

## On a Lemma of Peetre

Ken-ichi MIYAZAKI

(Received February 20, 1962)

By  $H^s$ ,  $-\infty < s < \infty$ , we shall understand the space of temperate distributions  $f$  defined on Euclidean  $n$ -space  $R^n$  such that the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  is a function satisfying

$$\|f\|_s^2 = \int |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^s d\xi < \infty.$$

Let  $\mathcal{L}^s$  be the space of distributions  $f$  such that  $f\phi \in H^s$  for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ , and  $\mathcal{K}^s$  be the space of distributions composed of elements of  $H^s$  with compact support [4]. A sequence of functions  $\psi_j \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , is called *uniform partition* of a function  $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$  when the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\sum_j \psi_j(x) = \psi(x)$  for any  $x \in R^n$ .
- (ii)  $\{\psi_j\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- (iii) For any compact set  $A \subset R^n$ , at most  $n_A$  of the supports of  $\psi_j$  can meet  $A$ , where  $n_A$  is a positive integer depending on the diameter of  $A$ .
- (iv) The diameters of the supports of  $\psi_j$  are uniformly bounded. If, in addition,  $\psi = 1$  and  $\psi_j \geq 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , we shall say that  $\{\psi_j\}$  is a *uniform partition of the unity*.

In connection with the estimates of differential inequalities J. Peetre has established the following lemma with slightly weaker definition of uniform partition ([2], Lemma 1, p. 65).

LEMMA. *Let  $s \geq 0$ . If  $\{\psi_j\}$  is a uniform partition of  $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$ , there exists a constant  $C_{s, \{\psi_j\}}$  such that*

$$\sum_j \|\psi_j f\|_s^2 \leq C_{s, \{\psi_j\}} \|f\|_s^2$$

for any  $f \in H^s$ .

*Conversely, if  $f$  is a distribution of  $\mathcal{L}^s$  such that there exists a uniform partition  $\{\phi_j\}$  of the unity with  $\sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_s^2 < \infty$ , then  $f \in H^s$ .*

He carried out the proof by making use of the norm  $\|f\|_s^{*2} = \int |f_a - f|^2 / |a|^{n+2s} da$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , and of induction with respect to  $s$ . But he says nothing about the case  $s < 0$ . His method of the proof seems not to be available in this case. The main purpose of this paper is to show the following lemma which may be regarded as a generalization of his lemma.

LEMMA A. *Let  $s$  be any real number.*

( $\alpha$ ) If  $\{\psi_j\}$  is a uniform partition of  $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$ , there exists a constant  $C_{s, \{\psi_j\}}$  such that we have

$$(1) \quad \sum_j \|\psi_j f\|_s^2 \leq C_{s, \{\psi_j\}} \|f\|_s^2 \quad \text{for any } f \in H^s.$$

( $\beta$ ) If  $\{\phi_j\}$  is a uniform partition of the unity, there exist two positive constants  $C_{s, \{\phi_j\}}, C'_{s, \{\phi_j\}}$  such that we have

$$(2) \quad C_{s, \{\phi_j\}} \|f\|_s^2 \leq \sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_s^2 \leq C'_{s, \{\phi_j\}} \|f\|_s^2$$

for any  $f \in H^s$ . Therefore  $f \rightarrow \|f\|_s$  and  $f \rightarrow (\sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  are equivalent norms in  $H^s$ .

( $\gamma$ ) Conversely, if  $f$  is a distribution of  $\mathcal{L}^s$  such that there exists a uniform partition  $\{\phi_j\}$  of the unity with  $\sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_s^2 < \infty$ , we have  $f \in H^s$ .

The lemma may be effectively applied to the estimates of differential inequalities in the uniformly hypoelliptic case contemplated in Peetre's work ([2], pp. 65–69).

The definitions and the notations of L. Schwartz [3] with respect to the spaces of functions or distributions will be used without further reference.

1. Let  $\rho$  be a fixed indefinitely differentiable function defined on  $R^n$  with support in the unit ball  $B_1$  such that  $\rho \geq 0$  and  $\int \rho(x) dx = 1$ . We put  $\rho_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ .

In  $H^s$ ,  $s < 0$ , there have been considered by L. Hörmander [1] the following two norms  $\|\cdot\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}$  and  $\|\!\| \cdot \|\!\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}$ , each equivalent to the original norm  $\|\cdot\|_s$  of  $H^s$ :

$$(3) \quad \|f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2 = \int (|\xi|^2 + \varepsilon_0^{-2})^s |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

$$(4) \quad \|\!\| f \|\!\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2 = -s \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \|f * \rho_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \varepsilon^{-2s-1} d\varepsilon.$$

In these expressions  $\varepsilon_0$  denotes any positive number. He proved that there are positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  depending only on  $s$  such that  $C_1 \|f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0} \leq \|f\|_s \leq C_2 \|f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}$  for any  $f \in H^s$ .

For our later use we need the Friedrichs' lemma established by Hörmander ([1], Lemma 5.2) but in somewhat precise form:

LEMMA 1. Let  $a \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $s < 0$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_s$  depending on  $s$  such that

$$(5) \quad \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \|a(f * \rho_\varepsilon) - (af) * \rho_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \varepsilon^{-2s-1} d\varepsilon \\ \leq C_s \|f\|_{s-1, \varepsilon_0}^2 \left( \int |\hat{a}(\xi)| (1 + |\xi|)^{-s+2} d\xi \right)^2, \quad f \in H^{s-1}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_0 \leq 1.$$

When  $s$  is bounded,  $C_s$  is also bounded.

This is immediately verified by estimating the constants  $C_4$  and  $C_5$  considered in his proof of the lemma.

From this lemma we have

COROLLARY. *If  $\{\psi_j\}$  is a uniform partition of  $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$ , then there exists a constant  $C$  depending on  $\{\psi_j\}$  and  $s$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \|\psi_j(f*\rho_\varepsilon) - (\psi_j f)*\rho_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \varepsilon^{-2s-1} d\varepsilon \\ \leq C \|f\|_{s-1, \varepsilon_0}^2, \quad f \in H^{s-1}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon_0 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let  $a_j$  be any vector which lies in the support of  $\psi_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . By the definition of uniform partition of  $\psi$  the set  $\{\tau_{a_j} \psi_j\}$  forms a bounded subset of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Hence the set  $\{\widehat{\tau_{a_j} \psi_j}\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{S}$ , so that there exists a constant  $C'$  depending only on the set  $\{\widehat{\tau_{a_j} \psi_j}\}$  such that  $(1 + |\xi|)^{-s+n+3} |\widehat{\tau_{a_j} \psi_j}| < C', j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Consequently we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\psi_j(\xi)| (1 + |\xi|)^{-s+2} d\xi \\ = \int |\widehat{\tau_{a_j} \psi_j}(\xi)| (1 + |\xi|)^{-s+2} d\xi \leq C' \int (1 + |\xi|)^{-n-1} d\xi < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The preceding lemma together with these inequalities will complete the proof of the corollary.

2. This section is devoted to the proof of Lemma A. Let  $\{\phi_j\}$  (resp.  $\{\psi_j\}$ ) be a uniform partition of the unity (resp. of any element  $\psi \in \mathcal{B}$ ).

We shall begin with the proof for the case  $s < 0$ . Since the set  $\{\text{supp. } \phi_j + B_1\}$  (resp.  $\{\text{supp. } \psi_j + B_1\}$ ),  $B_1$  being the closed unit ball with center 0 in  $R^n$ , is bounded in diameter, there exists, by definition, a positive integer  $l$  such that at most  $l$  of  $\psi_k$  (resp.  $\phi_k$ ) cannot vanish identically on  $\text{supp. } \phi_j + B_1$  (resp.  $\text{supp. } \psi_j + B_1$ ) for any given  $j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $f$  be any element of  $H^s$ . Let  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad \|\|\psi_j f\|\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2 &= -s \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \|(\psi_j f)*\rho_\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 \varepsilon^{-2s-1} d\varepsilon \\ &\leq -2s \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \|(\psi_j f)*\rho_\varepsilon - \psi_j(f*\rho_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 \varepsilon^{-2s-1} d\varepsilon - \\ &\quad - 2s \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \|\psi_j(f*\rho_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 \varepsilon^{-2s-1} d\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We write  $\sum' \phi_k$  to denote the sum of  $\phi_k$  whose support intersects  $\text{supp. } \psi_j + B_1$ . Noting that the number of such  $\phi_k$  is at most  $l$ , and that  $(\psi_j f)*\rho_\varepsilon = (\psi_j(\sum' \phi_k) f)*\rho_\varepsilon$  and  $\psi_j(f*\rho_\varepsilon) = \psi_j((\sum' \phi_k f)*\rho_\varepsilon)$ , we get by the Corollary to Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned}
& -2s \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \|(\psi_j f)*\rho_\varepsilon - \psi_j(f*\rho_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 \varepsilon^{-2s-1} d\varepsilon \\
(7) \quad & \leq -2sC \|\sum' \phi_k f\|_{s-1, \varepsilon_0}^2 \\
& \leq 2(-s+1) C \varepsilon_0^2 l \sum' \|\phi_k f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending on  $\{\psi_j\}$  and  $s$  but not on  $\varepsilon_0$ .

Combining (6) and (7) and summing up with respect to  $j$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(8) \quad \sum_j \|\psi_j f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2 & \leq 2(-s+1) C \varepsilon_0^2 l^2 \sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2 - \\
& - \sum_j 2s \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \|\psi_j(f*\rho_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 \varepsilon^{-2s-1} d\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting  $M = \sup \sum_j |\psi_j(x)|^2$ , we have

$$- \sum_j 2s \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \|\psi_j(f*\rho_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 \varepsilon^{-2s-1} d\varepsilon \leq 2M \|f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2,$$

which together with (8) yields

$$(9) \quad \sum_j \|\psi_j f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2 \leq 2(-s+1) C \varepsilon_0^2 l^2 \sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2 + 2M \|f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2.$$

Now suppose that  $\sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2 < \infty$ . Substituting  $\psi_j$  by  $\phi_j$  in (9) (with  $C'$ ,  $M'$  in place of  $C$ ,  $M$ ) and taking  $\varepsilon_0$  so small that  $2(-s+1) C' \varepsilon_0^2 l^2$  and  $2(-s+1) C \varepsilon_0^2 l^2 < \frac{1}{2}$ , we get

$$(10) \quad \sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2 \leq 4M' \|f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2,$$

which together with (9) yields

$$(11) \quad \sum_j \|\psi_j f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2 \leq 2(M+M') \|f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2.$$

We shall show that (10) and (11) hold for any  $f \in H^s$ . To this end we consider a sequence of multipliers  $\alpha_i$  such that  $\alpha_i f \rightarrow f$  in  $H^s$ . The inequalities (10) and (11) hold for  $\alpha_i f$  since  $\sum_j \|\psi_j \alpha_i f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2$  is finite. Hence passing to the limit as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that (10) and (11) hold for any  $f \in H^s$ . On account of the equivalence of two norms  $\|\cdot\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_s$ , we see that the inequality (1) and the second part of the inequalities (2) hold for any  $f \in H^s$ ,  $s < 0$ .

As for the first part of the inequalities (2) we start with the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
(12) \quad 2 \sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2 & \geq 2s \sum_j \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \|(\phi_j f)*\rho_\varepsilon - \phi_j(f*\rho_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 \varepsilon^{-2s-1} d\varepsilon - \\
& - s \sum_j \int_0^{\varepsilon_0} \|\phi_j(f*\rho_\varepsilon)\|_{L^2}^2 \varepsilon^{-2s-1} d\varepsilon = -J_1 + J_2.
\end{aligned}$$

As before we can take  $\varepsilon_0$  so small that  $J_1 < \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2$ . Setting  $m = \inf$

$\sum_j |\phi_j(x)|^2$ , we see from (12) that

$$(13) \quad \sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2 \geq \frac{2}{5} m \|f\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}^2,$$

which proves the first part of the inequalities (2) since the two norms  $\|\cdot\|_s$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{s, \varepsilon_0}$  are equivalent.

The general case will be proved by using induction on  $s$ . We assume that the inequalities (1) and (2) hold for  $s < s_0$ . It then follows that for any  $f \in H^{s+1}$ ,  $s < s_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j \|\psi_j f\|_{s+1}^2 &= \sum_j \|\psi_j f\|_s^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_j \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\psi_j f) \right\|_s^2 \\ &\leq \sum_j \|\psi_j f\|_s^2 + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_j \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \psi_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right\|_s^2 + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_j \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial \psi_j}{\partial x_i} f \right\|_s^2 \\ &\leq C(\|f\|_s^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right\|_s^2) \\ &= C\|f\|_{s+1}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending on  $\{\psi_j\}$  and  $s$ .

Noting that  $\sum_j \left\| \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x_i} f \right\|_s^2 \leq C' \|f\|_s^2 \leq C'' \sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_s^2 \leq C'' \sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_{s+1}^2$ , where  $C'$ ,  $C''$  are constants depending on  $\{\phi_j\}$  and  $s$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{s+1}^2 &= \|f\|_s^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right\|_s^2 \\ &\leq C_1 (\sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_s^2 + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_j \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \phi_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \right\|_s^2) \\ &\leq C_1 (\sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_s^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_j \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\phi_j f) \right\|_s^2 + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \sum_j \sum_{i=1}^n \left\| \frac{\partial \phi_j}{\partial x_i} f \right\|_s^2) \\ &\leq C_2 \sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_{s+1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1, C_2$  are constants depending on  $\{\phi_j\}$  and  $s$ .

Thus we have shown that the inequalities (1) and (2) hold for any  $s$ .

Now we turn to the proof of the last part of our lemma. Let  $f$  be any element of  $\mathcal{L}^s$  such that  $\sum_j \|\phi_j f\|_s^2 < \infty$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}$  be a function such that  $\alpha$  is 1 near the origin. If we put  $\alpha_k(x) = \alpha\left(\frac{x}{k}\right)$ ,  $\{\alpha_k\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{B}$  and forms a sequence of multipliers. To complete the proof, since  $H^s$  is complete it suffices to show that  $\{\alpha_k f\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H^s$ . We have by (2)

$$\|\alpha_k f - \alpha_{k'} f\|_s^2 \leq C_{s, \{\phi_j\}} \sum_j \|\phi_j(\alpha_k - \alpha_{k'}) f\|_s^2.$$

If  $k, k'$  are taken so large that  $\phi_j(\alpha_k - \alpha_{k'}) = 0$  for  $j=1, 2, \dots, N$ , then, noting that since  $\{\alpha_k\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{B}$  there exists a constant  $M$  such that  $\|\phi_j(\alpha_k - \alpha_{k'}) f\|_s^2 \leq M \|\phi_j f\|_s^2$  for any  $j, k$  and  $k'$ , we have

$$\|\alpha_k f - \alpha_{k'} f\|_s^2 \leq C_{s, \{\phi_j\}} M \sum_{j \geq N} \|\phi_j f\|_s^2,$$

whence it is clear that  $\{\alpha_k f\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, which completes the proof.

3. In this section we shall concern ourselves with an application of Lemma A to the estimate of differential inequalities.

To write our differential operators, let  $D_j = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Then if  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$  is any  $n$ -tuple of non-negative integers and  $\xi$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ , we shall write  $p! = p_1! p_2! \dots p_n!$ ,  $|p| = p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n$ ,  $\xi^p = \xi_1^{p_1} \xi_2^{p_2} \dots \xi_n^{p_n}$  and  $D^p = D_1^{p_1} D_2^{p_2} \dots D_n^{p_n}$ .

Let  $P(x, D) = \sum_{|p| \leq m} a_p(x) D^p$  be a differential operator of order  $m$  with coefficients  $a_p \in \mathcal{B}$ . When  $x$  is fixed,  $P(x, D)$ , which we shall write  $P_x(D)$ , is a differential operator with constant coefficients. Let  $M(D)$  be a hypoelliptic differential operator of order  $m$  with constant coefficients, i.e. in any domain any distribution solution  $T$  of  $M(D) T = 0$  is indefinitely differentiable. We denote by  $M(\xi)$  the polynomial in  $\xi$  obtained by substituting  $\xi$  for  $D$  in  $M(D)$ .  $M^{(p)}(D)$  stands for a differential operator corresponding to the polynomial  $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1}\right)^{p_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2}\right)^{p_2} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n}\right)^{p_n} M(\xi)$ .  $P^{(p)}(x, D)$  and  $P_x^{(p)}(D)$  will have obvious meanings.

The symbol  $C$  with various subscripts is used to denote a constant, not necessarily the same at each occurrence, which depends only on the variables displayed.

In the sequel we shall assume that  $P(x, D)$  is uniformly of type  $(M)$ , that is,  $M(D)$  satisfies the condition:

$$(14) \quad \frac{1}{C} \leq \frac{1 + |P(x, \xi)|^2}{1 + |M(\xi)|^2} \leq C,$$

where  $C$  is a constant. Then  $P(x, D)$  is expressed as  $\sum_{j=1}^N \beta_j(x) M_j(D)$ ,  $\beta_j \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

where  $M_j(D)$  are chosen among  $\{P_x(D)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ .

Our aim of the present section is to show the following proposition, a special case of which is found in Peetre ([2], p. 69).

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $P(x, D)$  be uniformly of type  $(M)$ . If  $f \in H^t$  and  $Pf \in H^s$ , then  $Mf \in H^s$  and we have*

$$(*) \quad \|Mf\|_s \leq C_s \|Pf\|_s + C_{s,t} \|f\|_t.$$

Before proving the proposition, we shall state some lemmas for our later use.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $f \in H^t$ . If any of  $M_j f$ ,  $Mf$ ,  $P_x f$  lies in  $H^s$ , so do the others and we have the estimates:*

$$(15) \quad \|M_j f\|_s \leq \sqrt{2C} \|Mf\|_s + C_{s,t} \|f\|_t.$$

$$(16) \quad \|Mf\|_s \leq \sqrt{2C} \|P_x f\|_s + C_{s,t} \|f\|_t.$$

$$(17) \quad \|M^{(p)} f\|_s, \|M_j^{(p)} f\|_s \leq \varepsilon \|Mf\|_s + C_{s,t,\varepsilon} \|f\|_t, \varepsilon > 0, |p| > 0.$$

$$(18) \quad \|M_j f\|_t \leq \varepsilon \|Mf\|_s + C_{s,t,\varepsilon} \|f\|_t, t < s, \varepsilon > 0.$$

**PROOF.** Since  $M$  is hypoelliptic, it follows that  $M(\xi) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence from (14) we get

$$(1 + |\xi|^2)^s |M_j(\xi)|^2 \leq 2C(1 + |\xi|^2)^s |M(\xi)|^2 + C_{s,t}^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^t.$$

Consequently, if  $Mf \in H^s$ , then  $\|M_j f\|_s < \infty$  and we have the inequality (15). The other cases may be proved similarly, so the proof is omitted.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $f \in H^s \cap H^t$ . For any  $\phi \in \mathcal{B}$  we have*

$$\|\phi f\|_s \leq (\sup |\phi(x)| + \varepsilon) \|f\|_s + C_{s,t,\varepsilon} \|f\|_t, \varepsilon > 0.$$

**PROOF.** The estimate has been essentially established by Peetre ([2], p. 19) for the case  $s \geq 0$ , to which the general case may be reduced by considering a function  $f_1 \in H^{s+2k}$  with  $\left(1 - \frac{\Delta}{4\pi^2}\right)^k f_1 = f$ , where  $k$  is a positive integer such that  $2k + s \geq 0$ . The proof is not supplied here since it is only a matter of calculations often used in Peetre [2].

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $B_{x_0}^r$  be a ball with center  $x_0$  and radius  $r$ , then for any  $f \in H^s_{B_{x_0}^r} \cap H^t$  we have*

$$(19) \quad \|(\beta_j(x) - \beta_j(x_0))f\|_s \leq rC' \|f\|_s + C_{s,t,r} \|f\|_t$$

where  $C' = 2 \sup_j \sup_x |\text{grad. } \beta_j| + 1$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\psi_r$  be a fixed function of  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $0 \leq \psi_r(x) \leq 1$ ,  $\psi_r(x) = 1$

for  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $\psi_r(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 2$ . Setting  $\psi_{r, x_0}(x) = \psi_r\left(\frac{x-x_0}{r}\right)$ , we have  $(\beta_j(x) - \beta_j(x_0))f(x) = (\beta_j(x) - \beta_j(x_0))\psi_{r, x_0}(x)f(x)$ . Now we can use Lemma 3 to establish (19). The details are omitted.

**THE PROOF OF THE PROPOSITION.** (a) First we shall show that the proposition is valid if the inequality (\*) holds for any function of  $\mathcal{D}_{L^2}$  and for any  $s, t$ . Suppose that  $f \in H^t$  and  $Pf \in H^s$ .  $Mf$  lies in an  $H^{s'}$ . Put  $\sigma = \min(s-1, s')$ . Let  $\{\rho_\varepsilon\}$  be a sequence of regularizations considered in Section 1. Since  $f^*\rho_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}$ , we have by hypothesis

$$(20) \quad \|M(f^*\rho_\varepsilon)\|_{\sigma+1} \leq C_{\sigma+1}\|P(f^*\rho_\varepsilon)\|_{\sigma+1} + C_{\sigma+1, t}\|f^*\rho_\varepsilon\|_t.$$

Noting that  $M_j f \in H^\sigma$  by Lemma 2, we get from (20)

$$(21) \quad \|Mf^*\rho_\varepsilon\|_{\sigma+1} \leq C_{\sigma+1}\|(Pf)^*\rho_\varepsilon\|_{\sigma+1} + C_{\sigma+1}\left\|\sum_j \beta_j(M_j f)^*\rho_\varepsilon - \sum_j \beta_j((M_j f)^*\rho_\varepsilon)\right\|_{\sigma+1} \\ + C_{\sigma+1, t}\|f^*\rho_\varepsilon\|_t.$$

On the other hand,  $\|f^*\rho_\varepsilon\|_t \rightarrow \|f\|_t$  and  $\|(Pf)^*\rho_\varepsilon\|_{\sigma+1} \rightarrow \|Pf\|_{\sigma+1}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  since  $f \in H^t$  and  $Pf \in H^{\sigma+1}$ . By Friedrichs' lemma ([2], p. 22), the second term of the right side of (21) tends to zero as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore from (21) we see that  $\{\|Mf^*\rho_\varepsilon\|_{\sigma+1}\}$  is bounded, so that  $M_j^*\rho_\varepsilon \rightarrow Mf$  in  $H^{\sigma+1}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Hence we have from (20)

$$(22) \quad \|Mf\|_{\sigma+1} \leq C_{\sigma+1}\|Pf\|_{\sigma+1} + C_{\sigma+1, t}\|f\|_t.$$

By repeating this process if necessary, we can see that  $Mf \in H^s$  and the inequality (\*) holds, as desired.

(b) To complete the proof, it remains to show the inequality (\*) for any  $f \in \mathcal{D}_{L^2}$ . Since  $\|f\|_t$  is an increasing function of  $t$ , we can assume  $t < s$  without loss of generality.

Let  $\{\phi_j\}$  be a uniform partition of the unity such that the diameter of each supp.  $\phi_j$  is less than  $r$ , where  $r$  is a fixed number chosen so small that  $8CCNr < 1$ . Let  $x_j$  be any point of supp.  $\phi_j$ . We have

$$(23) \quad \|\phi_j Mf\|_s \leq \|M(\phi_j f)\|_s + \sum_{|q|>0} \frac{1}{q!} \|(D^q \phi_j)(M^{(q)} f)\|_s.$$

Using Lemma 2 we have

$$(24) \quad \|M(\phi_j f)\|_s \leq \sqrt{2C}\|P_{x_j}(\phi_j f)\|_s + C_{s, t}\|\phi_j f\|_t \\ \leq \sqrt{2C}\|(P - P_{x_j})(\phi_j f)\|_s + \sqrt{2C}\|P(\phi_j f)\|_s + C_{s, t}\|\phi_j f\|_t.$$

On the other hand, we have by Lemma 2 and Lemma 4

$$\begin{aligned}
(25) \quad \|(P - P_{x_j})(\phi_{jf})\|_s &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N \|(\beta_k(x) - \beta_k(x_j))M_k(\phi_{jf})\|_s \\
&\leq r C' \sum_k \|M_k(\phi_{jf})\|_s + C_{s,t} \sum_k \|M_k(\phi_{jf})\|_t \\
&\leq \sqrt{2C} C' N r \|M(\phi_{jf})\|_s + C'_{s,t} \varepsilon \|M(\phi_{jf})\|_s + C_{s,t} \|\phi_{jf}\|_t
\end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
(26) \quad \|P(\phi_{jf})\|_s &\leq \|\phi_j P f\|_s + \sum_{|q|>0} \frac{1}{q!} \|(D^q \phi_j) \sum_k \beta_k(x) M_k^{(q)} f\|_s \\
&\leq \|\phi_j P f\|_s + C_s \sum_{|q|>0} \sum_k \|(D^q \phi_j)(M_k^{(q)} f)\|_s.
\end{aligned}$$

(24) together with (25) and (26) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1 - 2CC'Nr - \sqrt{2C} C'_{s,t} \varepsilon) \|M(\phi_{jf})\|_s \\
&\leq \sqrt{2C} \|\phi_j P f\|_s + C_s \sum_{|q|>0} \sum_k \|(D^q \phi_j)(M_k^{(q)} f)\|_s + C_{s,t} \|\phi_{jf}\|_t,
\end{aligned}$$

in which we take  $\varepsilon$  so small that  $\sqrt{2C} C'_{s,t} \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(27) \quad \|M(\phi_{jf})\|_s &\leq 2\sqrt{2C} \|\phi_j P f\|_s + C_s \sum_{|q|>0} \sum_k \|(D^q \phi_j)(M_k^{(q)} f)\|_s + \\
&\quad + C_{s,t} \|\phi_{jf}\|_t.
\end{aligned}$$

(23) and (27) give

$$\begin{aligned}
(28) \quad \|\phi_j M f\|_s &\leq 2\sqrt{2C} \|\phi_j P f\|_s + C_s \left\{ \sum_{|q|>0} \sum_k \|(D^q \phi_j)(M_k^{(q)} f)\|_s + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{|q|>0} \|(D^q \phi_j)(M^{(q)} f)\|_s \right\} + C_{s,t} \|\phi_{jf}\|_t,
\end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}
(29) \quad \|\phi_j M f\|_s^2 &\leq 8Cl \|\phi_j P f\|_s^2 + C_s \left\{ \sum_{|q|>0} \sum_k \|(D^q \phi_j)(M_k^{(q)} f)\|_s^2 + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{|q|>0} \|(D^q \phi_j)(M^{(q)} f)\|_s^2 \right\} + C_{s,t} \|\phi_{jf}\|_t^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $l$  is the number of terms on the right side of (28). Summing up (29) with respect to  $j$  and using Lemma A we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(30) \quad \|M f\|_s^2 &\leq C_s \|P f\|_s^2 + \\
&\quad + C'_s \left\{ \sum_{|q|>0} \sum_k \|M_k^{(q)} f\|_s^2 + \sum_{|q|>0} \|M^{(q)} f\|_s^2 \right\} + C_{s,t} \|f\|_t^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|M_k^{(q)} f\|_s \leq \varepsilon \|M f\|_s + C_{s,t,\varepsilon} \|f\|_t$  and  $\|M^{(q)} f\|_s \leq \varepsilon \|M f\|_s + C_{s,t,\varepsilon} \|f\|_t$  by Lemma 2, we can choose  $\varepsilon$  so small that we may obtain from (30)

$$\|Mf\|_s^2 \leq C_s \|Pf\|_s^2 + C_{s,t} \|f\|_t^2,$$

whence

$$\|Mf\|_s \leq C_s \|Pf\|_s + C_{s,t} \|f\|_t.$$

Thus the proof of the proposition is complete.

### References

- [ 1 ] L. Hörmander, *Differential operators of principal type*, Math. Ann., 140 (1960), 124–146.
- [ 2 ] J. Peetre, *Théorèmes de régularité pour quelques classes d'opérateurs différentiels*, Medd. Lunds Univ. Mat. Sem., 16 (1959).
- [ 3 ] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des Distributions*, t. I et II, Hermann, Paris, 1950–51.
- [ 4 ] ———, *Ecuaciones diferenciales parciales elípticas*, Bogota, Colombia, 1956.

*Department of Mathematics,  
Faculty of Science,  
Hiroshima University*