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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give criteria for infinitely many sign changes of the coefficients of any Dirichlet series if the coefficients are real numbers. We also provide examples where our criteria are applicable.


Keywords: Dirichlet series, Fourier coefficients, cusp forms, symmetric power $L$-functions.

## 1. Introduction

The sign changes in a sequence of real numbers have attracted many for different reasons. In particular, the signs of the Fourier coefficients of different automorphic forms have been studied due to their various number theoretic applications. Coming back to the general scenario, given a sequence of real numbers, one may ask a natural question about the number of sign changes in the sequence. Pribitkin [17] proved a general result about the sign changes of the coefficients of any general Dirichlet series. More precisely, he proved that the coefficients of a general Dirichlet series change signs infinitely often. In [18], he has given numerous applications of his result. In this article, we prove two results about the sign changes of the coefficients of certain kind of Dirichlet series. Using the method similar to Kohnen's method of proof in [10], we prove our first result about the sign changes. In our next result, we give a criterion for a Dirichlet series with real coefficients to have infinitely many sign changes. To prove our second result, we follow the method similar to the proof of the main result of [4]. Furthermore, we provide applications of these two results in $\S 4$. Using these results, we conclude the oscillatory behaviour (infinitely many sign changes) of the Fourier coefficients of elliptic cusp forms, Siegel cusp forms, Maass cusp forms and second order cusp forms. The sign change results in the case of elliptic cusp forms, Siegel cusp forms and symmetric power $L$-functions associated to elliptic cusp forms have been established in $[8,9,16]$ using different techniques. The applications provided in the case of

[^0]second order cusp forms and Maass cusp forms are new. We establish the oscillatory behaviour of the Fourier coefficients of second order cusp forms and infinitely many sign change in the subsequences $\left\{a_{n^{j}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of the Fourier coefficients of Maass cusp forms for any $j=1,2,3,4$.
J. Kaczorowski kindly informed the third author that his recent paper with A. Perelli [6] deals with a similar subject as this though the methods used are quite different. More precisely, under some simple conditions they show that the real and imaginary parts of any linear combination of coefficients of $L$-functions from the Selberg class have infinitely many sign changes by proving a general $\Omega$-theorem for the coefficients of polynomial combinations of such $L$-functions.

## 2. Statement of results

Let $k$ and $N$ be given positive integers. Put $W_{N}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ N & 0\end{array}\right)$. Let $f$ be any nonzero function defined on the upper half-plane $\mathcal{H}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im}(z)>0\}$. Define $\left.f\right|_{k} W_{N}(z):=(\sqrt{N} z)^{-k} f\left(\frac{-1}{N z}\right)$. Our first result is the following.

Theorem 1. Suppose that $f$ and $g=\left.f\right|_{k} W_{N}$ have the following Fourier series expansions.

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} a_{n} e^{2 \pi i n z} \quad \text { and } \quad g(z)=\left.f\right|_{k} W_{N}(z)=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} b_{n} e^{2 \pi i n z} .
$$

Assume that the Fourier coefficients $a_{n}, b_{n}(n \geqslant 1)$ are bounded by $O\left(n^{\alpha}\right)$, where $\alpha$ is a positive constant. If $a_{0}$ (respectively $b_{0}$ ) is zero and $b_{n}$ (respectively $a_{n}$ ) are real numbers for $n \geqslant 1$, then the sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (respectively $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ) changes signs infinitely often.

As a consequence of the above theorem, we have the following corollary.
Corollary 2. Let $f$ and $g$ be as in the above theorem. Suppose that $a_{0}=b_{0}=0$ and $a_{n}, b_{n}$ are real numbers for $n \geqslant 1$. Then both the sequences $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ change signs infinitely often.

Remark 3. The type of function considered in Theorem 1 has appeared in the recent work of Choie and Kohnen [1].

We state our second result about the sign changes in a given sequence of real numbers.

Theorem 4. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $a_{n}=O\left(n^{\alpha}\right)$, for some real number $\alpha$. Assume that the Dirichlet series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n^{s}}$ can be analytically continued to $\operatorname{Re}(s)>r \geqslant 0$ and has polynomial growth in $\operatorname{Im}(s)$ in this region. Furthermore, assume that the Dirichlet series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}{ }^{2}}{n^{s}}$ has a singularity at $s=$ $k>0$ such that $\alpha+r<k$. Then the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{n^{s}}$ changes signs infinitely often.

Remark 5. The condition in the hypothesis of Theorem 4 that $\alpha+r<k$ is essential. For example, take the case of Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{1}{n^{s}}$, we have $\alpha=0, r=1$ and $k=1$. The condition $\alpha+r<k$ is not satisfied and all the coefficients are of positive sign.

## 3. Proofs

We first begin with a theorem due to Hecke [5, Theorem 7.3].
Theorem 6. Let $k$ and $N$ be positive integers. Suppose that $f$ and $g$ are given by the Fourier series

$$
f(z)=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} a_{n} e^{2 \pi i n z} \quad \text { and } \quad g(z)=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} b_{n} e^{2 \pi i n z},
$$

with coefficients $a_{n}, b_{n}(n \geqslant 1)$ bounded by $O\left(n^{\alpha}\right)$, where $\alpha$ is a positive constant. Let

$$
L(s, f)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{a_{n}}{n^{s}}, \quad L(s, g)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{b_{n}}{n^{s}}
$$

and

$$
L^{*}(s, f)=(\sqrt{N} / 2 \pi)^{s} \Gamma(s) L(s, f), \quad L^{*}(s, g)=(\sqrt{N} / 2 \pi)^{s} \Gamma(s) L(s, g)
$$

Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(i) The functions $f$ and $g$ are related by $g=\left.f\right|_{k} W_{N}$, where $\left.f\right|_{k} W_{n}$ is defined just before the statement of Theorem 1 .
(ii) Both $L^{*}(s, f)$ and $L^{*}(s, g)$ have meromorphic continuation over the whole complex plane,

$$
L^{*}(s, f)+\frac{a_{0}}{s}+i^{-k} \frac{b_{0}}{k-s}, \quad L^{*}(s, g)+\frac{b_{0}}{s}+i^{-k} \frac{a_{0}}{k-s}
$$

are entire and bounded on vertical strips, and they satisfy

$$
L^{*}(s, f)=i^{k} L^{*}(k-s, g) .
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $L(s, f)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{a_{n}}{n^{s}}$ and $L(s, g)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{b_{n}}{n^{s}}$ be the Dirichlet series associated to $f$ and $g$ respectively. Let

$$
L^{*}(s, f)=(\sqrt{N} / 2 \pi)^{s} \Gamma(s) L(s, f) \quad \text { and } \quad L^{*}(s, g)=(\sqrt{N} / 2 \pi)^{s} \Gamma(s) L(s, g)
$$

Since $\left.g\right|_{k} W_{N}=\left.\left(\left.f\right|_{k} W_{N}\right)\right|_{k} W_{N}=(-1)^{k} f$, it is sufficient to consider the case $a_{0}=0$ and $b_{n}(n \geqslant 1)$ are real. Let $a_{0}=0$. Assume on the contrary that $b_{n} \geqslant 0$ for all but finitely many $n$. Then by Landau's theorem on Dirichlet series with non-negative coefficients, $L(s, g)$ is either convergent for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ or it has a singularity at the real point of the abscissa of convergence. Since $g=\left.f\right|_{k} W_{N}$, using Theorem 6,
$L^{*}(s, g)+\frac{b_{0}}{s}$ is an entire function of $s$ and therefore $L^{*}(s, g)$ does not have any singularity in the region $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$. Since $\Gamma(s)$ has its poles exactly at non-positive integers, $L(s, g)$ is an entire function of $s$. From the above discussion, the series $L(s, g)$ converges for all $s$ and it has zero at all negative integers $\{-1,-2, \ldots\}$. Let $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}$ be all positive integers with $m_{1}<m_{2} \ldots<m_{t}$ such that $b_{m_{1}}, b_{m_{2}}, \ldots, b_{m_{t}}$ are strictly negative. For $\nu=-1,-2, \ldots$, we have

$$
\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{b_{n}}{n^{\nu}}=0 .
$$

Dividing both sides by $m_{t}^{-\nu}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{n \geqslant 1, n \neq m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{t}}} b_{n}\left(\frac{n}{m_{t}}\right)^{-\nu}=-b_{m_{1}}\left(\frac{m_{1}}{m_{t}}\right)^{-\nu}-\cdots-b_{m_{t}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $-\nu \rightarrow \infty$, we see that the right hand side of the above equation has the limit $-b_{m_{t}}$, which is strictly positive. If there were $n>m_{t}$ for which $b_{n}>0$, then the left hand side of (1) would tend to infinity as $-\nu \rightarrow \infty$, giving a contradiction. Thus, we deduce that $b_{n}=0$ for all $n>m_{t}$. Therefore for all $\nu=-1,-2, \ldots$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{m_{t}} \frac{b_{n}}{n^{\nu}}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $1 \leqslant m \leqslant m_{t}$ be the largest positive integer for which $b_{m} \neq 0$. Then from (2), we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} b_{n}\left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{-\nu}+b_{m}=0
$$

Again letting $-\nu \rightarrow \infty$, we get $b_{m}=0$. This is a contradiction to the assumption that $m$ is the largest integer for which $b_{m} \neq 0$. This proves the theorem.

Remark 7. The above theorem can be proved also by using Theorem 6 and the result on Dirichlet series proved in [17].

Proof of Theorem 4. Assume on the contrary that $a_{n} \geqslant 0$ for all $n>T$ for some sufficiently large number $T$. Since $a_{n}=O\left(n^{\alpha}\right)$, the series $M(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n^{s}}$ is absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\alpha+1$. Using the inverse Mellin transform, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\alpha+1-i \infty}^{\alpha+1+i \infty} M(s) \Gamma(s) x^{s} \mathrm{~d} s=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n} e^{-n / x} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M(s)$ can be analytically continued to $\operatorname{Re}(s)>r \geqslant 0$ (which is assumed), for any $\epsilon>0$ if we move the line of integration to $\operatorname{Re}(s)=r+\epsilon$, we see that on the vertical line $\operatorname{Re}(s)=r+\epsilon$, the gamma function decreases exponentially and the function $M(s)$ has polynomial growth. Thus, we do not get any singularity
for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>r$. Then the integral on the left hand side of $(3)$ is $O\left(x^{r+\epsilon}\right)$, for any $\epsilon>0$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n} e^{-n / x}=O\left(x^{r+\epsilon}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
e\left|\sum_{n>T} a_{n} e^{-n / x}\right|-e\left|\sum_{n \leqslant T} a_{n} e^{-n / x}\right| \leqslant e\left|\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n} e^{-n / x}\right| \leqslant \beta x^{r+\epsilon},
$$

where $\beta$ is some constant and $e$ denotes the exponential. Since $a_{n}$ is non-negative for $n>T$, the above equation gives us the following.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{T<n \leqslant x} a_{n} \leqslant e \sum_{n>T} a_{n} e^{-n / x} \leqslant \gamma x^{r+\epsilon}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\gamma$.
Now, we claim that if $A(x)=\sum_{T<n \leqslant x} a_{n}^{2}$, then for every $c$ with $0<c<k$, every constant $\alpha_{1}>0$ and every $x$, there exists an $x_{0}>x$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(x_{0}\right) \geqslant \alpha_{1} x_{0}^{c} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume towards a contradiction that there exists $c<k$ such that $A(x)=O\left(x^{c}\right)$. Using the partial summation formula, we have for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n>T} \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{n^{s}}=s \int_{T}^{\infty} \frac{A(u)}{u^{s+1}} \mathrm{~d} u . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A(x)=O\left(x^{c}\right)$, the right hand side of $(7)$ is an analytic function for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>c$. Under the hypothesis of the theorem, the left hand side of (7) has a singularity at $s=k$, which is a contradiction. This proves the claim (6).

Using (6) and (5) with the assumption that $a_{n}=O\left(n^{\alpha}\right)$, we get the following.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1} x_{0}^{-\alpha+c} \leqslant x_{0}^{-\alpha} \sum_{T<n \leqslant x_{0}} a_{n}^{2} \leqslant \sum_{T<n \leqslant x_{0}} \frac{a_{n}^{2}}{n^{\alpha}} \leqslant \lambda \sum_{T<n \leqslant x_{0}} a_{n} \leqslant \lambda \gamma x_{0}^{r+\epsilon}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\lambda$. This implies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}^{c-r-\alpha-\epsilon} \leqslant \frac{\lambda \gamma}{\alpha_{1}} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $r+\alpha<k$ holds by assumption, we can choose $c$ and $\epsilon$ in such a way that the exponent on the left hand side of the above equation is greater than 0 , whereas the right hand side would be less than 1, giving a contradiction. This proves the theorem.

## 4. Applications

### 4.1. Applications of Theorem 1

(i) Elliptic cusp forms. Let $k, N$ be positive integers. Let us denote the space of cusp forms (elliptic) of weight $k$ and level $N$ by $S_{k}(N)$. If $f$ is such a cusp form then it is easy to see that $\left.f\right|_{k} W_{N} \in S_{k}(N)$. Therefore the Fourier coefficients of both $f$ and $\left.f\right|_{k} W_{N}$ are bounded by the Hecke's trivial bound $O\left(n^{k / 2}\right)$. Assume that the Fourier coefficients of $f$ are real numbers, then applying Theorem 1, we deduce the following proposition.
Proposition 8. If $f$ is any elliptic cusp form of weight $k$ and level $N$ with real Fourier coefficients, then the coefficients change signs infinitely often.
(ii) Second order cusp forms.

Definition 9. A holomorphic function $f: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a second order cusp form of weight $k$ and level $N$ if it satisfies the following conditions.
(a) The function $\left.f\right|_{k}(\gamma-1)(z):=(c z+d)^{-k} f\left(\frac{a z+b}{c z+d}\right)-f(z) \in S_{k}(N)$ for all $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma_{0}(N)$.
(b) $\left.f\right|_{k}(\pi-1)=0$ for all parabolic elements $\pi$ in $\Gamma_{0}(N)$.
(c) $f$ has exponential decay at each cusp of $\Gamma_{0}(N)$.

We denote the space of second order cusp forms of weight $k$ and level $N$ by $S_{k}^{2}(N)$. It is proved in [3, Proposition 10] that if $f \in S_{k}^{2}(N)$ then $\left.f\right|_{k} W_{N} \in S_{k}^{2}(N)$. The $n$-th Fourier coefficient of any second order cusp form of weight $k$ and level $N$ satisfies the trivial bound $O\left(n^{k / 2} \log n\right)[3$, Lemma 7]. We get the following result by applying Theorem 1 in this situation.

Proposition 10. If the Fourier coefficients of a second order cusp form are real numbers, then they change signs infinitely often.

### 4.2. Applications of Theorem 4

(i) Elliptic cusp forms. Let $f(z)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a(n) e^{2 \pi i n z}$ be any cusp form of weight $k$ and level $N$ such that $a(n)$ are real numbers. We normalize the Fourier coefficients by setting $a_{n}=a(n) / n^{(k-1) / 2}$. We see that, the sequence of real numbers $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 4. We have the Deligne's bound $a_{n}=O\left(n^{\epsilon}\right)$, for any $\epsilon>0$. The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}}{n^{s}}$ extends to an analytic function to the whole complex plane and has a polynomial growth in $\operatorname{Im}(s)$ in the region $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$. Using RankinSelberg convolution, one can prove that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n}{ }^{2}}{n^{s}}$ has a pole at $s=1$. In this case, $\alpha+r=\epsilon<1$. Applying Theorem 4, we get infinitely many sign changes for the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. Note that the Hecke's trivial bound of $a(n)=O\left(n^{k / 2}\right)$ would also suffice to use Theorem 4 to get this application.
(ii) Siegel cusp forms of degree 2 . Let $F$ be a degree 2 Siegel cusp form of weight $k$ for the Siegel modular group $S p_{4}(\mathbb{Z})$, which is an eigenform for all the Hecke operators $T(n)$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{F}(n)$ and not a Saito-Kurokawa lift. Then it is well known that $\lambda_{F}(n)$ are real numbers. We normalize the eigenvalues by setting $\lambda_{n}=\lambda_{F}(n) / n^{k-3 / 2}$. We know that $\lambda_{n}=O\left(n^{\epsilon}\right)$ for any $\epsilon>0$, as a consequence of the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture, proved by Weissauer [19]. The analytic properties of the Dirichlet series $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \lambda_{n} n^{-s}$ are well studied. In fact, it can be analytically continued to $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$ and has polynomial growth in $\operatorname{Im}(s)$ in this region (see [11]). In [2, Theorem 1.1], it has been proved that the Dirichlet series $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \lambda_{n}^{2} n^{-s}$ admits an analytic continuation to $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1 / 2$ with the exception of a simple pole at $s=1$. In this case, $\alpha+r=\epsilon<1$. Thus the sequence of eigenvalues $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies all the hypothesis of Theorem 4 and therefore it is oscillatory (infinitely many sign changes).
(iii) Maass cusp forms. Let $g(z)$ be a Maass cusp form for the full modular group $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ with Laplace eigenvalue $1 / 4+\nu^{2}$. Suppose that the Fourier expansion of $g$ is given by

$$
g(z)=\sum_{n \neq 0} a_{n} \sqrt{y} K_{i \nu}(2 \pi|n| y) e^{2 \pi i n x},
$$

where $z=x+i y$ and $K_{i \nu}$ is the modified Bessel function of the third kind. Assume that $a_{n}(n \geqslant 1)$ are real numbers. The Dirichlet series attached to $g$ is defined as follows.

$$
L(s, g)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{a_{n}}{n^{s}} .
$$

By a result of Kim and Sarnak [7], we have $a_{n}=O\left(n^{7 / 64+\epsilon}\right)$, for any $\epsilon>0$. Let us fix $\mu=0$ or 1 accordingly $g$ is even or odd respectively. Let

$$
\Lambda(s, g)=\pi^{-s} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\mu+i \nu}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\mu-i \nu}{2}\right) L(s, g) .
$$

It is well known that $\Lambda(s, g)$ is entire and hence $L(s, g)$ is entire. The Dirichlet series $L(s, g)$ has polynomial growth in $\operatorname{Im}(s)$ for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>0$. By Rankin-Selberg convolution, the series $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{a_{n}{ }^{2}}{n^{s}}$ has a simple pole at $s=1$. In this case, $\alpha+r=7 / 64+\epsilon<1$. Applying Theorem 4, we deduce that the sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ changes signs infinitely often.
Remark 11. The sign change result for elliptic cusp forms is obtained in [8] also. The result pertaining to Siegel cusp forms of degree 2 was first established by Kohnen in [9]. In the case of Maass cusp forms, we do not know whether the Dirichlet series attached to it, has infinitely many real zeros or not if $\nu \neq 0$. Thus, we cannot apply Theorem 1 of [17] in this case. However, in [8] it is remarked that the sign change result for Maass cusp forms can be formulated along the lines of their theorems for elliptic cusp forms.
(iv) Symmetric power L-functions associated to elliptic cusp forms. Let us assume that $f(z)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a(n) e^{2 \pi i n z} \in S_{k}(1)$ be a Hecke eigen cusp form (that is, an eigenfunction for all the Hecke operators $T(n), n \geqslant 1$ ) of weight $k$ for the full modular group $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $a_{n}=\frac{a(n)}{n^{(k-1) / 2}}$. It is known that $a_{n}, n \geqslant 1$ are real numbers. For any $j=2,3,4$, using Theorem 4 we show that the subsequence $\left\{a_{n^{j}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ changes signs infinitely often. For any fix $j \in\{2,3,4\}$, we verify that the sequence of real numbers $\left\{a_{n^{j}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 4. For any $n \geqslant 1$ we have $a_{n^{j}}=O\left(n^{j \epsilon}\right)$ for any $\epsilon>0$. Consider the Dirichlet series $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n^{j}} n^{-s}$. For $j=2$, this series can be analytically continued to the half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\frac{1}{2} \quad[5, \S 13.8]$ and has polynomial growth in this region. From $[15,(2.7),(2.10)$, Proof of Theorem 1.2], we have the analytic continuation and polynomial growth in $\operatorname{Im}(s)$ of the series $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n^{j}} n^{-s}, j=2,3$ in the region $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\frac{1}{2}$. From [12, (3.1)], we know that the series $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n^{j}}^{2} n^{-s}$ has a simple pole at $s=1$. For any $j=2,3,4, \alpha+r=j \epsilon+\frac{1}{2}<1$. Applying Theorem 4 we get the infinitely many sign changes for any subsequence $\left\{a_{n^{j}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, j=2,3,4$.
Remark 12. In [16], certain quantitative results for the sign change in each subsequence $\left\{a_{n^{j}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, j \in\{2,3,4\}$ have been established and hence infinitely many sign change in the subsequences. The proof uses suitable bounds for the two average sums $\sum_{1 \leqslant n \leqslant x} a\left(n^{j}\right)$ and $\sum_{1 \leqslant n \leqslant x} a\left(n^{j}\right)^{2}$. Here we are using less tools to conclude the infinitely many sign change but we do not get any quantitative result.
(v) Symmetric power L-functions associated to Maass cusp forms. Let $g(z)=$ $\sum_{n \neq 0} a_{n} \sqrt{y} K_{i \nu}(2 \pi|n| y) e^{2 \pi i n x}$ be a Maass cusp form for the full modular group $S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ with Laplace eigenvalue $1 / 4+\nu^{2}$. Suppose that it is an eigenfunction for all the Hecke operators $T(n), n \geqslant 1$. Using Theorem 4, we show that the subsequences $\left\{a_{n^{j}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, j=2,3,4$ change signs infinitely often. For any $n \geqslant 1$ we have $a_{n^{j}}=O\left(n^{j\left(\frac{7}{64}+\epsilon\right)}\right)$ for any $\epsilon>0$. Consider the Dirichlet series $L_{2}(s):=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n^{2}} n^{-s}$. Let $L\left(s, \operatorname{sym}^{2} g\right)$ denotes the symmetric square $L$-function attached to $g$. It is known that,

$$
L\left(s, \operatorname{sym}^{2} g\right)=\zeta(2 s) \sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n^{2}} n^{-s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s)>1
$$

Since $L\left(s, \operatorname{sym}^{2} g\right)$ can be extended to an entire function, the series $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n^{2}} n^{-s}$ admits an analytic continuation for the region $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1 / 2$. For $j=3,4$, consider the Dirichlet series $L_{j}(s):=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n^{j}} n^{-s}$. Let $L\left(s, \mathrm{sym}^{j} g\right)$ be the symmetric cube and 4 th power $L$-function attached to $g$ for $j=3,4$ respectively. In [13, Lemma 3.3], Lau and Lü proved the following.

$$
L_{j}(s)=L\left(s, \operatorname{sym}^{j} g\right)\left(\prod_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j / 2} L\left(2 s, \operatorname{sym}^{2 j-4 i} g\right)^{-1}\right) H_{j}(s), \quad \operatorname{Re}(s)>1
$$

where $H_{j}(s)$ converges absolutely in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1 / 3$. Using the above result together with the properties of symmetric power $L$-function, we get the analytic continuation of the Dirichlet series $L_{j}(s), j=3,4$ for the region $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1 / 2$. By using Phragmen-Lindelöf principle we get the polynomial growth for $L_{j}(s), j=2,3,4$ in the region $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1 / 2$.
By using the theory of symmetric power $L$-functions and their RankinSelberg convolution (for definitions and basic properties see [13, §3]), we prove that for any $j=2,3,4$ the Dirichlet series $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n^{j}}^{2} n^{-s}$ has a pole at $s=1$. In $[14, \S 7]$, using the known facts about the $L$-functions $L\left(s, \operatorname{sym}^{j} g\right), j=2,3,4$ and their Rankin-Selberg convolution Li and Young have studied the analytic properties of the series $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n^{2}}^{4} n^{-s}$ in detail. Following this we can write the series $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n j}^{2} n^{-s}$ as product of the Rankin-Selberg $L$-function $L\left(s, \operatorname{sym}^{j} g \times \operatorname{sum}^{j} g\right)$ and another Dirichlet series $U_{j}(s)$, where the series $U_{j}(s)$ can be analytically continued beyond the line $\operatorname{Re}(s)=1$. Since $L\left(s, \operatorname{sym}^{j} g \times \operatorname{sym}^{j} g\right)$ has a pole at $s=1$, we see from the above decomposition that the series

$$
\sum_{n \geqslant 1} a_{n^{j}}^{2} n^{-s}
$$

has also a pole at $s=1$. Also we have $\alpha+r=j(7 / 64+\epsilon)+1 / 2<1$, $j=2,3,4$. Thus the subsequences of coefficients $\left\{a_{n^{j}}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}, j=2,3,4$ satisfies all the hypothesis of Theorem 4 and therefore they are oscillatory (infinitely many sign changes).
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