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## Introduction

The Schwarz reflexion principle is well-known in the theory of harmonic functions in a plane. In the three dimensional euclidean space ( $=3$-space), however, it seems that some problems remain to be discussed. ${ }^{1)}$ In this paper, we shall show that any harmonic function $h$, defined in a domain $D$ within an open ball $V$ and having vanishing normal derivative on a part $E$ of $\partial D \cap \partial V$, can always be continued across $E$ but in general only radially.
J. W. Green [2] treated the case where $D$ coincides with $V$. He showed that $h$ is continued harmonically through $E$ to the entire outside of $V$ if and only if $\int_{0}^{R} h(r, \theta, \varphi) d r$ is constant as a function of $(\theta, \varphi)$ on the set $\{(\theta, \varphi)$; $(R, \theta, \varphi) \in E\}$, and that there is a case where $h$ cannot be continued harmonically to the entire outside of $V$.
§1. First we explain notation. Throughout this paper, $V$ means the open ball with center at the origin 0 and radius $R$ in the 3 -space, $S=\partial V$ its boundary, $D$ a subdomain of $V, \partial D$ its boundary, $E$ a two dimensional open set on $\partial D \cap S$ which contains no point of accumulation of $\partial D-E, h$ a harmonic function in $D$, and, for a point $P \in D, P^{\prime}$ the symmetric point of $P$ with respect to $S$. This point is called also the point of reflexion or the mirror image of $P$.

The case when $h$ vanishes on $E$ is known and stated as
Proposition. If $h$ is continuous on $D \cup E$ and vanishes on $E$, then $h$ is extended through $E$ to a harmonic function in the domain $D^{\prime}$ which is the reflexion of $D$ with respect to $S$.

Proof. Choose any $Q \in S$ and let $\Sigma$ be the spherical surface with center $Q$ and radius $R_{0}$. Invert the space with respect to $\Sigma$ and denote by $P^{*}$ the image of $P$ by the inversion. The image of $S$ is a plane, and $P^{*}$ and $P^{*}$ are symmetric with respect to the plane. Define a function $h^{*}\left(P^{*}\right)$ by $\overline{O Q} \cdot h(P) / R_{0}$

[^0]on the image of $D \cup E$ and by $-\overline{O Q} \cdot h(P) / R_{0}$ on the image of $D^{\prime}$. The function is harmonic on the image of $\hat{D}=D \cup E \cup D^{\prime}$. Therefore, if $h$ is extended to $P^{\prime} \in D^{\prime}$ by $h\left(P^{\prime}\right)=\left(R_{0} / \overline{Q P}^{\prime}\right) h^{*}\left(P^{*}\right)=-(\overline{Q P} / \overline{Q P}) h(P)=-\overline{O P} \cdot h(P) / R$, then $h$ is harmonic in $\hat{D}$.
§2. Our interest in the subject of the present paper lies in the case where the normal derivative $\partial h / \partial n$ vanishes on $E$. The situation is less simple in this case than in the case where $h$ vanishes.

The case where $\partial h / \partial n=$ const. $c$ on $E$ is reduced to the case $c=0$ if $h$ is replaced by $h+c R^{2} / r$ in $D-\{0\}$. However, in case $D$ coincides with $V$ and $\partial h / \partial n=c \neq 0$ on $E, h$ can never be continued through $E$ to the entire outside of $V$ as is shown in Theorem 3 of [2].

We begin with
Lemma 1 ([2]). The function $r \partial h / \partial r$ is harmonic in $D$.
Proof. If the origin is not included in $D$, we have, with polar coordinates,

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{2} \Delta\left(r \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right)= & \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial(r \partial h / \partial r)}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\sin \theta \frac{\partial(r \partial h / \partial r)}{\partial \theta}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{\sin ^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2}(r \partial h / \partial r)}{\partial \varphi^{2}}=r \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \Delta h\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the origin is included in $D$, it is a removable singularity for $r \partial h / \partial r$.
Hereafter we assume that $h$ is continuous on $D \cup E$ together with its partial derivatives $\partial h / \partial x, \partial h / \partial y, \partial h / \partial z$ and that $\partial h / \partial n=0$ on $E$. Denote by $D_{E}^{\prime}$ the set of points of $D^{\prime}$ which can be connected to points of $E$ radially by segments lying on $D^{\prime} \cup E$, and by $\hat{D}_{E}$ the domain $D \cup E \cup D_{E}^{\prime}$. We shall prove

## Theorem 1. One can continue h to a harmonic function in $\hat{D}_{E}$.

Proof. By the proposition, $r \partial h / \partial r$ is extended to a harmonic function $H$ in $\hat{D}=D \cup E \cup D^{\prime}$. It is equal at $P^{\prime} \in D^{\prime}$ to the value of $-r^{2} R^{-1} \partial h / \partial r$ at $P$. Define $\hat{h}$ in $\hat{D}_{E}$ by

$$
\hat{h}(r, \theta, \varphi)=h\left(r_{0}, \theta, \varphi\right)+\int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{H}{r} d r
$$

where $\left(r_{0}, \theta, \varphi\right) \in D$ is chosen so that the segment between this point and ( $r, \theta, \varphi$ ) is contained in $\hat{D}_{E}$. The definition of $\hat{h}$ is independent of the choice of $r_{0}$ and $\hat{h}=h$ at $\left(r_{0}, \theta, \varphi\right)$. Let us show that $h$ is harmonic in $\hat{D}_{E}$.

Denote by $\Delta_{\otimes}$ the operator

$$
\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\sin \theta-\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right)+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \theta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}} .
$$

This is not defined on the axis $\theta=0, \pi$ but the values $\Delta_{\odot} f$ for any $C^{2}$ function $f$ are independent of the choice of an axis, because

$$
\Delta_{\otimes} f=r^{2} \Delta f-\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}\right) .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{2} \Delta \hat{h} & =\left.\Delta_{\Theta} h\right|_{r=r_{0}}+\int_{r_{0}}^{r} \Delta_{\Theta}\left(\frac{H}{r}\right) d r+\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{H}{r} d r\right)\right) \\
& =\left.\Delta_{\Theta} h\right|_{r=r_{0}}+\int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{1}{r}\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r}\right) d r\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r H) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r}\right)=r \frac{\partial^{2}(r H)}{\partial r^{2}},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^{2} \Delta \hat{h} & =\left.\Delta_{\Theta} h\right|_{r=r_{0}}+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r H)\right|_{r=r_{0}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r H)+\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r H) \\
& =\left.\Delta_{\Theta} h\right|_{r=r_{0}}+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right)\right|_{r=r_{0}}=\left.r^{2} \Delta h\right|_{r=r_{0}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\hat{h}$ is harmonic in $\hat{D}_{E}$.
Being different from the case in plane, $\hat{h}$ is not always symmetric with respect to $S$. Actually, if $\hat{h}\left(r^{\prime}, \theta, \varphi\right)=h(r, \theta, \varphi)$ with $r^{\prime}=R^{2} / r>R$, then

$$
0=r^{\prime 2} \Delta \hat{h}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r^{\prime}}\left(r^{\prime 2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r^{\prime}}\right)+\Delta_{\oplus} h=-\frac{\partial}{\partial r^{\prime}}\left(r^{\prime 2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r^{\prime}}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right) .
$$

By a simple computation we see that the right hand side is equal to $-2 r \partial h / \partial r$. It follows that $h$ is independent of $r$ in $D$.

On the other hand, if the Kelvin transform $\overline{O P} \cdot h(P) / R$ is the harmonic continuation, its normal derivative must vanish on $E$. On $E$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial r^{\prime}}(r h(r, \theta, \varphi))\right|_{r=R}=-\left.\frac{R}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}(r h)\right|_{r=R} \\
& \quad=-\left.\frac{R}{r^{2}}\left(h+r \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right)\right|_{r=R}=-\frac{h}{R}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $h$ vanishes on $E$. Therefore $-\overline{O P} \cdot h(P) / R$ is the harmonic extension into $D^{\prime}$ as was seen in the proof of the proposition. Thus $\overline{O P} \cdot h(P)=-\overline{O P} \cdot h(P)$ for every $P \in D$ and hence $h \equiv 0$ in $D$.

It is not always possible to extend $h$ harmonically to the entire symmetric domain of an arbitrary domain $D$ as an example will show it later. However, we have

Theorem 2. Let $H$ be the harmonic extension of $r \partial h / \partial r$ in $\hat{D}$. Let $P_{0}^{\prime}=$ $\left(r_{0}^{\prime}, \theta_{0}, \varphi_{0}\right)$ be in $D^{\prime}$, and $h^{\prime}$ be a function harmonic in a neighborhood $U$ of $P_{0}^{\prime}$ such that $\left.r^{\prime} \partial h^{\prime} \partial r\right|_{r=r^{\prime}}=H\left(r^{\prime}, \theta, \varphi\right)$ in $U$. Let $P_{1}^{\prime}$ be a point of $D^{\prime}$ such that the segment $P_{0}^{\prime} P_{1}^{\prime}$ is included in $D^{\prime}$ and lies on a ray issuing from the origin. Then $h^{\prime}$ is defined harmonically in a neighborhood of $P_{0}^{\prime} P_{1}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Define $h^{\prime}$ in a neighborhood of $P_{0}^{\prime} P_{1}^{\prime}$ by

$$
h^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}, \theta, \varphi\right)=h^{\prime}\left(r_{0}^{\prime}, \theta, \varphi\right)+\int_{r_{0}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \frac{H}{r} d r
$$

where $\left(r_{0}^{\prime}, \theta, \varphi\right)$ is in $U$. As in the proof of Theorem 1 we have $r^{\prime 2} \Delta h^{\prime}=0$.
Corollary. If $h$ is extended harmonically to $P^{\prime} \in D^{\prime}$, then it is extended harmonically to $P_{1}^{\prime}$ so far as $P^{\prime} P_{1}^{\prime}$ is included in $D^{\prime}$ and lies on a ray issuing from the origin.

We give a condition for extensibility in a special case. First we give a lemma which is similar to Lemma 1 of [2].

Lemma 2. Let $\left(r_{0}, \theta, \varphi\right) \in D$ and suppose $h$ is extended harmonically to the point $\left(R^{2} / r_{0}, \theta, \varphi\right)$. Denote the extension of $h$ by $\hat{h}$. Then

$$
\Delta_{\circledast}\left(R \hat{h}\left(r_{0}^{\prime}\right)-r_{0} h\left(r_{0}\right)\right)=\left.r_{0}^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right|_{r=r_{0}}
$$

where $h(r, \theta, \varphi)$ is written simply as $h(r)$ and $\hat{h}(r, \theta, \varphi)$ as $\hat{h}(r)$.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \hat{h}(r) / \partial r^{\prime}=-r^{3} R^{-3} \partial h(r) / \partial r \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{h}\left(r^{\prime}\right) & =\hat{h}\left(r_{0}^{\prime}\right)+\int_{r_{0}^{\prime}}^{r^{\prime}} \partial \hat{h} \\
& =\hat{h}\left(r_{0}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{r}{R} h(r)-\frac{r_{0}}{R}-h(r)-\frac{1}{R} \int_{r_{0}}^{r} h d r \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\odot} \hat{h}\left(r^{\prime}\right) & =\Delta_{\odot} \hat{h}\left(r_{0}^{\prime}\right)+\frac{r}{R} \Delta_{\oplus} h(r)-\frac{r_{0}}{R} \Delta_{\odot} h\left(r_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{R} \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \Delta_{\odot} h d r \\
& =\Delta_{\odot} \hat{h}\left(r_{0}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{r_{0}}{R} \Delta_{\odot} h\left(r_{0}\right)-\frac{r}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{1}{R} \int_{r_{0}}^{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right) d r
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, we have by (1)

$$
\Delta_{\otimes} \hat{h}\left(r^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial r^{\prime}}\left(r^{\prime 2} \frac{\partial \hat{h}\left(r^{\prime}\right)}{\partial r^{\prime}}\right)=-\frac{r^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial h(r)}{\partial r}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{\oplus} \hat{h}\left(r_{0}^{\prime}\right)-\frac{r_{0}}{R} \Delta_{\odot} h\left(r_{0}\right) \\
& \quad=-\frac{r^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}-\frac{r^{3}}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial r^{2}}+2 \frac{r^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}+\frac{r^{3}}{R} \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial r^{2}}-\frac{r^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}+\left.\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{0}} \\
& \quad=\left.\frac{r_{0}^{2}}{R} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right|_{r_{0}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

§3. In this section we assume that $\partial D \cap S$ contains a two dimensional open set $B \supsetneqq E$ which has no point of accumulation of $\partial D-B$, that every point $P$ of $B$ can be connected with a point in $D$ radially by a segment which is contained in $D$ except for $P$, and that $\partial h / \partial x, \partial h / \partial y, \partial h / \partial z$ are continuously extended to $D \cup B$. Assume furthermore that $\partial^{2} h / \partial \theta^{2}$ and $\partial^{2} h / \partial \varphi^{2}$ can be continuously extended to $D \cup B$.

Suppose that $h$ is extended harmonically to a function $\hat{h}$ in $D \cup E \cup D_{B}^{\prime}$, where $D_{B}^{\prime}$ is defined in the same way as $D_{E}^{\prime}$. Then $\partial^{2} \hat{h} / \partial \theta^{2}$ and $\partial^{2} \hat{h} / \partial \varphi^{2}$ are also continuously extended to $B$ from $D_{B}^{\prime}$ by (2). By Lemma 2 we obtain immediately

Lemma 3. $\quad \Delta_{\odot}(\check{h}-h)=R \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \quad$ on $B$, where $\check{h}(R, \theta, \varphi)=\lim _{r^{\prime} \downarrow R} \hat{h}\left(r^{\prime}, \theta, \varphi\right)$.

Theorem 3. $h$ can be continued to a harmonic function $\hat{h}$ in $D \cup E \cup D_{B}^{\prime}$ if and only if there is a solution $g$ of $\Delta_{\oplus} g=R \partial h / \partial n$ on $B$ such that $g$ vanishes on E.

Proof. Suppose such a $g$ exists. Set $p=g+h$ on $B$ and

$$
h^{\prime}(r, \theta, \varphi)=p(R, \theta, \varphi)+\int_{R}^{r^{\prime}} \frac{H}{r^{\prime}} d r^{\prime} \quad \text { in } D_{B}^{\prime}
$$

On $E, p=h$ and hence $h^{\prime}$ is the harmonic extension of $h$ into $D_{E}^{\prime}$. Let us show that $h^{\prime}$ is harmonic in $D_{B}^{\prime}$. For $\left(r^{\prime}, \theta, \varphi\right) \in D_{B}^{\prime}$ we have by the same computation as in the proof of Theorem 1

$$
\begin{gathered}
r^{\prime 2} \Delta h^{\prime}=\Delta_{\odot} p+\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial r^{\prime}}\left(r^{\prime} H\right)\right|_{r^{\prime}=R}=\left.R \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right|_{r=R}+\left.\Delta_{\Theta} h\right|_{r=R}+\left.R \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right)\right|_{r=R} \\
=\left.R \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right|_{r=R}-\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right)\right|_{r=R}+\left.R^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} h}{\partial r^{2}}\right|_{r=R}+\left.R \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right|_{r=R}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus $h^{\prime}$ is harmonic in $D_{B}^{\prime}$.
Conversely, suppose $\hat{h}$ is a harmonic extension of $h$ in $D \cup E \cup D_{B}^{\prime}$. Denote $\lim _{r^{\prime} \downarrow R} \hat{h}\left(r^{\prime}, \theta, \varphi\right)$ by $\check{h}(R, \theta, \varphi)$ as before and set $g(R, \theta, \varphi)=\check{h}(R, \theta, \varphi)-h(R, \theta, \varphi)$. Then, on account of Lemma 3, $g$ satisfies $\Delta_{\oplus} g=R \partial h / \partial n$ on $B$ and vanishes on $E$. Our theorem is now proved.

Corollary. Consider the case that $D$ coincides with $V$. In order that $h$ be extended across $E$ to a harmonic function outside $V$, it is necessary and sufficient that there exists a solution $g$ of $\Delta_{\oplus} g=R \partial h / \partial n$ on $S$ such that $g$ vanishes on $E$.

This condition must be equivalent to the already quoted Green's condition in [2] that $\int_{0}^{R} h d r$ is constant on $E$. Actually one can show the equivalence directly as follows: ${ }^{2)}$

If there exists $g$ satisfying $\Delta_{\oplus} g=R \partial h / \partial n$ on $S$ and $g=0$ on $E$, then $\int_{0}^{R} h d r=$ const. on $E$ because
$\Delta_{\odot}\left(\int_{0}^{R} h d r+R g\right)=\int_{0}^{R} \Delta_{\oplus} h d r+R^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial n}=-\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right) d r+R^{2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial n}=0$ on $S^{3)}$
and hence $\int_{0}^{R} h d r=-R g+$ const. $=$ const. on $E$. Conversely, assume $\int_{0}^{R} h d r=c$ (=const.) on $E$. Then $g=-\frac{1}{R}\left(\int_{0}^{R} h d r-c\right)$ satisfies $\Delta_{\oplus} g=R \partial h / \partial n$ on $S$ and $g=0$ on $E$.

Finally, we shall prove a theorem by means of which we can show that Theorem 1 is the best possible in case the (two dimensional) boundary of $E$ is smooth.

Theorem 4. Suppose there is a $C^{4}$ function $f$ on $S$ with the following properties:
(i) $\quad \int_{S} \Delta_{\oplus} f d S=0$,
(ii) $\Delta_{\oplus} f=0$ on $E$,
(iii) there exists no two dimensional domain $B \subset S$ which satisfies $B \not \subset E$ and $B \cap E \neq \emptyset$, and on which a function $f_{1}$ is defined so that $\Delta_{\oplus} f_{1}=0$ on $B$ and $f_{1}=f$ on $B \cap E$.
Then the solution $h$ of the Neumann problem in $D=V$ for the boundary condition $\partial h / \partial n=R^{-1} \Delta_{\odot f}$ can never be continued harmonically to any point of $\hat{D}-\hat{D}_{E} .{ }^{4)}$

[^1]Proof. It is known that the partial derivatives of second order of $h$ have limits on $S$; see [5]. Suppose $h$ is extended harmonically to a point $P^{\prime} \in \hat{D}-\hat{D}_{E}$. Then, by the corollary of Theorem 2, there exists a two dimensional domain $B$ on $S$ such that $B \nleftarrow E, B \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $h$ is continued harmonically to $D \cup E \cup D_{B}^{\prime}$. Theorem 3 implies that there exists $g$ on $B$ such that $\Delta_{\oplus} g=R \partial h / \partial n$ on $B$ and $g=0$ on $E$. The function $f_{1}=f-g$ satisfies $\Delta_{\otimes} f_{1}=0$ on $B$ and $f_{1}=f$ on $E$. This contradicts (iii).

Let us see that a function like $f$ exists actually in case $E$ is a two dimensional subdomain of $S$ bounded by a finite number of closed analytic curves. Let $\psi$ be a sufficiently smooth function which is defined on the boundary $\partial E$ of $E$ and which is nowhere analytic with respect to the defining parameter of $\partial E$, and $f_{0}$ be the function which satisfies $\Delta_{\odot} f_{0}=0$ in $E$ and $f_{0}=\psi$ on $\partial E$. It follows that $f_{0}$ is of $C^{4}$ class on $E \cup \partial E$; see [5]. Extend $f_{0}$ to a function $f$ of $C^{4}$ class on $S$ so that condition (i) is satisfied. If there exist $B$ and $f_{1}$ with the properties as decribed in (iii), then $f_{1}$ as a solution of $\Delta_{\oplus} f_{1}=0$ is analytic in $B$ and hence on $\partial E \cap B$. This contradicts our assumption that, on $\partial E \cap B$, $f_{1}=f=\psi$ is nowhere analytic with respect to the defining parameter of $\partial E$. Thus (iii) is satisfied too.

To the contrary, if a part $F$ of $S-E$ is small, e.g., if $F$ is a closed set of logarithmic capacity zero such that $S-E-F$ is closed, then $h$ can be continued harmonically to the set $A$ consisting of points of $\hat{D}-D$ which can be connected to $F$ radially in $\hat{D}$. This follows from the fact that $A$ is of Newtonian capacity zero (cf. [1], p. 92) and hence removable for the extension of $h$ in $\hat{D}_{E}$.
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[^0]:    1) O. D. Kellogg suggested to "derive results similar to (the result in the case where $h=0$ on $E$ ), where $\cdots$ it is assumed that the normal derivative of $U$ vanishes on that portion" in Exercise 4 at p . 262 of [3]. It is stated at p. 244 in Lichtenstein [4] that "... (plane case) ... . Analoge Sätze gelten im Raume." However, this turns out not to be the case.
[^1]:    2) The author owes this remark to Professor H. Lewy.
    3) Let $f$ be a function on $S$ which is twice continuously differentiable with respect to $\theta$ and $\varphi$ and satisfies $\Delta_{\Theta} f=0$. Then the extension $f^{*}$ of $f$ by $f^{*}(r, \theta, \varphi)=f(R, \theta, \varphi)$ to the whole space is harmonic because $r^{2} \Delta f^{*}=\Delta_{\odot} f^{*}+\partial\left(r^{2} \partial f^{*} / \partial r\right) / \partial r=0$. By the maximum principle it is concluded that $f^{*}$ is constant.
    4) cf. Theorem 1.
