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#### Abstract

Our aim in this paper is to show the exponential integrability for Riesz potentials of functions in an Orlicz class. As a corollary, we show the double exponential integrability given by Edmunds-Gurka-Opic [3], [4].


## 1. Introduction

For $0<\alpha<n$, we define the Riesz potential of order $\alpha$ for a nonnegative measurable function $f$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ by

$$
R_{\alpha} f(x)=\int|x-y|^{\alpha-n} f(y) d y
$$

In this paper, we give the following theorems, which deal with the limiting cases of Sobolev's imbeddings.

Theorem A. Let $f$ be a nonnegative measurable function on a bounded open set $G \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ satisfying the Orlicz condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G} f(y)^{p}[\log (e+f(y))]^{a}[\log (e+\log (e+f(y)))]^{b} d y<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some numbers $p, a$ and $b$. If $\alpha p=n, a<p-1, \beta=p /(p-1-a)$ and $\gamma=b /(p-1-a)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G} \exp \left[A\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{\beta}\left(\log \left(e+R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right)^{\gamma}\right] d x<\infty \quad \text { for any } A>0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $a=b=0$, inequality (1.2) is well known to hold (see [1], [9], [12], [13]). The case $a<p-1$ and $b=0$ was proved by Edmunds-Krbec [5] and Edmunds-Gurka-Opic [3], [4]; see also Brézis-Wainger [2].

In view of Theorem A, we see that (1.2) is true for every $\beta>0$ (and $\gamma>0$ ) when $a \geqq p-1$. In case $a>p-1$, we know that $R_{\alpha} f$ is continuous on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ (see [7] and [10]).

[^0]In case $a=p-1$, we are also concerned with double exponential integrability given by Edmunds-Gurka-Opic [3], [4].

Theorem B. Let $f$ be a nonnegative measurable function on a bounded open set $G \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ satisfying the Orlicz condition

$$
\int_{G} f(y)^{p}[\log (e+f(y))]^{p-1}[\log (e+\log (e+f(y)))]^{b} d y<\infty
$$

for some numbers $p$ and $b$. If $\alpha p=n, b<p-1$ and $\beta=p /(p-1-b)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G} \exp \left[A \exp \left(B\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{\beta}\right)\right] d x<\infty \quad \text { for any } A>0 \text { and } B>0 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case $b>p-1, R_{\alpha} f$ is continuous on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ (see [7] and [10]), so that (1.3) holds for every $\beta>0$.

## 2. $\alpha$-potentials

For a nonnegative measurable function $f$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, we see (cf. [8, Theorem 1.1, Chapter 2]) that $R_{\alpha} f \not \equiv \infty$ if and only if

$$
\int(1+|y|)^{\alpha-n} f(y) d y<\infty .
$$

Hence it is seen that $R_{\alpha} f \not \equiv \infty$ when $f$ is integrable on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$.
We deal with functions $f$ satisfying the Orlicz condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y<\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Phi_{p}(r)$ is of the form $r^{p} \varphi(r)$, where $1<p<\infty$ and $\varphi$ is a positive monotone function on the interval $[0, \infty)$ of log-type; that is, $\varphi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{-1} \varphi(r) \leqq \varphi\left(r^{2}\right) \leqq M \varphi(r) \quad \text { for any } r>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we note (see [8]) that if $\delta>0$, then there exists $M=M(\delta)$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\delta} \varphi(s) \leqq M t^{\delta} \varphi(t) \quad \text { whenever } t>s>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varphi$ is nondecreasing, then we have for $\eta>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{1}^{\eta} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p} r^{-1} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \geqq \varphi(\eta)^{-1 / p}(\log \eta)^{1 / p^{\prime}}, \quad \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout this note, let $G$ be a bounded open set in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. For a measurable set $E \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$, denote by $|E|$ the Lebesgue measure of $E$, and by $B(x, r)$ the open ball centered at $x$ with radius $r$. Further we use the symbol $C$ to denote a positive constant whose value may change line to line.

Lemma 1 (cf. [8, Remark 1.2, p.60]). There exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\int_{E}|x-y|^{\alpha-n} d y \leqq C|E|^{\alpha / n} \quad \text { for any measurable set } E \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}
$$

Proof. Take $r \geqq 0$ such that $|B(0, r)|=|E|$, that is,

$$
\sigma_{n} r^{n}=|E|
$$

with $\sigma_{n}$ denoting the volume of the unit ball. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E}|x-y|^{\alpha-n} d y & \leqq \int_{B(x, r)}|x-y|^{\alpha-n} d y \\
& =\left(n \sigma_{n}\right)\left(r^{\alpha} / \alpha\right) \\
& =n \sigma_{n} \alpha^{-1}\left(|E| / \sigma_{n}\right)^{\alpha / n} \\
& =n \alpha^{-1} \sigma_{n}^{1-\alpha / n}|E|^{\alpha / n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2 (cf. [7]). Let $\alpha p=n$. Iff is a nonnegative measurable function on $G$ and $\eta \geqq 2$, then

$$
\int_{\{y \in G: 1<f(y)<\eta\}}|x-y|^{\alpha-n} f(y) d y \leqq C\left(\int_{1}^{\eta} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p} r^{-1} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{G} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p}
$$

where $1 / p+1 / p^{\prime}=1$ and $C$ is a positive constant independent of $f$ and $\eta$.
Proof. For each positive integer $j$, set

$$
E_{j}=\left\{y \in G: f(y)>1,2^{-j} \eta \leqq f(y)<2^{-j+1} \eta\right\} .
$$

Then we have by Lemma 1

$$
\int_{E_{j}}|x-y|^{\alpha-n} f(y) d y \leqq 2^{-j+1} \eta \int_{E_{j}}|x-y|^{\alpha-n} d y \leqq C 2^{-j} \eta\left|E_{j}\right|^{1 / p} .
$$

Hence Hölder's inequality yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\{y \in G: 1<f(y)<n\}}|x-y|^{\alpha-n} f(y) d y \\
& \quad=\sum_{j} \int_{E_{j}}|x-y|^{\alpha-n} f(y) d y \\
& \quad \leqq C \sum_{j} 2^{-j} \eta\left|E_{j}\right|^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \leqq C\left(\sum_{j} \varphi\left(2^{-j} \eta\right)^{-p^{\prime} / p}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\sum_{j}\left(2^{-j} \eta\right)^{p} \varphi\left(2^{-j} \eta\right)\left|E_{j}\right|\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \leqq C\left(\int_{1}^{\eta} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p^{-1}} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\{y \in G: 1<f(y)<\eta\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sum is taken over all $j$ such that $2^{-j+1} \eta>1$. Thus Lemma 2 is now proved.

## 3. Exponential integrability

We prepare some lemmas which are used to establish exponential inequalities for Riesz potentials.

Lemma 3 (cf. [5], [6]). Let $\beta>0$ and $u$ be a nonnegative measurable function on G. Then

$$
\int_{G} \exp \left[A u(x)^{\beta}\right] d x<\infty \quad \text { for every } A>0
$$

if and only if

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q^{1 / \beta}}\left(\int_{G} u(x)^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}=0
$$

Lemma 4 (cf. e.g. [13, p.89]). Let f be a nonnegative measurable function on $G$. If $\theta>0$, then

$$
\left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x)\right]^{q_{2}} d x\right)^{1 / q_{2}} \leqq C q_{2}^{1-1 / q_{1}}\left(\int_{G} f(y)^{q_{1}} d y\right)^{1 / q_{1}}
$$

whenever $1 \leqq q_{1}<q_{2}<\infty$ and $\frac{1}{q_{1}}-\frac{\alpha}{n} \leqq \frac{1-\theta}{q_{2}}$, where $C$ is a positive constant independent of $q_{1}, q_{2}$ and $f$.

In view of Lemmas 1,2 and 4, we have the following result.
Corollary 1. Suppose $\varphi$ is nondecreasing. If $\eta_{2}>\eta_{1}>2$ and $q>p=$ $n / \alpha$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{G}\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leqq C \eta_{1} \\
& \quad+C\left(\int_{1}^{\eta_{2}} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p^{-1}} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: \eta_{1}<f(y)<\eta_{2}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad+C q^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left[\varphi\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right]^{-1 / p}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta_{2}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, it suffices to note from Lemma 4 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{G}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta_{2}\right\}}|x-y|^{\alpha-n} f(y) d y\right)^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leqq C q^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta_{2}\right\}} f(y)^{p} d y\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad \leqq C q^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left[\varphi\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right]^{-1 / p}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta_{2}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1. Let $\varphi$ be a positive nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ of $\log$ type such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{1}^{\infty} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p} r^{-1} d r=\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\psi$ be a positive monotone function on $[0, \infty)$ of log-type which satisfies one of the following conditions for $\beta>0$ :
(i) $\psi$ is nondecreasing and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{q \rightarrow \infty} q^{-1 / \beta} \Psi\left((\log q)^{-1}\right)\left(\int_{1}^{e^{q}} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p} r^{-1} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}<\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\delta) \equiv \sup _{r>1} r^{-\delta} \psi(r)<\infty \quad \text { for } \delta>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) $\psi$ is nonincreasing, $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \psi(r)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{q \rightarrow \infty} q^{-1 / \beta} \psi(q)\left(\int_{1}^{e q} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p} r^{-1} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}<\infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha p=n$ and $f$ is a nonnegative measurable function on $G$ satisfying (2.1), then

$$
\int_{G} \exp \left[A\left(R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right)^{\beta}\right] d x<\infty \quad \text { for every } A>0
$$

Proof. First we consider the case when $\psi$ is nondecreasing. If $p<q<\infty$ and $0<\delta<1$, then we have by (3.3)

$$
\left(\int_{\left\{x \in G: R_{\alpha} f(x)>1\right\}}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leqq \Psi(\delta)\left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x)\right]^{q(1+\delta)} d x\right)^{1 / q}
$$

Hence we establish by Corollary 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leqq \psi(1)|G|^{1 / q}+\Psi(\delta)\left(\int_{G}\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{q(1+\delta)} d x\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leqq C+C \Psi(\delta)\left\{\eta_{1}+\left(\int_{1}^{\eta_{2}} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p} r^{-1} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: \eta_{1} \leqq f(y)<\eta_{2}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+q^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left[\varphi\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right]^{-1 / p}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta_{2}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p}\right\}^{1+\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\eta_{2}>\eta_{1}>2$. If we take $\eta_{2}=e^{q}$ and $\delta=(\log q)^{-1}$, then we have by (2.4) and assumption (3.2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{-1 / \beta}\left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leqq C \eta_{1}^{2} \Psi\left((\log q)^{-1}\right) q^{-1 / \beta} \\
& + \\
& C\left\{\Psi\left((\log q)^{-1}\right) q^{-1 / \beta}\left(\int_{1}^{e^{q}} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p} r^{-1} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\right\}^{1+(\log q)^{-1}} \\
& \\
& \times\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta_{1}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{\left(1+(\log q)^{-1}\right) / p} \\
& \leqq C \eta_{1}^{2} \Psi\left((\log q)^{-1}\right) q^{-1 / \beta}+C\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta_{1}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{\left(1+(\log q)^{-1}\right) / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $q>\log \eta_{1}$. Therefore it follows that
$\limsup _{q \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^{1 / \beta}\left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leqq C\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta_{1}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p}$,
which implies that the left hand side is equal to zero, by the arbitrariness of $\eta_{1}$.
Next we consider the case when $\psi$ is nonincreasing. We have by (2.3) with $\varphi=\psi$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leqq C \eta_{1} \psi\left(\eta_{1}\right)+\psi\left(\eta_{1}\right)\left(\int_{\left\{x \in G: R_{\alpha} f(x) \geqq \eta_{1}\right\}}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\eta_{1}>1$. If $e^{q}>\eta_{1}>2$, then we have by Corollary 1 and (2.4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leqq C \eta_{1}+C\left(\int_{1}^{e^{q}} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p} r^{-1} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta_{1}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leqq C \eta_{1} \psi\left(\eta_{1}\right)+C \psi\left(\eta_{1}\right)\left(\int_{1}^{e^{q}} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p^{-1}} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geq \eta_{1}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we take $\eta_{1}=q^{1 / \beta}$ to obtain by (2.2) on $\psi$ and (3.4)

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^{1 / \beta}\left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}=0
$$

Now we obtain the required assertion from Lemma 3.
Corollary 2. Let $f$ be a nonnegative measurable function on a bounded open set $G \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ satisfying (1.1) when $0<a<p-1$ or when $a=0$ and $b \geqq 0$. If $\alpha p=n$, then

$$
\int_{G} \exp \left[A\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{\beta}\left(\log \left(e+R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right)^{\gamma}\right] d x<\infty \quad \text { for any } A>0
$$

with $\beta=p /(p-1-a)$ and $\gamma=b /(p-1-a)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi(r)=[\log (e+r)]^{a}[\log (e+\log (e+r))]^{b}$ for $0 \leqq a<p-1$. Then

$$
C^{-1} q^{(p-1-a) / p}(\log q)^{-b / p} \leqq\left(\int_{1}^{e^{q}} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p} r^{-1} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \leqq C q^{(p-1-a) / p}(\log q)^{-b / p}
$$

for $q>e$, so that (3.1) holds. If $b \leqq 0$, then (3.4) holds for $\beta=p /(p-1-a)$ and $\psi(r)=[\log (e+r)]^{b / p}$.

On the other hand, if $\psi(r)=[\log (e+r)]^{c}$ for $c \geqq 0$, then we see that

$$
C^{-1} \delta^{-c} \leqq \Psi(\delta) \leqq C \delta^{-c}
$$

for $0<\delta<1$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{-1} \psi(q) \leqq \Psi\left((\log q)^{-1}\right) \leqq C \psi(q) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $q>e$. Thus, if $b \geqq 0$, then (3.2) holds for $\beta=p /(p-1-\alpha)$ and $\psi(r)=[\log (e+r)]^{b / p}$. Corollary 2 now follows from Theorem 1 .

Remark 1. If $\alpha p=n$ and (3.1) does not hold, then it is known (cf. [7] and [10]) that $R_{\alpha} f$ is continuous on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, so that the conclusion of Theorem 1 is true in this case, too.

Next, let $\varphi$ be a positive nonincreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.2).
Lemma 5. If $q>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(e^{q}\right) \leqq C t^{1 / q} \varphi(t) \quad \text { for all } t>1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(M^{q}\right) \leqq t^{1 / q} \varphi(t) \quad \text { for all } t>1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is a positive constant in (2.2). If $1<t<M^{q}$, then (3.7) is trivially true, since $\varphi$ is nonincreasing. If $\left[M^{q}\right]^{2^{m-1}} \leqq t<\left[M^{q}\right]^{m^{2}}$ for a positive integer $m$, then we have by (2.2)

$$
t^{1 / q} \varphi(t) \geqq M^{2^{m-1}} \varphi\left(\left[M^{q}\right]^{2^{m}}\right) \geqq M^{2^{m-1}-m} \varphi\left(M^{q}\right) \geqq \varphi\left(M^{q}\right)
$$

from which (3.7) follows. Since (3.6) follows from (3.7) with the aid of (2.2), the present lemma is proved.

Lemma 6. $\quad \lim _{q \rightarrow \infty}\left[\varphi\left(e^{q}\right)\right]^{1 / q}=1$.
Proof. If $q=2^{m}$ for a positive integer $m$, then (2.2) implies

$$
\varphi\left(M^{2^{m}}\right) \geqq M^{-m} \varphi(M)
$$

so that

$$
[\varphi(M)]^{1 / 2^{m}} \geqq\left[\varphi\left(M^{2^{m}}\right)\right]^{1 / 2^{m}} \geqq M^{-m / 2^{m}}[\varphi(M)]^{1 / 2^{m}}
$$

Hence it follows that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left[\varphi\left(M^{2^{m}}\right)\right]^{1 / 2^{m}}=1
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty}\left[\varphi\left(M^{q}\right)\right]^{1 / q}=1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it suffices to see that the required assertion is equivalent to (3.8) with $M>1$.

Theorem 2. Let $\varphi$ be a positive nonincreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ of logtype. Let $\psi$ be a positive monotone function on $[0, \infty)$ of log-type which satisfies one of the following conditions for $\beta>0$ :
(i) $\psi$ is nondecreasing and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{q \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup } q^{-1 / \beta+1 / p^{\prime}} \Psi\left((\log q)^{-1}\right)\left[\varphi\left(e^{q}\right)\right]^{-1 / p}<\infty \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Psi$ given by (3.3);
(ii) $\psi$ is nonincreasing, $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \psi(r)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{q \rightarrow \infty} q^{-1 / \beta+1 / p^{\prime}} \psi(q)\left[\varphi\left(e^{q}\right)\right]^{-1 / p}<\infty \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha p=n$ and $f$ is a nonnegative measurable function on $G$ satisfying (2.1), then

$$
\int_{G} \exp \left[A\left(R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right)^{\beta}\right] d x<\infty \quad \text { for every } A>0
$$

Proof. First we consider the case when $\psi$ is nondecreasing. Let $g$ be a nonnegative measurable function on $G$ satisfying (2.1). If $p<q<\infty$ and $0<\delta<1$, then we have by (3.3)

$$
\left(\int_{\left\{x \in G: R_{\alpha} g(x)>1\right\}}\left[R_{\alpha} g(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} g(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leqq \Psi(\delta)\left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} g(x)\right]^{q(1+\delta)} d x\right)^{1 / q}
$$

If $0<\delta<p^{2}-1, q_{1}=p-1 / q$ and $q_{2}=q(1+\delta)$, then Lemma 4 implies that

$$
\left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} g(x)\right]^{q_{2}} d x\right)^{1 / q_{2}} \leqq C q_{2}^{1 / q_{1}}\left(\int g(y)^{q_{1}} d y\right)^{1 / q_{1}}
$$

for large $q$. Note by Lemma 1 that

$$
R_{\alpha} f(x) \leqq C \eta+\int_{\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta\}}|x-y|^{\alpha-n} f(y) d y
$$

for $\eta>0$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x)\right]^{q_{2}} d x\right)^{1 / q_{2}} \leqq C \eta+C q^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta\}} f(y)^{q_{1}} d y\right)^{1 / q_{1}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $q$. Note by Lemmas 5 and 6 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{q_{1}} \leqq C\left[\varphi\left(e^{q}\right)\right]^{-1} t^{p} \varphi(t)=C\left[\varphi\left(e^{q}\right)\right]^{-1} \Phi_{p}(t) \quad \text { for } t>1 \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\varphi\left(e^{q}\right)\right]^{-1 / q_{1}} \leqq C\left[\varphi\left(e^{q}\right)\right]^{-1 / p} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting these facts, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leqq \eta_{1} \psi\left(\eta_{1}\right)|G|^{1 / q}+\Psi(\delta)\left(\int_{\left\{x \in G: R_{\alpha} f(x)>\eta_{1}\right\}}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x)\right]^{q(1+\delta)} d x\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leqq C \eta_{1} \psi\left(\eta_{1}\right)+C \Psi(\delta)\left\{\eta_{1}+q^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta_{1}\right\}} f(y)^{q_{1}} d y\right)^{1 / q_{1}}\right\}^{1+\delta} \\
& \leqq C \eta_{1} \psi\left(\eta_{1}\right)+C \Psi(\delta)\left\{\eta_{1}+q^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left[\varphi\left(e^{q}\right)\right]^{-1 / q_{1}}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta_{1}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / q_{1}}\right\}^{1+\delta} \\
& \leqq C \eta_{1} \psi\left(\eta_{1}\right)+C \Psi(\delta) \eta_{1}^{1+\delta} \\
& \quad+C\left(\Psi(\delta) q^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left[\varphi\left(e^{q}\right)\right]^{-1 / p}\right)^{1+\delta}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta_{1}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{(1+\delta) / q_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\eta_{1}>1$ and sufficiently large $q$. Consequently, if we take $\delta=(\log q)^{-1}$, then it follows from (3.9) that
$\limsup _{q \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^{1 / \beta}\left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \leqq C\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: f(x) \geqq \eta_{1}\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p}$.

Because of the arbitrariness of $\eta_{1}$, we find

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^{1 / \beta}\left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}=0
$$

Next we consider the case when $\psi$ is nonincreasing. If $\eta>1$, then we have by (2.3) with $\varphi=\psi$, (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leqq C \eta \psi(\eta)+\psi(\eta)\left(\int_{\left\{x \in G: R_{\alpha} f(x) \geqq \eta\right\}}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \quad \leqq C \eta \psi(\eta)+C \psi(\eta)\left\{\eta+q^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left[\varphi\left(e^{q}\right)\right]^{-1 / p}\left(\int_{\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / q_{1}}\right\} \\
& \quad \leqq C \eta \psi(\eta)+C \psi(\eta) q^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left[\varphi\left(e^{q}\right)\right]^{-1 / p}\left(\int_{\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / q_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $q>p$ and $q_{1}=p-1 / q$. Now we take $\eta=q^{1 / \beta}$ and obtain by (2.2) on $\psi$ and (3.10)

$$
\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)^{1 / \beta}\left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x) \psi\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}=0
$$

Thus Theorem 2 is obtained by Lemma 3.
Corollary 3. Let $f$ be a nonnegative measurable function on a bounded open set $G \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ satisfying (1.1) when $a<0$ or when $a=0$ and $b \leqq 0$. If $\alpha p=n, \beta=p /(p-1-a)$ and $\gamma=b /(p-1-a)$, then

$$
\int_{G} \exp \left[A\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{\beta}\left(\log \left(e+R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)\right)^{\gamma}\right] d x<\infty \quad \text { for any } A>0
$$

In fact, let

$$
\varphi(r)=[\log (e+r)]^{a}[\log (e+\log (e+r))]^{b}
$$

for $a \leqq 0$ and

$$
\psi(r)=[\log (e+r)]^{b / p} .
$$

If $b \geqq 0$, then (3.5) gives (3.9), and if $b<0$, then (3.10) clearly holds. Thus Corollary 3 follows from Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem A. Theorem A follows from Corollaries 2 and 3.

## 4. Double exponential integrability

In this section, we discuss the double exponential integrability as another application of our arguments.

Lemma 7. If $a>e$, then

$$
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} a^{m}(\log m)^{m} \leqq a^{C a} .
$$

Proof. Take a nonnegative integer $m_{0}$ such that

$$
a^{2}-1<m_{0} \leqq a^{2} .
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{m_{0}} \frac{1}{m!} a^{m}(\log m)^{m} & \leqq \sum_{m=0}^{m_{0}} \frac{1}{m!} a^{m}(2 \log a)^{m} \\
& \leqq e^{2 a \log a}=a^{2 a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $m \geqq m_{0}$, set

$$
A_{m}=\frac{1}{m!} a^{m}(\log m)^{m} .
$$

If $m+1 \geqq m_{0}+1>a^{2}>e$, then, since $(\log t) / t$ is decreasing on $(e, \infty)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{A_{m+1}}{A_{m}} & =\frac{a \log (m+1)}{m+1}\left(\frac{\log (m+1)}{\log m}\right)^{m} \\
& \leqq \frac{a \log \left(a^{2}\right)}{a^{2}}\left(\frac{\log (m+1)}{\log m}\right)^{m} \\
& =\frac{2 \log a}{a}\left(\frac{\log (m+1)}{\log m}\right)^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note here that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\log (m+1)}{\log m}\right)^{m}=1
$$

so that

$$
\frac{A_{m+1}}{A_{m}}<\frac{1}{2}
$$

when $a$ is sufficiently large. In this case,

$$
\sum_{m=m_{0}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} a^{m}(\log m)^{m}<A_{m_{0}}<a^{2 a}
$$

Now the present lemma is obtained if we take $C$ sufficiently large.
Theorem 3. Let $\varphi$ be a positive nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying (2.2). Suppose $\alpha p=n$ and there exists $\beta>0$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{q \rightarrow \infty}(\log q)^{-1 / \beta}\left(\int_{1}^{e q} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p} r^{-1} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}<\infty \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is a nonnegative measurable function on $G$ satisfying (2.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G} \exp \left[A \exp \left(B\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{\beta}\right)\right] d x<\infty \quad \text { for any } A>0 \text { and } B>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 3, it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{q}\left(\int_{G}\left[\exp \left(B\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{\beta}\right)\right]^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $B>0$. By the power series expansion of $e^{x}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{G}\left[\exp \left(B\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{\beta}\right)\right]^{q} d x=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!}(B q)^{m} \int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x)\right]^{\beta m} d x \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is seen from Corollary 1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x)\right]^{\beta m} d x\right)^{1 / \beta m} \\
& \quad \leqq C \eta_{0}+C\left(\int_{1}^{\eta} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p} r^{-1} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: \eta_{0} \leqq f(y)<\eta\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad+C(\beta m)^{1 / p^{\prime}}[\varphi(\eta)]^{-1 / p}\left(\int_{\{y \in G: f(y) \geqq \eta\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

whenever $2<\eta_{0}<\eta<\infty$ and $m \geqq 1$; Corollary 1 in fact gives the inequality when $\beta m>p$, and we apply Hölder's inequality to obtain the inequality for smaller $m$. If we take $\eta=e^{\beta m}$, then it follows from (2.4) and assumption (4.1) that

$$
\left(\int_{G}\left[R_{\alpha} f(x)\right]^{\beta m} d x\right)^{1 / \beta m} \leqq C \eta_{0}+C F_{\eta_{0}}[\log (e+m)]^{1 / \beta}
$$

where

$$
F_{\eta_{0}}=\left(\int_{\left\{y \in G: \eta_{0} \leq f(y)\right\}} \Phi_{p}(f(y)) d y\right)^{1 / p} .
$$

Consequently it follows from (4.4) that

$$
\int_{G}\left[\exp \left(B\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{\beta}\right)\right]^{q} d x \leqq|G|+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!}(B q)^{m}\left(C \eta_{0}+C F_{\eta_{0}}[\log (e+m)]^{1 / \beta}\right)^{\beta m} .
$$

Taking a positive integer $m_{0}$ depending on $\eta_{0}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{0}<F_{\eta_{0}}\left[\log \left(e+m_{0}\right)\right]^{1 / \beta} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have by Lemma 7

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{G}\left[\exp \left(B\left(R_{\alpha} f(x)\right)^{\beta}\right)\right]^{q} d x \leqq & |G|+\sum_{m=1}^{m_{0}} \frac{1}{m!}(B q)^{m}\left(C F_{\eta_{0}}^{\beta} \log m_{0}\right)^{m_{0}} \\
& +\sum_{m=m_{0}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!}\left(B C F_{\eta_{0}}^{\beta} q \log m\right)^{m} \\
\leqq & e^{B q}\left(C F_{\eta_{0}}^{\beta} \log m_{0}\right)^{m_{0}}+\left(B C F_{\eta_{0}}^{\beta} q\right)^{B C F_{\eta_{0}}^{\beta} q}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $q$ with $B C F_{\eta_{0}}^{\beta} q>e$. Now, taking $\eta_{0}$ so large that $B C F_{\eta_{0}}^{\beta}<1$ and then taking $m_{0}$ for which (4.5) holds, we obtain (4.3), as required.

Proof of Theorem B. Let $\varphi(r)=[\log (e+r)]^{p-1}[\log (e+\log (e+r))]^{b}$ (for large $r$ ). If $b<p-1$, then

$$
\left(\int_{1}^{\eta} \varphi(r)^{-p^{\prime} / p} r^{-1} d r\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \sim[\log (\log \eta)]^{\left(-b p^{\prime} / p+1\right) / p^{\prime}}=[\log (\log \eta)]^{(p-1-b) / p}
$$

for sufficiently large $\eta$. Hence (4.1) holds for $\beta=p /(p-1-b)$, so that Theorem 3 gives Theorem B.

## 5. Sharpness of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ in case $\boldsymbol{p}=\boldsymbol{n}$

(I) For $\delta>0$, consider the function

$$
u(x)=\int_{B(0,1)}|x-y|^{1-n} f(y) d y
$$

with

$$
f(y)=|y|^{-1}[\log (e /|y|)]^{\delta-1} \quad \text { for } y \in B(0,1) .
$$

Then $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B(0,1)} f(y)^{n}[\log (e+f(y))]^{a} d x<\infty \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $n(\delta-1)+a<-1$. We see that

$$
u(x) \geqq C \int_{\{y \in B(0,1):|y|>2|x|\}}|y|^{1-n} f(y) d y \geqq C[\log (e /|x|)]^{\delta}
$$

for $|x|<1 / 4$. Hence, if $\beta \delta>1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B(0,1)} \exp \left[u(x)^{\beta}\right] d x=\infty \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta>n /(n-1-a)$, then we can choose $\delta$ such that

$$
1 / \beta<\delta<(n-1-a) / n
$$

In this case, both (5.1) and (5.2) hold. This implies that the exponent $\beta$ in Theorem A is sharp.
(II) For $\delta>0$, consider the function

$$
u(x)=\int_{B(0,1)}|x-y|^{1-n} f(y) d y
$$

with

$$
f(y)=|y|^{-1}[\log (e /|y|)]^{-1}[\log (e \log (e /|y|))]^{\delta-1} \quad \text { for } y \in B(0,1)
$$

Then $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B(0,1)} f(y)^{n}[\log (e+f(y))]^{n-1}[\log (e+\log (e+f(y)))]^{b} d x<\infty \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $n(\delta-1)+b<-1$. We see that

$$
u(x) \geqq C \int_{\{y \in B(0,1):|y|>2|x|\}}|y|^{1-n} f(y) d y \geqq C[\log (e \log (e /|x|))]^{\delta}
$$

for $|x|<1 / 4$. Hence, if $\beta \delta>1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B(0,1)} \exp \left[\exp \left(u(x)^{\beta}\right)\right] d x=\infty \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta>n /(n-1-b)$, then we can choose $\delta$ such that

$$
1 / \beta<\delta<(n-1-b) / n
$$

In this case, both (5.3) and (5.4) hold. This implies that the exponent $\beta$ in Theorem B is sharp.

Remark 2. For $a<n-1$ and $\delta>0$, consider the function

$$
u(x)=\int_{B(0,1)}|x-y|^{1-n} f(y) d y
$$

with

$$
f(y)=|y|^{-1}[\log (e /|y|)]^{-(a+1) / n}[\log (e \log (e /|y|))]^{\delta-1} \quad \text { for } y \in B(0,1)
$$

Then $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B(0,1)} f(y)^{n}[\log (e+f(y))]^{a}[\log (e+\log (e+f(y)))]^{b} d x<\infty \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $n(\delta-1)+b<-1$. We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
u(x) & \geqq C \int_{\{y \in B(0,1):|y|>2|x|\}}|y|^{1-n} f(y) d y \\
& \geqq C[\log (e /|x|)]^{1-(a+1) / n}[\log (e \log (e /|x|))]^{\delta-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $|x|<1 / 4$. Hence, if $\beta=n /(n-1-a)$ and $\beta(\delta-1)+\gamma>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B(0,1)} \exp \left[u(x)^{\beta}(\log (e+u(x)))^{\gamma}\right] d x=\infty \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\gamma>(b+1) /(n-1-a)$, then we can choose $\delta$ such that

$$
(n-b-1) / n>\delta>(\beta-\gamma) / \beta=(n-(n-a-1) \gamma) / n .
$$

In this case, both (5.5) and (5.6) hold.
Thus we do not know whether the exponent $\gamma$ in Theorem A is sharp or not.
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