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## 1. Introduction

A smooth (resp. PL locally flat or locally flat) $m$-component link $L$ stands for $m$ embedded disjoint $n$-spheres $L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{m}$ in $S^{n+2}$. A knot is nothing but a 1 -component link. A smooth (resp. PL locally flat or locally flat) $m$-component link is called trivial if it bounds $m$ smoothly (resp. PL locally flatly or locally flatly) embedded disjoint ( $n+1$ )-disks. The complement of a trivial knot has the homotopy type of a circle $S^{1}$. The converse is known to be true for a locally flat knot. The converse is also known to be true for a smooth (or PL locally flat) knot when $n \neq 2$ ([9] for $n \geq 4$, [14] for $n=3$ and [13] for $n=1$ ). The complement of a trivial $m$-component link has the homotopy type of a one point union $\left(\vee_{i=1}^{m} S_{i}^{1}\right) \vee\left(\vee_{j=1}^{m-1} S_{j}^{n+1}\right)$ of circles and $(n+1)$-spheres. So, there arises a natural question whether a link is trivial if the complement of the link has the homotopy type of a one point union of spheres. One of the purposes of this paper is to settle this question affirmatively provided that $n \neq 2$ :

Theorem 1. Let $L \subset S^{n+2}$ be a smooth (resp. PL locally flat or locally flat) $m$-component link such that $S^{n+2}-L$ has the homotopy type of $\left(\vee_{m} S^{1}\right) \vee$ $\left(\mathrm{V}_{m-1} S^{n+1}\right)$. Suppose that $n \neq 2$. Then $L$ is trivial.

A one point union of spheres has a special property that it is covered by two subsets which are contractible. This property itself is not a homotopy type invariant and a better notion is that it has Lusternik-Schnirelmann category one. The category cat $X$ of a space $X$ is the least integer $n$ such that $X$ can be covered by $n+1$ number of open subsets each of which is contractible to a point in $X$. In particular, cat $X$ is a homotopy type invariant and cat $\left(\left(\vee_{m} S^{1}\right) \vee\left(\vee_{m-1} S^{n+1}\right)\right)=1$. We know that $\pi_{1}(X)$ is a free group if $X$ is a manifold and cat $X=1$ (cf. [5]).

A locally flat $\mathrm{knot}\left(S^{n+2}, S^{n}\right)$ is topologically unknotted if and only if the category of its complement is one [11]. In fact, cat $\left(S^{n+2}-S^{n}\right)=1$ if and only if $S^{n+2}-S^{n}$ has the homotopy type of $S^{1}$. So, a smooth (or PL locally flat) knot $\left(S^{n+2}, S^{n}\right)$ is unknotted if and only if cat $\left(S^{n+2}-S^{n}\right)=1$ when $n \neq 2$.

By Theorem 1 of [8] the link complement $S^{n+2}-L$ has the homotopy
type of $\left(\vee_{m} S^{1}\right) \vee\left(\vee_{m-1} S^{n+1}\right)$ if cat $\left(S^{n+2}-L\right)=1$. So, Theorem 1 implies the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let L be a smooth (resp. PL locally flat or locally flat) $m$-component link in $S^{n+2}$. Suppose that $n \neq 2$. Then $L$ is trivial if and only if cat $\left(S^{n+2}-L\right)=1$.

A classical link $L$ is trivial if $\pi_{1}\left(S^{3}-L\right)$ is free by the loop theorem [13]. So, Theorem 1 is already known for $n=1$. If $S^{n+2}-L$ has the homotopy type of $\left(\vee_{m} S^{1}\right) \vee\left(\vee_{m-1} S^{n+1}\right)$, we will show that $L$ is a boundary link in §2. Then, we see that $L$ is trivial by the unlinking criterion of boundary links due to Gutiérrez ([6] for $n \geq 4$ and use the splitting theorem [1] for $n=3$ ).

The dimensional restriction can be removed for the case of knot by considering homeomorphism rather than diffeomorphism but remains unknown for the case of 2-dimensional link [4].

Theorem 2 has been conjectured by Professor T. Matumoto to whom the author would like to express his sincere gratitude for suggesting the problem.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

In the proof the link exterior $E=S^{n+2}-\operatorname{Int} N(L)$ is more useful than the link complement $S^{n+2}-L$ where $N(L)$ denotes a tubular neighborhood of $L ; E$ is a compact manifold with boundary $\partial E=\partial N(L)$ and has the homotopy type of the link complement. By the unlinking criterion of boundary links due to Gutierrez [1], [6] it suffices to show that $L$ is a boundary link if $S^{n+2}-L$ has the homotopy type of $\left(\vee_{m} S^{1}\right) \vee\left(\vee_{m-1} S^{n+1}\right)$. We recall the definition of a boundary link; a smooth (resp. PL locally flat or locally flat) $m$-component link is boundary if it bounds a Seifert manifold which consists of $m$ disjoint compact smooth (resp. PL locally flat or locally flat) $(n+1)$-submanifolds with connected boundary. We remark that an element of $\pi_{1}(E)$ is called meridian if it is conjugate to a generator of the fundamental group of some component $S^{1} \times S^{n}$ of $\partial E$. We will find $m$ number of meridians $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{m}$ which generate $\pi_{1}(E)$; this is a necessary and sufficient condition for the link to be boundary in our case that $\pi_{1}(E)$ is a free group by [6, p. 493, Prop. 3] and [10, p. 109, Cor. 2.12].

Let $i(k): \partial N\left(L_{k}\right) \rightarrow E$ be the inclusion map for $k$. Then, $i(k)_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\partial N\left(L_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{n}(E)$ is a 0 -map for any $k$, since $\pi_{n}(E)=0$. So, it suffices to show the following proposition in order to prove Theorem 1.

Proposition 2.1. Let $L$ be an m-component link and $i(k): \partial N\left(L_{k}\right) \rightarrow E$ be
the inclusion map for a component $L_{k}$ of the link $L$. Suppose that $\pi_{1}(E)$ is a free group and $i(k)_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\partial N\left(L_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}(E)$ is a 0 -map for any $k$. Then, $L$ is boundary.

Proof. By induction on $m$ we will prove Proposition 2.1. Note that $\pi_{1}(E)$ is a free group $F_{m}$ of rank $m$. The case when $m=1$ is proven because $\pi_{1}(E)$ is isomorphic to an infinite cyclic group $\mathbf{Z}$ and it is generated by any meridian. So, we may assume that $m \geq 2$. Suppose that Proposition 2.1 is true for any $j$-component sublink $L^{\prime}$ of $L(j<m)$ which satisfies the assumption in Proposition 2.1 that $i^{\prime}(k)_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\partial N\left(L_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ is a 0 -map for any component $L_{k}$ of $L^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{1}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ is a free group. Here $L^{\prime}$ is a sublink of $L$ with the exterior $E^{\prime}$ and $i^{\prime}(k): \partial N\left(L_{k}\right) \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ is the inclusion for a component $L_{k}$ of $L^{\prime}$. We fix $m$ number of meridians $m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{m}^{\prime}$ of $L$ corresponding to the components $\partial N\left(L_{1}\right), \partial N\left(L_{2}\right), \ldots, \partial N\left(L_{m}\right)$ of $\partial E$. Let $H_{i}\left(\subset \pi_{1}(E)\right)$ be an infinite cyclic subgroup generated by $m_{i}^{\prime}$.

Even when ( $E, \partial E$ ) admits no triangulation, $(E, \partial E)$ has the simple homotopy type of a finite Poincaré complex by [7, III, §4] and we will denote by $(E, \partial E)$ this finite Poincare complex instead of the original link exterior in this case. Let $p: \widetilde{E} \rightarrow E$ be the universal covering of $E$ and put $\partial \widetilde{E}=p^{-1}(\partial E)$. Let $H_{c}^{*}(X ; \mathbf{Z})$ denote the cohomology with compact support of $X$. Since the CW complex pair $(\tilde{E}, \partial \widetilde{E})$ has the proper homotopy type of the universal covering of the original link exterior, we can apply the Poincare duality theorem for the non-compact manifold and see that the left $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]$-module $H_{n+1}(\tilde{E}, \partial \tilde{E} ; \mathbf{Z})$ is anti- $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]$ isomorphic to the right $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]-$ module $H_{c}^{1}(\tilde{E} ; \mathbf{Z})$ and the left $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]$-module $H_{n}\left(p^{-1}\left(\partial N\left(L_{i}\right)\right) ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$ is anti- $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]$ isomorphic to the right $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]$-module $H_{c}^{1}\left(p^{-1}\left(\partial N\left(L_{i}\right)\right) ; \mathbf{Z}\right)$.

We recall the relationship between homology of free coverings and cohomology of groups. Let $C_{\#}(X)$ denote the cellular chain complex of a cellular complex $X$ and $C_{c}^{\#}(X)$ denote the cellular cochain complex with compact support of a cellular complex $X$. We see that $C_{c}^{\#}(\tilde{E})$ is cochain equivalent to the cochain complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{z}\left[F_{m}\right]}\left(C_{\#}(\tilde{E}), \mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]\right)$, and that $C_{c}^{\#}\left(p^{-1}\left(\partial N\left(L_{i}\right)\right)\right)$ is cochain equivalent to the cochain complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{z}\left[F_{m}\right]}\left(C_{\#}\right.$ $\left.\left(p^{-1}\left(\partial N\left(L_{i}\right)\right)\right), \mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]\right)$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 (2) of [8]. Let $H^{*}(H: \mathbf{Z} G)$ denote the cohomology of a group $H$ with coefficient $Z G$. Note that the kernel of $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]$-homomorphism between finitely generated projective $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]-$ modules is a finitely generated projective $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]$-module. In fact, it is projective because $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]$ has the global dimension two due to [12, p. 326, Cor. 2.7], and finitely generated because $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]$ is coherent [2, p. 137, Th. (2.1)], $[16$, p. 158, Prop. $]$. Note that the cellular chain complexes $\left\{C_{\#}(\tilde{E}), \partial_{\#}\right\}$ and $\left\{C_{\#}\left(p^{-1}\left(\partial N\left(L_{i}\right)\right)\right), \partial_{\#}^{\prime}\right\}$ are chain complexes of finitely generated free $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]-$ modules and $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]$-homomorphisms.

Since $\tilde{E}$ is the universal covering, $H_{1}(\tilde{E} ; \mathbf{Z})=0$. Then, we have the following projective resolution of $\mathbf{Z}$ over $\mathbf{Z}\left[\pi_{1}(E)\right]=\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]: 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \partial_{2} G$ $C_{2}(\tilde{E}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} C_{1}(\tilde{E}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} C_{0}(\tilde{E}) \rightarrow C_{0}(\tilde{E}) / \operatorname{Im} \partial_{1} \cong \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$. Hence, we get $H_{c}^{1}(\tilde{E} ; \mathbf{Z}) \cong$ $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]}\left(C_{\#}(\tilde{E}), \mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]\right)\right) \cong H^{1}\left(\pi_{1}(E) ; \mathbf{Z}\left[\pi_{1}(E)\right]\right)$.

Let $M_{i}$ denote one of the connected components of $p^{-1}\left(\partial N\left(L_{i}\right)\right)$. Note that the cellular chain complex $\left\{C_{\#}\left(M_{i}\right), \partial_{\#}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ of $M_{i}$ is a chain complex of finitely genereted free $\mathbf{Z}\left[H_{i}\right]$-modules. Since $M_{i}$ is the universal covering of $p\left(M_{i}\right), H_{1}\left(M_{i} ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=0$. Then, we have the following projective resolution of $\mathbf{Z}$ over $\mathbf{Z}\left[H_{i}\right]: 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} \partial_{2}^{\prime \prime} \subseteq C_{2}\left(M_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}^{\prime \prime}} C_{1}\left(M_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}^{\prime \prime}} C_{0}\left(M_{i}\right) \rightarrow C_{0}\left(M_{i}\right) / \operatorname{Im} \partial_{1}^{\prime \prime} \cong \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow$ 0 . We have the natural $\mathbf{Z}\left[\pi_{1}(E)\right]$-isomorphisms $q_{j}: C_{j}\left(M_{i}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{z}_{\left[H_{i}\right]}} \mathbf{Z}\left[\pi_{1}(E)\right] \stackrel{ }{\Longrightarrow}$ $C_{j}\left(p^{-1}\left(\partial N\left(L_{i}\right)\right)\right)$ such that $q_{j-1} \circ\left(\partial_{j}^{\prime \prime} \otimes_{\mathbf{z}\left[H_{i}\right]} i d_{\mathbf{Z}\left[\pi_{1}(E)\right]}\right)=\partial_{j}^{\prime} \circ q_{j}$ for any $j$. Hence, we get $H_{c}^{1}\left(p^{-1}\left(\partial N\left(L_{i}\right)\right) ; \mathbf{Z}\right)=H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]}\left(C_{\#}\left(p^{-1}\left(\partial N\left(L_{i}\right)\right)\right), \quad \mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]\right)\right) \cong$ $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]}\left(C_{\#}\left(M_{i}\right) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}\left[H_{i}\right]} \mathbf{Z}\left[\pi_{1}(E)\right], \mathbf{Z}\left[F_{m}\right]\right)\right) \cong H^{1}\left(H_{i} ; \mathbf{Z}\left[\pi_{1}(E)\right]\right)$.

We consider the following commutative diagram:

where $r_{i}: H^{1}\left(\pi_{1}(E) ; \mathbf{Z}\left[\pi_{1}(E)\right]\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(H_{i} ; \mathbf{Z}\left[\pi_{1}(E)\right]\right)$ is the restriction map induced by the inclusion $H_{i} G \pi_{1}(E)$ and the vertical maps are Poincare duality isomorphisms for non-compact manifolds.

Because $\partial \tilde{E}$ is an $(n+1)$-dimensional non-compact manifold, we have $H_{n+1}(\partial \tilde{E} ; \mathbf{Z})=0$. So, the kernel of $\partial_{*}$ is isomorphic to $H_{n+1}(\tilde{E} ; \mathbf{Z})$ by the homology long exact sequence of $(\tilde{E}, \partial \widetilde{E})$. Then, we see that the kernel of $r$ is isomorphic to $H_{n+1}(\tilde{E} ; \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}^{m-1}$ by the above commutative diagram. Hence, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Ker} r_{i}=\operatorname{Ker} r \neq\{0\}$ when $m \geq 2$. We quote the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2 ([15, p. 75, 1.1. Theorem]). Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and let $H_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, be subgroups of $G$. Let $r_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, denote the restriction maps $H^{1}(G ; \mathbf{Z} G) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(H_{i} ; \mathbf{Z} G\right)$. If the intersection of the kernels of $r_{i}$ is non-zero, then, either
a) $G$ has a non-trivial decomposition $G=G_{1} *_{F} G_{2}$ with $F$ finite and each $H_{i}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$; or
b) $G$ has a non-trivial decomposition $G=G_{1} *_{F}$ with $F$ finite and each $H_{i}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $G_{1}$.

We can apply Theorem 2.2 to the case that $G=\pi_{1}(E)$ and $H_{i}$ is the infinite cyclic subgroup generated by $m_{i}^{\prime}(1 \leq i \leq m)$, since we have shown that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \operatorname{Ker} r_{i} \neq\{0\}$. Since $\pi_{1}(E)$ is a free group, we see that $\pi_{1}(E)$ doesn't have a non-trivial decomposition of the case b), and we see that $\pi_{1}(E)$ has a non-trivial decomposition $\pi_{1}(E)=G_{1} * G_{2}$ such that each $H_{i}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$.

To complete the induction step we need the following two lemmas.
Lemma 2.3. Let $H$ be a normal subgroup of $A$ and $K$ be a normal subgroup of $B$. If $N$ is the normal closure of the subgroup of $A * B$ generated by $H$ and $K$, then $(A * B) / N \cong(A / H) *(B / K)$.

This algebraic lemma can be proven easily, since $(A * B) / N$ is obtained by adding the relators $H$ and $K$ to the relators of $A * B$ by [10, p. 71, Th. 2.1].

Lemma 2.4. Let $L=L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{m}$ be an m-component link and $L(i)=$ $L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{i-1} \cup L_{i+1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{m}(1 \leq i \leq m)$ be the $(m-1)$-component sublink of $L$ with the exterior $E(i)$. Suppose that $i(k)_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\partial N\left(L_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}(E)$ is a 0 -map for any $k$ and $\pi_{1}(E)$ is a free group. Then $i^{\prime}(k)_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\partial N\left(L_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}(E(i))$ is a 0 -map for the inclusion $i^{\prime}(k): \partial N\left(L_{k}\right) \rightarrow E(i)(k \neq i)$ and $\pi_{1}(E(i))$ is a free group.

Proof of Lemma 2.4. The first statement is easy: Since $i(k)_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\partial N\left(L_{k}\right)\right)$ $\rightarrow \pi_{n}(E)$ is a 0 -map for any $k$ and $i^{\prime}(k)$ is the composition of $i(k)$ and the inclusion $E \hookrightarrow E(i)$, we see that $i^{\prime}(k)_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\partial N\left(L_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}(E(i))$ is a $0-m a p(k \neq i)$.

Now we will prove the other statement. Since each component $\partial N\left(L_{k}\right)$ of $\partial E$ is homeomorphic to $S^{1} \times S^{n}$ and $i(k)_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\partial N\left(L_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}(E)$ is a 0-map for any $k$, we see that $i(k)_{*}: H_{n}\left(p^{-1}\left(\partial N\left(L_{k}\right)\right) ; \mathbf{Z}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}(\tilde{E} ; \mathbf{Z})$ is a 0 -map for any $k$ and hence $H_{n}(\partial \tilde{E} ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_{n}(\tilde{E} ; \mathbf{Z})$ is a 0 -map for the inclusion $\partial \widetilde{E} \leftrightarrows \widetilde{E}$. Then $\partial_{*}: H_{n+1}(\tilde{E}, \partial \widetilde{E} ; \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H_{n}(\partial \tilde{E} ; \mathbf{Z})$ is surjective by the homology long exact sequence of $(\widetilde{E}, \partial \widetilde{E})$. So, $r$ is surjective by the above commutative diagram, and hence each $r_{i}$ is surjective.

So, we can use the following result given in [3].
Proposition 2.5 ([3, p. 246]). Let $H$ be an infinite cyclic subgroup of the finitely generated group $G$. If the restriction map res: $H^{1}(G, \mathbf{Z} G) \rightarrow$ $H^{1}(H, \mathbf{Z} G)$ is surjective, then $H$ is a free factor of $G$.

By Proposition 2.5 there is a free group $K_{i}$ such that $\pi_{1}(E)=H_{i} * K_{i}$ for any $i$. Hence, by Lemma 2.3 the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(E(i))=\pi_{1}(E) / N H_{i}$ of the exterior of the $(m-1)$-component sublink $L(i)$ of $L$ is isomorphic to the free group $K_{i}$, where $N H_{i}$ is the normal closure of $H_{i}$. The proof of Lemma 2.4 is complete. q.e.d.

Recall that $\pi_{1}(E)$ has a non-trivial decomposition $\pi_{1}(E)=G_{1} * G_{2}$ such
that each $H_{i}$ is conjugate to a subgroup of $G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$. Since $\pi_{1}(E)=N\left\langle m_{i}^{\prime} ; 1 \leq\right.$ $i \leq m\rangle$, by Lemma 2.3 and reordering indices, there are an integer $\ell$ with $2 \leq \ell \leq m-1$ and $g_{i} \in \pi_{1}(E)$ with $1 \leq i \leq m$ such that $g_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{-1} \in G_{1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell \quad$ and $\quad g_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{-1} \in G_{2}$ for $\ell+1 \leq i \leq m$. Here $N\left\langle m_{i}^{\prime} ; 1 \leq i \leq m\right\rangle$ denotes the normal closure of the subgroup generated by $m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{m}^{\prime}$.

Now we will show that $G_{1}, G_{2}$ are isomorphic to the fundamental groups of the exteriors of the sublinks of $L$ which satisfy the assumption in Proposition 2.1 and $g_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{-1} \in G_{1}$ or $G_{2}$ correspond to those meridians. Let $N K$ denote the normal closure in $\pi_{1}(E)$ of a subgroup $K$ and $N_{i} K_{i}$ denote the normal closure in $G_{i}$ of a subgroup $K_{i}$ of $G_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $\left\langle x_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq k\right\rangle$ denote the subgroup of $G_{1}, G_{2}$ or $\pi_{1}(E)$ generated by $k$ number of elements $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}$. Since $N\left\langle m_{i}^{\prime} ; \ell+1 \leq i \leq m\right\rangle=N\left(N_{2}\left\langle g_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{-1} ; \ell+1 \leq i \leq m\right\rangle\right)$, the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(E) / N\left\langle m_{i}^{\prime} ; \ell+1 \leq i \leq m\right\rangle$ of the exterior of the sublink $L_{1}$ $\cup \cdots \cup L_{\ell}$ of $L$ is isomorphic to $G_{1} *\left(G_{2} / N_{2}\left\langle g_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{-1} ; \ell+1 \leq i \leq m\right\rangle\right)$ by Lemma 2.3. Because $\pi_{1}(E)=N\left\langle m_{i}^{\prime} ; 1 \leq i \leq m\right\rangle$, by Lemma 2.3 we get ( $G_{1} /$ $\left.N_{1}\left\langle g_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{-1} ; 1 \leq i \leq \ell\right\rangle\right) *\left(G_{2} / N_{2}\left\langle g_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{-1} ; \ell+1 \leq i \leq m\right\rangle\right)=\pi_{1}(E) / N\left\langle m_{i}^{\prime} ;\right.$ $1 \leq i \leq m\rangle=\{1\}$. Then, $G_{2} / N_{2}\left\langle g_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{-1} ; \ell+1 \leq i \leq m\right\rangle=\{1\}$. Hence, $G_{1}$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the exterior of the sublink $L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{\ell}$ of $L$, and $g_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{-1} \in G_{1}(1 \leq i \leq \ell)$ correspond to its meridians. Similarly $G_{2}$ is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the exterior of the sublink $L_{\ell+1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{m}$ of $L$, and $g_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{-1} \in G_{2}(\ell+1 \leq i \leq m)$ correspond to its meridians.

By an inductive argument on the number of compoments of sublinks, Lemma 2.4 implies that two sublinks $L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{\ell}$ and $L_{\ell+1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{m}$ of $L$ satisfy the assumption in Proposition 2.1. Then, by the inductive hypothesis in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we have that $L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{\ell}$ and $L_{\ell+1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{m}$ are boundary links. Hence, as mentioned above, we see that each $G_{i}$ is generated by the meridians in it, that is, there exist $h_{i} \in \pi_{1}(E)(1 \leq i \leq m)$ such that $h_{i} \in G_{1}(1 \leq i \leq \ell)$ and $G_{1}$ is generated by $m_{i}=h_{i} g_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{-1} h_{i}^{-1}(1 \leq i \leq \ell)$, and $h_{i} \in G_{2} \quad(\ell+1 \leq i \leq m)$ and $G_{2}$ is generated by $m_{i}=h_{i} g_{i} m_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{-1} h_{i}^{-1}$ $(\ell+1 \leq i \leq m)$. Hence $\pi_{1}(E)$ is generated by $m$ number of meridians $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$. This implies that $L$ is boundary as mentioned above. q.e.d.

Now $L$ is a boundary link by Proposition 2.1. So, $L$ is trivial by the unlinking criterion [1], [6]. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
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