Functiones et Approximatio 50.1 (2014), 199–206 doi: 10.7169/facm/2014.50.1.9

DUAL SPACE OF THE MINKOWSKI–RÅDSTRÖM–HÖRMANDER SPACE OVER \mathbb{R}^2

JERZY GRZYBOWSKI, RYSZARD URBAŃSKI

Dedicated to Lech Drewnowski on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract: Let X be a real normed vector space and $\mathcal{B}(X)$ be the cone of all nonempty bounded closed convex subsets of X. For $A, B, C, D \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ we have a relation of equivalence defined by $(A, B) \sim (C, D)$ if and only if $\overline{A + D} = \overline{B + C}$. By [A, B] we denote the quotient class of (A, B). The quotient space $\tilde{X} = \mathcal{B}^2(X)/_{\sim}$ is a vector space called the Minkowski–Rådström–Hörmander space over X. For $\tilde{x} = [A, B] \in \tilde{X}$ we have the Hausdorff norm $\|\tilde{x}\|_H = d_H(A, B) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 | A \subset B + \varepsilon \mathbb{B}, B \subset A + \varepsilon \mathbb{B}\}$ where \mathbb{B} is the closed unit ball in X. We also define Bartels-Pallaschke norm $\|\tilde{x}\|_{BP} = \inf\{\|C\| + \|D\| \mid (C, D) \in [A, B]\}$, where $\|A\| = \sup_{a \in A} \|a\|$. In this paper we prove that the bilinear function $(\cdot, \cdot) : (\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_H) \times (\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_{BP}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = 2V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + \langle s\tilde{x}, s\tilde{y} \rangle$, where $V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ is a generalized mixed volume and $s\tilde{x}$ is a generalized Steiner's point, satisfies the inequality $|(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})| \leq (2\pi + 1) \|\tilde{x}\|_H \|\tilde{y}\|_{BP}$. We also prove that this bilinear function defines an isomorphic mapping between Banach spaces $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_{BP})$ and the dual space to $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_H)$ (Theorem 2).

 $\label{eq:Keywords: Minkowski-Rådström-Hörmander spaces, extreme points, pairs of closed bounded convex sets.$

Let X be a real Hausdorff topological vector space and $\mathcal{B}(X)$ be the cone of all nonempty bounded closed convex subsets of X. For $A, B, C, D \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ we have the Minkowski sum $A + B = \{a + b | a \in A, b \in B\}$ and a relation of equivalence defined by

 $(A, B) \sim (C, D)$ if and only if $\overline{A + D} = \overline{B + C}$.

By [A, B] we denote the quotient class of (A, B). The quotient space $\widetilde{X} = \mathcal{B}^2(X)/_{\sim}$ is a vector space with the addition and multiplication by real numbers defined by $[A, B] + [C, D] = [\overline{A+C}, \overline{B+D}]$ and $\alpha \cdot [A, B] = [\alpha^+A + \alpha^-B, \alpha^-A + \alpha^+B]$. The space \widetilde{X} is called the Minkowski–Rådström–Hörmander (MRH) space over X [7].

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: primary: 46B20; secondary: 52A05, 54B20

200 Jerzy Grzybowski, Ryszard Urbański

The MRH space [11], [17] is very useful in studying bounded-valued correspondences [5], [7], in quasidifferential calculus [6], [15], [20] and in calculating Aumann–Integral [4]. The MRH spaces were studied also in a number of papers, for example [8], [18] and [21].

In 2007 A. Pełczyński posed a question what is the dual space to the MRH space over \mathbb{R}^2 . In fact the dual space depends on the norm in the MRH space.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space, $\tilde{x} = [A, B] \in \tilde{X}$. The norm in the MRH space over X can be introduce in two natural ways. Hausdorff's metric determines the following norm (see [17]): $\|\tilde{x}\|_H = d_H(A, B) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 | A \subset B + \varepsilon \mathbb{B}, B \subset A + \varepsilon \mathbb{B}\}$ where \mathbb{B} is the closed unit ball in X. We also define Bartels-Pallaschke norm $\|\tilde{x}\|_{BP} = \inf\{\|C\| + \|D\| \mid (C, D) \in [A, B]\}$, where $\|A\| = \sup_{a \in A} \|a\|$. Bartels-Pallaschke norm [2] is related to the norm given in [3] defined in the space of differences of real sublinear functions by $\|f\| = \inf\{\max\{\|g\|, \|h\|\} \mid g - h = f$, where g, h are sublinear $\}$. Bartels-Pallaschke norm seems almost as natural as Hausdorff norm. Notice that $\|\cdot\|_H \leq \|\cdot\|_{BP}$. The normed space $(\tilde{X}, \|\cdot\|_H)$ is not complete unless dim X = 1 but for Banach space X, Bartels-Pallaschke norm turns the space $(\tilde{X}, \|\cdot\|_{BP})$ into Banach space.

Let NBV[a, b] be the space of normalized real functions of bounded variation on the interval [a, b], that is for $f \in NBV[a, b]$ we have f(a) = 0 and $f(t) = f(t^+) = \lim_{s \to t^+} f(s)$, for $t \in [a, b)$.

By f^+ , f^- we denote the smallest nondecreasing functions in NBV[a, b] such that $f = f^+ - f^-$. The space NBV[a, b] with the norm defined by $||f|| = \operatorname{var}_a^b f = f^+(b) + f^-(b)$ is a Banach space. Now we are ready to state our first theorem.

Theorem 1. There exists an isomorphic mapping between Banach spaces $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_{BP})$ and $NBV[0, 2\pi]$.

Before we point out to the isomorphic mapping we need some more notations and definitions.

For our convenience we denote $e^{it} = (\cos t, \sin t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. For $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2), u \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we define the support function $p_A : \mathbb{R}^2 \ni x \mapsto \max_{a \in A} \langle x, a \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\langle x, a \rangle$ is the inner product of x and a, the face $H_{\langle u, \cdot \rangle}A = \{a \in A | \langle u, a \rangle = p_A(u)\}$, the boundary function $h_A : [0, 2\pi] \longrightarrow \partial A$ by $h_A = H_{\langle e^{i(t+\frac{\pi}{2})}, \cdot \rangle}(H_{\langle e^{it}, \cdot \rangle}A)$. We also need the arc length function $f_A : [0, 2\pi] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ where $f_A(t)$ is the length of the arc contained in ∂A joining $h_A(0)$ and $h_A(t)$. The function f_A is a nondecreasing function in $NBV[0, 2\pi]$. Most of these notations come from [9].

Let sA be the Steiner's point of A (see [19], p. 42), that is

$$sA = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{S^1} u p_A(u) d\mathcal{H}^1(u) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} p_A(e^{it}) dt.$$

Dual space of the Minkowski–Rådström–Hörmander space over \mathbb{R}^2 201

For $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we define the auxiliary function $f_u : [0, 2\pi] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$f_u(t) = \frac{\|u\|}{\pi} (\sin(t - \operatorname{Arg} u) + \sin(\operatorname{Arg} u)) = \frac{e^{it}\bar{u} - e^{-it}u + u - \bar{u}}{2\pi i}$$

The following lemma is essential in the proof of Theorem 1:

Lemma 1. The mapping $k : \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2} \longrightarrow NBV[0, 2\pi]$, where $k(\tilde{y}) = f_C - f_D + f_z$, $\tilde{y} = [C, D], z = sC - sD$ is an 1-1 isomorphic mapping between vector spaces.

Proof. Since the functions $p_C - p_D$ and $f_C - f_D$ do not depend on the choice of representatives of \tilde{y} (see [9]), the mapping k is well defined and preserves the addition and the multiplication by scalars. In order to give the inverse mapping we need more definitions and facts.

In a manner of [9] for nondecreasing function $f \in NBV[0, 2\pi]$ we define the function $h_f : [0, 2\pi] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ by $h_f(t) = \int_0^t e^{i(s+\frac{\pi}{2})} df(s)$, where the latter is the Stieltjes integer.

If $h_f(2\pi) = 0$ then we denote $A_f = \overline{\operatorname{conv}} h_f([0, 2\pi])$. Then $A_{f_A} = A - h_A(0)$ and $f_{A_f} = f$ (see [9]). If $h_f(2\pi) \neq 0$ then there exists the unique function $g \in NBV[0, 2\pi]$ such that g is nondecreasing, g takes exactly two values and $h_g(2\pi) = -h_f(2\pi)$. Hence $h_{f+g}(2\pi) = 0$.

Let $f \in NBV[0, 2\pi]$ and $w = w(f) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} df(t)$. Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(t+\frac{\pi}{2})} df_w(t) &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(t+\frac{\pi}{2})} \frac{\|w\|}{\pi} \cos(t - \operatorname{Arg} w) dt \\ &= \frac{\|w\|}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(t+\frac{\pi}{2})} \frac{e^{i(t - \operatorname{Arg} w)} + e^{-i(t - \operatorname{Arg} w)}}{2} dt \\ &= \frac{\|w\|}{2\pi} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(\frac{\pi}{2} - \operatorname{Arg} w)} e^{i2t} dt + \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(\frac{\pi}{2} + \operatorname{Arg} w)} dt \right) \\ &= \frac{\|w\|}{2\pi} \left(0 + 2\pi e^{i(\frac{\pi}{2} + \operatorname{Arg} w)} \right) = e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}} w = \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{i(t+\frac{\pi}{2})} df(t). \end{split}$$

Hence $h_{(f-f_w)^+}(2\pi) - h_{(f-f_w)^-}(2\pi) = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(t+\frac{\pi}{2})} d(f-f_w)(t) = 0$ and $h_{(f-f_w)^+}(2\pi) = h_{(f-f_w)^-}(2\pi)$.

Then there exists the unique function $g \in NBV[0, 2\pi]$ such that g is nondecreasing, g takes exactly two values and $h_g(2\pi) = -h_{(f-f_w)^+}(2\pi)$. Hence $h_{(f-f_w)^++g}(2\pi) = 0$ and $h_{(f-f_w)^-+g}(2\pi) = 0$.

202 Jerzy Grzybowski, Ryszard Urbański

Let $E = A_{(f-f_w)^++g}$ and $F = A_{(f-f_w)^-+g}$. Let us define the mapping $l : NBV[0, 2\pi] \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}$ by l(f) = [E - sE + w, F - sF].

Let $\tilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \tilde{y} = [C, D]$. We can assume that (C, D) is a minimal pair (see [14], [9], [20] and [15]). We have $l(k(\tilde{y})) = l(f_C - f_D + f_z)$ where z = sC - sD.

Notice that

$$w = \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{it} d(k(\tilde{y}))(t) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{it} df_{C}(t) - \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{it} df_{D}(t) + \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{it} df_{z}(t)$$
$$= e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}} h_{f_{C}}(2\pi) - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{2}} h_{f_{D}}(2\pi) + \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\|z\|}{\pi} e^{it} \cos(t - \operatorname{Arg} z) dt$$
$$= 0 - 0 + z = z.$$

Hence $k(\tilde{y}) - f_w = f_C - f_D$. Since the pair (C, D) is minimal, the maximal nondecreasing function $g \in NBV[0, 2\pi]$ such that the functions $f_C - g$, $f_D - g$ are nondecreasing takes not more than two values [9].

Then $(f_C - f_D)^+ = f_C - g$ and $(f_C - f_D)^- = f_D - g$. Hence $E = A_{(f_C - f_D)^+ + g} = A_{f_C} = C - h_C(0)$ and $F = A_{(f_C - f_D)^- + g} = A_{f_D} = D - h_D(0)$ (see [9]). Therefore, $l(k(\tilde{y})) = [E - sE + w, F - sF] = [C - sC + z, D - sD] = [C, D] = \tilde{y}$. Now, let $f \in NBV[0, 2\pi]$. Then k(l(f)) = k([E - sE + w, F - sF]), where $E = A_{((f - f_w)^+ + g)}, F = A_{(f - f_w)^- + g}$ and $w = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} df(t)$. Hence $k(l(f)) = f_D$, $p_{+-} = f_D$, $p_{+-} = f_D - f_D + f_0$ because $\tilde{x} = s(E - g)$.

Hence $k(l(f)) = f_{E-sE+w} - f_{F-sF} + f_z = f_E - f_F + f_w$ because z = s(E - sE + w) - s(F - sF) = w.

Therefore,
$$k(l(f)) = (f - f_w)^+ + g - (f - f_w)^- - g + f_w = (f - f_w) + f_w = f$$
.

Proof of Theorem 1. By the Open Operator Theorem we need only to prove that k is continuous. For $\tilde{y} = [C, D], z = sC - sD$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|k(\tilde{y})\| &= \|f_C - f_D + f_z\| \leq f_C(2\pi) + f_D(2\pi) + \|f_z\| \\ &= |\partial C| + |\partial D| + 4\frac{\|z\|}{\pi} \leq 2\pi \|C\| + 2\pi \|D\| + 4\frac{\|sC\| + \|sD\|}{\pi} \\ &\leq \left(2\pi + \frac{4}{\pi}\right) (\|C\| + \|D\|), \end{aligned}$$

where $|\partial A|$ is the length of the boundary ∂A .

Since these inequalities hold true for any pair $(C, D) \in \tilde{y}$ then $||k(\tilde{y})|| \leq (2\pi + \frac{4}{\pi})$ $||\tilde{y}||_{BP}$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed. However, we can estimate the norm of the operator l. For $f \in NBV[0, 2\pi]$ we have

Dual space of the Minkowski–Rådström–Hörmander space over \mathbb{R}^2 203

$$\begin{split} \|l(f)\|_{BP} &\leqslant \|E - sE + w\| + \|F - sF\| \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} |\partial A_{(f-f_w)^+ + g}| + \|w\| + \frac{1}{2} |\partial A_{(f-f_w)^- + g}| \\ &\leqslant \frac{1}{2} ((f - f_w)^+ + g)(2\pi) + \frac{1}{2} ((f - f_w)^- + g)(2\pi) + \|w\| \\ &\leqslant (f - f_w)^+ (2\pi) + (f - f_w)^- (2\pi) + \|w\| \leqslant \|f - f_w\| + \|w\| \\ &\leqslant \|f\| + \|f_w\| + \|w\| = \|f\| + \frac{4\|w\|}{\pi} + \|w\| \\ &\leqslant \|f\| + \|f_w\| + \|w\| = \|f\| + \frac{4\|w\|}{\pi} + \|w\| \\ &\leqslant \|f\| + \left(\frac{4}{\pi} + 1\right)\| \int_0^{2\pi} e^{it} df(t)\| \leqslant \|f\| + \left(\frac{4}{\pi} + 1\right)\|f\| \\ &= \left(2 + \frac{4}{\pi}\right)\|f\|. \end{split}$$

If the norm in \mathbb{R}^2 is not Euclidean then the inequalities above hold true with different constants.

Knowing that the MRH space $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_{BP})$ and the space $NBV[0, 2\pi]$ are isomorphic we see that the dual to MRH space $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_{BP})$ and the dual to the space $NBV[0, 2\pi]$ are also isomorphic. The dual to the space $NBV[0, 2\pi]$ is described for example in [1].

Let $V : \mathcal{B}^2(\mathbb{R}^2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be the mixed volume (see Theorem 5.1.6 in [19]). The function V can be extended to the bilinear function on $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2})^2$ (see [22]) by $V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = V(A, C) - V(A, D) - V(B, C) + V(B, D)$, where $\tilde{x} = [A, B], \tilde{y} = [C, D]$. Also the function $s : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$, where sA is the Steiner's point of A can be extended to the linear function on $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}$ by $s\tilde{x} = sA - sB$.

Theorem 2. The function $(\cdot, \cdot) : (\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_H) \times (\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_{BP}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = 2V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + \langle s\tilde{x}, s\tilde{y} \rangle$ is bilinear and continuous. Moreover, the space of linear functions $\{(\cdot, \tilde{y}) | \tilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}\}$ is dual to $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_H)$.

Proof. Let $C[0, 2\pi]$ be the space of all continuous functions on $[0, 2\pi]$ and let $C_0[0, 2\pi] = \{q \in C[0, 2\pi] | q(0) = q(2\pi)\}$. The bilinear function $(\cdot, \cdot) : C[0, 2\pi] \times NBV[0, 2\pi] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $(q, f) = \int_0^{2\pi} q(t)df(t)$ establishes duality of $NBV[0, 2\pi]$ to $C[0, 2\pi]$ (see the theorem of Riesz in [12], 17.7.4) and to $C_0[0, 2\pi]$.

All the functions $t \mapsto (p_A - p_B)(e^{it})$, where $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, form a dense subspace of $C_0[0, 2\pi]$. Since k is an isomorphic mapping then the space of functions $\{\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2} \ni \widetilde{x} \mapsto \int_0^{2\pi} (p_A - p_B)(e^{it})d(k(\widetilde{y}))(t) \in \mathbb{R} \mid \widetilde{y} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}\}, \ \widetilde{x} = [A, B]$, is the space of all continuous linear functions on $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_H)$.

Let $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $\tilde{x} = [A, B], \tilde{y} = [C, D], z = s\tilde{y}$. By the formulas 1.7.3 and 5.1.15 in [19],

$$sA = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} p_A(e^{it}) e^{it} dt, \qquad V(A,C) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} p_A(e^{it}) df_C(t).$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (p_{A} - p_{B})(e^{it}) d(k(\tilde{y}))(t) \\ &= \int_{0}^{2\pi} (p_{A} - p_{B})(e^{it}) df_{C}(t) - \int_{0}^{2\pi} (p_{A} - p_{B})(e^{it}) df_{D}(t) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{2\pi} (p_{A} - p_{B})(e^{it}) df_{z}(t) \\ &= 2V(A, C) - 2V(A, D) - 2V(B, C) + 2V(B, D) \\ &+ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\|z\|}{\pi} (p_{A} - p_{B})(e^{it}) \cos(t - \operatorname{Arg} z) dt \\ &= 2V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{\|z\|}{\pi} (p_{A} - p_{B})(e^{it}) \langle e^{it}, e^{i\operatorname{Arg} z} \rangle dt \\ &= 2V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (p_{A} - p_{B})(e^{it}) \langle e^{it}, z \rangle dt \\ &= 2V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + \left\langle \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} p_{A}(e^{it})e^{it} dt - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} p_{B}(e^{it})e^{it} dt, z \right\rangle \\ &= 2V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + \langle sA - sB, sC - sD \rangle = 2V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) + \langle \tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \rangle. \end{split}$$

Let us notice that

$$\begin{aligned} |V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (p_{A} - p_{B})(e^{it}) df_{C}(t) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (p_{A} - p_{B})(e^{it}) df_{D}(t) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{u \in S^{1}} |(p_{A} - p_{B})(u)| \cdot |\partial C| + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{u \in S^{1}} |(p_{A} - p_{B})(u)| \cdot |\partial D| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|\tilde{x}\|_{H} (|\partial C| + |\partial D|) \leq \pi \|\tilde{x}\|_{H} (\|C\| + \|D\|). \end{aligned}$$

Since the inequality holds true for any $(C, D) \in \tilde{y}$, $|V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})| \leq \pi \|\tilde{x}\|_{H} \|\tilde{y}\|_{BP}$. By [16] we have $\|s\tilde{x}\| = \|sA - sB\| \leq \frac{4}{\pi} d_{H}(A, B) = \frac{4}{\pi} \|\tilde{x}\|_{H}$. Therefore, $|(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})| \leq |2V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})| + |\langle s\tilde{x}, s\tilde{y} \rangle| \leq 2\pi \|\tilde{x}\|_{H} \|\tilde{y}\|_{BP} + \frac{4}{\pi} \|\tilde{x}\|_{H} \|\tilde{y}\|_{H} \leq (2\pi + \frac{4}{\pi}) \|\tilde{x}\|_{H} \|\tilde{y}\|_{BP}$.

By Theorem 15.7 in [13] the function of mixed volume V is continuous on $(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2), d_H) \times (\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2), d_H)$. However, the following example shows that the extension of V is not continuous on $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_H) \times (\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_H)$ (compare Theorem 5.2.2 in [19]).

Example. Let A_n be a regular *n*-gon in \mathbb{R}^2 with the center in 0 and all sides of the length equal to 1. Let B_n be the *n*-gon A_n rotated around 0 by the angle $\frac{\pi}{n}$. The radius r_n of the circle inscribed in A_n or B_n is $\frac{1}{2\operatorname{tg}(\pi/n)}$. The radius R_n of the

Dual space of the Minkowski–Rådström–Hörmander space over \mathbb{R}^2 205

circumscribed circle is $\frac{1}{2\sin(\pi/n)}$. Denote $\tilde{x}_n = [A_n, B_n] \in \widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}$. Then

$$V(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_n) = V(A_n, A_n) - 2V(A_n, B_n) + V(B_n, B_n)$$

= 2(V(A_n, A_n) - V(A_n, B_n))
= 2\left(\frac{1}{2}nr_n - \frac{1}{2}nR_n\right) = n(r_n - R_n).

We have $\|\tilde{x}_n\|_H = d_H(A_n, A_n) = R_n - r_n$. Since $R_n - r_n$ tends to 0,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{V(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_n)}{\|\tilde{x}_n\|_H^2} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{-n}{R_n - r_n} = -\infty$$

and the bilinear function V is not continuous in 0.

Theorem 2 shows that the MRH space $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_{BP})$ is basicly dual to the MRH space $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_H)$. Then the dual space to $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_{BP})$ is double dual space to $(\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^2}, \|\cdot\|_H)$.

We can also provide the space \mathbb{R}^2 with the norm of Demyanov (see [6]) which is stronger than Hausdorff norm but weaker than Bartels-Pallaschke norm. We do not know what is the dual space to that space.

The unit ball in the space $(X, \|\cdot\|_H)$ has exactly two extreme points (see for example [10]). What are extreme points of the unit ball in $(\widetilde{X}, \|\cdot\|_{BP})$ is an open question, even if we know extreme points in NBV[0, 1].

In Theorem 2 in general we can naturally replace the bilinear function by $(\cdot, \cdot) : (\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^n}, \|\cdot\|_H) \times (\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^n}, \|\cdot\|_{BP}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) = 2V(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}, \underbrace{\mathbb{B}, \dots, \mathbb{B}}_{n-2}) + \langle s\tilde{x}, s\tilde{y} \rangle,$$

where \mathbb{B} is the Euclidean unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . However, the theorem will no longer hold true.

References

- A.P. Artemenko, Obshchiy vid lineynogo funkcionala v prostanstve funkciy ogranichennoy variacii, Mat. Sbornik 6(48) (1939), no. 2, 215–219.
- [2] S.G. Bartels and D. Pallaschke, Some remarks on the space of differences of sublinear functions, Applicationes Mathematicae 22 (1993), 419–426.
- [3] U. Cegrell, On the space of delta-convex functions and its dual, Bul. Math. de la Soc. Math. de la R.S. de Roumaine 22 (1978), 133–139.
- [4] F.H. Clarke, Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis, J. Wiley Pub. Comp. New York, 1983.
- [5] G. Debreu, Integration of correspondences, Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Probability, Berkeley and Los Angeles, Univ. of California Press vol. 2, part 1 (1967), 351–372.

- 206 Jerzy Grzybowski, Ryszard Urbański
 - [6] V.F. Demyanov and A.M. Rubinov, *Quasidifferentiability and Related Topics*, Nonconvex Optimization and its Applications, Kluwer Academic. Publisher, Dortrecht–Boston–London, 2001.
 - [7] L. Drewnowski, Additive and countably additive correspondences, Comment. Math. 19 (1976), 25–54.
 - [8] P. Goossens, Completeness of Spaces of Closed Bounded Convex Sets, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 115(1) (1986), 192–201.
- [9] J. Grzybowski, Minimal pairs of compact sets, Arch. Math. 63 (1994), 173– 181.
- [10] J. Grzybowski and R. Urbański, Extreme points of lattice intervals in the Minkowski-Rådrtöm-Hörmander lattice, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136(11) (2008), 3957–3962.
- [11] L. Hörmander, Sur la fonction d'appui des ensembles convexes dans un espace localement convexe, Arkiv för Mathematik 3 (1954), 181–186.
- [12] G. Köthe, *Topological Vector Spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1983.
- [13] K. Leichtweiß, Konvexe Mengen, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1980.
- [14] D. Pallaschke, S. Scholtes and R. Urbański, On minimal pairs of convex compact sets, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 39 (1991), 1–5.
- [15] D. Pallaschke, R. Urbański, Pairs of Compact Convex Sets, Fractional Arithmetic with Convex Sets, Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dortrecht–Boston–London, 2002.
- [16] K. Przesławski, Linear and Lipschitz continuous selectors for the family of convex sets in Euclidean vector spaces, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 33 (1985), 31–33.
- [17] H. Rådström, An embedding theorem for spaces of convex sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 165–169.
- [18] P. Praksash and M. R. Sertel, Hyperspaces of topological vector spaces: their embedding in topological vector spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 61 (1976), 163–168.
- [19] R. Schneider, Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 44, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [20] S. Scholtes, Minimal pairs of convex bodies in two dimensions, Mathematika 39 (1992), 267–273.
- [21] R. Urbański, A generalization of the Minkowski-Rådström-Hörmander theorem, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 24 (1976), 709-715.
- [22] W. Weil, Uber den Vektorraum der Differenzen von Stützfunktionen konvexer Körper, Math. Nachr. 59 (1974), 353–369.
- Address: Jerzy Grzybowski and Ryszard Urbański: Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Adam Mickiewicz University, Umultowska 87, 61-614 Poznań, Poland.

E-mail: jgrz@amu.edu.pl, rich@amu.edu.pl

Received: 7 May 2013; revised: 26 September 2013