# ON THE SIMPLEST SEXTIC FIELDS AND RELATED THUE EQUATIONS 
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Abstract: We consider the parametric family of sextic Thue equations

$$
x^{6}-2 m x^{5} y-5(m+3) x^{4} y^{2}-20 x^{3} y^{3}+5 m x^{2} y^{4}+2(m+3) x y^{5}+y^{6}=\lambda
$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an integer and $\lambda$ is a divisor of $27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)$. We show that the only solutions to the equations are the trivial ones with $x y(x+y)(x-y)(x+2 y)(2 x+y)=0$.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider the following "simple" family of sextic Thue equations

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{m}(X, Y):= & X^{6}-2 m X^{5} Y-5(m+3) X^{4} Y^{2}  \tag{1}\\
& -20 X^{3} Y^{3}+5 m X^{2} Y^{4}+2(m+3) X Y^{5}+Y^{6}=\lambda
\end{align*}
$$

for $m, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. We may assume that $m \geqslant-1$ because if $F_{m}(x, y)=\lambda$ then $F_{-m-3}(y, x)=\lambda$. If $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ is a solution to (1) then

$$
(x+y,-x), \quad(y,-x-y), \quad(-x,-y), \quad(-x-y, x), \quad(-y, x+y)
$$

are also solutions to (1) because $F_{m}(X, Y)$ is invariant under the action of the cyclic group $C_{6}=\langle\sigma\rangle$ of order 6 where $\sigma: X \mapsto X+Y, Y \mapsto-X$. For sextic integer $\lambda=e^{6}$ or $\lambda=-27 e^{6}$, the equation $F_{m}(X, Y)=\lambda$ has the following six solutions respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{m}(0, \pm e) & =F_{m}( \pm e, 0)=F_{m}( \pm e, \mp e)=e^{6} \\
F_{m}( \pm e, \pm e) & =F_{m}( \pm 2 e, \mp e)=F_{m}( \pm e, \mp 2 e)=-27 e^{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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We call such solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ to $F_{m}(x, y)=\lambda$ with $x y(x+y)(x-y)(x+2 y)$ $(2 x+y)=0$ the trivial solutions. We remark that $F_{m}(2 x+y,-x+y)=$ $-27 F_{m}(x, y)$.

For $m \geqslant 89$, Lettl, Pethö and Voutier [LPV99] showed that the only primitive solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, i.e. $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$, to the Thue inequality $\left|F_{m}(x, y)\right| \leqslant$ $120 m+323$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{m}(0, \pm 1) & =F_{m}( \pm 1,0)=F_{m}( \pm 1, \mp 1)=1  \tag{2}\\
F_{m}( \pm 1, \pm 1) & =F_{m}( \pm 2, \mp 1)=F_{m}( \pm 1, \mp 2)=-27
\end{align*}
$$

(i.e. trivial solutions) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{m}( \pm 1, \pm 2)=F_{m}( \pm 3, \mp 1)=F_{m}( \pm 2, \mp 3)=120 m+37, \\
& F_{m}( \pm 2, \pm 1)=F_{m}( \pm 3, \mp 2)=F_{m}( \pm 1, \mp 3)=-120 m-323 .
\end{aligned}
$$

In [LPV98], moreover, they obtained that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the equation $F_{m}(X, Y)=$ $\lambda$ for $\lambda \in\{ \pm 1, \pm 27\}$ has only twelve trivial solutions as in (2). A special case of $F_{m}(X, Y)=1$ is also studied by Togbé [Tog02]. Wakabayashi [Wak07b] investigated Thue inequalities $\left|F_{l, m}(x, y)\right| \leqslant \lambda$ with two parameters $l, m$ and $F_{1, m}=F_{m}$. The following is the main result of this paper (cf. cubic case [Hos11] and quartic case [Hos]):
Theorem 1.1. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and a divisor $\lambda$ of $27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)$, the only solutions to the equation $F_{m}(x, y)=\lambda$ are the trivial ones with $x y(x+y)(x-y)(x+2 y)$ $(2 x+y)=0$.

We take the simplest sextic polynomial

$$
f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X):=X^{6}-2 m X^{5}-5(m+3) X^{4}-20 X^{3}+5 m X^{2}+2(m+3) X+1 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]
$$

with discriminant $6^{6}\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)^{5}$. Note that $f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X)=F_{m}(X, 1)$.
For $m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-8,-3,0,5\}$, the polynomial $f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ with cyclic Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X) \cong C_{6}$ of order 6 (see [Gra86, Proposition 3.3]). The splitting fields

$$
L_{m}^{(6)}:=\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X), \quad(m \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-8,-3,0,5\})
$$

of $f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ are totally real cyclic sextic fields and called the simplest sextic fields (cf. e.g. [Gra86], [Gra87], [LPV98], [LPV99], [Gaa02, Section 8.3], [Tog02], [HH05], [Lou07]).

We get Theorem 1.1 as a consequence of the following two theorems:
Theorem (Theorem 4.7). For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, L_{m}^{(6)}=L_{n}^{(6)}$ if and only if $m=n$ or $m=-n-3$.

Theorem (Theorem 5.1). There exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{m,-m-3\}$ such that $L_{n}^{(6)}=L_{m}^{(6)}$ if and only if there exists non-trivial solution $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, i.e. $x y(x+y)(x-y)(x+2 y)(2 x+y) \neq 0$, to $F_{m}(x, y)=\lambda$ where $\lambda$ is a divisor of $27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)$.

In Section 2, we review some facts on the simplest sextic and cubic fields. In Section 3, we recall known results of the resolvent polynomials which are fundamental tools in the computational aspects of Galois theory. We intend to explain how to obtain an answer to the field intersection problem of cyclic sextic polynomials $f_{s}(X)$ over a field $K$ of char $K \neq 2,3$, i.e. for $a, b \in K$ how to determine the intersection of $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{a}(X)$ and $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{b}(X)$. In Section 4, we give an explicit answer to the field isomorphism problem of $f_{s}^{C_{6}}(X)$ as the special case of the field intersection problem. In particular, for $K=\mathbb{Q}$, we get Theorem 4.7 by using Okazaki's theorem (Theorem 2.1). In Section 5, we will show a correspondence between isomorphism classes of the simplest sextic fields $L_{m}^{(6)}$ and non-trivial solutions to the sextic Thue equations $F_{m}(x, y)=\lambda$ where $\lambda$ is a divisor of $27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)$ (see Theorem 5.1).

## 2. The simplest sextic and cubic fields

We recall known facts of the simplest sextic and cubic fields (see [Gra86, Section 3], [Gra87], [LPV98]).

Let $K$ be a field of char $K \neq 2,3$ and $K(s)$ the rational function field over $K$ with variable $s$. We take the simplest sextic polynomial

$$
f_{s}^{C_{6}}(X):=X^{6}-2 s X^{5}-5(s+3) X^{4}-20 X^{3}+5 s X^{2}+2(s+3) X+1
$$

with discriminant $6^{6}\left(s^{2}+3 s+9\right)^{5}$. The Galois group of $f_{s}^{C_{6}}(X)$ over $K(s)$ is isomorphic to the cyclic group $C_{6}$ of order 6. Gras [Gra86] considered the polynomial

$$
g_{t}(X)=X^{6}-\frac{1}{2}(t-6) X^{5}-\frac{5}{4}(t+6) X^{4}-20 X^{3}+\frac{5}{4}(t-6) X^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(t+6) X+1 .
$$

The two polynomials above are related by $g_{4 s+6}(X)=f_{s}^{C_{6}}(X)$.
Let $K(z)$ be the rational function field over $K$ with variable $z$ and $\sigma$ a $K$-automorphism of $K(z)$ of order 6 which is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma: z \mapsto \frac{z-1}{z+2} \mapsto-\frac{1}{z+1} \mapsto-\frac{z+2}{2 z+1} \mapsto-\frac{z+1}{z} \mapsto-\frac{2 z+1}{z-1} \mapsto z \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we get the Galois extension $K(z) / K(z)^{\langle\sigma\rangle}$ with cyclic Galois group $C_{6}$ of order 6 and

$$
f_{s}^{C_{6}}(X)=\prod_{x \in \operatorname{Orb}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}(z)}(X-x)
$$

where

$$
s=\frac{z^{6}-15 z^{4}-20 z^{3}+6 z+1}{z\left(2 z^{4}+5 z^{3}-5 z-2\right)}=\frac{\left(z^{3}+3 z^{2}-1\right)\left(z^{3}-3 z^{2}-6 z+1\right)}{z(z+1)(z-1)(z+2)(2 z+1)}
$$

as the generating polynomial of the sextic cyclic field $K(z)$ over $K(z)^{\langle\sigma\rangle}=K(s)$.

The quadratic field $K(z)^{\left\langle\sigma^{2}\right\rangle}$ over $K(s)$ is given by $K(s)\left(z_{2}\right)$ where

$$
z_{2}=z+\sigma^{2}(z)+\sigma^{4}(z)=\frac{z^{3}-3 z-1}{z(z+1)} .
$$

It also follows from

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{2}-s=\frac{\left(z^{2}+z+1\right)^{3}}{z(z+1)(z-1)(z+2)(2 z+1)}=\sqrt{s^{2}+3 s+9} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that $K(z)^{\left\langle\sigma^{2}\right\rangle}=K(s)\left(\sqrt{s^{2}+3 s+9}\right)$.
The cyclic cubic field $K(z)^{\left\langle\sigma^{3}\right\rangle}$ over $K(s)$ is given by $K(s)\left(z_{3}\right)$ where

$$
z_{3}=\frac{1}{z \sigma^{3}(z)}=-\frac{z(z+2)}{(z+1)(z-1)}
$$

The action of $\sigma$ on $K(s)\left(z_{3}\right)$ is given by

$$
\sigma: s \mapsto s, \quad z_{3} \mapsto-\frac{1}{z_{3}+1} \mapsto-\frac{z_{3}+1}{z_{3}} \mapsto z_{3} .
$$

Hence the minimal polynomial of $z_{3}$ over $K(s)$ is given by

$$
f_{s}^{C_{3}}(X):=\prod_{x \in \operatorname{Orb}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}\left(z_{3}\right)}(X-x)=X^{3}-s X^{2}-(s+3) X-1,
$$

that is the simplest cubic polynomial of Shanks [Sha74]. Two polynomials $f_{s}^{C_{6}}(X)$ and $f_{s}^{C_{3}}(X)$ satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s}^{C_{6}}(X)=\left(f_{s}^{C_{3}}(X)\right)^{2}-\left(s^{2}+3 s+9\right) X^{2}(X+1)^{2} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $K=\mathbb{Q}$, we consider the specialization map $s \mapsto m \in \mathbb{Z}$. By [Gra86, Proposition 3.3], the sextic polynomial $f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ for $m \in$ $\mathbb{Z} \backslash\{-8,-3,0,5\}$, and the splitting fields $L_{m}^{(6)}=\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X)$ are totally real cyclic number fields of degree 6 which are called the simplest sextic fields. We see $L_{m}^{(6)}=L_{-m-3}^{(6)}$ because if $z$ is a root of $f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X)$ then $1 / z$ becomes a root of $f_{-m-3}^{C_{6}}(X)$.

For $m \in\{-8,-3,0,5\}$, the integer $m^{2}+3 m+9$ is a square and then from (4) and (5) the sextic polynomial $f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X)$ splits over $\mathbb{Q}$. Indeed we see

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{-8}^{C_{6}}(X)=f_{-1}^{C_{3}}(X) f_{-15}^{C_{3}}(X), & f_{-3}^{C_{6}}(X)=f_{0}^{C_{3}}(X) f_{-6}^{C_{3}}(X), \\
f_{0}^{C_{6}}(X)=f_{3}^{C_{3}}(X) f_{-3}^{C_{3}}(X), & f_{5}^{C_{6}}(X)=f_{12}^{C_{3}}(X) f_{-2}^{C_{3}}(X)
\end{array}
$$

The cubic subfields $L_{m}^{(3)}:=\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_{m}^{C_{3}}(X)$ of $L_{m}^{(6)}$ are called the simplest cubic fields. Note that $f_{m}^{C_{3}}(X)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $L_{m}^{(3)}=L_{-m-3}^{(3)}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Ennola [Enn91] verified that for integers $-1 \leqslant m<n \leqslant 10^{4}, L_{m}^{(3)}=L_{n}^{(3)}$ if and only if $(m, n) \in\{(-1,5),(-1,12),(-1,1259),(5,12),(5,1259),(12,1259)\}$
$\cup\{(0,3),(0,54),(3,54)\} \cup\{(1,66)\} \cup\{(2,2389)\}$. Hoshi and Miyake [HM09a, Example 5.3] checked that Ennola's claim is also valid for $-1 \leqslant m<n \leqslant 10^{5}$.

In [Oka02], Okazaki investigated Thue equations $F(X, Y)=1$ for irreducible cubic forms $F$ with positive discriminant $D(F)>0$ and established a very strong result on gaps between solutions (cf. also [Wak07a]). By using methods in [Oka02], we may obtain that if $L_{m}^{(3)}=L_{n}^{(3)}$ with $-1 \leqslant m<n$ then $m \leqslant 35731$ (cf. also [Hos11]). Moreover Okazaki showed the following theorem:
Theorem 2.1 (Okazaki [Oka]). Let $L_{m}^{(3)}=\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_{m}^{C_{3}}(X)$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $-1 \leqslant m<n$, if $L_{m}^{(3)}=L_{n}^{(3)}$ then $m, n \in\{-1,0,1,2,3,5,12,54,66,1259$, 2389\}. In particular,

$$
L_{-1}^{(3)}=L_{5}^{(3)}=L_{12}^{(3)}=L_{1259}^{(3)}, \quad L_{0}^{(3)}=L_{3}^{(3)}=L_{54}^{(3)}, \quad L_{1}^{(3)}=L_{66}^{(3)}, \quad L_{2}^{(3)}=L_{2389}^{(3)} .
$$

The author also gave another proof of Theorem 2.1 in [Hos11].

## 3. Field intersection problem of cyclic sextic

We recall some results of the resolvent polynomials which are fundamental tools in the computational aspects of Galois theory (cf. e.g. [Coh93], [Coh00], [Ade01]). Let $\bar{K}$ be a fixed algebraic closure of a field $K$. Let $f(X):=\prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(X-\alpha_{i}\right) \in$ $K[X]$ be a separable polynomial of degree $m$ with some fixed order of the roots $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m} \in \bar{K}$. The Galois group of the polynomial $f(X)$ over $K$ may be determined by resolvent polynomials with suitable invariants.

Let $R:=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right]$ be the polynomial ring over $K$ with $m$ variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$. For $\Theta \in R$, we take the specialization map $\omega_{f}: R \rightarrow K\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)$, $\Theta\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \mapsto \Theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)$. The kernel of $\omega_{f}$ is the ideal $I_{f}:=\{\Theta \in R \mid$ $\left.\Theta\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right)=0\right\}$ in $R$.

Let $S_{m}$ be the symmetric group of degree $m$. We extend the action of $S_{m}$ on $m$ letters $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ to that on $R$ by $\pi\left(\Theta\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)\right):=\Theta\left(x_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, x_{\pi(m)}\right)$. The Galois group of $f(X)$ over $K$ is defined by $\operatorname{Gal}(f / K):=\left\{\pi \in S_{m} \mid \pi\left(I_{f}\right) \subseteq I_{f}\right\}$, and $\operatorname{Gal}(f / K)$ is isomorphic to the Galois group of the splitting field $\mathrm{Spl}_{K} f(X)$ of $f(X)$ over $K$. If we take another ordering of roots $\alpha_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, \alpha_{\pi(m)}$ of $f(X)$ for some $\pi \in S_{m}$, the corresponding realization of $\operatorname{Gal}(f / K)$ is conjugate in $S_{m}$. Hence, for arbitrary ordering of the roots of $f(X), \operatorname{Gal}(f / K)$ is determined up to conjugacy in $S_{m}$.

For $H \leqslant U \leqslant S_{m}$, an element $\Theta \in R$ is called a $U$-primitive $H$-invariant if $H=\operatorname{Stab}_{U}(\Theta):=\{\pi \in U \mid \pi(\Theta)=\Theta\}$. For a $U$-primitive $H$-invariant $\Theta$, the polynomial

$$
\mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta, U}(X)=\prod_{\bar{\pi} \in U / H}(X-\pi(\Theta)) \in R^{U}[X]
$$

where $\bar{\pi}$ runs through the left cosets of $H$ in $U$, is called the formal $U$-relative $H$-invariant resolvent by $\Theta$. The polynomial

$$
\mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta, U, f}(X):=\omega_{f}\left(\mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta, U}(X)\right)
$$

is called the $U$-relative $H$-invariant resolvent of $f$ by $\Theta$. The following theorem is fundamental in the theory of resolvent polynomials (see e.g. [Ade01, p.95]).

Theorem 3.1. Let $G=\operatorname{Gal}(f / K), H \leqslant U \leqslant S_{m}$ be finite groups with $G \leqslant U$ and $\Theta$ a $U$-primitive $H$-invariant. Suppose that $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta, U, f}(X)=\prod_{i=1}^{l} h_{i}^{e_{i}}(X)$ gives the decomposition of $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta, U, f}(X)$ into a product of powers of distinct irreducible polynomials $h_{i}(X),(i=1, \ldots, l)$, in $K[X]$. Then we have a bijection

$$
\begin{aligned}
G \backslash U / H & \longrightarrow\left\{h_{1}^{e_{1}}(X), \ldots, h_{l}^{e_{l}}(X)\right\} \\
G \pi H & h_{\pi}(X)=\prod_{\tau H \subseteq G \pi H}\left(X-\omega_{f}(\tau(\Theta))\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the product runs through the left cosets $\tau H$ of $H$ in $U$ contained in $G \pi H$, that is, through $\tau=\pi_{\sigma} \pi$ where $\pi_{\sigma}$ runs through a system of representative of the left cosets of $G \cap \pi H \pi^{-1}$; each $h_{\pi}(X)$ is irreducible or a power of an irreducible polynomial with $\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{\pi}(X)\right)=|G \pi H| /|H|=|G| /\left|G \cap \pi H \pi^{-1}\right|$.
Corollary 3.2. If $G \leqslant \pi H \pi^{-1}$ for some $\pi \in U$ then $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta, U, f}(X)$ has a linear factor over $K$. Conversely, if $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta, U, f}(X)$ has a non-repeated linear factor over $K$ then there exists $\pi \in U$ such that $G \leqslant \pi H \pi^{-1}$.

Remark 3.3. When $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta, U, f}(X)$ is not squarefree, there exists a suitable Tschirnhausen transformation $\hat{f}$ of $f$ over $K$ such that $\mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta, U, \hat{f}}(X)$ is squarefree (cf. [Gir83], [Coh93, Alg. 6.3.4]).

Now we apply Theorem 3.1 to the cyclic sextic case. Let $f^{1}(X), f^{2}(X) \in K[X]$ be separable sextic polynomials over $K$. We put

$$
f(X):=f^{1}(X) f^{2}(X), \quad G_{i}:=\operatorname{Gal}\left(f^{i} / K\right), \quad L_{i}:=\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f^{i}(X), \quad(i=1,2)
$$

We assume that $G_{1}, G_{2} \leqslant C_{6}$ and apply Theorem 3.1 to $m=12, f(X)=$ $f^{1}(X) f^{2}(X), U=\langle\sigma\rangle \times\langle\tau\rangle, H=\langle\sigma \tau\rangle$ or $\left\langle\sigma \tau^{5}\right\rangle$ where $\sigma, \tau \in S_{12}$ act on $R=$ $K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{12}\right]$ by

$$
\sigma: x_{1} \mapsto x_{2} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{6} \mapsto x_{1}, \quad \tau: x_{7} \mapsto x_{8} \mapsto \cdots \mapsto x_{12} \mapsto x_{7}
$$

Let $\Theta_{1}$ (resp. $\Theta_{2}$ ) be a $U$-primitive $\langle\sigma \tau\rangle$-invariant (resp. $\left\langle\sigma \tau^{5}\right\rangle$-invariant) where $U=\langle\sigma\rangle \times\langle\tau\rangle$. Then the $U$-relative $\langle\sigma \tau\rangle$-invariant (resp. $\left\langle\sigma \tau^{5}\right\rangle$-invariant) resolvent polynomial of $f(X)=f^{1}(X) f^{2}(X)$ by $\Theta_{1}$ (resp. $\Theta_{2}$ ) is given by

$$
\mathcal{R}_{f}^{i}(X):=\mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta_{i}, U, f}(X), \quad(i=1,2)
$$

This is also called the (absolute) multi-resolvent polynomial (cf. [RV99], [Ren04]).
For a squarefree polynomial $\mathcal{R}(X) \in K[X]$ of degree $l$, we define the decomposition type $\mathrm{DT}(\mathcal{R})$ of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ by the partition of $l$ induced by the degrees of the irreducible factors of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ over $K$. By Theorem 3.1, we get the intersection field $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ via the decomposition types $\mathrm{DT}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f}^{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{DT}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f}^{2}\right)$.

Theorem 3.4. For $f(X)=f^{1}(X) f^{2}(X) \in K[X]$ with $G_{1}, G_{2} \leqslant C_{6}$, we assume that $\# G_{1} \geqslant \# G_{2}$ and both $\mathcal{R}_{f}^{1}(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{f}^{2}(X)$ are squarefree. Then the Galois group $G=\operatorname{Gal}(f / K)$ and the intersection field $L_{1} \cap L_{2}$ are given by the decomposition types $\mathrm{DT}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f}^{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{DT}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f}^{2}\right)$ as on Table 1.

Table 1

| $G_{1}$ | $G_{2}$ | G |  | $\mathrm{DT}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f}^{1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{DT}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f}^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C_{6}$ | $C_{6}$ | $C_{6} \times C_{6}$ | $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=K$ | 6 | 6 |
|  |  | $C_{6} \times C_{3}$ | $\left[L_{1} \cap L_{2}: K\right]=2$ | 3, 3 | 3, 3 |
|  |  | $C_{6} \times C_{2}$ |  | 6 | 2, 2, 2 |
|  |  | $C_{6} \times C_{2}$ | $\left[L_{1} \cap L_{2}: K\right]=3$ | 2, 2, 2 | 6 |
|  |  |  |  | 3, 3 | 1,1, 1, 1, 1, 1 |
|  |  | $C_{6}$ | $L_{1}=L_{2}$ | 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 | 3, 3 |
|  | $C_{3}$ | $C_{6} \times C_{3}$ | $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=K$ | 6 | 6 |
|  |  | $C_{6}$ | $L_{1} \supset L_{2}$ | 6 | 2, 2, 2 |
|  |  |  |  | 2, 2, 2 | 6 |
|  | $C_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \times \mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=K$ | 6 | 6 |
|  |  | $C_{6}$ | $L_{1} \supset L_{2}$ | 3, 3 | 3, 3 |
|  | \{1\} | $C_{6}$ | $L_{1} \supset L_{2}=K$ | 6 | 6 |
| $C_{3}$ | $C_{3}$ | $C_{3} \times C_{3}$ | $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=K$ | 3, 3 | 3, 3 |
|  |  | $C_{3}$ | $L_{1}=L_{2}$ | 3, 3 | 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 |
|  |  |  |  | 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 | 3, 3 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ | $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=K$ | 6 | 6 |
|  | \{1\} | $C_{3}$ | $L_{1} \supset L_{2}=K$ | 3, 3 | 3, 3 |
| $C_{2}$ | $C_{2}$ | $C_{2} \times C_{2}$ | $L_{1} \cap L_{2}=K$ | 2, 2, 2 | 2, 2, 2 |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $L_{1}=L_{2}$ | 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 | 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 |
|  | \{1\} | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $L_{1} \supset L_{2}$ | 2,2,2 | 2,2,2 |
| \{1\} | \{1\} | \{1\} | $L_{1}=L_{2}=K$ | 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 | 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1 |

We checked the decomposition types $\operatorname{DT}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f}^{i}\right),(i=1,2)$, on Table 1 using the computer algebra system GAP [GAP] via the command DoubleCosetRepsAndSizes.

## 4. An explicit answer to the isomorphism problem

By using Theorem 3.4, we give an answer to the field intersection problem of

$$
f_{s}^{C_{6}}(X)=X^{6}-2 s X^{5}-5(s+3) X^{4}-20 X^{3}+5 s X^{2}+2(s+3) X+1,
$$

i.e. for $a, b \in K$ how to determine the intersection of $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{a}(X)$ and $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{b}(X)$, via multi-resolvent polynomials. An explicit answer to the field isomorphism problem of $f_{s}^{C_{6}}(X)$ will be given as the special case of the field intersection problem (see Theorem 4.3).

For $n \geqslant 3$, Rikuna [Rik02] constructed one-parameter families of cyclic polynomials $f_{s}^{R(n)}(X)$ of degree $n$ over $K$ with char $K \npreceq n$ and $K \ni \zeta_{n}+\zeta_{n}^{-1}$ where $\zeta_{n}$ is a primitive $n$-th root of unity. The simplest sextic polynomial $f_{s}^{C_{6}}(X)$ may be obtained as the sextic case $f_{s}^{R(6)}(X)$ (see also [Miy99], [HM99]).

Komatsu [Kom04] established descent Kummer theory via $f_{s}^{R(n)}(X)$, and gave a necessary and sufficient condition for $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{a}^{R(n)}(X) \subset \operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{b}^{R(n)}(X)$ for $a, b \in$ $K$ (see also [Oga03], [Kid05]). It is interesting to compare the results of [Oga03], [Kom04], [Kid05] with results given in this section. We note that a method via multi-resolvent polynomials is valid also for non-abelian groups (see [HM07], [HM09b], [HM09c], [HM10c]).

Let $K$ be a field of char $K \neq 2,3, K(z)$ the rational function field over $K$ with variable $z$ and $\sigma$ a $K$-automorphism of $K(z)$ of order 6 which is given by (3). We also take another rational function field $K(w)$ over $K$, a $K$-automorphism of $K(w)$ of order 6

$$
\tau: w \mapsto \frac{w-1}{w+2} \mapsto-\frac{1}{w+1} \mapsto-\frac{w+2}{2 w+1} \mapsto-\frac{w+1}{w} \mapsto-\frac{2 w+1}{w-1} \mapsto w
$$

and $f_{t}^{C_{6}}(X)=X^{6}-2 t X^{5}-5(t+3) X^{4}-20 X^{3}+5 t X^{2}+2(t+3) X+1$ where

$$
t=\frac{w^{6}-15 w^{4}-20 w^{3}+6 w+1}{w\left(2 w^{4}+5 w^{3}-5 w-2\right)}=\frac{\left(w^{3}+3 w^{2}-1\right)\left(w^{3}-3 w^{2}-6 w+1\right)}{w(w+1)(w-1)(w+2)(2 w+1)}
$$

by the same manner of $K(z), \sigma$ and $f_{s}^{C_{6}}(X)$.
Then the field $K(z, w)$ is $\left(C_{6} \times C_{6}\right)$-extension of $K(z, w)^{U}=K(s, t)$ where $U=\langle\sigma\rangle \times\langle\tau\rangle$. Now we should find suitable $U$-primitive $\langle\sigma \tau\rangle$-invariant $\Theta_{1}$ (resp. $\left\langle\sigma \tau^{5}\right\rangle$-invariant $\Theta_{2}$ ). By [HK95, Theorem 1'], there exists $\langle\sigma \tau\rangle$-invariant $\Theta_{1}$ such that $K(z, w)=K\left(z, \Theta_{1}\right)$. Moreover we may obtain the following $\Theta_{1}$ and $\Theta_{2}$ :

Lemma 4.1. Let $U=\langle\sigma\rangle \times\langle\tau\rangle$,

$$
\Theta_{1}=-\frac{z w+z+1}{z-w} \quad \text { and } \quad \Theta_{2}=\frac{z w-1}{z+w+1}
$$

Then the following assertions hold:
(i) the element $\Theta_{1}$ is a $U$-primitive $\langle\sigma \tau\rangle$-invariant;
(ii) the element $\Theta_{2}$ is a $U$-primitive $\left\langle\sigma \tau^{5}\right\rangle$-invariant;
(iii) the $U$-orbit of $\Theta_{i}$ is the same as the $\langle\sigma\rangle$-orbit of $z$;

$$
\operatorname{Orb}_{U}\left(\Theta_{i}\right)=\left\{\Theta_{i}, \frac{\Theta_{i}-1}{\Theta_{i}+2},-\frac{1}{\Theta_{i}+1},-\frac{\Theta_{i}+2}{2 \Theta_{i}+1},-\frac{\Theta_{i}+1}{\Theta_{i}},-\frac{2 \Theta_{i}+1}{\Theta_{i}-1}\right\}, \quad(i=1,2) .
$$

Proof. We can check the assertions by direct computations.
Put $f_{a, b}(X):=f_{a}^{C_{6}}(X) f_{b}^{C_{6}}(X)$. The multi-resolvent polynomials

$$
\mathcal{R}_{f_{a, b}}^{i}(X):=\mathcal{R} \mathcal{P}_{\Theta_{i},\langle\sigma\rangle \times\langle\tau\rangle, f_{a, b}}(X), \quad(i=1,2)
$$

with respect to $\Theta_{1}$ and $\Theta_{2}$ as in Lemma 4.1 are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{f_{a, b}}^{i}(X)=f_{A_{i}}^{C_{6}}(X), \quad(i=1,2) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{1}=-\frac{a b+3 a+9}{a-b}, \quad A_{2}=\frac{a b-9}{a+b+3} .
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{disc}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f_{a, b}}^{1}(X)\right)=\frac{6^{6}\left(a^{2}+3 a+9\right)^{5}\left(b^{2}+3 b+9\right)^{5}}{(a-b)^{10}} \\
& \operatorname{disc}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f_{a, b}}^{2}(X)\right)=\frac{6^{6}\left(a^{2}+3 a+9\right)^{5}\left(b^{2}+3 b+9\right)^{5}}{(a+b+3)^{10}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 3.4, we get the intersection field $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{a}^{C_{6}}(X) \cap \operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{b}^{C_{6}}(X)$ via Table 1.

Theorem 4.2. Let $K$ be a field of char $K \neq 2,3$ and $\mathcal{R}_{f_{a, b}}^{i}(X)=f_{A_{i}}^{C_{6}}(X)$, $(i=1,2)$, as in (6). For $a, b \in K$ with $(a-b)(a+b+3) \neq 0$ and $\left(a^{2}+3 a+\right.$ $9)\left(b^{2}+3 b+9\right) \neq 0$, we assume that $\# \operatorname{Gal}_{K} f_{a}^{C_{6}}(X) \geqslant \# \operatorname{Gal}_{K} f_{b}^{C_{6}}(X)$. Then the intersection field $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{a}^{C_{6}}(X) \cap \operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{b}^{C_{6}}(X)$ is given by the decomposition types $\mathrm{DT}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f_{a, b}}^{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{DT}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f_{a, b}}^{2}\right)$ as on Table 1.

As the special case of Theorem 4.2, we obtain an explicit answer to the field isomorphism problem of $f_{s}^{C_{6}}(X)$.

Theorem 4.3. Let $K$ be a field of char $K \neq 2,3$. For $a, b \in K$ with $(a-b)(a+$ $b+3) \neq 0$ and $\left(a^{2}+3 a+9\right)\left(b^{2}+3 b+9\right) \neq 0$, the following three conditions are equivalent:
(i) the splitting fields of $f_{a}^{C_{6}}(X)$ and of $f_{b}^{C_{6}}(X)$ over $K$ coincide;
(ii) the polynomial $f_{A_{i}}^{C_{6}}(X)$ splits completely into 6 linear factors over $K$ for $i=1$ or $i=2$ where

$$
A_{1}=-\frac{a b+3 a+9}{a-b} \quad \text { and } \quad A_{2}=\frac{a b-9}{a+b+3}
$$

(iii) there exists $z \in K$ such that

$$
B=a+\frac{\left(a^{2}+3 a+9\right) z(z+1)(z-1)(z+2)(2 z+1)}{f_{a}(z)}
$$

where $B=b$ or $B=-b-3$.

Moreover if $\operatorname{Gal}_{K} f_{a}^{C_{6}}(X) \cong C_{6}$ or $C_{3}\left(\right.$ resp. $\operatorname{Gal}_{K} f_{a}^{C_{6}}(X) \cong C_{2}$ or $\left.\{1\}\right)$ then (ii) occurs for only one of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ (resp. for both of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ ) and (iii) occurs for only one of $b$ and $-b-3$ (resp. for both of $b$ and $-b-3$ ).

Remark 4.4. The condition (iii) is just a restatement of (ii). Indeed, for $i=1,2$, rational roots $z \in K$ of $f_{A_{i}}^{C_{6}}(X)$ satisfy the condition (iii) for $B=b$ and $B=-b-3$ respectively. The equivalence of the conditions (i) and (iii) is valid also for $a=b$ and $b=-a-3$.

Theorem 4.3 is a generalization of the results of the simplest cubic (resp. quartic) case in [Mor94], [Cha96], [HM09a] (resp. [Hos]). This is an analogue of Kummer theory; for a field $K$ which contains a primitive 6 th root $\zeta_{6}$ of unity and $a, b \in K, \operatorname{Spl}_{K}\left(X^{6}-a\right)=\operatorname{Spl}_{K}\left(X^{6}-b\right)$ if and only if $X^{6}-a b$ or $X^{6}-a b^{5}$ splits completely over $K$. It is remarkable that Theorem 4.3 does not need the assumption that $K$ contains $\zeta_{6}$.

By Theorem 4.3, for a fixed $a \in K$ with $a^{2}+3 a+9 \neq 0$, we have $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{b}^{C_{6}}(X)=$ $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{a}^{C_{6}}(X)$ where $b$ is given as in Theorem 4.3 (iii) for arbitrary $z \in K$ with $f_{a}(z) \neq 0$ and $b^{2}+3 b+9 \neq 0$.

Corollary 4.5. Let $K$ be an infinite field of char $K \neq 2$. For a fixed $a \in K$ with $a^{2}+3 a+9 \neq 0$, there exist infinitely many $b \in K$ such that $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{b}^{C_{6}}(X)=$ $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{a}^{C_{6}}(X)$.

However, by applying Siegel's theorem for curves of genus 0 (cf. [Lan78, Theorem 6.1], [Lan83, Chapter 8, Section 5]) to Theorem 4.3 (iii), we get

Corollary 4.6. Let $K$ be a number field and $\mathcal{O}_{K}$ the ring of integers in $K$. Assume that $a \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ with $a^{2}+3 a+9 \neq 0$. Then there exist only finitely many integers $b \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that $\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{b}^{C_{6}}(X)=\operatorname{Spl}_{K} f_{a}^{C_{6}}(X)$. In particular, there exist only finitely many integers $b \in \mathcal{O}_{K}$ such that $f_{A_{i}}^{C_{6}}(X),(i=1,2)$, has a linear factor over $K$.

When $K=\mathbb{Q}$, by Okazaki's theorem (Theorem 2.1) and Theorem 4.3 we have
Theorem 4.7. Let $L_{m}^{(6)}=\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X)$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, L_{m}^{(6)}=L_{n}^{(6)}$ if and only if $m=n$ or $m=-n-3$.

Proof. We should check the assertion only for $-1 \leqslant m<n$ and $m, n \in\{-1,0,1$, $2,3,5,12,54,66,1259,2389\}$ because $L_{m}^{(3)}$ is the cubic subfield of $L_{m}^{(6)}$ and hence $L_{m}^{(6)}=L_{n}^{(6)}$ implies $L_{m}^{(3)}=L_{n}^{(3)}$ (cf. Theorem 2.1). The irreducible factorization of the corresponding multi-resolvent polynomials $\mathcal{R}_{f_{m, n}}^{i}(X)=f_{A_{i}}^{C_{6}}(X)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ are given as on Table 2.

## Table 2

| $m$ | $n$ | $i$ | irreducible factorization of $f_{A_{i}}^{C_{6}}(X)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 5 | 1 | $\left(X^{2}-4 X-3\right)\left(X^{2}+3 X+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{2 X}{3}-\frac{2}{3}\right)$ |
| -1 | 12 | 2 | $\left(X^{2}-2 X-2\right)\left(X^{2}+4 X+1\right)\left(X^{2}+X-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ |
| -1 | 1259 | 1 | $\left(X^{2}-\frac{5}{2} X-\frac{9}{4}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{18}{5} X+\frac{4}{5}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{8}{9} X-\frac{5}{9}\right)$ |
| 5 | 12 | 2 | $\left(X^{2}-8 X-5\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{5}{2} X+\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{2}{5} X-\frac{4}{5}\right)$ |
| 5 | 1259 | 1 | $\left(X^{2}-\frac{38}{3} X-\frac{22}{3}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{44}{19} X+\frac{3}{19}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{3}{11} X-\frac{19}{22}\right)$ |
| 12 | 1259 | 2 | $\left(X^{2}-26 X-14\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{28}{13} X+\frac{1}{13}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{1}{7} X-\frac{13}{14}\right)$ |
| 0 | 3 | 2 | $\left(X^{2}-2 X-2\right)\left(X^{2}+4 X+1\right)\left(X^{2}+X-\frac{1}{2}\right)$ |
| 0 | 54 | 1 | $\left(X^{2}-4 X-3\right)\left(X^{2}+3 X+\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{2}{3} X-\frac{2}{3}\right)$ |
| 3 | 54 | 2 | $\left(X^{2}-8 X-5\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{5}{2} X+\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{2}{5} X-\frac{4}{5}\right)$ |
| 1 | 66 | 2 | $\left(X^{2}-5 X-\frac{7}{2}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{14}{5} X+\frac{2}{5}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{4}{7} X-\frac{5}{7}\right)$ |
| 2 | 2389 | 2 | $\left(X^{2}-7 X-\frac{9}{2}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{18}{7} X+\frac{2}{7}\right)\left(X^{2}+\frac{4}{9} X-\frac{7}{9}\right)$ |

Although we already know $L_{0}^{(6)}=L_{0}^{(3)} \neq L_{5}^{(3)}=L_{5}^{(6)}$, we do not omit the degenerate cubic case $m, n \in\{0,5\}$ on Table 2. By Theorem 3.4, the other sextic multi-resolvent polynomial $\mathcal{R}_{f_{m, n}}^{j}(X)=f_{A_{j}}^{C_{6}}(X),(j \in\{1,2\}, j \neq i)$, is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. By Theorem 4.3, we conclude that the overlap $L_{m}^{(6)}=L_{n}^{(6)}$ occurs only for the trivial cases $m=n$ and $m=-n-3$.

## 5. Correspondence

The aim of this section is to establish the correspondence between isomorphism classes of the simplest sextic fields $L_{m}^{(6)}$ and non-trivial solutions to sextic Thue equations $F_{m}(x, y)=\lambda$ where $\lambda$ is a divisor of $27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)$ as follows (cf. cubic case [Hos11] and quartic case [Hos]):

Theorem 5.1. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $L_{m}^{(6)}=\operatorname{Spl}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X)$. There exists an integer $n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{m,-m-3\}$ such that $L_{n}^{(6)}=L_{m}^{(6)}$ if and only if there exists non-trivial solution $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$, i.e. $x y(x+y)(x-y)(x+2 y)(2 x+y) \neq 0$, to $F_{m}(x, y)=\lambda$ where $\lambda$ is a divisor of $27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.3 to the case $K=\mathbb{Q}$.
Assume that $L_{m}^{(6)}=L_{n}^{(6)}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{m,-m-3\}$. Then by Theorem 4.3 (iii) with $z=x / y$, there exist $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=m+\frac{\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right) x y(x+y)(x-y)(x+2 y)(2 x+y)}{F_{m}(x, y)} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where either $N=n$ or $N=-n-3$. The condition (7) occurs for only one of $N=n$ and $N=-n-3$ because only one of $f_{A_{1}}^{C_{6}}(X)$ and $f_{A_{2}}^{C_{6}}(X)$ in Theorem 4.3 (ii) has
a linear factor over $\mathbb{Q}$. By (7), the assumption $n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{m,-m-3\}$ implies $x y(x+y)(x-y)(x+2 y)(2 x+y) \neq 0$.

Now we should show that $F_{m}(x, y)$ divides $27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)$.
We use a standard method via resultant and the Sylvester matrix (cf. [PV00], [SWP08, Section 1.3], see also [Lan78, Theorem 6.1], [Lan83, Chapter 8, Section 5]). Put

$$
h(z):=\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right) z(z+1)(z-1)(z+2)(2 z+1)
$$

and take $f_{m}^{C_{6}}(z)=F_{m}(z, 1)$. We take the resultant

$$
R_{m}:=\operatorname{Res}_{z}\left(h(z), f_{m}^{C_{6}}(z)\right)=-3^{9}\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)^{6}
$$

of $h(z)$ and $f_{m}^{C_{6}}(z)$ with respect to $z$. The resultant $R_{m}$ is also given by the determinant of the following modified Sylvester matrix of size $11 \times 11$ :

$$
S^{\prime}\left(h, f_{m}^{C_{6}}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
a_{5} & a_{4} & \cdots & a_{0} & 0 & h(z) z^{5} \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & a_{5} & a_{4} & \cdots & h(z) \\
b_{6} & b_{5} & \cdots & b_{0} & 0 & f_{m}^{C_{6}}(z) z^{4} \\
0 & \ddots & \ddots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & b_{6} & b_{5} & \cdots & f_{m}^{C_{6}}(z)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $h(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{5} a_{i} z^{i}, f_{m}^{C_{6}}(z)=\sum_{i=0}^{6} b_{i} z^{i}$. By the cofactor expansion along the 11th column of the matrix $S^{\prime}\left(h, f_{m}^{C_{6}}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)\left(A_{1} z^{5}+\cdots+A_{5} z+A_{6}\right)+f_{m}^{C_{6}}(z)\left(A_{7} z^{4}+\cdots+A_{10} z+A_{11}\right)=R_{m} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing the both sides of (8) by $-\operatorname{gcd}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{11}\right)=-3^{6}\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)^{5}$, we have

$$
h(z) p(z)+f_{m}^{C_{6}}(z) q(z)=27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(z)= & 84 z^{5}-42(4 m+1) z^{4}-112(3 m+11) z^{3} \\
& +7(22 m-153) z^{2}+2(161 m+219) z+27 m+242, \\
q(z)= & \left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)\left(-168 z^{4}-336 z^{3}+154 z^{2}+322 z+27\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $H(x, y):=y^{6} h(x / y), P(x, y):=y^{5} p(x / y), Q(x, y):=y^{5} q(x / y)$. Then it follows from $z=x / y$ and $F_{m}(x, y)=y^{6} f_{m}^{C_{6}}(x / y)$ that

$$
H(x, y) P(x, y)+F_{m}(x, y) Q(x, y)=27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right) y^{11}
$$

Hence by (7) we have

$$
\frac{H(x, y) P(x, y)}{F_{m}(x, y)}+Q(x, y)=\frac{27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right) y^{11}}{F_{m}(x, y)} \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

Because the sextic forms $F_{m}(X, Y)$ and $H(X, Y)$ are invariants under the action of $\sigma: X \mapsto X+Y, Y \mapsto-X$, we may also get

$$
\frac{H(x, y) P(x+y,-x)}{F_{m}(x, y)}+Q(x+y,-x)=\frac{27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)(-x)^{11}}{F_{m}(x, y)} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

We conclude that $F_{m}(x, y)$ divides $27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)$ because $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$.
Conversely if there exists $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ with $x y(x+y)(x-y)(x+2 y)(2 x+y) \neq 0$ such that $F_{m}(x, y)$ divides $27\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right)$ then we can take

$$
N=m+\frac{\left(m^{2}+3 m+9\right) x y(x+y)(x-y)(x+2 y)(2 x+y)}{F_{m}(x, y)} \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash\{m\}
$$

which satisfies $L_{N}^{(6)}=L_{m}^{(6)}$ by Theorem 4.3. It follows from $\operatorname{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}} f_{m}^{C_{6}}(X) \cong C_{6}$ or $C_{3}$ that $N \neq-m-3$ (see also Table 1). Hence we have $N \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash\{m,-m-3\}$.

We see that $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows: If $x \equiv y(\bmod 3)$ then $x y(x+y)(x-y)(x+$ $2 y)(2 x+y) \equiv 0(\bmod 27)$. Hence we have $N \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{m,-m-3\}$.

By a direct calculation, we obtain that if $x \not \equiv y(\bmod 3)$ then $F_{m}(x, y) \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 3)$. Hence $F_{m}(x, y)$ divides $m^{2}+3 m+9$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{m,-m-3\}$.
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