## Zeros of integrals along trajectories of ergodic nonsingular flows

Ryotaro SATO (Received January 16, 1988)

## §1. Introduction

Let  $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$  be a probability space. In 1976, Atkinson [1] proved that if T is an ergodic measure preserving automorphism of  $(X, \mathscr{B}, \mu)$  then the following conditions are equivalent for f in  $L_1(\mu)$ :

(a) 
$$\int f d\mu = 0.$$
  
(b)  $\liminf_{n \to \infty} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n} f(T^{j}x) \right| = 0$  for almost all  $x \in X.$ 

In 1987, Ullman [6] generalized Atkinson's theorem to noninvariant measures. That is, he considered an ergodic, conservative, nonsingular automorphism T of  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  and proved that the above condition (a) and the following (b') are equivalent for f in  $L_1(\mu)$ .

(b') 
$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n} f(T^{j}x) \cdot \frac{d\mu \circ T^{j}}{d\mu}(x) \right| = 0 \text{ for almost all } x \in X.$$

In this note we will treat an ergodic, conservative, nonsingular flow of  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  and prove a corresponding continuous time result. The method of proof is different from that of Ullman. See also Schneiberg [5].

## § 2. Preliminaries and the theorem

From now on, let  $\{T_t\} = \{T_t: -\infty < t < \infty\}$  be a measurable flow of nonsingular automorphisms of  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . All sets and functions introduced below are assumed to be measurable; and all relations are assumed to hold modulo sets of measure zero. Since each  $T_t$  is nonsingular, the Radon-Nikodym theorem can be applied to define a function  $w_t = \frac{d\mu \circ T_t}{d\mu}$  in  $L_1(\mu)$  such that

(1) 
$$\int_A w_t d\mu = \mu(T_t A) \text{ for all } A \in \mathscr{B},$$

and let us put

(2) 
$$U_t f(x) = f(T_t x) w_t(x) \text{ for } f \in L_1(\mu).$$

As is easily seen,  $\{U_t\} = \{U_t: -\infty < t < \infty\}$  becomes a group of positile linear isometries of  $L_1(\mu)$ . Further by Krengel [2] (see also Sato [4]), strong-lim  $U_t = I$  (*I* being the identity operator). The flow  $\{T_t\}$  is called *conservative* if each  $T_t$  is conservative. (Recall that a nonsingular automorphism *T* is conservative if and only if  $A \subset TA$  implies A = TA. It is known (cf. e. g. Krengel [3], § 3. 1) that *T* is conservative if and only if  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{d\mu \circ T^n}{d\mu}(x) = \infty$  on *X*.) It is easy to see that  $\{T_t\}$  is conservative if and only if

$$\int_0^\infty U_t 1(x) dt = \int_0^\infty w_t(x) dt = \infty \text{ for almost all } x \in X.$$

The flow  $\{T_t\}$  is called *ergodic* if  $A = T_t A$  for all t implies  $\mu A = 0$  or  $\mu(X \setminus A) = 0$ . We are now in a position to state our result.

THEOREM. Let  $\{T_t\}$  be an ergodic, conservative, measurable flow of nonsingular automorphisms of  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  with  $\mu X = 1$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent for f in  $L_1(\mu)$ :

 $(\mathbf{I}) \int f d\mu = 0.$ 

(II) To almost every  $x \in X$  there corresponds a real sequence  $s_n$  (dependent on x), with  $s_n \uparrow \infty$ , such that  $\int_0^{s_n} f(T_t x) w_t(x) dt = 0$  for all  $n \ge 1$ .

**PROOF.** (I) $\Rightarrow$ (II): Let us fix an integer  $N \ge 1$ , and write

$$A = A_N = \{x \in X : \int_0^s U_t f(x) dt > 0 \text{ for all } s \ge N\},\$$
  
$$B = B_N = \{x \in X : \int_0^s U_t f(x) dt < 0 \text{ for all } s \ge N\},\$$
  
$$C = C_N = (X \setminus A) \cap (X \setminus B).$$

Let

(3)  $g(x) = g_N(x) = \int_0^N U_t f(x) dt$ , and

(4) 
$$D = \{x \in X : \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U_N^j g(x) > 0 \text{ for all } n \ge 1\}.$$

It follows that  $A \subseteq D$ . In order to prove  $\mu A = 0$ , we assume  $\mu D > 0$ . Then, since  $T_N$  is conservative by hypothesis, for almost every  $x \in D$  we can take an integer  $n(x) \ge 1$  such that

196

$$T_N^{n(x)}x \in D$$
 and  $T_N^jx \in D$  for all  $1 \le j \le n(x)$ .

Put

$$X(n) = \{x \in D : n(x) = n\}$$
 and  $Y(n) = \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} T_N^j X(n)$ 

Then we see that

(5) 
$$D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X(n),$$

and the set  $Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y(n)$  satisfies  $T_N Y = Y$ . On the other hand, by the continuous time version of the Chacon-Ornstein ratio ergodic theorem (see e. g. [3], Chapter 3), (I) implies

$$0 = \int f \ d\mu = \lim_{s \to \infty} \int_0^s U_t f(x) dt \Big/ \int_0^s U_t 1(x) dt$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U_N^j g(x) \Big/ \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U_N^j h(x) \text{ for almost all } x \in X,$$

where we let  $h(x) = \int_0^N U_t 1(x) dt$ . Therefore  $T_N Y = Y$  implies  $\int_Y g d\mu = 0$ . But this is a contradiction, because

$$\int_{Y} g \ d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int g \cdot 1_{Y(n)} d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \int g \cdot 1_{T_{N}^{j}X(n)} d\mu$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g(T_{N}^{j}x) \cdot \frac{d\mu \circ T_{N}^{j}}{d\mu}(x) \right) \cdot 1_{X(n)}(x) d\mu(x)$$
$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \left( \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} U_{N}^{j}g \right) \cdot 1_{X(n)} d\mu > 0$$

where the last inequality is due to (4) and (5).

We have proved  $\mu A=0$ . Similarly,  $\mu B=0$  follows. Hence for almost all  $x \in X$  there exists a real number  $s(x) \ge N$  such that  $\int_0^{s(x)} U_t f(x) dt = 0$ . By this (II) follows immediately.

 $(II) \Rightarrow (I)$ : This implication is a direct consequence of the continuous time version of the Chacon-Ornstein theorem, and we omit the details.

## References

- [1] G. ATKINSON: Recurrence of co-cycles and random walks, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 13 (1976), 486-488.
- [2] U. KRENGEL: A necessary and sufficient condition for the validity of the local ergodic theorem, Lecture Notes in Math. 89, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969, pp. 170-177.
- [3] U. KRENGEL: Ergodic theorems, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1985.
- [4] R. SATO: On local properties of k-parameter semiflows of nonsingular point transforma-

tions, Acta Math. Hungar. 44 (1984), 243-247.

- [5] I. Ya. SCHNEIBERG: Zeros of integrals along trajectories of ergodic systems, Functional Anal. Appl. 19 (1985), 160-161.
- [6] D. ULLMAN: A generalization of a theorem of Atkinson to non-invariant measures, Pacific J. Math. 130 (1987), 187-193.

Department of Mathematics Okayama University