Schwarz Reflexion Principle in 3-Space

Makoto Ohtsuka

(Received September 20, 1968)

Introduction

The Schwarz reflexion principle is well-known in the theory of harmonic functions in a plane. In the three dimensional euclidean space (=3-space), however, it seems that some problems remain to be discussed.¹⁾ In this paper, we shall show that any harmonic function h, defined in a domain D within an open ball V and having vanishing normal derivative on a part E of $\partial D \cap \partial V$, can always be continued across E but in general only radially.

J. W. Green [2] treated the case where *D* coincides with *V*. He showed that *h* is continued harmonically through *E* to the entire outside of *V* if and only if $\int_{0}^{R} h(r, \theta, \varphi) dr$ is constant as a function of (θ, φ) on the set $\{(\theta, \varphi); (R, \theta, \varphi) \in E\}$, and that there is a case where *h* cannot be continued harmonically to the entire outside of *V*.

§1. First we explain notation. Throughout this paper, V means the open ball with center at the origin 0 and radius R in the 3-space, $S=\partial V$ its boundary, D a subdomain of V, ∂D its boundary, E a two dimensional open set on $\partial D \cap S$ which contains no point of accumulation of $\partial D - E$, h a harmonic function in D, and, for a point $P \in D$, P' the symmetric point of P with respect to S. This point is called also the point of reflexion or the mirror image of P.

The case when h vanishes on E is known and stated as

PROPOSITION. If h is continuous on $D \cup E$ and vanishes on E, then h is extended through E to a harmonic function in the domain D' which is the reflexion of D with respect to S.

PROOF. Choose any $Q \in S$ and let Σ be the spherical surface with center Q and radius R_0 . Invert the space with respect to Σ and denote by P^* the image of P by the inversion. The image of S is a plane, and P^* and P'^* are symmetric with respect to the plane. Define a function $h^*(P^*)$ by $\overline{OQ} \cdot h(P)/R_0$

¹⁾ O. D. Kellogg suggested to "derive results similar to (the result in the case where h=0 on E), where \cdots it is assumed that the normal derivative of U vanishes on that portion" in Exercise 4 at p. 262 of [3]. It is stated at p. 244 in Lichtenstein [4] that " \cdots (plane case) \cdots . Analoge Sätze gelten im Raume." However, this turns out not to be the case.

on the image of $D \cup E$ and by $-\overline{OQ} \cdot h(P)/R_0$ on the image of D'. The function is harmonic on the image of $\hat{D} = D \cup E \cup D'$. Therefore, if h is extended to $P' \in D'$ by $h(P') = (R_0/\overline{QP'})h^*(P'^*) = -(\overline{QP'}/\overline{QP'})h(P) = -\overline{OP} \cdot h(P)/R$, then h is harmonic in \hat{D} .

§2. Our interest in the subject of the present paper lies in the case where the normal derivative $\partial h/\partial n$ vanishes on *E*. The situation is less simple in this case than in the case where *h* vanishes.

The case where $\partial h/\partial n = \text{const. } c$ on E is reduced to the case c=0 if h is replaced by $h+cR^2/r$ in $D-\{0\}$. However, in case D coincides with V and $\partial h/\partial n = c \neq 0$ on E, h can never be continued through E to the entire outside of V as is shown in Theorem 3 of [2].

We begin with

LEMMA 1 ([2]). The function $r\partial h/\partial r$ is harmonic in D.

PROOF. If the origin is not included in D, we have, with polar coordinates,

$$egin{aligned} r^2\Delta\!\left(rrac{\partial h}{\partial r}
ight) &= rac{\partial}{\partial r}\!\left(r^2rac{\partial(r\partial h/\partial r)}{\partial r}
ight) + rac{1}{\sin heta}rac{\partial}{\partial heta}\left(\sin hetarac{\partial(r\partial h/\partial r)}{\partial heta}
ight) \ &+ rac{1}{\sin^2 heta}rac{\partial^2(r\partial h/\partial r)}{\partialarphi^2} = rrac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^2arphi h
ight) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If the origin is included in D, it is a removable singularity for $r\partial h/\partial r$.

Hereafter we assume that h is continuous on $D \cup E$ together with its partial derivatives $\partial h/\partial x$, $\partial h/\partial y$, $\partial h/\partial z$ and that $\partial h/\partial n = 0$ on E. Denote by D'_E the set of points of D' which can be connected to points of E radially by segments lying on $D' \cup E$, and by \hat{D}_E the domain $D \cup E \cup D'_E$. We shall prove

THEOREM 1. One can continue h to a harmonic function in \hat{D}_E .

PROOF. By the proposition, $r\partial h/\partial r$ is extended to a harmonic function H in $\hat{D} = D \cup E \cup D'$. It is equal at $P' \in D'$ to the value of $-r^2 R^{-1} \partial h/\partial r$ at P. Define \hat{h} in \hat{D}_E by

$$\hat{h}(r, \theta, \varphi) = h(r_0, \theta, \varphi) + \int_{r_0}^r \frac{H}{r} dr$$

where $(r_0, \theta, \varphi) \in D$ is chosen so that the segment between this point and (r, θ, φ) is contained in \hat{D}_E . The definition of \hat{h} is independent of the choice of r_0 and $\hat{h} = h$ at (r_0, θ, φ) . Let us show that h is harmonic in \hat{D}_E .

Denote by Δ_{\emptyset} the operator

$$rac{1}{\sin heta} rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \Big(\sin heta rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \Big) + rac{1}{\sin^2 heta} rac{\partial^2}{\partialarphi^2}.$$

302

This is not defined on the axis $\theta = 0$, π but the values $\Delta_{\theta} f$ for any C^2 function f are independent of the choice of an axis, because

$$\Delta_{\emptyset}f = r^{2}\Delta f - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}\right).$$

We have

$$r^{2}\Delta \hat{h} = \Delta_{\varnothing} h \Big|_{r=r_{0}} + \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \Delta_{\varnothing} \left(\frac{H}{r}\right) dr + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{H}{r} dr\right)\right)$$
$$= \Delta_{\varnothing} h \Big|_{r=r_{0}} + \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{1}{r} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r}\right) dr\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (rH).$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^2\frac{\partial H}{\partial r}\right) = r\frac{\partial^2(rH)}{\partial r^2},$$

we have

$$r^{2}\Delta\hat{h} = \Delta_{\emptyset}h\Big|_{r=r_{0}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(rH)\Big|_{r=r_{0}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(rH) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(rH)$$
$$= \Delta_{\emptyset}h\Big|_{r=r_{0}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2}\frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right)\Big|_{r=r_{0}} = r^{2}\Delta h\Big|_{r=r_{0}} = 0.$$

Thus \hat{h} is harmonic in \hat{D}_E .

Being different from the case in plane, \hat{h} is not always symmetric with respect to S. Actually, if $\hat{h}(r', \theta, \varphi) = h(r, \theta, \varphi)$ with $r' = R^2/r > R$, then

$$0 = {r'}^{^2} \Delta \hat{h} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r'} \left({r'}^{^2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r'} \right) + \Delta_{\theta} h = \frac{\partial}{\partial r'} \left({r'}^{^2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r'} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left({r}^{^2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \right).$$

By a simple computation we see that the right hand side is equal to $-2r\partial h/\partial r$. It follows that h is independent of r in D.

On the other hand, if the Kelvin transform $\overline{OP} \cdot h(P)/R$ is the harmonic continuation, its normal derivative must vanish on E. On E we have

$$\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial r^{\prime}} \left(rh(r, \theta, \varphi) \right) \Big|_{r=R} = -\frac{R}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(rh \right) \Big|_{r=R}$$
$$= -\frac{R}{r^2} \left(h + r \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \right) \Big|_{r=R} = -\frac{h}{R} = 0.$$

Thus h vanishes on E. Therefore $-\overline{OP} \cdot h(P)/R$ is the harmonic extension into D' as was seen in the proof of the proposition. Thus $\overline{OP} \cdot h(P) = -\overline{OP} \cdot h(P)$ for every $P \in D$ and hence $h \equiv 0$ in D. It is not always possible to extend h harmonically to the entire symmetric domain of an arbitrary domain D as an example will show it later. However, we have

THEOREM 2. Let H be the harmonic extension of $r\partial h/\partial r$ in \hat{D} . Let $P'_0 = (r'_0, \theta_0, \varphi_0)$ be in D', and h' be a function harmonic in a neighborhood U of P'_0 such that $r'\partial h'/\partial r\Big|_{r=r'} = H(r', \theta, \varphi)$ in U. Let P'_1 be a point of D' such that the segment $P'_0P'_1$ is included in D' and lies on a ray issuing from the origin. Then h' is defined harmonically in a neighborhood of $P'_0P'_1$.

PROOF. Define h' in a neighborhood of $P'_0P'_1$ by

$$h'(r', \theta, \varphi) = h'(r'_0, \theta, \varphi) + \int_{r'_0}^{r'} \frac{H}{r} dr$$

where (r'_0, θ, φ) is in U. As in the proof of Theorem 1 we have $r'^2 \Delta h' = 0$.

COROLLARY. If h is extended harmonically to $P' \in D'$, then it is extended harmonically to P'_1 so far as $P'P'_1$ is included in D' and lies on a ray issuing from the origin.

We give a condition for extensibility in a special case. First we give a lemma which is similar to Lemma 1 of [2].

LEMMA 2. Let $(r_0, \theta, \varphi) \in D$ and suppose h is extended harmonically to the point $(R^2/r_0, \theta, \varphi)$. Denote the extension of h by \hat{h} . Then

$$\Delta_{\theta} \left(R \hat{h}(r_0') - r_0 h(r_0) \right) = r_0^2 \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=r_0}$$

where $h(r, \theta, \varphi)$ is written simply as h(r) and $\hat{h}(r, \theta, \varphi)$ as $\hat{h}(r)$.

PROOF. We have

(1)
$$\partial \hat{h}(r)/\partial r' = -r^3 R^{-3} \partial h(r)/\partial r.$$

=

Hence

(2)
$$\hat{h}(r') = \hat{h}(r'_0) + \int_{r'_0}^{r'} \frac{\partial \hat{h}}{\partial r'} dr' = \hat{h}(r'_0) + \frac{1}{R} \int_{r_0}^{r} r \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} dr$$

$$= \hat{h}(r_0) + \frac{r}{R}h(r) - \frac{r_0}{R}h(r) - \frac{1}{R}\int_{r_0}^r h dr.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\emptyset}\hat{h}(r') &= \Delta_{\emptyset}\hat{h}(r'_{0}) + \frac{r}{R}\Delta_{\emptyset}h(r) - \frac{r_{0}}{R}\Delta_{\emptyset}h(r_{0}) - \frac{1}{R}\int_{r_{0}}^{r}\Delta_{\emptyset}h\,dr\\ &= \Delta_{\emptyset}\hat{h}(r'_{0}) - \frac{r_{0}}{R}\Delta_{\emptyset}h(r_{0}) - \frac{r}{R}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2}\frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right) + \frac{1}{R}\int_{r_{0}}^{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2}\frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right)dr. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, we have by (1)

$$\Delta_{\vartheta}\hat{h}(r') = -\frac{\partial}{\partial r'} \left(r'^2 \frac{\partial \hat{h}(r')}{\partial r'} \right) = -\frac{r^2}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial h(r)}{\partial r} \right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\emptyset} \hat{h}(r_{0}') &- \frac{r_{0}}{R} \Delta_{\emptyset} h(r_{0}) \\ &= -\frac{r^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} - \frac{r^{3}}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial r^{2}} + 2\frac{r^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} + \frac{r^{3}}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial r^{2}} - \frac{r^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} + \frac{r_{0}^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \Big|_{r_{0}} \\ &= \frac{r_{0}^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \Big|_{r_{0}}. \end{split}$$

§3. In this section we assume that $\partial D \cap S$ contains a two dimensional open set $B \supseteq E$ which has no point of accumulation of $\partial D - B$, that every point P of B can be connected with a point in D radially by a segment which is contained in D except for P, and that $\partial h/\partial x$, $\partial h/\partial y$, $\partial h/\partial z$ are continuously extended to $D \cup B$. Assume furthermore that $\partial^2 h/\partial \theta^2$ and $\partial^2 h/\partial \varphi^2$ can be continuously extended to $D \cup B$.

Suppose that h is extended harmonically to a function \hat{h} in $D \cup E \cup D'_B$, where D'_B is defined in the same way as D'_E . Then $\partial^2 \hat{h} / \partial \theta^2$ and $\partial^2 \hat{h} / \partial \varphi^2$ are also continuously extended to B from D'_B by (2). By Lemma 2 we obtain immediately

LEMMA 3. $\Delta_{\emptyset}(\check{h}-h) = R \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}$ on B, where $\check{h}(R, \theta, \varphi) = \lim_{r' \downarrow R} \hat{h}(r', \theta, \varphi)$.

THEOREM 3. h can be continued to a harmonic function \hat{h} in $D \cup E \cup D'_B$ if and only if there is a solution g of $\Delta_{\emptyset}g = R\partial h/\partial n$ on B such that g vanishes on E.

PROOF. Suppose such a g exists. Set p = g + h on B and

$$h'(r, \theta, \varphi) = p(R, \theta, \varphi) + \int_{R}^{r'} \frac{H}{r'} dr' \quad \text{in } D'_{B}.$$

On E, p=h and hence h' is the harmonic extension of h into D'_E . Let us show that h' is harmonic in D'_B . For $(r', \theta, \varphi) \in D'_B$ we have by the same computation as in the proof of Theorem 1

$$r'^{2} \Delta h' = \Delta_{\emptyset} p + \frac{\partial}{\partial r'} (r'H) \Big|_{r'=R} = R \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=R} + \Delta_{\emptyset} h \Big|_{r=R} + R \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \right) \Big|_{r=R}$$
$$= R \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=R} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \right) \Big|_{r=R} + R^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial r^{2}} \Big|_{r=R} + R \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \Big|_{r=R} = 0.$$

Thus h' is harmonic in D'_B .

Conversely, suppose \hat{h} is a harmonic extension of h in $D \cup E \cup D'_B$. Denote $\lim_{r' \downarrow R} \hat{h}(r', \theta, \varphi)$ by $\check{h}(R, \theta, \varphi)$ as before and set $g(R, \theta, \varphi) = \check{h}(R, \theta, \varphi) - h(R, \theta, \varphi)$. Then, on account of Lemma 3, g satisfies $\Delta_{\emptyset} g = R \partial h / \partial n$ on B and vanishes on E. Our theorem is now proved.

COROLLARY. Consider the case that D coincides with V. In order that h be extended across E to a harmonic function outside V, it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a solution g of $\Delta_{\emptyset g} = R\partial h/\partial n$ on S such that g vanishes on E.

This condition must be equivalent to the already quoted Green's condition in [2] that $\int_0^R h dr$ is constant on *E*. Actually one can show the equivalence directly as follows:²⁾

If there exists g satisfying $\Delta_{\Theta}g = R\partial h/\partial n$ on S and g=0 on E, then $\int_{0}^{R} h dr = \text{const. on } E \text{ because}$ $\Delta_{\Theta} \left(\int_{0}^{R} h dr + Rg \right) = \int_{0}^{R} \Delta_{\Theta} h dr + R^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} = -\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \right) dr + R^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } S^{3}$

and hence $\int_{0}^{R} h dr = -Rg + \text{const.} = \text{const.}$ on *E*. Conversely, assume $\int_{0}^{R} h dr = c$ (=const.) on *E*. Then $g = -\frac{1}{R} \left(\int_{0}^{R} h dr - c \right)$ satisfies $\Delta_{\theta} g = R \partial h / \partial n$ on *S* and g = 0 on *E*.

Finally, we shall prove a theorem by means of which we can show that Theorem 1 is the best possible in case the (two dimensional) boundary of E is smooth.

THEOREM 4. Suppose there is a C^4 function f on S with the following properties:

(i)
$$\int_{S} \Delta_{\theta} f dS = 0$$
,

(ii) $\Delta_{\emptyset} f = 0$ on E,

(iii) there exists no two dimensional domain $B \subset S$ which satisfies $B \not\subset E$ and $B \cap E \neq \emptyset$, and on which a function f_1 is defined so that $\Delta_{\emptyset} f_1 = 0$ on B and $f_1 = f$ on $B \cap E$.

Then the solution h of the Neumann problem in D = V for the boundary condition $\partial h/\partial n = R^{-1}\Delta_{\emptyset}f$ can never be continued harmonically to any point of $\hat{D} - \hat{D}_E$.⁴⁾

306

²⁾ The author owes this remark to Professor H. Lewy.

³⁾ Let f be a function on S which is twice continuously differentiable with respect to θ and φ and satisfies $\Delta_{\theta}f=0$. Then the extension f^* of f by $f^*(r, \theta, \varphi)=f(R, \theta, \varphi)$ to the whole space is harmonic because $r^2 \Delta f^* = \Delta_{\theta} f^* + \partial (r^2 \partial f^* / \partial r) / \partial r = 0$. By the maximum principle it is concluded that f^* is constant.

⁴⁾ cf. Theorem 1.

PROOF. It is known that the partial derivatives of second order of h have limits on S; see [5]. Suppose h is extended harmonically to a point $P' \in \hat{D} - \hat{D}_E$. Then, by the corollary of Theorem 2, there exists a two dimensional domain B on S such that $B \not \subset E$, $B \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and h is continued harmonically to $D \cup E \cup D'_B$. Theorem 3 implies that there exists g on B such that $\Delta_{\emptyset}g = R\partial h/\partial n$ on B and g=0 on E. The function $f_1=f-g$ satisfies $\Delta_{\emptyset}f_1=0$ on B and $f_1=f$ on E. This contradicts (iii).

Let us see that a function like f exists actually in case E is a two dimensional subdomain of S bounded by a finite number of closed analytic curves. Let ψ be a sufficiently smooth function which is defined on the boundary ∂E of E and which is nowhere analytic with respect to the defining parameter of ∂E , and f_0 be the function which satisfies $\Delta_{\emptyset}f_0=0$ in E and $f_0=\psi$ on ∂E . It follows that f_0 is of C^4 class on $E \cup \partial E$; see [5]. Extend f_0 to a function f of C^4 class on S so that condition (i) is satisfied. If there exist B and f_1 with the properties as decribed in (iii), then f_1 as a solution of $\Delta_{\emptyset}f_1=0$ is analytic in B and hence on $\partial E \cap B$. This contradicts our assumption that, on $\partial E \cap B$, $f_1=f=\psi$ is nowhere analytic with respect to the defining parameter of ∂E . Thus (iii) is satisfied too.

To the contrary, if a part F of S-E is small, e.g., if F is a closed set of logarithmic capacity zero such that S-E-F is closed, then h can be continued harmonically to the set A consisting of points of $\hat{D}-D$ which can be connected to F radially in \hat{D} . This follows from the fact that A is of Newtonian capacity zero (cf. [1], p. 92) and hence removable for the extension of h in \hat{D}_E .

References

- J. Deny and P. Lelong: Étude des fonctions sousharmoniques dans un cylindre ou dans un cône, Bull. Soc. Math. France, 75 (1947), 89-112.
- [2] J. W. Green: A property of harmonic functions in three variables, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 44 (1938), 548-557.
- [3] O. D. Kellogg: Fundations of potential theory, Berlin, 1929.
- [4] L. Lichtenstein: Neuere Entwicklung der Potentialtheorie. Konforme Abbildung, Enzyklopädie Math. Wiss., Band II, Heft 3, Leipzig, 1919.
- [5] L. Nirenberg: Remarks on strongly elliptic partial differential equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 8 (1955), 648-674.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hiroshima University