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#### Abstract

In the present paper we study certain generalizations of the class $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ of functions starlike with respect to $N$-symmetric points. We obtain a structural formula for functions in $\mathcal{S S} \mathcal{P}_{N}$, and deduce a sharp lower bound for $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|$ when $N$ is even (this case completes the distortion theorem for $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ ). Improved estimates for Koebe constants are also given. Further, it is proved that for any $N \geq 2$ the class $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ contains non-starlike functions. Finally, we characterize the class $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ in terms of Hadamard convolution.
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## 1. Introduction and main results

Denote by $\mathcal{A}$ the class of all functions $f$, analytic in the unit disc $\Delta$ and normalized by $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)-1=0$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the class of functions in $\mathcal{A}$ that are univalent in $\Delta$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be starlike with respect to symmetric points [8] if for any $r$ close to $1, r<1$, and any $z_{0}$ on the circle $|z|=r$, the angular velocity of $f(z)$ about the point $f\left(-z_{0}\right)$ is positive at $z_{0}$ as $z$ traverses the circle $|z|=r$ in the positive direction, i.e.,

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f\left(-z_{0}\right)}\right)>0, \quad \text { for } z=z_{0}, \quad|z|=r
$$

Denote by $\mathcal{S S P}$ the class of all functions in $\mathcal{S}$ which are starlike with respect to symmetric points and, functions $f$ in this class is characterized by

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)-f(-z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in \Delta
$$

We also have the following generalization of the class $\mathcal{S S P}$ introduced by K. Sakaguchi $[8]$. For $f(z)=z+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in \mathcal{A}$, set

$$
\mathcal{S S P}_{N}=\left\{f \in S: \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f_{N}(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in \Delta\right\}
$$

where

$$
f_{N}(z)=z+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{m N+1} z^{m N+1}
$$

The elements of the class $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ are said to be starlike with respect to $N$-symmetric points.

Set $\varepsilon:=\exp (2 \pi i / N)$. For $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we consider its weighted mean defined by

$$
M_{f, N}(z)=\frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \varepsilon^{-j}} \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \varepsilon^{-j} f\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)
$$

It can be easily seen that

$$
\frac{f(z)-M_{f, N}(z)}{N}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \varepsilon^{-j} f\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)=f_{N}(z)
$$

The geometric characterization of this class is that the class $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ is the collection of functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ such that for any $r$ close to $1, r<1$, the angular velocity of $f(z)$ about the point $M_{f, N}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is positive at $z=z_{0}$ as $z$ traverses the circle $|z|=r$ in the positive direction.

The case $N=1$ gives a well-known subclass $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ of univalent functions in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $f(\Delta)$ is a starlike domain with respect to the origin, i.e., $t \omega \in f(\Delta)$ whenever $w \in f(\Delta)$ and $t \in[0,1]$. For $N=2$ we get back to the class $\mathcal{S S P}$.

A closely related class to $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ is defined as follows. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{C}_{N}$ if there exists a function $g$ in $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{i \tau} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{N}(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in \Delta, \quad \text { for some } \quad|\tau|<\pi / 2
$$

We remark that replacing $g_{N}(z)$ by $g(z)$ results in a different class, which was studied in [7]. The elements of the class $\mathcal{C}_{N}$ are called close-toconvex functions with respect to $N$-symmetric points. The case $N=1$ gives the usual class $\mathcal{C}$ of all functions in $\mathcal{A}$ that are univalent and close-to-convex in $\Delta$.

If we substitute $\varepsilon^{j} z$ for $z$ in the above analytic characterization for the
class $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$, then we see that $f \in \mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ implies that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f_{N}^{\prime}(z)}{f_{N}(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in \Delta
$$

and, therefore, $f_{N}(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$. Thus, every function in $\mathcal{S S}_{N}$ is close-toconvex in the unit disc. In [8], the Maclaurin coefficients of $f \in \mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ for $N=2$ are shown to be bounded by 1 . In general case, the coefficient estimates for $f \in \mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ are obtained in [10].

In [10], P. Singh and R. Chand defined some generalizations of the class $\mathcal{S S} \mathcal{P}_{N}$ and found two-sided estimates for $|f(z)|$ on it. In particular, they have proved the following

Theorem 1.1 ([10, Theorem 2.3]) If $f \in \mathcal{S S P}_{N}$, then

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{1-t}{(1+t)\left(1+t^{N}\right)^{2 / N}} d t \leq|f(z)| \leq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1+t}{(1-t)\left(1-t^{N}\right)^{2 / N}} d t, \\
|z|=r<1 . \tag{1.2}
\end{array}
$$

While the upper estimate in (1.2) appears to be sharp, the lower one is sharp only if $N$ is odd. Similar comments apply for their estimates of $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|$. Therefore, our main aim is to obtain sharp estimates of the modulus both for $f(z) \in \mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ and its derivative $f^{\prime}(z)$. Now, we state the distortion theorems for the class $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$.

Theorem 1.3 Let $f \in \mathcal{S S} \mathcal{P}_{N}$.
(1) If $N \geq 1$ is odd, then we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1-r}{(1+r)\left(1+r^{N}\right)^{2 / N}} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1+r}{(1-r)\left(1-r^{N}\right)^{2 / N}} \\
0 \leq|z|=r<1 . \tag{1.4}
\end{array}
$$

(2) For any even $N \geq 2$ the upper estimate in (1.4) holds, while the lower one should be replaced either by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1-r}{(1+r)\left(1-r^{N}\right)^{2 / N}}, \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq r \leq r_{N} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \geq \frac{1-r^{2}}{\left(1+2 r \cos \theta_{N}+r^{2}\right)\left(1-2 r^{N} \cos N \theta_{N}+r^{2 N}\right)^{1 / N}}, \\
\text { for } r_{N}<r<1 \tag{1.6}
\end{array}
$$

Here $r_{N}$ is a unique root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+r^{2 N}-N r^{N-1}\left(1+r^{2}\right)-(N+1) r^{N}=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the interval $0<r<1$, and $\theta_{N}$ is a unique root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \theta\left(1+r^{2 N}\right)-r^{N-1}\left(1+r^{2}\right) \sin N \theta-r^{N} \sin (N+1) \theta=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the interval $0<\theta<\pi / N$, provided that $r>r_{N}$. All the above estimates are sharp.

According to a result of Privalov [3, Vol. I, p. 67], we can integrate the estimates for $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|$ to derive the following

Corollary 1.9 If $f \in \mathcal{S S P}_{N}, N$ being odd, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{r} \frac{1-t}{(1+t)\left(1+t^{N}\right)^{2 / N}} d t \leq|f(z)| \leq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1+t}{(1-t)\left(1-t^{N}\right)^{2 / N}} d t \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $|z|=r<1$. If $N$ is even, then the upper estimate holds, whereas the lower estimate is given either by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(z)| \geq \int_{0}^{r} \frac{1-t}{(1+t)\left(1-t^{N}\right)^{2 / N}} d t, \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq r \leq r_{N} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

or by

$$
\begin{align*}
&|f(z)| \geq \int_{0}^{r_{N}} \frac{1-t}{(1+t)\left(1-t^{N}\right)^{2 / N}} d t \\
&+\int_{r_{N}}^{r} \frac{1-t^{2}}{\left(1+2 t \cos \theta_{N}+t^{2}\right)\left(1-2 t^{N} \cos N \theta_{N}+t^{2 N}\right)^{1 / N}} d t \\
& \quad \text { for } r_{N} \leq r<1 \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

The estimates in (1.10) and (1.11) are sharp.
Since $\mathcal{S S} \mathcal{P}_{1}=\mathcal{S}^{*}$, it is therefore interesting to know whether there exists an inclusion result between the classes $\mathcal{S S} \mathcal{P}_{N}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ for $N \geq 2$. It is easy to construct examples of functions in $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}(N \geq 2)$ but not in $\mathcal{S}^{*}$.

Theorem 1.13 If $N \geq 2$, then the inclusion $\mathcal{S S P}_{N} \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}$ does not hold.
In a particular case, for $N=2$, Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.9 are obtained in [6] while Theorem 1.13 is proved in [5].

## 2. Structural Formulae for $\mathcal{S S P}_{\boldsymbol{N}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\boldsymbol{N}}$

To prove Theorem 1.3 we need the following structural formula for functions in $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$, and this theorem is obtained by the second author in his Ph.D thesis work [7, Chapter V]. Since the following lemmas are unavailable elsewhere, we recall its proofs from there.
Lemma 2.1 A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\int_{0}^{z} p(t) \exp \{q(t)\} d t, \quad q(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{N \eta}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p\left(\varepsilon^{j} \eta\right)-N\right) d \eta \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon=e^{2 \pi i / N}$, and $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Here $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the class of all analytic functions in $\Delta$ with $p(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>0$ for $z \in \Delta$.

Proof. We first prove the necessity of (2.2). Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{S S P}_{N}$. Then by the definition it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f_{N}(z)}=p(z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Writing (2.3) as $f_{N}(z)=z f^{\prime}(z) / p(z)$ and then differentiating it, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N}^{\prime}(z)=-\frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p^{2}(z)} z f^{\prime}(z)+\frac{z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+f^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $z$ by $\varepsilon^{j} z$ in (2.3), we get

$$
f^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)=\frac{f_{N}\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)}{z} p\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)} p\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)
$$

and therefore

$$
f_{N}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} f^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)=\frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)\right)
$$

Comparing this equation with (2.4) we find that

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{p^{\prime}(z)}{p(z)}+\frac{1}{N z}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)-N\right) .
$$

Integrating this equation and then exponentiating both sides of the resulting equation we obtain the desired integral representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=p(z) \exp \{q(z)\}, \quad q(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{N \eta}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p\left(\varepsilon^{j} \eta\right)-N\right) d \eta . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The structural formula (2.2) easily follows from (2.5).
Next we prove the sufficiency. Suppose that (2.2) holds for some $p \in \mathcal{P}$. Then the function $f$ defined by (2.2) is obviously in $\mathcal{A}$. Differentiation of (2.2) gives the representation (2.5), so $f^{\prime}(z)$ is nonzero in $\Delta$. From (2.2) and the fact that $\varepsilon^{N}=1$, it can be easily seen by change of variables that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{N}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p\left(\varepsilon^{j} \zeta\right) q(\zeta) d \zeta, \\
& q(\zeta)=\exp \left\{\int_{0}^{\zeta} \frac{1}{N \eta}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p\left(\varepsilon^{j} \eta\right)-N\right) d \eta\right\} . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

The following identity can be verified by differentiation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \exp \{q(z)\}=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p\left(\varepsilon^{j} \zeta\right) q(\zeta) d \zeta \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (2.5) and (2.7), the formula (2.6) is equivalent to $f_{N}(z)=$ $z f^{\prime}(z) / p(z)$, thus proving the sufficiency of (2.2).

The case $N=1$ of Lemma 2.1 gives a well-known representation for functions in $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ while the case $N=2$ yields the structural formula obtained by Stankiewicz [9].

Next we prove the following structural formula for functions in $\mathcal{C}_{N}$.
Lemma 2.8 A function $f$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{C}_{N}$ with respect to $g \in$ $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ if and only if there exist two functions $p_{1}, p_{2}$ in $\mathcal{P}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(z)=\int_{0}^{z}\left[\cos \tau p_{1}(t)+i \sin \tau\right] \exp \{q(t)\} d t \quad \text { and } \\
& g(z)=\int_{0}^{z} p_{2}(t) \exp \{q(t)\} d t \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
q(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{N \eta}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_{2}\left(\varepsilon^{j} \eta\right)-N\right) d \eta, \quad \varepsilon=e^{2 \pi i / N} .
$$

Proof. If $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{N}$ with respect to $g \in \mathcal{S S} \mathcal{P}_{N}$, then by definition it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i \tau} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{N}(z)}=\cos \tau p_{1}(z)+i \sin \tau \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z g^{\prime}(z)}{g_{N}(z)}=p_{2}(z) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{1}, p_{2} \in \mathcal{P}$. From (2.10) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N}(z)=e^{i \tau} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{\cos \tau p_{1}(z)+i \sin \tau} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i \tau} \frac{f^{\prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{\cos \tau p_{1}(z)+i \sin \tau}{p_{2}(z)} . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, the equation (2.11) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{p_{2}(z)}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_{2}\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.12), we find that

$$
g_{N}^{\prime}(z)=-\frac{e^{i \tau} \cos \tau p_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{\left(\cos \tau p_{1}(z)+i \sin \tau\right)^{2}} z f^{\prime}(z)+\frac{e^{i \tau}\left(z f^{\prime \prime}(z)+f^{\prime}(z)\right)}{\cos \tau p_{1}(z)+i \sin \tau} .
$$

By (2.13), we have from (2.14)

$$
g_{N}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{e^{i \tau} f^{\prime}(z)}{\cos \tau p_{1}(z)+i \sin \tau}\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_{2}\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)\right) .
$$

Comparing the last two equations, we deduce that

$$
\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{\cos \tau p_{1}^{\prime}(z)}{\cos \tau p_{1}(z)+i \sin \tau}+\frac{1}{N z}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} p_{2}\left(\varepsilon^{j} z\right)-N\right) .
$$

Repeated integration yields the desired integral representation.
Sufficiency part of this theorem can be proved on the same lines as those of Lemma 2.1. So we omit its proof.

## 3. Convolution theorems

For $-1 \leq B<A \leq 1$, we define

$$
\mathcal{P}(A, B)=\left\{p \in \mathcal{A}: p\left(0=1 \text { and } p(z) \prec \frac{1+A z}{1+B z}, z \in \Delta\right\}\right.
$$

where $\prec$ denotes the usual subordination [3, Vol. I, p. 85]. Note that $\mathcal{P}(1,-1)=\mathcal{P}$. Using this, we define

$$
\mathcal{S S P}_{N}(A, B)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}: \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f_{N}(z)} \in \mathcal{P}(A, B)\right\}
$$

$\mathcal{S S P}_{N}(1-2 \beta,-1)=\mathcal{S S P}_{N}(\beta)$, and $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}(0)=\mathcal{S S P}{ }_{N}$. Further, set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{C}_{N}(A, B)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{S}: \frac{1}{\cos \tau}\left(e^{i \tau} \frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{N}(z)}-i \sin \tau\right) \in \mathcal{P}(A, B)\right. \\
& \text { for some } g \in \mathcal{S S \mathcal { P } _ { N } \}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, one can define a more general class than $\mathcal{C}_{N}(A, B)$ by allowing $g$ to belong to $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ with $-1 \leq B^{\prime}<A^{\prime} \leq 1$. In such cases we say that $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}_{N}\left(A, B, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$, but we shall avoid the use of too many parameters. In any case, the above two definitions generalize several well-known subclasses of $\mathcal{S S P}$ studied, for instance, in $[9,10,11]$. It would be interesting to note that the proof of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.8 immediately yields the following structural formula for functions in $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}(A, B)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{N}\left(A, B, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ respectively.

Theorem 3.1 $A$ function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in $\operatorname{SSP}_{N}(A, B)$ if and only if there exists a $p \in \mathcal{P}(A, B)$ such that (2.2) holds.

Theorem 3.2 $A$ function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{C}_{N}\left(A, B, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to $g \in \mathcal{S S P}_{N}\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if there exist two functions $p_{1} \in$ $\mathcal{P}(A, B)$ and $p_{2} \in \mathcal{P}\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ such that (2.9) holds.

Next, we give the following simple characterization of functions in $\operatorname{SSP}_{N}(A, B)$ in terms of Hadamard product/convolution (see [3, Vol. II,
p. 122]).

Theorem 3.3 A function $f$ is in $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}(A, B)$ if and only if,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{z}\left[f(z) * \frac{z+\left\{A x+(1+B x) A_{N-1}(z)\right\}(B-A)^{-1} x^{-1} z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2} A_{N}(z)}\right] \neq 0, \\
z \in \Delta, \quad|x|=1,
\end{array}
$$

where $A_{N}(z)=\left(1-z^{N}\right) /(1-z)$.
Proof. A function $f$ is in $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}(A, B)$ if and only if

$$
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f_{N}(z)} \neq \frac{1+A x}{1+B x} \quad \text { for all } z \in \Delta \quad \text { and } \quad|x|=1
$$

which, because of the normalization of $f$, is equivalent to the condition that

$$
\frac{1}{z}\left[(1+B x) z f^{\prime}(z)-f_{N}(z)(1+A x)\right] \neq 0, \quad z \in \Delta .
$$

Since

$$
z f^{\prime}(z)=f(z) * \frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{N}(z)=f(z) * \frac{z}{1-z^{N}}
$$

the last relation reduces to the desired convolution condition.
In particular, for $N-1=A=-B=1$, the last theorem gives a convolution characterization for univalent functions starlike with respect to symmetric points:

$$
f \in \mathcal{S S P} \Longleftrightarrow \frac{1}{z}\left[f(z) * \frac{z-x^{-1} z^{2}}{(1-z)^{2}(1+z)}\right] \neq 0, \quad z \in \Delta, \quad|x|=1
$$

Finally, it is natural to ask whether the results related to $\mathcal{S S P}_{1}(A, B)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{1}\left(A, B, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)$ can be generalized to these classes for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we conclude our paper with the following

Problem 3.4 State and prove the counterparts of Theorems 1.3, 1.13 and Corollary 1.9 for the above general classes.

## 4. Proofs of Main Results

### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Consider the following extremal problem: find the minimum of $\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|$, where $z \in \Delta$ is fixed and $f \in \mathcal{S S} \mathcal{P}_{N}$. It is easy to show that the class $\mathcal{S S}_{N}$
is compact (cf., e.g., [4, Theorem 4.1]), so the problem has a solution, say, $f_{0} \in \mathcal{S S} \mathcal{P}_{N}$. Choosing a suitable $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{i \gamma}}{f_{0}^{\prime}(z)}\right)=\frac{1}{\left|f_{0}^{\prime}(z)\right|} \geq \frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|} \geq \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{i \gamma}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right), \quad f \in \mathcal{S S} \mathcal{P}_{N} .
$$

Thus, $f_{0} \in \mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ also gives the maximum to the functional $\operatorname{Re}\left[e^{i \gamma} / f^{\prime}(z)\right]$ on $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$. We apply a variational method of Goluzin [2, p.504-506].

To this end, we first use the Herglotz integral formula to deduce from Lemma 2.1 the following representations

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i t}+z}{e^{i t}-z} d \mu(t), \quad q(z)=-\frac{2}{N} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \log \left(1-e^{-i N t} z^{N}\right) d \mu(t), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mu(t)$ being a probability measure on $[-\pi, \pi)$.
Now, by applying the Goluzin variation of the first type, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{i \gamma}}{f_{*}^{\prime}(z)}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Re} \frac{e^{i \gamma}}{f^{\prime}(z)}-2 i \lambda \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac { e ^ { i \gamma } } { f ^ { \prime } ( z ) } \left[\frac{e^{i t} z}{p(z)\left(e^{i t}-z\right)^{2}}\right.\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\frac{z^{N}}{e^{i N t}-z^{N}}\right]\right\}|\mu(t)-c| d t+O\left(\lambda^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $-1 \leq \lambda \leq 1,-\pi \leq t_{1}<t_{2}<\pi$, and $c$ is a constant. Consequently, if $f(z)$ is an extremal function and the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{e^{i \gamma}}{f^{\prime}(z)}\left[\frac{e^{i t} z}{p(z)\left(e^{i t}-z\right)^{2}}+\frac{z^{N}}{e^{i N t}-z^{N}}\right]\right\}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no root on the interval $t_{1}<t<t_{2}$, then $\mu(t) \equiv c$ for $t_{1}<t<t_{2}$. Since (4.3) can be reduced to an algebraic equation of $2(N+1)$-th degree with respect to $e^{i t}$, it follows that $\mu(t)$ is a step function with at most $2(N+1)$ jumps.

Moreover, after considering the Goluzin variation of the second type, we conclude that there is a root of (4.3) between any two of the jumps of $\mu(t)$. Hence, the required extremum is attained when $\mu(t)$ is a convex combination of at most $N+1$ point masses on $[-\pi, \pi)$.

We observe that, for any $f \in \mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$, the rotation $e^{-i \varphi} f\left(e^{i \varphi} z\right)$ is also in $\mathcal{S S} \mathcal{P}_{N}$, and, therefore, it suffices to study the case when $z=r \in$
$(0,1)$. Assuming that $f$ is an extremal function, we can write that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(r)=\prod_{j=1}^{N+1} \frac{1}{\left(1-e^{-i N t_{j}} r^{N}\right)^{\mu_{j}}} \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \mu_{j} \frac{e^{i t_{j}}+r}{e^{i t_{j}}-r} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-\pi \leq t_{j}<\pi, \mu_{j} \geq 0,1 \leq j \leq N+1, \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \mu_{j}=1$. Evidently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(r)\right| \geq \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} a_{j}^{\mu_{j}} \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \mu_{j} b_{j}=: G(\mu, a, b) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
a_{j}=\left|\frac{1}{1-e^{-i N t_{j}} r^{N}}\right|, \quad b_{j}=\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{i t_{j}}+r}{e^{i t_{j}}-r}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N+1
$$

Supposing that all $a_{j} \neq a_{N+1}, 1 \leq j \leq N$, we can deduce that

$$
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \mu_{j}^{2}}(\log G)<0
$$

Therefore, if all the variables except for $\mu_{j}$ are fixed, then $G(\mu, a, b)$ as a function of $\mu_{j}$ attains its minimum on the interval $0 \leq \mu_{j} \leq 1$ at either of the endpoints. Thus,

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(r)\right| \geq \min _{t} \frac{1-r^{2}}{\left(1-2 r \cos t+r^{2}\right)\left(1-2 r^{N} \cos N t+r^{2 N}\right)^{1 / N}}
$$

and we have to maximize the function

$$
g(t)=\left(1-2 r \cos t+r^{2}\right)^{N}\left(1-2 r^{N} \cos N t+r^{2 N}\right)
$$

over the interval $-\pi \leq t \leq \pi$. Since $g(t)$ is even, assume that $0 \leq t \leq \pi$. Note that the second factor of $g(t)$ is $2 \pi / N$-periodic, increasing on $[\pi-$ $2 \pi / N, \pi-\pi / N]$ and decreasing on $[\pi-\pi / N, \pi]$, whereas the first factor increases everywhere on $[0, \pi]$. Consequently, the global maximum for $g(t)$ on the latter interval is attained somewhere on the interval $[\pi-\pi / N, \pi]$.

Logarithmic differentiation of $g$ yields

$$
\frac{g^{\prime}(t)}{g(t)}=\frac{2 N r h(t) \sin t}{\left(1-2 r \cos t+r^{2}\right)\left(1-2 r^{N} \cos N t+r^{2 N}\right)}
$$

where

$$
h(t)=1+r^{2 N}-2 r^{N} \frac{\sin (N+1) t}{\sin t}+r^{N-1}\left(1+r^{2}\right) \frac{\sin N t}{\sin t}
$$

In view of a well-known estimate $|\sin N t| \leq N|\sin t|$, we have

$$
h(t) \geq 1+r^{2 N}-2(N+1) r^{N}-N r^{N-1}\left(1+r^{2}\right) .
$$

Clearly, the right-hand side polynomial of the last inequality has a unique root $r_{N}$ on the interval $(0,1)$. Therefore, if $r \leq r_{N}$, then $h(t) \geq 0$, and the maximum of $g(t)$ is attained at $t=\pi$ so that

$$
g(t) \leq g(\pi)=\left(1-r^{N}\right)^{2}(1+r)^{2 N}
$$

Otherwise, let $r_{N}<r<1$. If we put $t=\pi-\theta$, where $0<\theta<\pi / N$, then we have

$$
h(t)=1+r^{2 N}-2 r^{N} \frac{\sin (N+1) \theta}{\sin \theta}-r^{N-1}\left(1+r^{2}\right) \frac{\sin N \theta}{\sin \theta}=: \kappa(\theta) .
$$

Next, we show that both functions $\mu_{N}(\theta)=\sin N \theta / \sin \theta$ and $\mu_{N+1}(\theta)$ decrease on the interval $(0, \pi / N)$. It is easy to verify when $N=1,2$. If $N \geq 3$, then we get

$$
\lambda_{N}(\theta)=\mu_{N}^{\prime}(\theta) \sin ^{2} \theta=N \cos N \theta \sin \theta-\sin N \theta \cos \theta,
$$

so that

$$
\lambda_{N}^{\prime}(\theta)=-\left(N^{2}-1\right) \sin N \theta \sin \theta .
$$

Clearly, $\lambda_{N}^{\prime}(\theta)<0$ for $0<\theta<\pi / N$ and $\lambda_{N}^{\prime}(\theta)>0$ for $\pi / N<\theta<\pi /(N-$ 1) $\leq 2 \pi / N$. Since $\lambda_{N}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{N}\left(\frac{\pi}{N-1}\right)=-(N+1) \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{N-1}\right) \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{N-1}\right) \leq 0 \\
\text { for } N \geq 3
\end{array}
$$

we find that $\lambda_{N}(\theta) \leq 0$, and $\mu_{N}(\theta)$ decreases on $[0, \pi /(N-1)]$. Hence, there is a unique $\theta_{N}=\theta_{N}(r)$ such that $0<\theta_{N}<\pi / N$ and $\kappa\left(\theta_{N}\right)=0$, which corresponds to the maximum of $g(t)$.

In order to prove the sharpness of the estimates (1.5) and (1.6), we construct an appropriate example of a function from $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}, N$ being even. Set

$$
\mu(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\delta_{\alpha}(t)+\delta_{-\alpha}(t)\right],
$$

where $\delta_{\alpha}(t)$ is the point mass at $t=\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq \pi$. Then from Lemma 2.1
and the relation (4.2) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N, \alpha}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1-z^{2}}{\left(1+2 z \cos \alpha+z^{2}\right)\left(1-2 z^{N} \cos N \alpha+z^{2 N}\right)^{1 / N}} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $0 \leq r \leq r_{N}$, then the estimate (1.4) is attained by this function for $\alpha=0, z=r$, otherwise we take $\alpha=\theta_{N}, z=r$.

Here it is easy to give an example proving the sharpness of all estimates in (1.10)-(1.11), while for (1.12) this is not the case.

Example 4.7 Integration of (4.6) yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{N, \alpha}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{1-t^{2}}{\left(1+2 t \cos \alpha+t^{2}\right)\left(1-2 t^{N} \cos N \alpha+t^{2 N}\right)^{1 / N}} d t, \\
0<\alpha<\frac{\pi}{N},
\end{gathered}
$$

and by selecting a suitable $\alpha$ we can obtain the upper bounds for Koebe constants of $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}, N$ being even.

We present the following tables, the first of them containing the precise values of the Koebe constants, denoted by $k\left(\mathcal{S S}_{N}\right)$, in the case of odd $N$. The second table gives the lower $k_{N}^{-}$and the upper $k_{N}^{+}$estimates of the constants together with the values of $\alpha$ for which the latter are attained. We also present the lower estimates $k_{N, 0}^{-}$obtained in [10].

| $N$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k\left(\mathcal{S S P}_{N}\right)$ | 0.25 | 0.3700 | 0.3817 | 0.3844 | 0.3853 | 0.3857 | 0.3860 | 0.3861 |


| $N$ | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{N}^{-}$ | 0.4038 | 0.3876 | 0.3863 | 0.3862 | 0.3862 | 0.3862 | 0.3862 | 0.3862 |
| $k_{N}^{+}$ | 0.4142 | 0.3935 | 0.3893 | 0.3879 | 0.3872 | 0.3869 | 0.3867 | 0.3866 |
| $\alpha$ | 0.7856 | 0.3363 | 0.1860 | 0.1148 | 0.0760 | 0.0515 | 0.0362 | 0.0260 |
| $k_{N, 0}^{-}$ | 0.3466 | 0.3782 | 0.3834 | 0.3849 | 0.3856 | 0.3859 | 0.3860 | 0.3861 |

Let $\mathcal{R}(\beta)$ be the class of functions from $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\operatorname{Re} f^{\prime}(z)>\beta, z \in$ $\Delta$. From the above tables one may conjecture that the constant $k\left(\mathcal{S S P}_{N}\right)$ tends to $k(\mathcal{R}(0))=2 \log 2-1=0.38629 \ldots$ (see [1]). Note that for a fixed $f, f_{N}$ converges to $z$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This observation shows that the condition
for $f$ to be in $\mathcal{R}(0)$ is the limiting case of that for $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.
We can also use the representation (2.5) to investigate relations between the class $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ and some other well-known subclasses of univalent functions. Choose the measure in (2.5) to be equal to

$$
\mu(t)=\frac{1+a}{2} \delta_{0}(t)+\frac{1-a}{2} \delta_{-\pi}(t)
$$

with $-1<a<1$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N, a}(z)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{\left(1+2 a t+t^{2}\right) d t}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)\left(1-t^{N}\right)^{(1+a) / N}\left(1-(-1)^{N} t^{N}\right)^{(1-a) / N}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$g_{N, a}(z)$ being in $\mathcal{S S} \mathcal{P}_{N}$. It is a well-known fact that $\mathcal{R}(0) \subset \mathcal{C}$. As observed in the introduction (see also [8]), for each $N \geq 1, \mathcal{S S} \mathcal{P}_{N}$ is also contained in $\mathcal{C}$. Assuming, without loss of generality, that $N$ is even, we get from (4.8) that $g_{N, a}^{\prime}(z) \approx(1-z)^{-1-2 / N}$ as $z \rightarrow 1$, therefore, the real part of $g_{N, a}^{\prime}(z)$ cannot be bounded from below in $\Delta$. This observation shows that for no real $\beta$ the class $\mathcal{S S P}_{N}$ is contained in $\mathcal{R}(\beta)$.

### 4.9. Proof of Theorem 1.13

It is easy to verify that $g_{N, a}(z)$ is a Schwarz-Christoffel integral mapping $\Delta$ onto a polygonal domain. For even $N$, here the angle at $f(-1)$ equals $-2 \pi / N$, since this vertex is at the infinity. Assume that $0<\alpha<\pi / N$. Since the function $g_{N, a}(z)$ is univalent in $\Delta$ and is real-valued on the real axis, the image of the $\operatorname{arc} z=e^{i \phi}, 0<\phi<\alpha$, is a ray beginning at $g_{N, a}\left(e^{i \alpha}\right)$ and forming an angle $\pi / N$ with the real axis. The image domain $g_{N, a}(\Delta)$ has the interior angle $2 \pi$ at $g_{N, a}\left(e^{i \alpha}\right)$, so it can be starlike with respect to the origin only if $\arg g_{N, a}\left(e^{i \alpha}\right)=\pi / N$. However, if we set $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, then the left-hand side is infinitesimal, so the identity is not possible here and for even $N$ the inclusion $\mathcal{S S P}_{N} \subset \mathcal{S}^{*}$ fails.

Assume now that $N \geq 3$ is an odd integer. We consider the mapping given by the function (4.8) where $\alpha$ is determined as above but lies between $[N / 2] \frac{\pi}{N}$ and $([N / 2]+1) \frac{\pi}{N},[x]$ standing for the entire part of $x$. Moreover, suppose that $\alpha=\pi / 2+\gamma$ with $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. Again, $g_{N, a}(z)$ maps the unit disc onto a polygonal domain, the angle at the vertex $g_{N, a}\left(e^{i \alpha}\right)$ being equal to $2 \pi$. Therefore, the image domain has a slit starting at $g_{N, a}\left(e^{i \alpha}\right)$, and the function (4.8) is not starlike provided that the angle $\sigma_{N}$ between the slit and the positive real axis is not equal to $\arg g_{N, a}\left(e^{i \alpha}\right)$.

For odd $N$ we deduce from (4.8) that the point $z=1$ is mapped into
infinity, the corresponding ray forming the angle $-(1+a) \pi / N$ with the real axis. The points $\pi / N, 3 \pi / N, 5 \pi / N, \ldots$ (called points of the first kind) are mapped into vertices whose interior angles equal $\pi(1-(1-a) / N)$, whereas the points $2 \pi / N, 4 \pi / N, \ldots$ (points of the second kind) go into vertices with interior angles $\pi(1-(1+a) / N)$.

If $N=4 m+1, m \in \mathbb{N}$, then there are $m$ points of the first and the second kind lying between $t=0$ and $t=\alpha=\pi / 2+\gamma$, provided that $\gamma$ is small enough. Then we have $\sigma_{N}=\pi / 2+\pi a /(2 N)=\pi / 2+\pi \gamma /(2 N)+o(\gamma)$, $\gamma \rightarrow 0$.

On the other hand, by a substitution $t=e^{i \alpha} \rho$, we get from (4.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \arg g_{N, a}\left(e^{i \alpha}\right)=\frac{\pi+2 \gamma}{2} \\
& \quad+\arg \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-\rho)\left(1+\rho e^{2 i \gamma}\right)}{\left(1+\rho^{2} e^{2 i \gamma}\right)\left(1+\rho^{2 N} e^{2 i N \gamma}\right)^{1 / N}}\left(\frac{1+i \rho^{N} e^{N i \gamma}}{1-i \rho^{N} e^{i N \gamma}}\right)^{\frac{\sin \gamma}{N}} d \rho \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ the following asymptotic formula can be derived from (4.10).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arg g_{N, a}\left(e^{i \alpha}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}+\gamma\left(1-2 \frac{I_{1}}{I_{2}}\right)+o(\gamma) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} g_{N}(\rho) h_{N}(\rho) d \rho, \quad I_{2}=\int_{0}^{1} g_{N}(\rho) d \rho, \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the integrands being defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{N}(\rho)=\frac{1-\rho^{2}}{\left(1+\rho^{2}\right)\left(1+\rho^{2 N}\right)^{1 / N}}, \quad h_{N}(\rho)=h_{N, 1}(\rho)+h_{N, 2}(\rho) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
h_{N, 1}(\rho)=\frac{\rho^{2}(1-\rho)}{(1+\rho)\left(1+\rho^{2}\right)}, \quad \text { and } \quad h_{N, 2}(\rho)=\frac{\rho^{2 N}}{1+\rho^{2 N}}-\frac{1}{N} \arctan \rho^{N} .
$$

In view of the mean value theorem from the integral calculus, it suffices to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{N}(\rho) \leq h_{N}(1)=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\pi}{4 N}, \quad \text { on } 0 \leq \rho \leq 1 . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, for $\rho$ close to 0 the bound is not attained, therefore, the ratio of the integrals in (4.11) is strictly less that $h_{N}(1)$. Hence, the coefficients at the first order terms in the asymptotic expansions for $\sigma_{N}$ and $\arg g_{N, a}\left(e^{i \alpha}\right)$ do not coincide.

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{N, 1}^{\prime}(\rho)=-\frac{2 \rho\left(\rho^{3}+\rho^{2}+\rho-1\right)}{(1+\rho)^{2}\left(1+\rho^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is clear that $h_{N, 1}(\rho)$ attains its maximum value on $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$ at $\rho_{0}=$ $0.5437 \ldots$, and $h_{N, 1}\left(\rho_{0}\right)=\delta_{0}=0.0674 \ldots$ Thus, if $\rho^{2 N} /\left(1+\rho^{2 N}\right) \leq 0.5-$ $\delta_{0}-\pi / 20=\varepsilon_{0}=0.2755 \ldots$, or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{N} \leq \eta_{0}=\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{1-\varepsilon_{0}}}=0.6167 \ldots \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (4.14) clearly holds. On the other hand, if $\rho^{N}>\eta_{0}$, then we have the following estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{N, 2}^{\prime}(\rho)=\frac{\rho^{N-1}\left(2 N \rho^{N}-1-\rho^{2 N}\right)}{\left(1+\rho^{2 N}\right)^{2}} \geq \frac{1}{2} \eta_{0}\left(N \eta_{0}-1\right) \geq 0.6424 \ldots, \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $h_{N, 1}^{\prime}(\rho) \geq-0.25$ for all $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$. Therefore, $h_{N}^{\prime}(\rho) \geq 0$, and the inequality (4.14) is verified.

The case $N=4 m+3$ is studied in a similar way, so, the proof is complete.
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