

On codimensions of maximal ideals in cohomology rings

Takashi NIWASAKI

(Received November 12, 1992)

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let G be a finite group, K a field of characteristic $p > 0$, and M a finitely generated left KG -module. For the cohomology ring

$$E_G(M) = \text{Ext}_{KG}^*(M, M) \simeq H^*(G, \text{End}_K(M))$$

of M , Carlson [5, 7] conjectured that if K is algebraically closed, then every maximal ideal in $E_G(M)$ contains the kernel of the restriction map to some cyclic shifted subgroup. This conjecture was proved in [12] by using almost commutativity of restriction maps and cup products. Note that the conjecture implies a theorem of Avrunin and Scott [3] which associates the module varieties with the rank varieties. One of the purposes of this paper is to extend Carlson's conjecture as follows:

THEOREM A. *Assume that K is algebraically closed. Then, for a maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} in $E_G(M)$, there exist a cyclic shifted subgroup U and a maximal ideal \mathfrak{N} in $E_U(M)$ such that \mathfrak{M} contains $\text{res}_{G,U}^{-1}(\mathfrak{N})$.*

Here $\text{res}_{G,U}$ is the restriction map. Although there is another proof based on Carlson's conjecture as in [14], we give a proof by directly extending the original one as announced in [12].

On the other hand, Carlson [5] showed that each simple $E_G(M)$ -module has finite dimension over K for an arbitrary field K . Another purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM B. *If S is a simple $E_G(M)$ -module, then $\dim_K S \leq \dim_K M$.*

We shall prove Theorem B as follows. Suppose that M has a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$ of KG -submodules. We regard $E_G(M)$ as a matrix ring whose (i, j) -entry is $\text{Ext}_{KG}^*(M_j, M_i)$. We shall show in Section 3 that we can interpret the Jacobson radical of $E_G(M)$ by means of each entry by considering the functor $\text{Ext}_{KG}^*(-, M)$ and its certain subfunctors. This argument follows Green's methods [10, Appendix] for Hom functors. In Section 4, we calculate the radical in the cyclic group case and give a

proof of Theorem B by using Theorem A.

NOTATION. For a ring A , $\text{rad } A$ denotes the Jacobson radical of A . $\text{Max}(A)$ denotes the set of maximal ideals of A . For an ideal I of A , set $\sqrt{I} = \{a \in A \mid a^c \in I \text{ for some } c > 0\}$. This is only a subset unless A is commutative.

2. Maximal ideals and cup, res

In this section we give a proof of Theorem A. At first we recall some basic concepts. They are detailed in [6, 4].

Let K be the trivial KG -module, and H a subgroup of G . Then there are two graded ring homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cup}_{G,M} : E_G(K) &\longrightarrow E_G(M), \\ \text{res}_{G,H,M} : E_G(M) &\longrightarrow E_H(M) \end{aligned}$$

which are induced from the inclusions $K \hookrightarrow \text{End}_K(M)$ and $KH \hookrightarrow KG$, respectively. The former coincides with the cup product with the identity element of $E_G(M)$. Evens [9] showed that $E_G(K)$ is finitely generated as a K -algebra, and $E_H(M)$ becomes a finitely generated $E_G(K)$ -module by $E_G(K) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} E_H(K) \xrightarrow{\text{cup}} E_H(M)$.

On the other hand, if $p > 2$, then the subalgebra $E_G^{\text{ev}}(K) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \text{Ext}_{KG}^{2n}(K, K)$ is contained in the center of $E_G(K)$, and $\rho^2 = 0$ for each odd degree homogeneous element ρ . So $\text{Max}(E_G(K)) \simeq \text{Max}(E_G^{\text{ev}}(K))$. If $p = 2$, then $E_G(K)$ itself is commutative. In both cases, we can treat $E_G(K)$ as if it were a commutative noetherian graded ring, and can consider a 'lying over' problem between $E_G(K)$ and $E_H(K)$.

We recall the definition of shifted subgroups. Let $E = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ be an elementary abelian p -subgroup of rank n of G . A set of linearly independent elements $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$ in K^n defines a subgroup $\langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m \rangle$ of the unit group of KG , where

$$u_i = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ij}(x_j - 1), \quad \alpha_i = (\alpha_{i1} \cdots \alpha_{in}).$$

It is an elementary abelian p -group of rank m . Such a subgroup is called a *shifted* subgroup of G . In the case $m = 1$, it is particularly called a *cyclic shifted* subgroup. We can define the restriction map from G to a shifted subgroup H as above. Evens' result also holds, that is, $E_H(M)$ is finitely generated over $E_G(K)$.

Let $U = \langle u \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order p . We choose the standard

elements

$$\eta_u \in \text{Ext}_{KV}^1(K, K), \quad \zeta_u \in \text{Ext}_{KV}^2(K, K)$$

corresponding to the generator u as in [6]. Then

$$E_U(K) = \begin{cases} K[\eta_u] & \text{if } p=2, \\ K[\zeta_u] \otimes_K \Lambda(\eta_u) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $K[\zeta_u]$ is the polynomial ring and $\Lambda(\eta_u)$ is the exterior algebra. We define a maximal ideal \mathfrak{N}_u of $E_U(K)$ by

$$\mathfrak{N}_u = \begin{cases} (\eta_u - 1) & \text{if } p=2, \\ (\zeta_u - 1) + \text{rad } E_U(K) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathfrak{U} = \{u \in KG \mid \langle u \rangle \text{ is a cyclic shifted subgroup}\}$, on which G acts by conjugation. We can define a map $\mathfrak{U} \cup \{1\} \rightarrow \text{Max}(E_G(K))$ which maps u to $\text{res}^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}_u)$, and 1 to the homogeneous maximal ideal $E_G^+(K)$. The following is Carlson's version of Quillen's stratification theorem.

THEOREM 2.1 ([13, 6]). *If K is algebraically closed, then the induced map $\mathfrak{U}/G \cup \{1\} \rightarrow \text{Max}(E_G(K))$ is bijective.*

For the remainder of this section, let H be a subgroup or a shifted subgroup of G . For convenience, we write ρ_M and ρ_H instead of $\text{cup}_{G,M}(\rho)$ and $\text{res}_{G,H}(\rho)$ respectively. Also for subsets of $E_G(K)$, we use the same notation. When H is shifted, since the K -algebra inclusion $KH \hookrightarrow KG$ is not a Hopf algebra homomorphism, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_G(K) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & E_H(K) \\ \text{cup} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{cup} \\ E_G(M) & \xrightarrow{\text{res}} & E_H(M) \end{array}$$

is not commutative. However it is 'almost' commutative as follows:

THEOREM 2.2 ([12]). *$((\rho^p)_M)_H = ((\rho^p)_H)_M$ for all $\rho \in E_G(K)$.*

To show Theorem A, we introduce some notation. Let

$$\begin{aligned} J_G(M) &= \text{Ker}(\text{cup} : E_G(K) \longrightarrow E_G(M)), \\ V_G(M) &= \{P \in \text{Max}(E_G(K)) \mid J_G(M) \subset P\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \text{Ker}_{G,H}(M) &= \text{Ker}(\text{res} : E_G(M) \longrightarrow E_H(M)). \end{aligned}$$

Then $J_G(M)$ is the annihilator of $E_G(M)$, and its support $V_G(M)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Max}((E_G(K))_M)$. We write V_G for $V_G(K) = \text{Max}(E_G(K))$. We note that

- (1) $(E_G^{\text{ev}}(K))_M$ is contained in the center of $E_G(M)$;
- (2) $\text{Ker}_{G,H}(M)$ is a homogeneous ideal contained in $E_G^+(M) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1}$
 $\text{Ext}_{KG}^n(M, M)$;
- (3) $E_G^+(M)$ may not be a maximal ideal.

The following lemma is well known (see [2, Corollary 2.5] for the proof).

LEMMA 2.3. *Let R be a commutative ring, I a proper ideal of R , and L a finitely generated faithful R -module. Then $IL \subsetneq L$.*

Hence the following maps are well-defined;

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cup}^* : \text{Max}(E_G(M)) &\longrightarrow V_G, & \text{cup}^*(\mathfrak{M}) &= \text{cup}^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}), \\ \text{res}^* : V_H &\longrightarrow V_G, & \text{res}^*(Q) &= \text{res}^{-1}(Q). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, again by Lemma 2.3, the image of cup^* is $V_G(M)$ and the image of res^* is $\{P \in V_G \mid \text{Ker}_{G,H}(K) \subset P\}$. Well-definedness of cup^* implies that every maximal ideal of $E_G(M)$ has finite codimension over K .

LEMMA 2.4. *For $P \in \text{res}^*(V_H)$, let $(\text{res}^*)^{-1}(P) = \{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n\}$. Then*

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{res}^{-1}(Q_i E_H(M)) \subset \sqrt{P E_G(M) + \text{Ker}_{G,H}(M)} \quad \text{in } E_G(M).$$

PROOF. Since $E_H(K)$ is almost commutative and finitely generated as a K -algebra, we have $\bigcap_i Q_i = \sqrt{P E_H(K)}$. By the Artin-Rees lemma, there exists a positive integer c such that

$$\bigcap_i (Q_i^c E_H(M)) \subset (\bigcap_i Q_i) E_H(M) = (\sqrt{P E_H(K)}) E_H(M) \subset \sqrt{P E_H(M)},$$

where we can interpret P as both $(P_H)_M$ and $(P_M)_H$, by Theorem 2.2. Also by the same lemma, there exists a positive integer d such that $P^d E_H(M) \cap (E_G(M))_H \subset (P E_G(M))_H$. This completes the proof. \square

LEMMA 2.5. *For $P \in \text{res}^*(V_H)$, we have $\text{Ker}_{G,H}(M) \subset \sqrt{P E_G(M)}$.*

PROOF. We may assume that G is a p -group, since the restriction maps to Sylow p -subgroups are monic. We use induction on the order of G . We may assume that there is a maximal subgroup S of G such that H is a (shifted) subgroup of S , otherwise the restriction to H is isomorphism. Let $(\text{res}_{G,S}^*)^{-1}(P) = \{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n\}$. Then, by the assumption of induction and by Lemma 2.4,

$$\text{Ker}_{G,H}(M) = \text{res}_{G,S}^{-1}(\text{Ker}_{S,H}(M)) \subset \bigcap_i \text{res}_{G,S}^{-1}(\sqrt{Q_i E_S(M)})$$

$$\subset \sqrt{PE_G(M) + \text{Ker}_{G,s}(M)}.$$

Hence we may assume $H = S$.

By a result of Alperin and Evens [1], there exists $\beta \in \text{Ker}_{G,H}(K)$ such that homogeneous elements of $\text{Ker}_{G,H}(M)$ are contained in $\sqrt{\beta E_G(M)}$, and hence in $\sqrt{PE_G(M)}$. Choose finitely many homogeneous generators of $\text{Ker}_{G,H}(M)$ as an $E_G(M)$ -module. Their images in $E_G(M)/PE_G(M)$ generate a finitely generated nil multiplicative subsemigroup. So it is nilpotent by Levitzki's theorem [11, pp.199]. Hence $\text{Ker}_{G,H}(M)$ is nilpotent in $E_G(M)/PE_G(M)$. This completes the proof. \square

By the above lemmas, we have the following :

PROPOSITION 2. 6. For $P \in \text{res}^*(V_H)$, let $(\text{res}^*)^{-1}(P) = \{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_n\}$. Then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{res}^{-1}(Q_i E_H(M)) \subset \sqrt{PE_G(M)} \text{ in } E_G(M).$$

We rewrite Theorem A as follows. Note that if K is algebraically closed, then by Theorem 2.1 there certainly exists such a cyclic shifted subgroup H as in the following theorem.

THEOREM A'. Let \mathfrak{M} be a maximal ideal of $E_G(M)$, and let $P = \text{cup}^*(\mathfrak{M}) \in V_G(M)$. Suppose that H is a subgroup or a shifted subgroup of G such as $P \in \text{res}_{G,H}^*(V_H)$. Then there is a maximal ideal \mathfrak{N} of $E_H(M)$ such that $\text{res}_{G,H}^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}) \subset \mathfrak{M}$.

PROOF. Let $(\text{res}_{G,H}^*)^{-1}(P) = \{Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_s\}$, and $(\text{cup}_{H,M}^*)^{-1}(Q_i) = \{\mathfrak{N}_{i1}, \mathfrak{N}_{i2}, \dots, \mathfrak{N}_{in_i}\}$. Then we have $(\bigcap_i \mathfrak{N}_{ij})/Q_i E_H(M) = \text{rad}(E_H(M)/Q_i E_H(M))$ in the finite dimensional K -algebra, for each i . Hence Proposition 2.6 implies

$$\bigcap_{ij} \text{res}^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}_{ij}) \subset \bigcap_i \sqrt{\text{res}^{-1}(Q_i E_H(M))} \subset \sqrt{PE_G(M)} \subset \mathfrak{M}.$$

Therefore \mathfrak{M} contains some $\text{res}^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}_{ij})$. This completes the proof. \square

3. Ideal subfunctors of Ext

The argument in this section follows Green's method [10, Appendix] for Hom functors.

Let $\text{mod } KG$ be the category of finitely generated left KG -modules, and $\text{Mod } K$ the category of vector spaces over K . Let $\text{Mmod } KG$ denote the category of K -linear contravariant functors from $\text{mod } KG$ to $\text{Mod } K$. Thus objects are those contravariant functors $F : \text{mod } KG \rightarrow \text{Mod } K$ whose

induced maps $\text{Hom}_{KG}(X, Y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_K(FY, FX)$ are K -linear. Morphisms are natural transformations. For example, $\text{Hom}_{KG}(-, M)$ and $\text{Ext}_{KG}^*(-, M)$ are objects of $\text{Mmod } KG$. $\text{Mmod } KG$ is a K -linear (i. e. morphism sets are K -vector spaces, and their composition maps are K -bilinear) abelian category. If F' is a subfunctor of F , then we write $F' \subset F$ (*subfunctors* are pointwisely defined).

Throughout this section, let M, N, X, Y be objects in $\text{mod } KG$. Ω denotes the Heller operator, namely, $\Omega(X)$ is the kernel of the projective cover of X . We define $\Omega^0(X)$ as its core, and inductively $\Omega^{n+1} = \Omega\Omega^n$. For non-negative integers i, n , consider the canonical homomorphism

$$\gamma_n^i(X) : \text{Ext}_{KG}^i(\Omega^n(X), M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_{KG}^{i+n}(X, M).$$

When $i > 0$, $\gamma_n^i(X)$ is an isomorphism which maps the class of

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow B_{i-1} \rightarrow B_{i-2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B_0 \rightarrow \Omega^n(X) \rightarrow 0$$

to the class of the Yoneda splice

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow B_{i-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow B_0 \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\cdots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ is a minimal projective resolution of X . When $i = 0$ and $n > 0$, $\gamma_n^0(X)$ is the canonical epimorphism because $\text{Ext}_{KG}^0 = \text{Hom}_{KG}$. On the other hand, $\gamma_0^0(X)$ is the canonical monomorphism induced from the splitting inclusion $\Omega^0(X) \rightarrow X$.

We have a graded $E_G(M)$ -homomorphism

$$\gamma_n(X) = \prod_i \gamma_n^i(X) : \text{Ext}_{KG}^*(\Omega^n(X), M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{KG}^*(X, M)$$

of degree n , and a natural transformation

$$\gamma_n : \text{Ext}_{KG}^*(\Omega^n(-), M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{KG}^*(-, M)$$

in $\text{Mmod } KG$.

DEFINITION 3.1. A subfunctor F of $\text{Ext}_{KG}^*(-, M)$ is called a *right ideal subfunctor* when $\gamma_n(F\Omega^n) \subset F$ for all $n \geq 0$, that is,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_{KG}^*(\Omega^n(X), M) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_n(X)} & \text{Ext}_{KG}^*(X, M) \\ \text{incl} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{incl} \\ F\Omega^n(X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_n(X)} & F(X) \end{array}$$

is a well-defined commutative diagram for all n and X . Then we write $F \leq \text{Ext}_{KG}^*(-, M)$.

DEFINITION 3. 2. Suppose $F \leq \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M)$ and $F' \leq \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, N)$. A natural transformation $\alpha: F \rightarrow F'$ is called a *right ideal morphism* when $\alpha\gamma_n = \gamma_n\alpha\Omega^n$ for all $n \geq 0$, that is,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F\Omega^n(X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_n(X)} & F(X) \\ \alpha(\Omega^n(X)) \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha(X) \\ F'\Omega^n(X) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_n(X)} & F'(X) \end{array}$$

is commutative for all n and X . We write the class of right ideal morphisms from F to F' by $[F, F']$.

Dually we can define *left ideal* subfunctors and morphisms by

$$\lambda_n: \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(M, -) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(M, \Omega^n(-)).$$

The below arguments also hold for left ideal subfunctors.

PROPOSITION 3. 3. If $F \leq \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M)$, then $F(X)\text{Ext}_{kG}^*(Y, X) \subset F(Y)$, namely,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(X, M) \times \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(Y, X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(Y, M) \\ \text{incl} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{incl} \\ F(X) \times \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(Y, X) & \longrightarrow & F(Y) \end{array}$$

is a well-defined commutative diagram, where the horizontal maps are the composition maps.

PROOF. Given $\rho \in \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(Y, X)$, choose $f \in \text{Hom}_{kG}(\Omega^n(Y), X)$ such that ρ is the class of f . Then in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(X, M) & \xrightarrow{f^\#} & \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(\Omega^n(Y), M) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_n(Y)} & \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(Y, M) \\ \text{incl} \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{incl} & & \uparrow \text{incl} \\ F(X) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(\Omega^n(Y)) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_n(Y)} & F(Y), \end{array}$$

$\gamma_n(Y)f^\#$ coincides with multiplication by ρ from the right hand. \square

Here we state an interesting lemma without proof, although this is not necessary for our later argument.

LEMMA 3. 4. The following hold.

- (1) If $F_1, F_2 \leq \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M)$ then $F_1 + F_2, F_1 \cap F_2 \leq \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M)$.
 (2) (Homomorphism theorem) Suppose that $F \leq \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M)$, $F' \leq \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, N)$ and $\alpha \in [F, F']$. Then both $\text{Ker } \alpha$ and $\text{Im } \alpha$ are right ideals, and there is a natural correspondence

$$\{S \leq \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M) \mid \text{Ker } \alpha \subset S \subset F\} \simeq \{S' \leq \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, N) \mid S' \subset \text{Im } \alpha\}.$$

- (3) (Yoneda's lemma) For $F \leq \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M)$, there is a natural K -linear isomorphism

$$[\text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, N), F] \simeq F(N).$$

If $F \leq \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M)$, then $F(X)$ is a right $E_G(X)$ -module by Proposition 3.3. Let $\mathfrak{R}(\text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M))$ be the class of right ideal subfunctors of $\text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M)$, and $\mathfrak{R}(E_G(M))$ the set of right ideals of $E_G(M)$. We define two maps $\mathfrak{R}(\text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M)) \xrightleftharpoons[\beta]{\alpha} \mathfrak{R}(E_G(M))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(F) &= F(M) \\ \beta(I)(X) &= \{\zeta \in \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(X, M) \mid \zeta \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(M, X) \subset I\}. \end{aligned}$$

Well-definedness of β follows from the fact that

$$(\gamma_n(X)(\zeta))\rho = \zeta(\lambda_n(X)(\rho)) \quad \text{in } E_G(M)$$

for $\zeta \in \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(\Omega^n(X), M)$ and $\rho \in \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(M, X)$. Note that α and β may not be bijections. The following are easily verified.

- (1) $F \subset \beta\alpha(F)$.
- (2) If $\alpha(F) = E_G(M)$, then $F = \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M)$.
- (3) If F is maximal, then $\beta\alpha(F) = F$.
- (4) If I is maximal, then $\beta(I)$ is maximal.
- (5) For a set $\{I_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ of right ideals, $\beta(\bigcap_\lambda I_\lambda) = \bigcap_\lambda \beta(I_\lambda)$.

So α and β induce a one to one correspondence between the maximal objects in $\mathfrak{R}(\text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M))$ and the maximal objects in $\mathfrak{R}(E_G(M))$. Let

$$\text{rad } \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M) = \bigcap_{\max F} F,$$

where F runs through maximal right ideal subfunctors. By (5), $\bigcap_{\max F} F$ coincides with $\beta(\text{rad } E_G(M))$, and

$$(\text{rad } \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M))(M) = \bigcap_{\max F} F(M) = \text{rad } E_G(M).$$

The same fact holds for left ideal subfunctors. In particular, since

$$(\text{rad Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M))(N) = (\text{rad Ext}_{kG}^*(N, -))(M),$$

denote this by $\text{rad Ext}_{kG}^*(N, M)$. When $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, it is easy to show that

$$\text{rad Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M) = \text{rad Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M_1) \oplus \text{rad Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M_2)$$

as in the case of radicals of modules [8, Ex 5.11]. Hence we get

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$. Then*

$$(1) \quad \text{rad Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{rad Ext}_{kG}^*(-, M_i).$$

(2) *If we regards $E_G(M)$ as the matrix ring $\{(\zeta_{ij}) \mid \zeta_{ij} \in \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(M_j, M_i)\}$ of size $n \times n$, then*

$$\text{rad } E_G(M) = \{(\zeta_{ij}) \mid \zeta_{ij} \in \text{Ext}_{kG}^*(M_i, M_j) \subset \text{rad } E_G(M_i)\}.$$

Carlson [5] showed that $\text{rad } E_G(M)$ is nilpotent. This fact implies the equality

$$\text{rad } E_G(M) = \{\zeta \in E_G(M) \mid \zeta \rho \text{ is nilpotent for all } \rho \in E_G(M)\},$$

and hence the second statement of Theorem 3.5. However we do not use his result.

It is well known that the Jacobson radical of the matrix ring over a ring can be interpreted by means of each entry. The second statement of Theorem 3.5 for $\text{End}_{kG}(M)$ is one of the central ideas in Clifford theory. It is interesting to find properties corresponding to the fact that $\text{End}_{kG}(M)$ is local for an indecomposable module M .

4. Cyclic case

We calculate radical functors in the simplest case, and give a proof of Theorem B.

Let $U = \langle u \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order p , and $V_i = KU / (\text{rad } KU)^i$ for positive integers i . Then V_1, V_2, \dots, V_{p-1} are the non-projective indecomposable KU -modules with $\dim_K V_i = i$. $V_1 = K$ is the unique simple KU -module. They are uniserial (i.e. they have unique composition series), and have a common projective cover KU . The Heller operator Ω acts by $\Omega(V_i) = V_{p-i}$. For l with $1 \leq l \leq \min(i, j)$, let $f_{ijl}: V_j \rightarrow V_i$ be the canonical homomorphism of rank l . We write simply f_l instead of f_{ijl} . Then they are K -basis of $\text{Hom}_{KU}(V_j, V_i)$, namely

$$\text{Hom}_{KU}(V_j, V_i) = \langle f_l: V_j \rightarrow V_i \mid 1 \leq l \leq \min(i, j) \rangle_K.$$

It is easy to show that $f_l: V_j \rightarrow V_i$ is projective, that is, f_l factors through

the projective cover KU , if and only if $l \leq i+j-p$. Let $\text{hom}_{KU}(V_j, V_i)$ denote the factor space of $\text{Hom}_{KU}(V_j, V_i)$ by the subspace of projective homomorphisms. Then

$$\text{hom}_{KU}(V_j, V_i) = \langle \bar{f}_l \mid i+j-p < l \leq \min(i, j) \rangle_K,$$

where we use bar convention. In particular, we have

$$\dim_K \text{hom}_{KU}(V_j, V_i) = \begin{cases} \min(i, j) & \text{if } i+j \leq p, \\ p - \max(i, j) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We regard $\text{Ext}_{KU}^n(V_j, V_i)$ as $\text{hom}_{KU}(\Omega^n(V_j), V_i)$ for positive n , so its K -vector space structure is determined.

There is an isomorphism

$$\Omega : \text{hom}_{KU}(V_j, V_i) \rightarrow \text{hom}_{KU}(\Omega(V_j), \Omega(V_i)) = \text{hom}_{KU}(V_{p-j}, V_{p-i}),$$

which maps \bar{f}_l to $\overline{\Omega(f_l)} = \bar{f}_{l+p-(i+j)}$. It is the lifting map in the sense of making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega(V_j) & \longrightarrow & KU & \longrightarrow & V_j \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \Omega(f_l) & & \downarrow f_{l+p-i} & & \downarrow f_l \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega(V_i) & \longrightarrow & KU & \longrightarrow & V_i \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

of projective covers commutative. Thus in a similar way to Proposition 3.3, it is easy to show that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ext}_{KU}^m(V_j, V_i) \times \text{Ext}_{KU}^n(V_k, V_j) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_{KU}^{m+n}(V_k, V_i) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \text{hom}_{KU}(\Omega^m(V_j), V_i) \times \text{hom}_{KU}(\Omega^n(V_k), V_j) & & \\ \downarrow 1 \times \Omega^m & & \parallel \\ \text{hom}_{KU}(\Omega^m(V_j), V_i) \times \text{hom}_{KU}(\Omega^{m+n}(V_k), \Omega^m(V_j)) & \longrightarrow & \text{hom}_{KU}(\Omega^{m+n}(V_k), V_i) \end{array}$$

of the composition maps is commutative. Thus for

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_s &\in \text{hom}_{KU}(\Omega^m(V_j), V_i) = \text{Ext}_{KU}^m(V_j, V_i), \\ \bar{f}_t &\in \text{hom}_{KU}(\Omega^n(V_k), V_j) = \text{Ext}_{KU}^n(V_k, V_j), \end{aligned}$$

the composition $\bar{f}_s \bar{f}_t$ in $\text{Ext}_{KU}^{m+n}(V_k, V_i)$ is the class of the composition

$$\Omega^{m+n}(V_k) \xrightarrow{\Omega^m(f_t)} \Omega^m(V_j) \xrightarrow{f_s} V_i,$$

where Ω^m is Ω if m is odd, and ineffective otherwise.

Since V_i is indecomposable, an endomorphism of V_i is nilpotent if and

only if it is not an isomorphism. So $\text{radEnd}_{KU}(V_i)$ has codimension 1. The same fact holds for homogeneous elements of $E_U(V_i)$. To prove this, suppose that $\bar{f} \in \text{Ext}_{KU}^n(V_i, V_i)$ for positive n . Since \bar{f}^2 is the class of $f \cdot \Omega^n(f) : V_i \rightarrow \Omega^n(V_i) \rightarrow V_i$, \bar{f} is nilpotent if and only if f is not an isomorphism.

Hence,

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \{\bar{f} \in \text{Ext}_{KU}^n(V_i, V_i) \mid f : \Omega^n(V_i) \rightarrow V_i \text{ is not an isomorphism}\}$$

is a homogeneous nil ideal, and the factor ring by this ideal is a polynomial ring generated by the image of the class σ_i of the identity map of V_i , whose degree is 1 if $p=2$, or 2 otherwise. Therefore the above ideal coincides with $\text{rad}E_U(V_i)$. Note that in the case of $i=1$, the generator σ_1 is equal to η_u or ζ_u of Section 2, and it is mapped to σ_i by $\text{cup} : E_U(K) \rightarrow E_U(V_i)$. So cup induces a K -algebra isomorphism

$$R = K[\sigma_1] \simeq E_U(V_i) / \text{rad} E_U(V_i).$$

The following is easy to show by the same arguments as above.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *For any i, j with $1 \leq i, j \leq p-1$, we have*

$$\text{radExt}_{KU}^*(V_j, V_i) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \{\bar{f} \in \text{Ext}_{KU}^n(V_j, V_i) \mid f : \Omega^n(V_j) \rightarrow V_i \text{ is not an isomorphism}\}.$$

Note that f is not an isomorphism unless $j=i$ and n is even, nor unless $j=p-i$ and n is odd.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *We have*

$$\text{Ext}_{KU}^*(V_j, V_i) / \text{radExt}_{KU}^*(V_j, V_i) \simeq \begin{cases} R & \text{if } j=i \text{ or } j=p-i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

as R -modules for $1 \leq i, j \leq p-1$.

Let V be a finitely generated KU -module, and have a decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^p V_i^{m_i}$, where m_i are their multiplicities. Then, by Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 4.2, we have

$$E_U(V) / \text{rad} E_U(V) \simeq \begin{cases} M_{m_1}(R) \oplus M_{m_2}(K) & \text{if } p=2, \\ \bigoplus_{i=1}^{(p-1)/2} M_{m_i+m_{p-i}}(R) \oplus M_{m_p}(K) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $M_m(R)$ is the matrix ring over R of size $m \times m$.

Combining these isomorphisms and Theorem A, we have the following

main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let G be a finite group, K an algebraically closed field, and M a KG -module. Suppose that \mathfrak{M} is a maximal ideal of $E_G(M)$, and $E_G(M)/\mathfrak{M} \simeq M_d(K)$ for a positive integer d . Then there is a cyclic shifted subgroup U such that*

$$d \leq \begin{cases} \max\{m_1, m_2\} & \text{if } p=2, \\ \max\{m_i + m_{p-i}, m_p \mid 1 \leq i \leq (p-1)/2\} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for the decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^p V_i^{m_i}$ as KU -modules.

If K is an arbitrary field and \bar{K} is an algebraic closure of K , then every maximal ideal of $\text{Ext}_{KG}^*(M, M)$ is contained in some maximal ideal of $\bar{K} \otimes_K \text{Ext}_{KG}^*(M, M) \simeq \text{Ext}_{\bar{K}G}^*(\bar{K} \otimes_K M, \bar{K} \otimes_K M)$. Therefore Theorem B follows straightforward from Theorem 4.3. Note that if M is a direct sum of some copies of the trivial KG -module K , then there exists a maximal ideal which satisfies the equality in the theorem.

References

- [1] J. L. ALPERIN and L. EVENS, Representation, resolutions, and Quillen's dimension theorem, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 22 (1981), 1-9.
- [2] M. F. ATIYAH and I. G. MACDONALD, Introduction to commutative algebra, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1969.
- [3] G. S. AVRUNIN and L. SCOTT, Quillen stratification for modules, Invent. Math. 66 (1982), 277-286.
- [4] D. J. BENSON, Modular representation theory: New trends and methods, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1081, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [5] J. F. CARLSON, The cohomology ring of a module, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 36 (1985), 105-121.
- [6] _____, Module varieties and cohomology rings of finite groups, University Essen, Essen, 1985.
- [7] _____, Cohomology rings of induced modules, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 44 (1987), 85-97.
- [8] C. W. CURTIS and I. REINER, Methods of representation theory, vol. I, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1981.
- [9] L. EVENS, The cohomology ring of a finite group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 101 (1961), 224-239.
- [10] J. A. GREEN, Functors on categories of finite group representations, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 37 (1985), 265-298.
- [11] N. JACOBSON, Structure of Rings, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1956.
- [12] T. NIWASAKI, On Carlson's conjecture for cohomology rings of modules, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 59 (1989), 265-277.

- [13] D. QUILLEN, A cohomological criterion for p -nilpotence, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 1 (1971), 361-372.
- [14] J. THÉVENAZ, Defect theory for maximal ideals and simple functors, 1989, preprint.

Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Ehime University,
Matsuyama 790, Japan
E-mail address: niwasaki@dpc. ehime-u.ac.jp