may be worthwhile to work out the general case for $q=2$ and $q=3$. For this purpose we list the values of $L_{r}(a)$ and $B_{j}(a)$ for $r, j=0,1,2,3$ and $a=1,2,3,4,5,6$.

For $q=2, \sigma=2$, and (53) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n F_{2}^{*}(n)=\sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \text { odd }}} \varphi(d) 2^{n / d}+2 \sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \text { even }}} \varphi(d) 2^{n / d} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE 1

| $L_{r}(a)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $r$ |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| 5 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ |
| 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

TABLE 2

| $B_{i}(a)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $j$ |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 3 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 0 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Similarly, by computing $W_{2}(1)=\frac{1}{2}, W_{2}(2)=\frac{1}{2}$ from (58), we find that

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
h_{2}(d) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu(d)+\mu_{2}(d / 2)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \mu(d) & (d \text { odd }), \\
& =0 & (d \text { even }) .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n F_{2}(n)=\sum_{\substack{d \mid n \\ d \text { odd }}} \mu(d) 2^{n / d} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $q=3, \sigma=6$, we compute $h_{1}^{*}(d)$ and $h_{3}^{*}(d)$ (in general, $h_{q-1}^{*}(d)=$ $\left.h_{q-1}(d)=0\right)$. We find that $h_{1}^{*}(d)=\frac{1}{2}$ if $d$ is odd, $=0$ if $d$ is even, and that

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{3}^{*}(d) & =\frac{1}{6} & & d \equiv 1,5(\bmod 6), \\
& =\frac{2}{3} & & d \equiv 2,4(\bmod 6), \\
& =\frac{1}{2} & & d \equiv 3 \quad(\bmod 6),  \tag{66}\\
& =1 & & d \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 6) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now if $\bar{n}$ is the largest odd divisor of $n$, we have

$$
\sum_{\begin{array}{c}
d \mid n \\
d \text { odd }
\end{array}} \varphi(d)=\sum_{d \mid \bar{n}} \varphi(d)=\bar{n} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
n F_{3}^{*}(n)=(1 / 2) \bar{n}+\sum_{d \mid n} \varphi(d) h_{3}^{*}(d) 3^{n / d} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

By referring to our tables and (58), we find that $W_{1}(1)=\frac{1}{2}, W_{1}(2)=-\frac{1}{2}$, $W_{1}(3)=W_{1}(6)=0$, so

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
h_{1}(d) & =\frac{1}{2} \mu(d) & (d \text { odd }) \\
& =\mu(d) & (d \text { even })
\end{array}
$$

A little computation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
h(n) & =\sum_{d \backslash n} h_{1}(d)=\frac{1}{2} & & (n=1), \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} & & \left(n=2^{\alpha}, \alpha>0\right)  \tag{68}\\
& =0, & & \text { otherwise. }
\end{align*}
$$

Again, we find that $W_{3}(1)=\frac{1}{6}, W_{3}(2)=\frac{1}{2}, W_{3}(3)=\frac{1}{3}, W_{3}(6)=0$, so

$$
h_{3}(d)=\frac{1}{6} \mu(d)+\frac{1}{2} \mu_{2}\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)+\frac{2}{3} \mu_{3}\left(\frac{d}{3}\right)=\frac{1}{6} h^{\prime}(d) \mu(d)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
h^{\prime}(d) & =1 & & d \equiv 1,5(\bmod 6), \\
& =-2 & & d \equiv 2,4(\bmod 6) \\
& =-3 & & d \equiv 3 \quad(\bmod 6),  \tag{69}\\
& =-6 & & d \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 6),
\end{align*}
$$

Combining these results, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
n F_{3}(n)=h(n)+\frac{1}{6} \sum_{d \backslash n} \mu(d) h^{\prime}(d) 3^{n / d} \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following is a table of $F_{2}(n), F_{2}^{*}(n), F_{3}(n)$, and $F_{3}^{*}(n)$, for $n=1, \cdots, 10$, computed from (65), (64), (70), and (67), respectively. The numerical work was checked by (1).

TABLE 3

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $F_{2}(n)$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 28 | 51 |
| $F_{2}^{*}(n)$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 56 |
| $F_{3}(n)$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 22 | 52 | 140 | 366 | 992 |
| $F_{3}^{*}(n)$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 26 | 53 | 146 | 369 | 1002 |
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