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#### Abstract

In this paper we establish some existence theorems for second order nonlinear systems of the form $y^{\prime \prime}=f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right), y(0)=y(1)=0$. We also give two uniqueness results.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the following homogeneous system

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right), \quad y(0)=y(1)=0, \tag{h}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is continuous.
By a solution to the above problem we mean a function $y \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
The existence of solutions to $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$ has been studied extensively in recent years (see [3] when $m=1,[9]$ when $m \geq 1$ and their references). In any case growth conditions are imposed on $f$ in order to obtain a priori estimates. Then the transversality theorem [5] is applied and the existence of a solution is established. The more general nonlinearities treated in [3] include all the nonlinearities previously studied in this setting.

The purpose of this paper is to improve and complement the results of [3] and [9]. The proofs use in a decisive manner the theory of positive operators in finite dimensions (see [4]).

We shall denote by $|x|$ the euclidean norm of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and by $\|A\|$ the spectral norm of an $m \times m$ matrix $A$. Finally, we denote by $\|y\|_{p}$ the $L^{p}$ norm of $\left.y \in L^{p}\left((0,1), \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right)$.

In Section 2 we provide some preliminary results from the theory of nonnegative matrices. The existence theorems are presented in Section 3. Finally the uniqueness question is examined in Section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries

The following results are needed in the sequel. We refer the reader to [1] for proofs. We consider the proper cone

$$
\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m} ; x_{j} \geq 0, j=1, \ldots, m\right\} .
$$

Definition 1 An $m \times m$ matrix $A$ is called $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$-monotone if

$$
A x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \Rightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} .
$$

An $m \times m$ matrix $N=\left(n_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq m}$ is nonnegative if $n_{j k} \geq 0$ for $j, k=1, \ldots, m$.

Theorem 1 ([1] p. 113). An $m \times m$ matrix $A$ is $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$-monotone if and only if it is nonsingular and $A^{-1}$ is nonnegative.

Theorem 2 ([1] p. 113). Let $A=\alpha I-N$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N$ is an $m \times m$ nonnegative matrix. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) The matrix $A$ is $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$-monotone;
(ii) $\rho(N)<\alpha$ where $\rho(N)$ denotes the spectral radius of $N$.

## 3. Existence theorems

We first establish the following theorem.
Theorem 3 Let $f \in C\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Assume that $f$ has the decomposition

$$
f(t, y, p)=g(t, y, p)+h(t, y, p)
$$

where $g=\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}\right)$ and $h=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{m}\right)$ satisfy the following hypotheses:
(H1) $y_{j} g_{j}(t, y, p) \geq 0$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$ and $(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$;
(H2) There are constants $a_{j k}, b_{j k} \geq 0$ and $c_{j} \geq 0, j, k=1, \cdots, m$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|h_{j}(t, y, p)\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(a_{j k}\left|y_{k}\right|+b_{j k}\left|p_{k}\right|\right)+c_{j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, m$ and $(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(M)<\pi^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=\left(a_{j k}+\pi b_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq m}$;
(H3) $|g(t, y, p)| \leq A(t, y) w\left(|p|^{2}\right)$ for $(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$, where $A(t, y)$ is bounded on bounded subsets of $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $w \in C([0, \infty),(0, \infty))$ is a nondecreasing function such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{w(s)}=\infty
$$

Then the Dirichlet system $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$ has a solution $y \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
Proof. We introduce the problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=\lambda f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right), \quad y(0)=y(1)=0, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \in[0,1]$ is the Leray-Schauder homotopy parameter.
We first prove that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and any solution $y$ of $(3)_{\lambda}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|_{\infty} \leq C, \quad\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C, \quad\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying the jth differential equation in (3) ${ }_{\lambda}$ by $y_{j}$, integrating from 0 to 1 and using (H1) and (1) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(y_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t & =-\lambda \int_{0}^{1} y_{j} f_{j}\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right) d t \\
& =-\lambda \int_{0}^{1} y_{j} g_{j}\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right) d t-\lambda \int_{0}^{1} y_{j} h_{j}\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right) d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{j} h_{j}\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)\right| d t \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(a_{j k} \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{j} y_{k}\right| d t+b_{j k} \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{j} y_{k}^{\prime}\right| d t\right)+c_{j} \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{j}\right| d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, m$ with $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right)$. Using the Schwarz inequality we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(a_{j k}\left\|y_{j}\right\|\left\|_{2}\right\| y_{k}\left\|_{2}+b_{j k}\right\| y_{j}\left\|_{2}\right\| y_{k}^{\prime} \|_{2}\right)+c_{j}\left\|y_{j}\right\|_{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y_{j}(0)=y_{j}(1)=0$ the Wirtinger's inequality (see [6]) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi\left\|y_{j}\right\|_{2} \leq\left\|y_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5) and (6) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{a_{j k}}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{b_{j k}}{\pi}\right)\left\|y_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+\frac{c_{j}}{\pi}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x$ and $c$ denote the vectors

$$
x=\left(\left\|y_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq m} \quad \text { and } \quad c=\left(\frac{c_{j}}{\pi}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq m}
$$

(7) can be written

$$
c-\left(I-\pi^{-2} M\right) x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}
$$

(2) and theorem 2 imply that $I-\pi^{-2} M$ is $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$-monotone. Hence using theorem 1 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I-\pi^{-2} M\right)^{-1} c-x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6) and (8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{j}\right\|_{2} \leq C_{1}, \quad\left\|y_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq C_{1}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $C_{1}$. Since $y_{j}(0)=0$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y_{j}(t)\right| & =\left|\int_{0}^{t} y_{j}^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{j}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \\
& \leq\left\|y_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq C_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, m$ and $t \in[0,1]$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|_{\infty} \leq \sqrt{m} C_{1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim that for each solution $y$ of $(3)_{\lambda}$ there exists $t_{0} \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \leq \sqrt{m} C_{1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by (9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} d t \leq m C_{1}^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence there exists $t_{0} \in[0,1]$ such that (11) is satisfied.
Using (H2), (H3) and (10) we can find a constant $A>0$ such that

$$
\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq A\left(w\left(\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right)+1+\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

and, since

$$
\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right) \leq 1+\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq A\left(w\left(\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}\right)+\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}+2\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $z(t)=\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2}, t \in[0,1]$. By (13) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|z^{\prime}(t)\right| & =2\left|y^{\prime}(t) \cdot y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq 2\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \\
& \leq 2 A\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|(w(z(t))+2+z(t)) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

for $t \in[0,1]$. (14) implies that

$$
\frac{z^{\prime}(t)}{w(z(t))+z(t)+2} \leq 2 A\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

An integration from $t_{0}$ to $t \geq t_{0}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{z\left(t_{0}\right)}^{z(t)} \frac{d s}{w(s)+s+2} & \leq 2 A \int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left|y^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \\
& \leq 2 A\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \leq 2 \sqrt{m} A C_{1} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $w \in C([0, \infty),(0, \infty))$ is nondecreasing and

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{w(s)}=\infty
$$

we easily have (see [2]) that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{w(s)+s+2}=\infty
$$

and by (11) and (15) we obtain the existence of a constant $C>0$ independent of $t_{0}$ such that

$$
z(t)=\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \leq C, \quad t_{0} \leq t \leq 1
$$

(14) also implies that

$$
\frac{-z^{\prime}(t)}{w(z(t))+z(t)+2} \leq 2 A\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

An integration from $t \leq t_{0}$ to $t_{0}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{z\left(t_{0}\right)}^{z(t)} \frac{d s}{w(s)+s+2} & \leq 2 A \int_{t}^{t_{0}}\left|y^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \\
& \leq 2 A\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2} \leq 2 \sqrt{m} A C_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As before we conclude that

$$
z(t)=\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_{0}
$$

for some constant $C$ independent of $t_{0}$. Therefore there exists a constant $C_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C_{2} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $(3)_{\lambda},(10)$ and (16) we deduce that

$$
\left|y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq C_{3}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,
$$

where

$$
C_{3}=\sup \left\{|f(t, y, p)| ; t \in[0,1],|y| \leq \sqrt{m} C_{1},|p| \leq C_{2}\right\},
$$

and (4) is proved.
Now let $C_{0}^{2}=\left\{y \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) ; y(0)=y(1)=0\right\}$. Define the linear operator

$$
L: C_{0}^{2} \rightarrow C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right), \quad L y=\frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}
$$

and the family of maps $(0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{\lambda}: C^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \rightarrow C\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right), \\
& T_{\lambda} v(t)=\lambda f\left(t, v(t), v^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$j: C_{0}^{2} \rightarrow C^{1}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ denotes the completely continuous embedding. $L$ is invertible and

$$
L^{-1} v(t)=-\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) v(s) d s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,
$$

where $G$ is the Green's function

$$
G(t, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
s(1-t) & \text { if } & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \\
t(1-s) & \text { if } & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let

$$
K=\left\{y \in C_{0}^{2} ;\|y\|_{\max }=\max \left\{\|y\|_{\infty},\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty},\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right\} \leq C+1\right\}
$$

where $C$ is the constant in (4). We can define a compact homotopy

$$
H_{\lambda}: K \rightarrow C_{0}^{2}, \quad H_{\lambda}=L^{-1} T_{\lambda} j, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1
$$

Since the fixed points of $H_{\lambda}$ are the solutions of $(3)_{\lambda}$, the choice of $C$ implies that the homotopy $H_{\lambda}$ is fixed point free on the boundary of $K$. We have $H_{0} \equiv 0$. Thus we can apply the topological transversality theorem [5] to obtain that $H_{1}$ has a fixed point. This shows that there is a solution to $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$.

Example 1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$
\pi|b|<a+\pi^{2} \quad \text { when } \quad a<0
$$

and

$$
|b|<\pi \quad \text { when } \quad a \geq 0
$$

Then the homogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
y^{\prime \prime}=y^{3} y^{2} \ln \left(1+y^{\prime 2}\right)+a y+b y^{\prime}+\sin \left(t y y^{\prime}\right) \\
y(0)=y(1)=0 \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

has a solution by theorem 3 .
We shall show that the results of [3] do not apply to problem (17).
(i) Suppose that

$$
\begin{gathered}
g(t, y, p)+h(t, y, p)=y^{3} p^{2} \ln \left(1+p^{2}\right)+a y+b p+\sin (t y p) \\
(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

with $g, h$ satisfying the assumptions of theorem 1 in [3]. There exist constants $0 \leq \alpha, \beta<1$ and $M_{0}>0$ such that the following condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(t, y, p)| \leq M_{0}\left(|y|^{\alpha}+|p|^{\beta}\right), \quad(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{C}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k$ be the function defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
k(t, y, p) & =g(t, y, p)-y^{3} p^{2} \ln \left(1+p^{2}\right) \\
& =a y+b p+\sin (t y p)-h(t, y, p)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Assume first that $b \neq 0$. Since $y g(t, y, p) \geq 0$ for $(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we deduce that $g(t, 0, p)=k(t, 0, p)=0$ for $(t, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$. Thus $h(t, 0, p)=$ $b p$ for $(t, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$ and $(\mathrm{C})$ cannot be satisfied: take $y=0$ and $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Now suppose that $a<0$. Since $y g(t, y, p) \geq 0$ for $(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we deduce that

$$
y k(t, y, 0)=\left(a-\frac{h(t, y, 0)}{y}\right) y^{2} \geq 0
$$

for $(t, y) \in[0,1] \times(\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\})$. With the help of condition (C) we obtain

$$
\lim _{|y| \rightarrow \infty}\left(a-\frac{h(t, y, 0)}{y}\right)=a<0, \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

and we reach a contradiction.
We conclude that we cannot apply theorem 1 of [3] to problem (17) when $a<0$ or $b \neq 0$.

Finally assume that there exists a constant $m \in\left(0, \pi^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ such that

$$
y g(t, y, p) \geq-m y^{2}, \quad(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

We easily deduce that $g(t, 0, p)=k(t, 0, p)=0$ and $h(t, 0, p)=b p$ for $(t, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$. Again condition (C) cannot be satisfied if $b \neq 0$. Therefore remark 1 of [3] does not apply when $b \neq 0$.
(ii) If $a<0$, we have $y f(t, y, 0)=a y^{2}<0$ for $y \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and we cannot use theorem 2 of [3].

The next example shows that theorem 3 complements some results obtained in [9] (theorem 6.1, corollaries 6.2 and 6.3).

Example 2. The homogeneous Dirichlet system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
\prime \prime
\end{aligned}= & y_{1}^{5}+y_{1}^{3}\left(\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \ln \left(1+\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+y_{1} y_{2}^{2} \\
& \quad-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\cos \left(t y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{aligned}
y_{2}^{\prime \prime}= & y_{2}^{7}+y_{2}^{5}\left(\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \ln \left(1+\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+y_{1}^{4} y_{2} \\
& \quad-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\cos t\left(y_{1}^{\prime}+y_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
& y(0)=y(1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

has a solution by theorem 3 .
Theorem 4 Let $f \in C\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Assume that $f=g+h$ with $g$ satisfying (H1). Assume moreover that the following conditions hold:
$(\mathrm{H} 2)^{\prime} \quad h$ satisfies $(\mathrm{H} 2)$ with $b_{j k}=0$ for $k \geq j+1, j=1, \ldots, m-1$ (if $m \geq 2$ );
(H4) There are functions $A_{j}\left(t, y, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{j-1}\right) \geq 0$ (for $j=1, A_{1}$ is independent of the $p$ variables) which are bounded on bounded subsets of $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{j-1}$ and satisfy

$$
\left|g_{j}(t, y, p)\right| \leq A_{j}\left(t, y, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{j-1}\right) w_{j}\left(p_{j}^{2}\right)
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, m$ and $(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$, where $w_{j} \in C([0, \infty),(0, \infty))$ are nondecreasing functions such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{w_{j}(s)}=\infty, \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

Then the Dirichlet system $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$ has a solution $y \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
Proof. As in the proof of theorem 3 we consider the family of problems $(3)_{\lambda}$ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Again we shall prove that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and any solution $y$ of $(3)_{\lambda}$ the estimates (4) hold. The same arguments lead to (9) and (10). By (1) with (H2), (H4) with $j=1$ and (10) there exists a constant $A>0$ such that

$$
\left|y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq A\left(w_{1}\left(y_{1}^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)+\left|y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|+1\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

and, since

$$
\left|y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(1+y_{1}^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right) \leq 1+y_{1}^{\prime}(t)^{2}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq A\left(w_{1}\left(y_{1}^{\prime}(t)^{2}\right)+y_{1}^{\prime}(t)^{2}+2\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $y_{1}^{\prime}$ vanishes at least once in $(0,1)$, each connected component of $\{t \in$ $\left.[0,1] ; y_{1}^{\prime}(t) \neq 0\right\}$ is included in some interval $[a, b] \subset[0,1]$ such that $\left|y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right|>$ 0 in $(a, b)$ and $y_{1}^{\prime}(a)=0$ or $y_{1}^{\prime}(b)=0$. Assume that $y_{1}^{\prime}(t)>0$ in $(a, b)$ and $y_{1}^{\prime}(a)=0$. Define $z(t)=y_{1}^{\prime}(t), t \in[a, b]$. By (18) we have

$$
z^{\prime}(t) \leq A\left(w_{1}\left(z(t)^{2}\right)+z(t)^{2}+2\right), \quad a \leq t \leq b
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left(z(t)^{2}\right)^{\prime}}{w_{1}\left(z(t)^{2}\right)+z(t)^{2}+2} \leq 2 A z(t), \quad a \leq t \leq b \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (19) from $a$ to $t \in[a, b]$ and using (9) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{z(t)^{2}} \frac{d s}{w_{1}(s)+s+2} d s & \leq 2 A \int_{a}^{t} y_{1}^{\prime}(s) d s \\
& \leq 2 A \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s \leq 2 A C_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

As in the proof of theorem 3 we obtain the existence of a constant $C>0$ independent of $a$ and $b$ such that

$$
0 \leq z(t)=y_{1}^{\prime}(t) \leq C, \quad a \leq t \leq b
$$

Since each case can be handled in the same way, we get

$$
\left|y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1
$$

for some constant $C$. Using (1) with (H2 $)^{\prime}$, (H4), (10) and an induction argument we deduce that each component $y_{j}^{\prime}$ is bounded and we obtain a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C .
$$

Then we conclude as in the proof of theorem 3.
Example 3. The homogeneous Dirichlet system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{\prime \prime}=y_{1}^{5}+y_{1}^{3}\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2} \ln \left(1+\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+y_{1}\left(y_{2}^{2}+\frac{\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{1+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\left(y_{1}+2 y_{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\cos \left(t y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{aligned}
& y_{2}^{\prime \prime}=y_{2}^{7}+y_{2}^{5}\left(\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{4}+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \ln \left(1+\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{4}+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+y_{1}^{4} y_{2} \\
&-\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\cos t\left(y_{1}^{\prime}+y_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
& y(0)=y(1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

has a solution by theorem 4. It is easily seen that the results of [9] do not apply.

Now we give a result which complements theorem 3.
Theorem 5 Let $f \in C\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Assume that $f=g+h$ satisfies (H1) and (H2). Assume moreover that the following condition holds:
(H5) $\quad|g(t, y, p)| \leq A(t, y)|p|^{2+\alpha}+B(t, y)$ for $(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$, where $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $A(t, y), B(t, y)$ are bounded on bounded subsets of $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$.
Then the Dirichlet system $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$ has a solution $y \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
Proof. Again we consider the family of problems (3) ${ }_{\lambda}$ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. We shall prove that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and any solution $y$ of (3) $\lambda_{\lambda}$ the estimates (4) hold. Arguing as in the proof of theorem 3 we are led to (10)-(12). Now using (1), (H5), (10) and (11) we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| & =\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} y^{\prime \prime}(s) d s\right|=\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)+\lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{t} f\left(s, y(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right|, \\
& \leq\left|y^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\right|+\left|\int_{t_{0}}^{t} f\left(s, y(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq C\left(1+\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2+\alpha} d s+\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right) \\
& \leq C\left\{1+\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right) \| y^{\prime}| |_{\infty}^{\alpha}+\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, where $C>0$ is a constant independent of $t_{0}$. With the help of (12) we obtain

$$
\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C\left(1+\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{\alpha}\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,
$$

for another constant $C$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\left(1+\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{\alpha}\right) . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha<1$, (20) implies that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C .
$$

Then we conclude as in the proof of theorem 3.
Example 4. Let $a, b$ be as in example 1 and let $\beta \in(0,1 / 2)$. Then the
homogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=y^{3}+y y^{\prime 2}\left(1+y^{\prime 2}\right)^{\beta}+a y+b y^{\prime}+\sin t y^{\prime}, \quad y(0)=y(1)=0,
$$

has a solution by theorem 5. Clearly, we cannot apply the results of [3].
The next theorem complements theorem 4.
Theorem 6 Let $f \in C\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Assume that $f=g+h$ satisfies (H1) and (H2)'. Assume moreover that the following condition holds:
(H6) There are functions $A_{j}\left(t, y, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{j-1}\right), B_{j}\left(t, y, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{j-1}\right) \geq$ 0 (for $j=1, A_{1}, B_{1}$ are independent of the $p$ variables) which are bounded on bounded subsets of $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{j-1}$ and satisfy

$$
\left|g_{j}(t, y, p)\right| \leq A_{j}\left(t, y, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{j-1}\right)\left|p_{j}\right|^{2+\alpha}+B_{j}\left(t, y, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{j-1}\right),
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, m$ and $(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$, where $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Then the Dirichlet system $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$ has a solution $y \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.

Proof. Consider the family of problems (3) ${ }_{\lambda}$ with $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. Let us prove that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and any solution $y$ of $(3)_{\lambda}$ the estimates (4) hold. Arguing as in the proof of theorem 3 we are led to $(9)$ and (10). Let $t_{1} \in(0,1)$ be such that $y_{1}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)=0$. Using (1) with (H2)', (H6) with $j=1$ and (10) we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right| & =\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t} y_{1}^{\prime \prime}(s) d s\right|=\left|\lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t} f_{1}\left(s, y(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|g_{1}\left(s, y(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s+\int_{0}^{1}\left|h_{1}\left(s, y(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right)\right| d s \\
& \leq C\left(1+\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2+\alpha} d s+\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right| d s\right) \\
& \leq C\left\{1+\left.\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)| | y_{1}^{\prime}\right|_{\infty} ^{\alpha}+\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{1}^{\prime}(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, where $C>0$ is a constant. With the help of (9) we obtain

$$
\left|y_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq C\left(1+\left\|y_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{\alpha}\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,
$$

for another constant $C$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C\left(1+\left\|y_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}^{\alpha}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha<1$, (21) implies that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left\|y_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C .
$$

Using (1) with (H2)', (H6), (10) and an induction argument we deduce that each component $y_{j}^{\prime}$ is bounded and we obtain a constant $C$ such that

$$
\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq C .
$$

Again we conclude as in the proof of theorem 3.
Our next theorem extends some results obtained in [9] (theorem 6.1 and corollaries 6.2 and 6.3).

Theorem 7 Let $f \in C\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Assume that $f=g+h$ with $g$ satisfying (H3). Assume also that the following conditions hold:
(H7) $\quad y . g(t, y, p) \geq 0$ for $(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$;
(H8) There are constants $A, B, C \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(t, y, p)| \leq A|y|+B|p|+C \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+\pi B<\pi^{2} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Dirichlet system $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$ has a solution $y \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
Proof. As before we shall prove that the estimates (4) hold for any $\lambda \in$ $[0,1]$ and any solution $y$ of $(3)_{\lambda}$. Taking the dot product of both sides of the differential equation in $(3)_{\lambda}$ with $y$, integrating from 0 to 1 and using (H7) and (22) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}\left|y^{\prime}\right|^{2} d t & =-\lambda \int_{0}^{1} y \cdot g\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right) d t-\lambda \int_{0}^{1} y \cdot h\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right) d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}|y|\left|h\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)\right| d t \\
& \leq A \int_{0}^{1}|y|^{2} d t+B \int_{0}^{1}|y|\left|y^{\prime}\right| d t+C \int_{0}^{1}|y| d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by virtue of the Schwarz inequality and the Wirtinger's inequality we obtain

$$
\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq\left(\frac{A}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{B}{\pi}\right)\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+\frac{C}{\pi} .
$$

Using (23) we deduce that

$$
\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq C\left(\pi-\frac{A}{\pi}-B\right)^{-1}
$$

Then we use the same arguments as in the proof of theorem 3.
Remark 1. Let $f \in C\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Assume that $f=g+h$ satisfies (H7) and (H8). Clearly, if we assume also that $g$ verifies (H5) we can establish the existence of solutions to $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$.
Example 5. Let $\omega=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2(3+\sqrt{5})}$. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\theta \in\left(-\frac{1}{2 \omega}, \frac{1}{1+\omega}\right)$. Then the homogeneous Dirichlet system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\begin{aligned}
y_{1}^{\prime \prime}= & y_{1}^{5}+y_{1}^{3}\left(\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \ln \left(1+\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)+\mu y_{1} y_{2}^{2} \\
& +\theta \pi^{2}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\sin \left(t y_{1}^{\prime} y_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
\begin{aligned}
& y_{2}^{\prime \prime}=y_{2}^{7}+y_{2}^{5}\left(\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right) \ln \left(1+\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)-\mu y_{1}^{2} y_{2} \\
&+\theta \pi^{2}\left(y_{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\sin t\left(y_{1}^{\prime}+y_{2}^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
y(0)=y(1)=0
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

has a solution by theorem 7. Indeed, define the matrices $L, N$ and the vector $r$

$$
L=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad N=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad r=\binom{\sin \left(t p_{1} p_{2}\right)}{\sin t\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)}
$$

Suppose first that $\theta<0$. Then we take $h(t, y, p)=\theta \pi^{2} L y+\theta \pi L p+r$ and we have

$$
|h(t, y, p)| \leq|\theta| \pi| | L| |(\pi|y|+|p|)+\sqrt{2}
$$

with

$$
\|L\|=\sqrt{\rho\left(L L^{*}\right)}=\sqrt{\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}}=\omega
$$

Now, if $\theta \geq 0$ we take $h(t, y, p)=\theta \pi^{2} N y+\theta \pi L p+r$ and we have

$$
|h(t, y, p)| \leq \theta \pi(\pi\|N\||y|+\|L\||p|)+\sqrt{2}
$$

with

$$
\|N\|=\sqrt{\rho\left(N N^{*}\right)}=1
$$

The result follows.
Since $\mu \neq 0$, theorem 3 does not apply.
If $\mu=0$ and $\theta \in\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, then the above problem has a solution by theorem 3.

## 4. Uniqueness results

When $m=1$ and $f(t, y, p)$ is strictly increasing in $y$ for each fixed $(t, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}$, then uniqueness holds for the solution of $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$ (see [7]).

When $m \geq 1$ some results are given in [8]. In the particular case where $f$ is independent of $p \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$, uniqueness for the solution of $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$ holds under a simple monotonicity condition (see [8]).

We give below two uniqueness results.
Theorem 8 Let $f \in C\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Assume that $f$ has the decomposition

$$
f_{j}(t, y, p)=g_{j}\left(t, y_{j}\right)+h_{j}(t, y, p), \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

where $g$ and $h$ satisfy the following conditions:
(H9) For $j=1, \ldots, m$ and $t \in[0,1], s \rightarrow g_{j}(t, s)$ is nondecreasing;
(H10) There are constants $a_{j k}, b_{j k} \geq 0, j, k=1, \ldots, m$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|h_{j}(t, y, p)-h_{j}(t, z, q)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{j k}\left|y_{k}-z_{k}\right|+b_{j k}\left|p_{k}-q_{k}\right|, \quad j=1, \ldots, m, \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

for $(t, y, p),(t, z, q) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$, with $M=\left(a_{j k}+\pi b_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq m}$ satisfying (2).
Then the Dirichlet system $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$ has at most one solution $y \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.
Proof. Let $y$ and $z \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ be two solutions of $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$. For $j=$ $1, \ldots, m$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{j}^{\prime \prime}-z_{j}^{\prime \prime}=g_{j}\left(t, y_{j}\right)-g_{j}\left(t, z_{j}\right)+h_{j}\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)-h_{j}\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (25) by $y_{j}-z_{j}$, integrating from 0 to 1 and using (H9) and (24)
we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}\left(y_{j}^{\prime}-z_{j}^{\prime}\right)^{2} d t= & -\int_{0}^{1}\left(y_{j}-z_{j}\right)\left(g_{j}\left(t, y_{j}\right)-g_{j}\left(t, z_{j}\right)\right) d t \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{1}\left(y_{j}-z_{j}\right)\left(h_{j}\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)-h_{j}\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right)\right) d t \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{j}-z_{j}\right|\left|h_{j}\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)-h_{j}\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right)\right| d t \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(a_{j k} \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{j}-z_{j}\right|\left|y_{k}-z_{k}\right| d t\right. \\
& \left.\quad+b_{j k} \int_{0}^{1}\left|y_{j}-z_{j}\right|\left|y_{k}^{\prime}-z_{k}^{\prime}\right| d t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, m$. Now, arguing as in the first part of the proof of theorem 3 we get

$$
\left\|y_{j}^{\prime}-z_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{a_{j k}}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{b_{j k}}{\pi}\right)\left\|y_{k}^{\prime}-z_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

By (2) we can use successively theorem 2 and theorem 1 and we deduce that

$$
\left\|y_{j}^{\prime}-z_{j}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=0, \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

which easily implies that $y_{j}=z_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, m$.
Example 6. (i) Let $a, b$ be as in example 1. Then $y \equiv 0$ is the unique solution in $C^{2}[0,1]$ of the homogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=t(1+y)^{3}+a y+b y^{\prime}-t, \quad y(0)=y(1)=0
$$

If $a<0$, we cannot apply the uniqueness results of [7].
(ii) Let $\theta \in\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$. The homogeneous Dirichlet system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{1}^{\prime \prime}=y_{1}^{3}+\theta \pi^{2}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\sin t \\
& y_{2}^{\prime \prime}=y_{2}^{5}+\theta \pi^{2}\left(y_{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} y_{2}^{\prime}\right)+\cos t \\
& y(0)=y(1)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

has a unique solution $y \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ by theorems 3 and 8 . When $\theta \in$ $\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right]$ the uniqueness results given in [8] do not apply.

Theorem 9 Let $f \in C\left([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. Assume that $f$ has the decomposition

$$
f(t, y, p)=g(t, y, p)+h(t, y, p)
$$

with $g$ satisfying (H7). Assume also that the following conditions hold:
(H11) $(y-z) \cdot(g(t, y, p)-g(t, z, p)) \geq 0$ for $(t, y, p),(t, z, p) \in[0,1] \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} ;$
(H12) There are constants $A, B \geq 0$ such that

$$
|h(t, y, p)-h(t, z, q)| \leq A|y-z|+B|p-q|
$$

for $(t, y, p),(t, z, q) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$, with $A+\pi B<\pi^{2}$;
(H13) $\quad p \rightarrow g(t, y, p)$ is continuously differentiable and

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}(t, y, p)\right\| \leq R(t, y), \quad(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D=\sup \{R(t, y): t \in[0,1],|y| \\
& \left.\leq C\left(\pi-\frac{A}{\pi}-B\right)^{-1}\right\}<\pi-\frac{A}{\pi}-B
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C=\sup _{t \in[0,1]}|h(t, 0,0)|$.
Then the homogeneous Dirichlet system $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$ has at most one solution $y \in$ $C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$.

Proof. We first note that (H12) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
|h(t, y, p)| & \leq|h(t, y, p)-h(t, 0,0)|+|h(t, 0,0)| \\
& \leq A|y|+B|p|+C \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

for $(t, y, p) \in[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Since $A+\pi B<\pi^{2}$, using (H7) and (26) we can argue as in the proof of theorem 7 to get

$$
\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq C\left(\pi-\frac{A}{\pi}-B\right)^{-1}
$$

for any solution $y \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ of $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$. Since $y(0)=0$, we can write

$$
|y(t)|=\left|\int_{0}^{t} y^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \leq\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{2}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|_{\infty} \leq C\left(\pi-\frac{A}{\pi}-B\right)^{-1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any solution $y \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ of $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$.
Now let $y, z \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ be two solutions of $\left(\mathcal{D}_{h}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}-z^{\prime \prime}=g\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)-g\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right)+h\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)-h\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the dot product of both sides of (28) with $y-z$, integrating from 0 to 1 and using (H11), (H12), (26), the Schwarz inequality and the Wirtinger's inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}= & -\int_{0}^{1}(y-z) \cdot\left(g\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)-g\left(t, z, y^{\prime}\right)\right) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{1}(y-z) \cdot\left(g\left(t, z, y^{\prime}\right)-g\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right)\right) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{1}(y-z) \cdot\left(h\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right)-h\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right)\right) d t \\
\leq- & \int_{0}^{1}(y-z) \cdot\left(g\left(t, z, y^{\prime}\right)-g\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right)\right) d t \\
& +A \int_{0}^{1}|y-z|^{2} d t+B \int_{0}^{1}\left|y-z \| y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right| d t \\
\leq & \left(\frac{A}{\pi^{2}}+\frac{B}{\pi}\right)\left\|y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}-\int_{0}^{1}(y-z) \cdot\left(g\left(t, z, y^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-g\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right)\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\frac{A}{\pi^{2}}-\frac{B}{\pi}\right)\left\|y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{1}\left|y-z \| g\left(t, z, y^{\prime}\right)-g\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right)\right| d t \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by (H13) and (27) we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|g\left(t, z, y^{\prime}\right)-g\left(t, z, z^{\prime}\right)\right| & =\left|\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p}\left(t, z, s y^{\prime}+(1-s) z^{\prime}\right)\left(y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq\left|y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right| \int_{0}^{1}\left\|\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}\left(t, z, s y^{\prime}+(1-s) z^{\prime}\right)\right\| d s \\
& \leq\left|y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right| R(t, z) \leq D\left|y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right| \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

From (29) and (30), using always the same arguments we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-\frac{A}{\pi^{2}}-\frac{B}{\pi}\right)\left\|y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2} & \leq D \int_{0}^{1}\left|y-z \| y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right| d t \\
& \leq D\|y-z\|_{2}\left\|y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq \frac{D}{\pi}\left\|y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (H13) we deduce that $\left\|y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}\right\|_{2}=0$, which easily implies that $y=z$.

Example 7. (i) Let $a, b$ be as in example 1 and let $\mu$ be such that

$$
0<\mu<\left(\pi-\frac{|a|}{\pi}-|b|\right)^{4}, \quad \text { when } \quad a<0
$$

and

$$
0<\mu<(\pi-|b|)^{4}, \quad \text { when } \quad a \geq 0
$$

Then the homogeneous Dirichlet problem

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=y^{5}+t \mu y^{3}\left(1+y^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}+a y+b y^{\prime}+\sin t, \quad y(0)=y(1)=0
$$

has a unique solution $y \in C^{2}[0,1]$ by theorems 3 (or 7 ) and 9 . If $a<0$, we cannot apply the uniqueness results of [7].
(ii) Let $P=\left(a_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2}, Q=\left(b_{j k}\right)_{1 \leq j, k \leq 2}$ and $\mu_{j}, j=1,2$ be such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|P\|+\pi\|Q\|<\pi^{2} \\
& 0<\mu_{1}<\sqrt{\sigma}\left(\pi-\frac{\|P\|}{\pi}-\|Q\|\right)^{4} \text { and } \\
& \quad 0<\mu_{2}<\sqrt{1-\sigma}\left(\pi-\frac{\|P\|}{\pi}-\|Q\|\right)^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma \in(0,1)$. Then the homogeneous Dirichlet system

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{1}^{\prime \prime}=y_{1}^{5} & +\mu_{1} t y_{1}^{3}\left(1+\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad+a_{11} y_{1}+a_{12} y_{2}+b_{11} y_{1}^{\prime}+b_{12} y_{2}^{\prime}+\sin t \\
y_{2}^{\prime \prime}=y_{2}^{7} & +\mu_{2} t y_{2}^{5}\left(1+\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +a_{21} y_{1}+a_{22} y_{2}+b_{21} y_{1}^{\prime}+b_{22} y_{2}^{\prime}+\cos t \\
y(0)=y & (1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

has a unique solution $y \in C^{2}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ by theorems 7 and 9 . Indeed, taking

$$
g(t, y, p)=\binom{y_{1}^{5}+\mu_{1} t y_{1}^{3}\left(1+|p|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{y_{2}^{7}+\mu_{2} t y_{2}^{5}\left(1+|p|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}
$$

it is easily seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}(t, y, p)\right\| & =\sqrt{\rho\left(\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}\right)\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}\right)^{*}\right)} \\
& =\frac{t|p|}{\left(1+|p|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\left(\mu_{1}^{2} y_{1}^{6}+\mu_{2}^{2} y_{2}^{10}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left(\mu_{1}^{2}|y|^{6}+\mu_{2}^{2}|y|^{10}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

thus condition (H13) is satisfied. If we choose $a_{11} \leq 0$ or $a_{22} \leq 0$, the uniqueness results given in [8] do not apply.
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