

A note on noetherian Hilbert rings

Kazunori FUJITA and Shiroh ITOH

(Received August 23, 1979)

Introduction. All rings considered here are commutative with identity. In this note, we give two examples of noetherian Hilbert rings. The most famous example of a noetherian Hilbert domain is an affine domain over a field. Such an integral domain is equidimensional, i.e. its all maximal ideals have the same height. Noetherian Hilbert domains with maximal ideals of different height are given in [1], [5], [6], [10] and [11]. Krull's example in [6] is obtained by a localization of $K[X, Y]$, where K is a countable, algebraically closed field. Heinzer in [5] constructs a noetherian Hilbert domain with maximal ideals of preassigned height, and subsequently in [1] and [10] the same examples as Heinzer's are constructed by making use of the following proposition in [4, (10. 5. 8)]: Let A be a noetherian ring and let s be a non-nilpotent element contained in $\text{rad}(A)$. Then A_s is a Hilbert ring.

By the way, in [6] and [11], two dimensional noetherian Hilbert domains with only a finite number of height one maximal ideals are constructed. However almost all noetherian Hilbert domains already known have the following property: Let \mathfrak{M} be a maximal ideal of a noetherian Hilbert domain A . Then, if $n = \text{ht}(\mathfrak{M}) \geq 2$, A has infinitely many height n maximal ideals.

In Section 1, we show that if A is a noetherian ring containing an uncountable field and if S is a multiplicative subset of A generated by countably many elements of $\text{rad}(A)$, then $S^{-1}A$ is a Hilbert ring. In Section 2, we construct a noetherian Hilbert domain with a preassigned number of maximal ideals of preassigned height by making use of a modification of Krull's method in [6, p. 371].

Notation. Let A be a ring. Then

$$\text{Max}(A) = \{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec}(A); \mathfrak{P} \text{ is a maximal ideal in } A\},$$

$$\text{Ht}_n(A) = \{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec}(A); \text{ht}(\mathfrak{P}) = n\},$$

$$\text{rad}(A) = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Max}(A)} \mathfrak{P}.$$

Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal in a ring A . Then

$$U(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec}(A); \mathfrak{P} \supset \mathfrak{p} \text{ and } \text{ht}(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}) = 1\}.$$

\mathbf{C} = the field of complex numbers.

\mathbf{N} = the set of natural numbers.

1. We need some preliminary results.

LEMMA 1. *Let A be a noetherian ring. Then A is a Hilbert ring if and*

only if $U(\mathfrak{p})$ is an infinite set for any non-maximal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in A .

PROOF. The assertion follows from Theorem 4 in [3].

LEMMA 2. Let A be a ring containing an uncountable set E such that $a-b$ is a unit of A for all $a \neq b$ in E . Then the following statements hold.

(a) Let I, I_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) be ideals in A . If I is finitely generated and if $I \subseteq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$, then $I \subseteq I_n$ holds for some n .

(b) If A is noetherian, and if $\mathfrak{P} \supset \mathfrak{p}$ are prime ideals in A such that $ht(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}) \geq 2$, then $U(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{P}})$ is an uncountable set.

PROOF. (a) Let x_1, \dots, x_r be generators for I . Set $H = \{x_1 + ax_2 + \dots + a^{j-1}x_j + \dots + a^{r-1}x_r; a \in E\}$. Since E is an uncountable set, there is an integer n such that I_n contains r -elements $x_1 + \dots + (a_i)^{j-1}x_j + \dots + (a_i)^{r-1}x_r$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, r$) of H , where $a_i \neq a_j$ if $i \neq j$. As is well-known, the determinant of $r \times r$ -matrix $((a_i)^{j-1})$ is $\prod_{i>j} (a_i - a_j)$. This is a unit in A by our assumption. Therefore I_n contains x_1, \dots, x_r . Thus $I \subseteq I_n$.

(b) Since A is noetherian, $\mathfrak{P} = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{Q} \in W} \mathfrak{Q}$ hold, where $W = \{\mathfrak{Q} \in U(\mathfrak{p}); \mathfrak{Q} \subset \mathfrak{P}\}$. Suppose that $U(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{P}})$ is countable. Then by (a) $\mathfrak{P} = \mathfrak{Q}$ for some $\mathfrak{Q} \in W$. This contradicts the assumption that $ht(\mathfrak{P}/\mathfrak{p}) \geq 2$.

LEMMA 3. Let A be a ring satisfying the following conditions either (a) or (b):

(a) A contains an uncountable field.

(b) A is a semi local ring such that A/\mathfrak{M} is uncountable for each maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} in A .

Then A contains an uncountable set E such that $a-b$ is a unit in A for all $a \neq b$ in E .

PROOF. If A satisfies the condition (a), there is nothing to prove. Suppose that A satisfies the condition (b). Let $\mathfrak{M}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{M}_r$ be the maximal ideals in A . Let J be a set such that $\text{card}(J) = \min \{\text{card}(A/\mathfrak{M}_i); i=1, \dots, r\}$, where $\text{card}(\ast)$ stands for the cardinality of \ast . Let S_i be a complete set of representatives for the non-zero elements of A/\mathfrak{M}_i , and let $\{a_{ij}; j \in J, a_{ij} \neq a_{ih} \text{ if } j \neq h\}$ be a subset of S_i . For each j , there exists a_j of A such that $a_j \equiv a_{ij} \pmod{\mathfrak{M}_i}$ for $i=1, \dots, r$. Then $E = \{a_j; j \in J\}$ is a desired set (cf. [8, p. 94]).

LEMMA 4. Let A be a noetherian ring and let S be a multiplicative subset of A generated by countably many elements. Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset$. If $U(\mathfrak{p})$ is an uncountable set, then $U(S^{-1}\mathfrak{p})$ is also an uncountable set.

PROOF. Considering A/\mathfrak{p} , we may assume that A is an integral domain and $\mathfrak{p} = 0$. Let S be the multiplicative subset in A generated by $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, \dots$.

For each s_n , there is only a finite number of height one prime ideals in A which contain s_n . Therefore $Ht_1(S^{-1}A)$ is uncountable.

We can now prove the following:

THEOREM 5. *Let A be a noetherian ring satisfying the following conditions either (a) or (b):*

(a) *A contains an uncountable field.*

(b) *A is a semi local ring such that A/\mathfrak{M} is uncountable for each maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} in A .*

Then, if S is a multiplicative subset of A generated by countably many elements of $\text{rad}(A)$, $S^{-1}A$ is a Hilbert ring.

PROOF. Let $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ be an arbitrary non-maximal prime ideal in $S^{-1}A$, and let $S^{-1}\mathfrak{P}$ be a maximal ideal containing $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{P} \in \text{Spec}(A)$. Since S is a subset of $\text{rad}(A)$, \mathfrak{P} is a non-maximal prime ideal in A . Hence $\dim(A/\mathfrak{p}) \geq 2$. Therefore by Lemma 3 and (b) of Lemma 2, $U(\mathfrak{p})$ is uncountable, so $U(S^{-1}\mathfrak{p})$ is infinite by Lemma 4. Thus $S^{-1}A$ is a Hilbert ring by Lemma 1.

THEOREM 6. *Let A be a noetherian Hilbert ring such that $U(\mathfrak{p})$ is uncountable for any non-maximal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in A . Let S be a multiplicative subset of A generated by countably many elements. Then $S^{-1}A$ is a Hilbert ring.*

PROOF. Let $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ be a non-maximal prime ideal in $S^{-1}A$, where $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$. Since $U(\mathfrak{p})$ is uncountable, $U(S^{-1}\mathfrak{p})$ is infinite by Lemma 4. Therefore $S^{-1}A$ is a Hilbert ring.

REMARK. Let T be the multiplicative subset of $\mathbf{C}[X]$ generated by $\{X - a; a \in \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{N}\}$, and let $A = (T^{-1}\mathbf{C}[X])[Y]$, where X, Y are indeterminates. Let S be the multiplicative subset in A generated by $\{X - n; n = 2, 3, \dots\}$. Then A contains an uncountable field and $\text{Max}(A)$ is uncountable, but $S^{-1}A$ is not a Hilbert ring.

2. We shall consider the following question: Let \mathfrak{M} be a maximal ideal of a noetherian Hilbert domain A , and let $n = ht(\mathfrak{M})$. Then, do there exist infinitely many height n maximal ideals in A ?

Krull [6, p. 371] and Roberts [11] constructed two dimensional noetherian Hilbert domains with only a finite number of height one maximal ideals. Hence, if $n = 1$, the above question is not true. We begin with some affirmative cases.

PROPOSITION 7. (a) *If A is a noetherian Hilbert domain, then the above question is true for $A[X]$, where X is an indeterminate.*

(b) *Let (A, \mathfrak{M}) be a noetherian local domain, and let s be a non-zero element of \mathfrak{M} . Then the above question is true for A_s (A_s is a Hilbert domain by*

[4, (10. 5. 8)]).

For the proof of this proposition, we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA 8. (Theorem 1 in [7]). *Let \mathfrak{P} be a height n prime ideal in a noetherian ring. Then almost all the prime ideals directly above \mathfrak{P} have height $n+1$.*

LEMMA 9. *Let (A, \mathfrak{M}) be a noetherian local domain. If there exists a height one prime ideal \mathfrak{q} in A such that $ht(\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{q})=1$, then $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A); \mathfrak{M} \supset \mathfrak{p} \supset 0 \text{ is saturated}\}$ is an infinite set.*

PROOF. This is immediate from Proposition 1 in [7].

PROOF of Proposition 7. (a) Let \mathfrak{R} be a maximal ideal in $A[X]$. By Theorem 5 in [3], $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{R} \cap A$ is a maximal ideal in A . Then it is easy to see that there exist infinitely many maximal ideals in $A[X]$, containing $\mathfrak{M}A[X]$, of height $ht(\mathfrak{R}) = ht(\mathfrak{M}) + 1$.

(b) Let \mathfrak{p}_{A_s} be a maximal ideal in A_s , where $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$. We see then immediately that $\dim(A/\mathfrak{p})=1$. Let $\mathfrak{M} \supset \mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{p}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathfrak{p}_{n-1} \supset 0$ be a saturated chain of prime ideals in A , where $n = ht(\mathfrak{p})$. By applying Lemma 9 for A/\mathfrak{p}_1 , we see that $W_1 = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(A); \mathfrak{M} \supset \mathfrak{q} \supset \mathfrak{p}_1, ht(\mathfrak{M}/\mathfrak{q}) = ht(\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}_1) = 1\}$ is an infinite set. Hence $W_2 = \{\mathfrak{q} \in W_1; \mathfrak{q} \not\supset \mathfrak{s}, ht(\mathfrak{q}) = n\}$ is infinite by Lemma 8. Therefore \mathfrak{q}_{A_s} is a height n maximal ideal in A_s for each $\mathfrak{q} \in W_2$.

Our main aim in this note is to construct a noetherian Hilbert domain with a preassigned number of maximal ideals of preassigned height. For the construction of this example we require several lemmas.

For the rest of this section we assume that k is an algebraically closed field contained in the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} . We denote by $k^n (n \geq 2)$ the affine n -space over k , and also denote by $A = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ the affine coordinate ring of k^n . For each pair of integers (r, m) , where $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ and $m \geq 0$, $U_{r,m}$ is the linear subvariety of k^n defined as follows: $U_{r,0} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in k^n; z_{r+1} = \cdots = z_n = 0\}$ and $U_{r,m} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in k^n; z_{r+1} = m^{-1}, z_{r+2} = \cdots = z_n = 0\}$ if $m \neq 0$. It is clear that $U_{r,0} \supset U_{r-1,m}$.

Let V be a linear subvariety of k^n . We say that an irreducible closed subset L (in Zariski topology) of V is a hypersurface in V if $\dim(L) = \dim(V) - 1$.

LEMMA 9. *Let V and V_0 be linear subvarieties of k^n given by $X_n = u$ and $X_n = 0$ respectively, where $u \in k - \{0\}$. If L is a hypersurface in V and Q_1, \dots, Q_s are points of $k^n - V$, then there is a hypersurface H in k^n such that (i) $H \cap V = L$, (ii) $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \notin H$ and (iii) $H \cap V_0$ does not meet the set $E = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in k^n; z_n = 0 \text{ and } |z_i| \leq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n-1\}$.*

PROOF. Since L is a hypersurface in V , L is defined by an irreducible polynomial f in $k[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]$. Let (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, u) be a point of $V-L$. We then put $F_t(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (X_n - ut)^{\text{deg}(f)} f((X_n - ut)^{-1}u(1-t)(X_1 - a_1t) + a_1, \dots, (X_n - ut)^{-1}u(1-t)(X_{n-1} - a_{n-1}t) + a_{n-1}t)$, where $t \in k$. We first show that $S = \{t \in k; F_t(Q_i) = 0 \text{ for some } i\}$ is a finite set. Suppose that $F_t(b_1, \dots, b_n)$ is zero as a polynomial in t for some $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in k^n$. Since $F_1(b_1, \dots, b_n) = (b_n - u)^{\text{deg}(f)} \cdot f(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$, we must have $b_n = u$. Therefore $F_t(b_1, \dots, b_n) = (u - ut)^{\text{deg}(f)} \cdot f(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$, and hence $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in L$. This shows that $F_t(Q_i)$ is not zero as a polynomial in t ($i = 1, \dots, s$). Thus S is a finite set. On the other hand, F_t is an irreducible polynomial in A if $t \neq 1$. Therefore it defines a hypersurface H_t in k^n . Since $F_t(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, u) = (u - ut)^{\text{deg}(f)} f(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1})$, we have $L = H_t \cap V$. Moreover $H_t \cap V_0$ is defined by $F_t(X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, 0) = (-ut)^{\text{deg}(f)} f(t^{-1}(t-1)X_1 + a_1, \dots, t^{-1}(t-1)X_{n-1} + a_{n-1})$. Now choose a positive rational number ε so that $\sum_{i=1}^n |z_i - a_i|^2 < \varepsilon$ implies $f(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \neq 0$, and also choose $t \in k - S \cup \{1\}$ such that $1 < \varepsilon |t(t-1)|^{-2} n^{-1}$. Then $\text{Max}\{|z_1|, \dots, |z_{n-1}|\} \leq 1$ implies $\sum_{i=1}^n |(t^{-1}(t-1)z_i + a_i) - a_i|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |t^{-1}(t-1)z_i|^2 < |t^{-1}(t-1)|^2 n < \varepsilon$, hence $F_t(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}, 0) \neq 0$. This shows that $H_t \cap E = \emptyset$. Therefore the proof is complete.

LEMMA 10. Let V be a linear subvariety of k^n , L a hypersurface in V . Assume that $\dim(V) \geq 1$. If $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in k^n - V$, then there is a hypersurface H in k^n such that (i) $H \cap V = L$ and (ii) $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \notin H$. Moreover assume that V is defined by $X_{r+1} = \dots = X_n = 0$ ($r \geq 1$). Then H can be chosen so that it does not contain any linear subvarieties given by $X_q = v, X_{q+1} = \dots = X_n = 0$ where $n \geq q \geq r+1$ and $v \in k$.

PROOF. We may assume that V is defined by $X_{r+1} = \dots = X_n = 0$ ($r \geq 1$). Then L is defined by an irreducible polynomial f in $k[X_1, \dots, X_r]$. For each point $\alpha = (a_{ij})$ of $k^{r(n-r)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$ and $r+1 \leq j \leq n$), we put $G(\alpha; X_1, \dots, X_n) = f(X_1 + \sum_{j=r+1}^n a_{1j} X_j, \dots, X_r + \sum_{j=r+1}^n a_{rj} X_j)$. Since f is also an irreducible polynomial in $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, so is $G(\alpha; X_1, \dots, X_n)$, and therefore it defines a hypersurface H_α in k^n . It is obvious that $H_\alpha \cap V = L$ and $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \notin H_\alpha$ for a suitable choice of $\alpha \in k^{r(n-r)}$. Finally assume that H_α contains a linear subvariety given by $X_q = v, X_{q+1} = \dots = X_n = 0$ ($q \geq r+1, v \in k$). Then $G(\alpha; X_1, \dots, X_r, 0, \dots, v, 0, \dots, 0) = f(X_1 + a_{1q}v, \dots, X_r + a_{rq}v) = 0$, which is impossible. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 11. Assume that L is a hypersurface in $U_{r,m}$ ($m \neq 0$). Let $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \in k^n - U_{r,m}$. Then there is a hypersurface H in k^n such that (i) $H \cap U_{r,m} = L$, (ii) $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \notin H$ and (iii) H does not contain any $U_{r,m'}$ (where $r = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $m' = 0, 1, \dots$).

PROOF. It is clear that $U_{r,0}, U_{r,m} \subset U_{r+1,0}$. We may assume that Q_1, \dots, Q_s

$\in U_{r+1,0}$ and $Q_{s'+1}, \dots, Q_s \notin U_{r+1,0}$. By Lemma 9, there is a hypersurface H_1 in $U_{r+1,0}$ such that $H_1 \cap U_{r,m} = L$, $Q_1, \dots, Q_s \notin H_1$ and $U_{r',m'} \not\subset H_1$ for $r' \leq r$ and $m' \geq 0$. By Lemma 10, there is a hypersurface H in k^n such that $H \cap U_{r+1,0} = H_1$, $Q_s, \dots, Q_s \notin H$ and $U_{r',m'} \not\subset H$ for $r' > r$ and $m' \geq 0$. It is now obvious that H satisfies the above properties (i), (ii) and (iii).

Let Z be a subset of \mathbf{C}^n . We denote by Z' and Z^* the closures of Z with respect to the Zariski topology and the usual topology on \mathbf{C}^n respectively.

LEMMA 12. *Let Z be an irreducible closed subvariety of k^n . Then $Z' = Z^*$ in \mathbf{C}^n .*

PROOF. See [12].

LEMMA 13. *Let H_1, \dots, H_s be hypersurfaces in k^n , and let Z be an irreducible closed subvariety of k^n with $\dim(Z) \geq 1$. Further let r be an integer ($1 \leq r \leq n-1$). If $Z \not\subset U_{r,m}$ and $Z \not\subset H_i$ for every $m (= 0, 1, \dots)$ and $i (= 1, \dots, s)$, then $Z - \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{r,m} \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$ is an infinite set.*

PROOF. We may assume that $k = \mathbf{C}$. In fact, by Lemma 12, $(\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{r,m} \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s)^* = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{r,m}^* \cup H_1^* \cup \dots \cup H_s^* = \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U'_{r,m} \cup H'_1 \cup \dots \cup H'_s$. Therefore to prove that $Z - \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{r,m} \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$ is an infinite set, it is enough to show that $Z' - \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U'_{r,m} \cup H'_1 \cup \dots \cup H'_s$ is an infinite set. Thus we may assume that $k = \mathbf{C}$. If $Z \not\subset U_{r+1,0}$, then $Z \not\subset U_{r+1,0} \cup H \cup \dots \cup H_s$. Consequently $Z - U_{r+1,0} \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$ is an infinite set and therefore so is $Z - \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{r,m} \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$. We now consider the case $Z \subset U_{r+1,0}$. Replacing k^n by $U_{r+1,0}$, we may assume that $r+1 = n$. We then use induction on $d = \dim(Z)$. First suppose that $d = 1$. Since Z is uncountable and $\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} (Z \cap U_{n-1,m}) \cup (Z \cap H_1) \cup \dots \cup (Z \cap H_s)$ is countable, $Z - \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{r,m} \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$ is uncountable. Therefore the assertion has been established for the case $d = 1$. Suppose next that $d > 1$. We put \mathcal{W} = the set of all irreducible closed subvarieties W of Z with $\dim(W) = d-1$. \mathcal{W} is an uncountable set. Assume that $Z \subset \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{n-1,m} \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$; then every element of \mathcal{W} is contained in at least one of $U_{n-1,m}$ or H_i by the induction hypothesis. Therefore it is an irreducible component of some of $Z \cap U_{n-1,m}$ or $Z \cap H_i$. Therefore \mathcal{W} is a countable set. This is a contradiction. This shows that $Z \not\subset \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{n-1,m} \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$. We can now choose an irreducible closed subvariety Z_1 of Z so that $\dim(Z_1) = 1$ and $Z_1 \not\subset \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{n-1,m} \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$. Since $Z_1 - \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{n-1,m} \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$ is an infinite set, so is $Z - \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{n-1,m} \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$. The lemma is thereby proved.

The following is a corollary to Lemma 13.

LEMMA 14. *Let H_1, \dots, H_s be hypersurfaces in k^n , and let Z an irreducible closed subvariety of k^n with $\dim(Z) \geq 1$. Further let \mathcal{V} be a subset of $\{U_{r,m}; r$*

$=1, \dots, n-1$ and $m=1, 2, \dots\}$. If $Z \not\subset V$ and $Z \not\subset H_i$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and i , then $Z - \cup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$ is an infinite set.

PROOF. Choose a positive integer r such that $Z \not\subset U_{r,0}$ and $Z \subset U_{r+1,0}$. Since $Z \cap V = \emptyset$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\dim(V) \geq r+1$, and since $V \subset U_{r,0}$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\dim(V) < r$, it is sufficient to prove that $Z - \cup_{m=0}^{\infty} U_{r,m} \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_s$ is an infinite set, but this is obvious by Lemma 13.

We now proceed to the construction of a noetherian Hilbert domain with a preassigned number of maximal ideals of preassigned height.

Assume that k is a countable, algebraically closed field contained in \mathbb{C} . Let $1 \leq r_1 < \dots < r_s < n$ be a sequence of positive integers, and let m_1, \dots, m_s be a sequence of positive integers or ∞ . Choose a subset \mathcal{V} of $\{U_{r,m}; r=1, \dots, n-1, m=1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ so that the number of elements V of \mathcal{V} with $\dim(V) = n - r_i$ is m_i for each $i=1, \dots, s$. We now define \mathbf{P}_1 = the set of all irreducible closed subvarieties of k^n which are hypersurfaces in some elements of \mathcal{V} , and \mathbf{P}_2 = the product of \mathbb{N} and the set of all irreducible closed subvarieties C of k^n such that $\dim(C) \geq 1$ and $C \not\subset \cup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V$. Since k is countable, so are \mathbf{P}_1 and \mathbf{P}_2 . Therefore we can put $\mathbf{P}_1 = \{P_i; i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\mathbf{P}_2 = \{(\xi_i, C_i); i \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We shall construct, in succession, positive integers e_1, e_2, \dots , points Q_1, Q_2, \dots of k^n and hypersurfaces H_1, H_2, \dots in k^n as follows. We put $e_1 = 1$. Let $Q_1 \in C_1 - \cup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V$, and let V_1 be an element of \mathcal{V} in which P_1 is a hypersurface. By Lemma 11, there is a hypersurface H_1 in k^n such that $V \not\subset H_1$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $H_1 \cap V_1 = P_1$ and $Q_1 \in H_1$. For each positive integer $i (> 1)$, we choose an element V_i of \mathcal{V} in which P_i is a hypersurface. Suppose that we can choose positive integers e_1, \dots, e_{t-1} , points Q_1, \dots, Q_{t-1} of k^n and hypersurfaces H_1, \dots, H_{t-1} in k^n such that $Q_i \in C_{e_i} - \cup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_{i-1}$, $Q_i \in H_i$, $V \not\subset H_i$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and $H_i \cap V_i = P_i$ for $i=1, \dots, t-1$. Since $\{i; C=C_i\}$ is an infinite set for every irreducible closed subvariety C of k^n such that $\dim(C) \geq 1$ and $C \not\subset \cup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V$, the set $\{i > e_{t-1}; C_i \not\subset H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_{t-1}\}$ is not empty. Then we put $e_t = \text{Min}\{i > e_{t-1}; C_i \not\subset H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_{t-1}\}$. By Lemma 14, we can choose a point Q_t of $C_{e_t} - \cup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} V \cup H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_{t-1}$, $Q_t \neq Q_1, \dots, Q_{t-1}$. Then by Lemma 11, there is a hypersurface H_t in k^n such that $V \not\subset H_t$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$, $H_t \cap V_t = P_t$ and $Q_1, \dots, Q_t \in H_t$. We shall now prove that the above sequence H_1, H_2, \dots of hypersurfaces in k^n has the following properties:

- (a) if V is an element of \mathcal{V} , then every proper closed subvariety of V is contained in some H_t ;
- (b) $V \not\subset H_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V \in \mathcal{V}$;
- (c) $L - \cup_{i=1}^{\infty} H_i$ is an infinite set for every positive dimensional irreducible closed subvariety L of k^n which is not contained in any $V \in \mathcal{V}$ and H_t .

In fact (a) and (b) are obvious by the construction of H_t . To prove (c), note that $\{i \in \mathbb{N}; L = C_i\}$ is an infinite set. Suppose now that $L = C_i$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then there is an integer j such that $e_{j-1} < i \leq e_j$. If $i < e_j$, then $L = C_i \subset H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_{j-1}$ from the definition of e_j , which is a contradiction. Therefore $i = e_j$; hence $J = \{i \in \mathbf{N}; L = C_{e_j}\}$ is an infinite set. Since $Q_j \in L - \bigcup_{t=1}^{\infty} H_t$ for $j \in J$, the assertion (c) is proved.

Let now f_t be a defining polynomial of H_t in $A = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ for each $t \in \mathbf{N}$, and let S be a multiplicative subset of A generated by f_1, f_2, \dots . We then put $R = S^{-1}A$.

THEOREM 15. *R is a noetherian Hilbert domain such that (i) $\dim(R) = n$, (ii) $\{r_1, \dots, r_s, n\} = \{ht(\mathfrak{m}); \mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max}(R)\}$ and (iii) m_i is the number of maximal ideals in R with height r_i for each $i = 1, \dots, s$.*

PROOF. First, (a) and (b) imply that $\mathfrak{p}(V)R$ is a maximal ideal in R for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$, where $\mathfrak{p}(V)$ is the prime ideal in A corresponding to V . Next, (c) implies that if \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in R such that $ht(\mathfrak{p}) < n$ and $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathfrak{p}(V)R$ for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$, then \mathfrak{p} is contained in infinitely many height n maximal ideals in R . Therefore the proof is complete.

REMARK. By the above property (c), $ht(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = n$ for any non-maximal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in R , but R has a maximal ideal, of which height is less than n . Therefore R is another counterexample with relation to Remark 2.6 in [9]: If A is a noetherian ring such that $ht(\mathfrak{p}) + \dim(A/\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(A)$ for any non-maximal prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in A , then does A satisfy that $ht(\mathfrak{M}) = \dim(A)$ or 1 for any maximal ideal \mathfrak{M} in A ? (cf. [2], p. 478).

References

- [1] K. Fujita, Infinite dimensional noetherian Hilbert domains, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **5** (1975), 181–185.
- [2] K. Fujita, Some counterexamples related to prime chains in integral domains, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **5** (1975), 473–485.
- [3] O. Goldman, Hilbert rings and the Hilbert Nullstellensatz, *Math. Z.*, **54** (1951), 136–140.
- [4] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV (Troisième Partie)*, Publ. Math. I. H. E. S., No. 28, 1966.
- [5] W. Heinzer, Hilbert integral domains with maximal ideals of preassigned height, *J. Alg.*, **29** (1974), 229–231.
- [6] W. Krull, Jacobson'sche Ringe, Hilbert'scher Nullstellensatz, Dimensionstheorie, *Math. Z.*, **54** (1951), 354–387.
- [7] S. McAdam, Saturated chains in noetherian rings, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **23** (1974), 719–728.
- [8] P. Quartararo and H. S. Butts, Finite unions of ideals and modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **52** (1975), 91–96.
- [9] L. J. Ratliff, Characterization of catenary rings, *Amer. J. Math.*, **93** (1971), 1070–1108.

- [10] L. J. Ratliff, Hilbert rings and the chain condition for prime ideals, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **283/284** (1976), 154–163.
- [11] L. G. Roberts, An example of a Hilbert ring with maximal ideals of different height, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **37** (1973), 425–426.
- [12] J.-P. Serre, Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique, *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble*, **6**, 1955–1956, 1–42.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Education,
Kagawa University
and
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*

