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#### Abstract

Stasheff showed that if a map between $H$-spaces is an $H$-map, then the suspension of the map is extendable to a map between projective planes of the $H$ spaces. Stahseff also proved the converse under the assumption that the multiplication of the target space of the map is homotopy associative. We show by giving an example that the assumption of homotopy associativity of the multiplication of the target space is necessary to show the converse. We also show an analogous fact for maps between $A_{n}$ spaces.


## 1. Introduction

Let $X$ and $Y$ be $H$-spaces, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a map. Stasheff [4] showed that if $f$ is an $H$-map, then it's suspension $\Sigma f: \Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma Y$ is extendable to a map $P_{2} f: P_{2} X \rightarrow P_{2} Y$ between projective planes $P_{2} X$ and $P_{2} Y$ of $X$ and $Y$, respectively. He also showed the converse under the assumption that the multiplication $\mu_{Y}$ of $Y$ is homotopy associative. It has not been known whether the converse holds without the assumption of the homotopy associativity of $\mu_{Y}$. In this paper we show by giving an example that the assumption of homotopy associativity of $\mu_{Y}$ is necessary to show the converse.

Our example is the retraction $r: J(X) \rightarrow X$ for an $H$-space $X$. Here, $J(X)$ is the reduced power space of $X$ introduced by James [2], which has the homotopy type of $\Omega \Sigma X$. By definition $J(X)$ is an identification space of $\bigcup_{i \geq 1} X^{i}$. Then the map $r$ is defined by

$$
r\left(\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}\right]\right)=\left(\cdots\left(\left(x_{1} \cdot x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3}\right) \cdots\right) \cdot x_{i}
$$

where $\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}\right]$ is the class of $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}\right) \in X^{i}$ and $x \cdot y$ denotes the multiplication of $x$ and $y$. Our result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. For any $H$-space $X$, there is an extension $P_{2} r: P_{2} J(X) \rightarrow$ $P_{2} X$ of $\Sigma r: \Sigma J(X) \rightarrow \Sigma X$.

Stasheff showed the following
Theorem 1.2 ([4]). The retraction $r$ is an H-map if and only if the multiplication of $X$ is homotopy associative.

Thus in particular, if the multiplication of $X$ is not homotopy associative, then $r$ is not an $H$-map even though there exists a map between projective planes extending the suspension of $r$.

Now, the above result is a special case of the main theorem of this paper, which deals with the case that the $H$-space $X$ is an $A_{n}$-space. An $A_{n}$-space is an $H$-space such that the multiplication satisfies higher homotopy associativity of order $n$. For example, an $A_{2}$-space is just an $H$-space, an $A_{3}$-space is a homotopy associative $H$-space, and an $A_{\infty}$-space is a space with the homotopy type of a loop space.

Any $A_{n}$-space $X$ has an associated space $P_{i} X$ for each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$ which is called the projective $i$-space of $X$. By definition, $P_{1} X$ is the suspension $\Sigma X, P_{2} X$ is the projective plane, and $P_{\infty} X$ is the classifying space of $X$.

Maps preserving $A_{n}$-space structures are called $A_{n}$-maps. An $A_{2}$-map is an $H$-map, and an $A_{\infty}$-map is a map homotopic to a loop map. See [1] for the definition. By definition, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is an $A_{n}$-map, then there are maps $P_{i} f: P_{i} X \rightarrow P_{i} Y(1 \leq i \leq n)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1} f=\Sigma f, \quad P_{i+1} f \mid P_{i} X \simeq P_{i} f \quad(1 \leq i \leq n-1) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the problem becomes whether the converse of the above fact holds. To state our main theorem we call a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between $A_{n}$-spaces a quasi $A_{n}$-map if there are maps $P_{i} f: P_{i} X \rightarrow P_{i} Y$ for $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ with (1.1). Then we shall prove the following

Theorem 1.3. Let $X$ be an $A_{n}$-space for some $n \geq 2$. Then the retraction $r: J(X) \rightarrow X$ is a quasi $A_{n}$-map.

We notice that the above theorem for $n=2$ is just Theorem 1.1.
We can show a fact analogous to Theorem 1.2 for $A_{n}$-spaces. Thus the existence of an $A_{n+1}$-space structure for $X$ is essential for the quasi $A_{n}$-map $r: J(X) \rightarrow X$ to be an $A_{n}$-map. We discuss it in $\S 3$.

## 2. Proof of the main theorem

First we recall some facts on the reduced product space given by James [2]. Let $f: Z \times J(X) \rightarrow Y$ be a map. Put $f_{n}=f \circ\left(\mathrm{id}_{Z} \times v_{n}\right): Z \times X^{n} \rightarrow Y$ for $n \geq 1$, where $v_{n}: X^{n} \rightarrow J(X)(n \geq 1)$ is the canonical map. Then we have

$$
f_{n} \mid Z \times X^{i-1} \times * \times X^{n-i}=f_{n-1} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

where $X^{i-1} \times * \times X^{n-i}$ is identified with $X^{n-1}$ by the obvious way.
On the other hand, if we have a sequence of maps $\left(f_{n}: Z \times X^{n} \rightarrow Y\right)_{n=1,2, \ldots}$ with the above property, then there is a map $f: Z \times J(X) \rightarrow Y$ such that $f \circ\left(\mathrm{id}_{Z} \times v_{n}\right)=f_{n}$. Such a sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n=1,2, \ldots}$ is called a compatible sequence of invariant maps.

The space $J(X)$ has the homotopy type of $\Omega \Sigma X$. A homotopy equivalence $s: J(X) \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X$ is defined by means of a compatible sequence of invariant maps $\left(s_{n}: X^{n} \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X\right)_{n=1,2 \ldots \ldots}$, where $s_{1}: X \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X$ is the adjoint of $\operatorname{id}_{\Sigma X}: \Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma X$, and $s_{n}(n \geq 2)$ is defined by using the loop multiplication of $\Omega \Sigma X$ as

$$
s_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(\cdots\left(s_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \cdot s_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right) \cdots\right) \cdot s_{1}\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

Note that to make $\left(s_{n}\right)$ a compatible sequence of invariant maps we need to modify the loop multiplication so that the constant loop is the strict unit of the loop multiplication.

Let $e: \Sigma \Omega \Sigma X \rightarrow \Sigma X$ be the evaluation map, that is, the adjoint of the $\operatorname{id}_{\Omega \Sigma X}: \Omega \Sigma X \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X$. Then we prove the following

Lemma 2.1. Let $X$ be an H-space and $\varepsilon: \Sigma X \rightarrow P_{2} X$ the inclusion. Then $\varepsilon \circ \Sigma r \simeq \varepsilon \circ \rho \circ \Sigma s$.

Proof. The projective plane $P_{2} X$ is the mapping cone of the DoldLashoff construction $q: X \cup_{\mu} X \times C X \rightarrow \Sigma X$, where $\mu: X \times X \rightarrow X$ is the multiplication of $X$. Morisugi [3, (1.3)] showed that there exists a homotopy equivalence $X \cup_{\mu} X \times C X \rightarrow \Sigma(X \wedge X)$ such that if we identify $X \cup_{\mu} X \times C X$ with $\Sigma(X \wedge X)$ by this homotopy equivalence, then $q$ is identified with a map $q^{\prime}: \Sigma(X \wedge X) \rightarrow \Sigma X$ with

$$
q^{\prime} \circ \Sigma \pi \simeq \Sigma p_{1}+\Sigma p_{2}-\Sigma \mu: \Sigma(X \times X) \rightarrow \Sigma X
$$

where $\pi: X \times X \rightarrow X \wedge X$ is the quotient map and $p_{i}$ is the projection to the $i$-th factor. Thus,

$$
\varepsilon \circ \Sigma \mu \simeq \varepsilon \circ\left(\Sigma p_{1}+\Sigma p_{2}\right)
$$

Put $\mu_{n}=r \circ v_{n}: X^{n} \rightarrow X$. Then $\mu_{2}=\mu$ and $\mu_{n}=\mu \circ\left(\mu_{n-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{X}\right)$. We show that there are homotopies $H_{n}: I \times \Sigma X^{n} \rightarrow P_{2} X(n \geq 1)$ between $\varepsilon \circ \Sigma \mu_{n}$ and $\varepsilon \circ e \circ \Sigma s_{n}$ such that $H_{1}=\varepsilon \circ p_{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n} \mid I \times \Sigma\left(X^{j-1} \times * \times X^{n-j}\right)=H_{n-1} \quad \text { for any } 1 \leq j \leq n \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left(H_{n}\right)_{n=1,2, \ldots}$ defines a homotopy between $\varepsilon \circ \Sigma r$ and $\varepsilon \circ e \circ \Sigma s$.
Now $e \circ \Sigma s_{2}=\Sigma p_{1}+\Sigma p_{2}$ since the adjoint of the both maps are the same $s_{2}$. Thus,

$$
\varepsilon \circ \Sigma \mu_{2} \simeq \varepsilon \circ\left(\Sigma p_{1}+\Sigma p_{2}\right)=\varepsilon \circ e \circ \Sigma s_{2}
$$

We notice that the above homotopy $H_{2}: I \times \Sigma X^{2} \rightarrow P_{2} X$ can be chosen to be constant on $I \times \Sigma(X \vee X)$.

Let $n>2$. Suppose inductively that we have $H_{i}$ for $i<n$ with the desired properties. Then $H_{n}$ is defined as the composition of homotopies as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon \circ \Sigma \mu_{n} & =\varepsilon \circ \Sigma \mu \circ \Sigma\left(\mu_{n-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{X}\right) \\
& \simeq \varepsilon \circ\left(\Sigma p_{1}+\Sigma p_{2}\right) \circ \Sigma\left(\mu_{n-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{X}\right) \\
& =\varepsilon \circ \Sigma \mu_{n-1} \circ \Sigma p^{\prime}+\varepsilon \circ e \circ \Sigma s_{1} \circ \Sigma p_{n} \\
& \simeq \varepsilon \circ e \circ \Sigma s_{n-1} \circ \Sigma p^{\prime}+\varepsilon \circ e \circ \Sigma s_{1} \circ \Sigma p_{n} \\
& =\varepsilon \circ e \circ \Sigma s_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p^{\prime}: X^{n} \rightarrow X^{n-1}$ is the projection to the first $(n-1)$-factors, and the second homotopy is given by using $H_{n-1}$. It is clear that we can modify $H_{n}$ to satisfy (2.1). Thus we have $H_{n}$ for all $n$ by induction.

Now we prove Theorem 1.3. Theorem 1.1 is a special case of Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since $J(X)$ is a topological monoid, we have the projective $\infty$-space $P_{\infty} J(X)$. It is known that $P_{\infty} J(X)$ has the homotopy type of $\Sigma X$ such that the inclusion $\Sigma J(X) \rightarrow P_{\infty} J(X)$ followed by the homotopy equivalence $P_{\infty} J(X) \simeq \Sigma X$ is homotopic to $e \circ \Sigma s$ (cf. [5, Proof of Theorem 4.8]).

Define $P_{i} r: P_{i} J(X) \rightarrow P_{i}(X)$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$ by the following composition

$$
P_{i} J(X) \subset P_{\infty} J(X) \simeq \Sigma X \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} P_{2} X \subset P_{i} X .
$$

Then by Lemma 2.1 we have the result.

## 3. $A_{n}$-form of the retraction

In this section we show the following theorem which is analogous to Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 3.1. Let $X$ be an $A_{n}$-space for some $n \geq 2$. Then the retraction $r: J(X) \rightarrow X$ is an $A_{n-1}$-map. Moreover, if $r$ is an $A_{n}$-map then the $A_{n}$-space structure of $X$ is extendable to an $A_{n+1}$-space structure.

Proof. The idea of the proof is not so hard to understand. But, writing down the explicit proof is very complicated.

Let $\left\{\mu_{i}: K_{i} \times X^{i} \rightarrow X\right\}_{2 \leq i \leq n}$ be the $A_{n}$-form on $X$, where $K_{i}$ is an $i-2$ dimensional $C W$ ball called the associahedron. The second part of the theorem is a corollary to Iwase-Mimura [1, p. 196, P10)]. They claim that if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ are maps between $A_{n}$-spaces such that $g \circ f \simeq \mathrm{id}_{X}$, and if one of $f$ and $g$ is an $A_{n}$-map, then the $A_{n}$-space structure of $X$ is extendable to an $A_{n+1}$-space structure. In fact, in our case the extended $A_{n+1^{-}}$ form on $X$ is given as follows.


Fig. 1. $\mu_{4}(\tau, x, y, z, w)$

Let $\left\{R_{i}: J_{i} \times J(X)^{i} \rightarrow X\right\}_{i \leq n}$ be the $A_{n}$-form on $r$, where $J_{i}$ is an $i-1$ dimensional $C W$ ball called the multiplihedron. We consider $n-1$ higher homotopies

$$
R_{n} \circ\left(1 \times v_{1}^{s} \times v_{2} \times v_{1}^{n-s-1}\right): J_{n} \times X^{n+1} \rightarrow X \quad(1 \leq s \leq n-1)
$$

Then by combining these higher homotopies, we can construct a map $\mu_{n+1}$ : $K_{n+1} \times X^{n+1} \rightarrow X$ which extend $\left\{\mu_{i}\right\}_{i \leq n}$ to an $A_{n+1}$-form on $X$. For example, the associating homotopy $\mu_{3}: K_{3} \times X^{3} \rightarrow X$ is given as $\mu_{3}(t, x, y, z)=R_{2}(t,[x]$, $[y, z])\left(t \in J_{2}=K_{3}, x, y, z \in X\right)$, and the homotopy $\mu_{4}: K_{4} \times X^{4} \rightarrow X$ is illustrated in Figure 1.

Next we consider the first part of Theorem 3.1. An $A_{n-1}$-form $\left\{R_{i}\right.$ : $\left.J_{i} \times J(X)^{i} \rightarrow X\right\}_{i \leq n-1}$ is defined by means of compatible sequences of invariant maps $\left(R_{i, j}: J_{i} \times J(X)^{i-1} \times X^{j} \rightarrow X\right)_{j=1,2, \ldots}$.

First we define $R_{2,1}$ as the constant homotopy. For $j \geq 2, R_{2, j}$ is given as the composition of $\mu_{2} \circ\left(R_{2, j-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{X}\right)$ and $\mu_{3} \circ\left(1 \times r \times r \circ v_{j-1} \times \mathrm{id}_{X}\right)$.

For $i \geq 3$ the explicit definition for $R_{i, j}$ is very complicated. Unlike with the case of $i=2$, the homotopy $R_{i, 1}$ for $i \geq 3$ is not a constant homotopy. For example, $R_{3,1}: J_{3} \times J(X)^{2} \times X \rightarrow X$ should be a map illustrated in Figure 2, where the double lines mean constant homotopies. By definition, the homotopy $R_{2}\left(t, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \cdot v_{1}(z)\right)$ is given as the composition of two homotopies $R_{2}(t, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \cdot z$ and $\mu_{3}(t, r(\boldsymbol{x}), r(\boldsymbol{y}), z)$, which means that the homotopy represented by the upper left edge equals to the one represented by the composition of the lower right and the bottom edges. Thus $R_{3,1}$ can be defined by using a suitable degeneracy map $\delta_{3}: J_{3} \rightarrow J_{2}$ as $R_{3,1}(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, z)=R_{2}\left(\delta(\tau), \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \cdot v_{1}(z)\right)$.

For $i \geq 4$ the definition of $R_{i, 1}$ is similar. It is defined by using a suitable degeneracy map $\delta_{i}: J_{i} \rightarrow J_{i-1}$ as $R_{i, 1}\left(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}, z\right)=R_{i-1}\left(\delta_{i}(\tau), \boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}\right.$. $\left.v_{1}(z)\right)$.

To define $R_{i, j}$ for $j>1$ we decompose $J_{i}$ into small polytopes homeomorphic to $K_{k} \times J_{t}$ with $k+t=i+2$. Then we define $R_{i, j}$ by combining


Fig. 2. $R_{3,1}(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, z)$
higher homotopies $h_{k, s}: K_{k} \times J_{i+2-k} \times J(X)^{i-1} \times X^{j} \rightarrow X \quad(s+3 \leq k \leq i)$ and $h_{k}^{\prime}: K_{k} \times J_{i+2-k} \times J(X)^{i-1} \times X^{j} \rightarrow X(k \leq i+1)$ defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{k, s}\left(\tau, \rho, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{i-1},\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\mu_{k}\left(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{R}_{i+2-k}\left(\rho, \boldsymbol{x}_{s+1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{s+i+2-k}\right), \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{i-1}, r\left(v_{j-1}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}\right)\right), y_{j}\right) \\
& \quad h_{k}^{\prime}\left(\tau, \rho, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{i-1},\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=\mu_{k}\left(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots, R_{i+2-k, j-1}\left(\rho, \boldsymbol{x}_{k-1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{i-1},\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}\right)\right), y_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we put $R_{1, j-1}\left(*, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}\right)=r\left(v_{j-1}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{j-1}\right)\right)$.
$R_{3, j}$ is illustrated in Figure 3. Here the points (a)-(k) and the homotopies (A)-(D) are as follows, where $\boldsymbol{z}=v_{j}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{j}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}=v_{j-1}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{j-1}\right)$ :
(a): $r(\boldsymbol{x} \cdot(\boldsymbol{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}))=r\left(\boldsymbol{x} \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cdot z_{j}$
(b): $\quad r((\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}) \cdot \boldsymbol{z})=r\left((\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}) \cdot \boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right) \cdot z_{j}$
(c): $\quad\left(r(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot r\left(\boldsymbol{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cdot z_{j}$
(d): $\quad\left(r(\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}) \cdot r\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cdot z_{j}$
(e): $\quad r(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot\left(r\left(\boldsymbol{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right) \cdot z_{j}\right)=r(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot r(\boldsymbol{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{z})$
(f): $\quad\left(r(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot\left(r(\boldsymbol{y}) \cdot r\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \cdot z_{j}$
$(\mathrm{g}): \quad\left((r(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot r(\boldsymbol{y})) \cdot r\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cdot z_{j}$
(h): $\quad r(\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}) \cdot\left(r\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right) \cdot z_{j}\right)=r(\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}) \cdot r(\boldsymbol{z})$
(i): $\quad r(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot\left(\left(r(\boldsymbol{y}) \cdot r\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right)\right) \cdot z_{j}\right)$
(j): $\quad r(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot\left(r(\boldsymbol{y}) \cdot\left(r\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right) \cdot z_{j}\right)\right)=r(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot(r(\boldsymbol{y}) \cdot r(\boldsymbol{z}))$
(k): $\quad(r(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot r(\boldsymbol{y})) \cdot\left(r\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right) \cdot z_{j}\right)=(r(\boldsymbol{x}) \cdot r(\boldsymbol{y})) \cdot r(\boldsymbol{z})$
(A): $\quad R_{3, j-1}\left(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y},\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{j-1}\right)\right) \cdot z_{j}$
(B): $\quad \mu_{3}\left(t, r(\boldsymbol{x}), R_{2, j-1}\left(s, \boldsymbol{y},\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{j-1}\right)\right), z_{j}\right)$
(C): $\quad \mu_{3}\left(t, R_{2, j-1}(s, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}), r\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right), z_{j}\right)$
(D): $\mu_{4}\left(\tau, r(\boldsymbol{x}), r(\boldsymbol{y}), r\left(\boldsymbol{z}^{\prime}\right), z_{j}\right)$


Fig. 3. $R_{3, j}\left(\tau, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y},\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{j}\right)\right)$
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