

ON THE FOURIER TRANSFORM OF LORENTZ INVARIANT DISTRIBUTIONS

NORBERT ORTNER, PETER WAGNER

Susanne Dierolf gewidmet in Bewunderung ihrer wissenschaftlichen Leistung und dankbar für ihre großzügige Freundschaft

Abstract: We present a new formula for the Fourier transform of a Lorentz invariant temperate distribution. The formula is applied so as to yield the temperate fundamental solution of the Klein-Gordon operator.

Keywords: Lorentz invariance, Fourier transforms, temperate distributions, Klein-Gordon operator

1. Introduction and notation

Our personal motivation for this paper was a futile attempt to derive the Fourier transform of the function $f([x, x]) = ([x, x] + c^2)^{-1}$, $c^2 \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}$, i.e., the temperate fundamental solution of the Klein-Gordon operator, by employing Strichartz' formula, see [23, Thm. 1, p 509]. Although Strichartz' formula refers to the more general case of distributions invariant with respect to the pseudo-orthogonal group $O(p, q)$, Lorentz invariance constituting the special case of $p = 1$, $q = n - 1$, simple insertion of $f(s) = (s + c^2)^{-1}$ does not yield the final result. On the one hand, Strichartz' formula applies formally only to rapidly decreasing test functions $\phi(s) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^1)$, on the other hand, more importantly, the integrals arising from this formula can be evaluated immediately only if $[x, x] > 0$ and the dimension n is odd. We then observed that, for $[x, x] < 0$ and for n even, respectively, the resulting integrals can be simplified by means of the residue theorem.

Due to the importance of the fundamental solutions of the Klein-Gordon operator $[\partial, \partial] - c^2$, it seems justified to reconsider the subject of Fourier transforms of Lorentz invariant distributions. Let us describe now the content and the set-up of this article.

In Section 2, we first review some facts on Lorentz invariant distributions making use of the more general treatments in [14], [4], [24]. In Proposition 1, we

determine the Fourier transforms of $\delta_s([x, x])$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$. This yields a formula equivalent to Strichartz’ formula cited above ([23, Thm. 1, p 509]) if we take into account the representation of a Lorentz invariant test function in the form

$$\phi([x, x]) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \phi(s)\delta_s([x, x]) \, ds, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}).$$

We compare our formulas in Proposition 1 also with those in [5, Ch. III] and in [25]. In Corollary 1, the particular cases of the dimensions $n = 2, 3, 4$ are listed in more explicit form. In Propositions 2,3,4, representations of the Fourier transforms of Lorentz invariant locally integrable functions $f([x, x])$ are given.

In Section 3, we transform the formulas of Proposition 1 so as to yield simple results also in the cases $[x, x] < 0$ or $([x, x] > 0$ and even dimension). For the evaluation of Fourier transforms of Lorentz invariant distributions, we have the following table:

	$[x, x] > 0$	$[x, x] < 0$
n even	Proposition 5	Proposition 5
n odd	Proposition 1	Proposition 5

In Section 4, we derive the unique temperate fundamental solutions of the iterated Klein–Gordon operator $([\partial, \partial] - c^2)^m$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $c^2 \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}$, see Proposition 6. We therefrom then rederive the temperate fundamental solutions of the Klein–Gordon operators in “low” dimensions, i.e., for $n = 2, 3, 4$.

Let us introduce some notation. We shall always suppose that the space dimension n is at least 2; we write x_0, \dots, x_{n-1} for the coordinates in the space \mathbf{R}^n , and we equip it with the Lorentz metric $[x, y] = x_0y_0 - x_1y_1 - \dots - x_{n-1}y_{n-1}$.

We employ the standard notation for the distribution spaces \mathcal{D}' , \mathcal{S}' , the dual spaces of the spaces \mathcal{D} , \mathcal{S} of “test functions” and of “rapidly decreasing functions”, respectively, see [22], [7]. The Heaviside function is denoted by Y , and we write $\delta_s \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^1)$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$, for the delta distribution with support in s , which is the derivative of $Y(x - s)$, i.e., $\langle \phi, \delta_s \rangle = \phi(s)$ for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^1)$. In contrast, δ without any subscript stands for the delta distribution at the origin. For a distribution $T \in \mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we denote by \check{T} its reflection at the origin.

The pullback $h^*T = T \circ h \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ of a a distribution T in one variable t with respect to a submersive C^∞ function $h : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ open, is defined as in [3, Section 7.2, p. 81], i.e.,

$$\langle \phi, h^*T \rangle = \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\{x \in \Omega; h(x) < t\}} \phi(x) \, dx \right), T \right\rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega). \quad (1.1)$$

We use the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} in the form

$$(\mathcal{F}\phi)(\xi) := \int e^{-i\xi x} \phi(x) \, dx, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

this being extended to \mathcal{S}' by continuity. (Herein and also elsewhere, the Euclidean inner product $(\xi, x) \mapsto \xi x$ is simply expressed by juxtaposition.)

2. The Fourier transform of Lorentz invariant distributions

Let us first review some facts concerning the structure of Lorentz invariant temperate distributions, cf. [14], [4]. We denote the proper Lorentz group by $L(\mathbf{R}^n)$, i.e.,

$$L(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{A = (a_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \in \text{Gl}(\mathbf{R}^n); \det A > 0, a_{00} > 0, \\ \text{and } \forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n : [Ax, Ax] = [x, x]\}.$$

The space \mathcal{S}'_L of temperate Lorentz invariant distributions is given by

$$\mathcal{S}'_L = \mathcal{S}'_L(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n); \forall A \in L(\mathbf{R}^n) : T \circ A = T\}.$$

Obviously, \mathcal{S}'_L is the direct sum of the spaces of even and of odd invariant distributions, i.e., $\mathcal{S}'_L = \mathcal{S}'_{L,+} \oplus \mathcal{S}'_{L,-}$, where

$$\mathcal{S}'_{L,\pm} = \{T \in \mathcal{S}'_L; \check{T} = \pm T\},$$

cf. also [14, p. 228], [4, p. 45].

If $T \in \mathcal{S}'_{L,-}$ and $n \geq 3$, then $\text{supp } T \subset \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; [x, x] \geq 0\}$. This implies that T is determined as a pullback of a one-dimensional distribution supported in $[0, \infty)$, i.e., symbolically, we have

$$T = \text{sign}(x_0) \cdot S([x, x]), \quad S \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}_+).$$

Here

$$\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}_+) = \{S \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^1); \text{supp } S \subset [0, \infty)\},$$

and the isomorphism relating S and T is given in a precise way by

$$\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}_+) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}'_{L,-}(\mathbf{R}^n) : S \mapsto (T : \phi \mapsto \langle N(\phi), S \rangle), \quad n \geq 3,$$

where

$$N(\phi)(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} \frac{\phi(\sqrt{t + |x'|^2}, x') - \phi(-\sqrt{t + |x'|^2}, x')}{2\sqrt{t + |x'|^2}} dx', \quad t > 0.$$

Note that $N(\phi)$ arises by applying formula (1.1) to define $\langle \phi, \text{sign}(x_0) \cdot S([x, x]) \rangle$; the application of S to $N(\phi)$ is justified by the fact that $N(\phi)$ can be continued \mathcal{C}^∞ to the whole real line, cf. [4, Thm. 8.2, p. 52].

For $\mathcal{S}'_{L,+}$, the situation is more complicated. Outside the origin, $T \in \mathcal{S}'_{L,+}$ is generated by $S \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R})$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{T|_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}}; T \in \mathcal{S}'_{L,+}\} : S \mapsto S([x, x]),$$

cf. [4, Lemma 8.1, p. 46]. However, the space $\mathcal{S}'_{L,+}$ itself is isomorphic to the space H' defined in [4, pp. 48, 49]. Note that if $S \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R})$ with $0 \notin \text{supp } S$, then $T = S([x, x]) \in \mathcal{S}'_{L,+}$ is defined unambiguously by the requirements $0 \notin \text{supp } T$

and $T = S([x, x])$ in $\mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, this is the case for $T = \delta_s([x, x]) = \delta(s - [x, x])$ if $s \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$; for $n \geq 3$, we can also define $\delta([x, x])$ by continuity, i.e., $\delta([x, x]) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \delta_s([x, x])$. Explicitly, we have

$$\langle \phi, \delta([x, x]) \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} \frac{\phi(|x'|, x') + \phi(-|x'|, x')}{2|x'|} dx', \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}^n), \quad n \geq 3.$$

It is also clear that the distributions $Y(\pm x_0)\delta_s([x, x]) \in \mathcal{S}'_L$ are well-defined for $s > 0$.

In the following proposition, we determine the Fourier transforms of the distributions $Y(x_0)\delta_s([x, x])$, $s > 0$, and $\delta_s([x, x])$, $s < 0$, which correspond to uniform mass distributions on the upper sheet of the two-sheeted hyperboloid $[x, x] = s$, $s > 0$ and on the one-sheeted hyperboloid $[x, x] = s$, $s < 0$, respectively.

Proposition 1.

- (1) For $s > 0$, let $S = Y(x_0)\delta_s([x, x]) \in \mathcal{S}'_L$ be defined as above. Then its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}S$ is the value at $\lambda = \frac{n-2}{2}$ of the entire distribution-valued function $\lambda \mapsto T_\lambda$, which, for $\text{Re } \lambda < 1$, is given by the locally integrable function

$$\begin{aligned} T_\lambda(x) &= (2\pi)^{(n-2)/2} Y(-[x, x]) \left(\frac{s}{-[x, x]}\right)^{\lambda/2} K_\lambda(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}) \\ &\quad - 2^{n/2-2} \pi^{n/2} Y([x, x]) \left(\frac{s}{[x, x]}\right)^{\lambda/2} [N_{-\lambda}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \\ &\quad + i \text{sign}(x_0) J_{-\lambda}(\sqrt{s[x, x]})]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

In other words,

$$\mathcal{F}(Y(x_0)\delta_s([x, x])) = T_{(n-2)/2}, \quad s > 0.$$

- (2) For $s < 0$, the Fourier transform of $\delta_s([x, x]) \in \mathcal{S}'_{L,+}$ is the value at $\lambda = \frac{n-2}{2}$ of the entire distribution-valued function $\lambda \mapsto U_\lambda$, which, for $\text{Re } \lambda < 1$, is given by the locally integrable function

$$\begin{aligned} U_\lambda(x) &= -2^{(n-2)/2} \pi^{n/2} Y(-[x, x]) \left(\frac{s}{[x, x]}\right)^{\lambda/2} N_\lambda(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \\ &\quad + 2^{n/2} \pi^{(n-2)/2} Y([x, x]) \cos(\lambda\pi) \left(\frac{-s}{[x, x]}\right)^{\lambda/2} K_\lambda(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

In other words,

$$\mathcal{F}(\delta_s([x, x])) = U_{(n-2)/2}, \quad s < 0.$$

Proof. (1) If $s > 0$ and \mathcal{F}_{x_0} and $\mathcal{F}_{x'}$ denote the partial Fourier transforms with respect to the variables x_0 and $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$, respectively, see [24, §20.5, p. 198], then

$$\mathcal{F}(Y(x_0)\delta_s([x, x])) = \mathcal{F}_{x_0} \left(\mathcal{F}_{x'}(Y(x_0)\delta_s([x, x])) \right).$$

Since the distributions

$$Y(x_0)\delta(x_0^2 - s - |x'|^2) = \frac{Y(x_0 - \sqrt{s})}{2\sqrt{x_0^2 - s}} \delta(|x'| - \sqrt{x_0^2 - s})$$

continuously depend on x_0 for $n \geq 4$, i.e.,

$$Y(x_0)\delta(x_0^2 - s - |x'|^2) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}_{x_0}^1, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}_{x'}^{n-1})), \quad n \geq 4,$$

are still piecewise continuous in x_0 with a jump at $x_0 = \sqrt{s}$ for $n = 3$, and are still locally integrable with respect to x_0 for $n = 2$, we can fix the variable x_0 in order to calculate the partial Fourier transform with respect to x' .

From the Poisson–Bochner formula, see [22, (VII,7;22), p. 259], [19, (7), p. 127], [5, Ch. II, 3.4, p. 198], i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F}(\delta(|x'| - R)) = (2\pi R)^{(n-1)/2} |x'|^{-(n-3)/2} J_{(n-3)/2}(R|x'|) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^{n-1}), \quad R > 0, \tag{2.3}$$

we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(Y(x_0)\delta_s([x, x])) &= 2^{(n-3)/2} \pi^{(n-1)/2} \\ &\times \mathcal{F}_{x_0} \left(Y(x_0 - \sqrt{s})(x_0^2 - s)^{(n-3)/4} |x'|^{(3-n)/2} J_{(n-3)/2}(|x'| \sqrt{x_0^2 - s}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The distribution-valued function

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_\lambda : \{ \lambda \in \mathbf{C}; \operatorname{Re} \lambda > -\frac{1}{2} \} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) : \\ \lambda &\longmapsto Y(x_0 - \sqrt{s})(x_0^2 - s)^{(2\lambda-1)/4} |x'|^{1/2-\lambda} J_{-1/2+\lambda}(|x'| \sqrt{x_0^2 - s}) \end{aligned}$$

is holomorphic and can analytically be continued to an entire function due to the recursion formula $\frac{\partial \tilde{T}_\lambda}{\partial x_0} = x_0 \tilde{T}_{\lambda-1}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{F}(Y(x_0)\delta_s([x, x]))$ is the value at $\lambda = \frac{n-2}{2}$ of the entire function $\lambda \mapsto 2^{(n-3)/2} \pi^{(n-1)/2} \mathcal{F}_{x_0}(\tilde{T}_\lambda)$, cf. [9, Proposition (2.1.5) (i)], [17, Proposition 1.6.2, p. 28].

For $-\frac{1}{2} < \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$ and fixed x' , the function $x_0 \mapsto \tilde{T}_\lambda(x_0, x')$ is absolutely integrable. Hence the Fourier transform with respect to x_0 can be calculated classically and yields, by [16, 14.57, p. 82; 14.32, p. 176],

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{x_0}(\tilde{T}_\lambda) &= |x'|^{1/2-\lambda} \int_{\sqrt{s}}^{\infty} e^{-ix_0 t} (t^2 - s)^{(2\lambda-1)/4} J_{-1/2+\lambda}(|x'| \sqrt{t^2 - s}) dt \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} Y(-[x, x]) \left(\frac{s}{-[x, x]} \right)^{\lambda/2} K_\lambda(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}) \\ &\quad - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} Y([x, x]) \left(\frac{s}{[x, x]} \right)^{\lambda/2} [N_{-\lambda}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) + i \operatorname{sign}(x_0) J_{-\lambda}(\sqrt{s[x, x]})]. \end{aligned}$$

This yields formula (2.1). (2) Similarly, for $s < 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\delta_s([x, x])) &= \mathcal{F}_{x_0} \left(\mathcal{F}_{x'}(\delta(x_0^2 - s - |x'|^2)) \right) \\ &= 2^{(n-3)/2} \pi^{(n-1)/2} \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{F}_{x_0} \left((x_0^2 - s)^{(n-3)/4} |x'|^{(3-n)/2} J_{(n-3)/2}(|x'| \sqrt{x_0^2 - s}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The distribution-valued function

$$\tilde{U}_\lambda : \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n) : \lambda \longmapsto (x_0^2 - s)^{(2\lambda-1)/4} |x'|^{1/2-\lambda} J_{\lambda-1/2}(|x'| \sqrt{x_0^2 - s})$$

is plainly entire and $\mathcal{F}(\delta_s([x, x]))$ coincides with $2^{(n-3)/2} \pi^{(n-1)/2} \mathcal{F}_{x_0}(\tilde{U}_{(n-2)/2})$. For $\text{Re } \lambda < 1$, this partial Fourier transform with respect to x_0 can be calculated classically by fixing x' . For $x' \neq 0$, $s < 0$, [16, 14.22, p. 78] furnishes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{x_0}(\tilde{U}_\lambda) &= 2|x'|^{1/2-\lambda} \int_0^\infty \cos(x_0 t) (t^2 - s)^{(2\lambda-1)/4} J_{\lambda-1/2}(|x'| \sqrt{t^2 - s}) dt \\ &= -\sqrt{2\pi} Y(-[x, x]) \left(\frac{s}{[x, x]} \right)^{\lambda/2} N_\lambda(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{8}{\pi}} Y([x, x]) \cos(\lambda\pi) \left(\frac{-s}{[x, x]} \right)^{\lambda/2} K_\lambda(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies formula (2.2) and completes the proof. ■

Remarks.

- (1) Comparing our formulas (2.1) and (2.2) in Proposition 1 with formula (7) in [5, Ch. III, 2.10, p. 294] we note that they are both representations of $\mathcal{F}(\delta_s([x, x]))$, $s \in \mathbf{R}$, as analytic continuations, but with respect to different parameters: Our formulas are continuations with respect to the index λ of the Bessel functions, whereas in [5], the quadratic form $[x, x]$ is interpreted as boundary value of the non-degenerate complex quadratic form $[x, x] + i\epsilon|x|^2$, $\epsilon > 0$. We also observe that (2.1), (2.2) above yield immediately an explicit result outside the light cone $[x, x] = 0$.
- (2) For $s > 0$, the Fourier transforms of $Y(x_0)\delta_s([x, x])$ are special cases of the formulas (II,3;3/4), p. 84, in [25]. There, more generally, $T = \mathcal{F}(Y(x_0)\delta_s^{(k)}([x, x]))$ is considered. However, the results given in [25] are only partially correct. This can be seen, e.g., by comparing Corollary 1, (b) below with [25, (II,3;4)] in the case $k = 0$, $n = 3$. The method used in [25] consists in decomposing \mathbf{R}^n into three open sets C_1, C_f, C_b and the light cone $\tilde{C} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; [x, x] = 0\}$, see [25, (I,3;1-4), p. 76]. The restriction of the investigated Fourier transforms to the *closed* set \tilde{C} is not defined since, generally, distributions cannot be restricted to closed sets, and this leads to the erroneous term in [25, (II,3;4)]. We also point out that the restriction $T|_{C_1}$, say, which is a \mathcal{C}^∞ function and hence also a distribution in C_1

cannot be conceived in a canonical way as a distribution in \mathbf{R}^n . Hence a formula as $T = T|_{C_1} + T|_{C_f} + T|_{C_b} + T|_{\bar{C}}$ coming from “adding the results” (see [25, p. 79]) does not make sense. Similarly, formula (II,1;1) in [25] for $\mathcal{F}(Y(x_0)\delta_s([x, x]))$ in the case $n = 4$ is correct only if interpreted in the sense of our Corollary 1 d) below, i.e., by conceiving $T|_{C_1} + T|_{C_f} + T|_{C_b}$ as a principal value distribution.

Let us yet formulate the results in (2.1) and (2.2) more explicitly in the case of small dimensions n .

Corollary 1.

(a) *If $s > 0$ and $n = 2$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(Y(x_0)\delta_s([x, x])) &= Y(-[x, x])K_0(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}) \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi}{2}Y([x, x])[N_0(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \\ &\quad + i \operatorname{sign}(x_0)J_0(\sqrt{s[x, x]})] \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^2). \end{aligned}$$

(In \mathbf{R}^2 , this formula also encompasses the case of $\mathcal{F}(\delta_s([x, x]))$, $s < 0$, by reflection.)

(b) *If $s \geq 0$ and $n = 3$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(Y(x_0)\delta_s([x, x])) &= \frac{\pi Y(-[x, x])}{\sqrt{-[x, x]}} e^{-\sqrt{-s[x, x]}} \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi Y([x, x])}{\sqrt{[x, x]}} [\sin(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \\ &\quad + i \operatorname{sign}(x_0) \cos(\sqrt{s[x, x]})] \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^3). \end{aligned}$$

(c) *If $s \leq 0$ and $n = 3$, then*

$$\mathcal{F}(\delta_s([x, x])) = \frac{2\pi Y(-[x, x])}{\sqrt{-[x, x]}} \cos(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^3).$$

(d) *If $s > 0$ and $n = 4$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(Y(x_0)\delta_s([x, x])) &= i\pi^2 \operatorname{sign}(x_0) \left[Y([x, x]) \sqrt{\frac{s}{[x, x]}} J_1(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) - 2\delta([x, x]) \right] \\ &\quad + \pi \operatorname{vp} \left(\sqrt{\frac{s}{|[x, x]|}} \left[2Y(-[x, x])K_1(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \pi Y([x, x])N_1(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \right] \right) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^4). \end{aligned}$$

(Herein the principal value has the following meaning:

$$\operatorname{vp}(f(x)) = \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} (Y(|[x, x]| - \epsilon)f(x)),$$

the limit converging in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^4)$.)

(e) If $s = 0$ and $n = 4$, then

$$\mathcal{F}(Y(x_0)\delta([x, x])) = -2\pi \operatorname{vp}\left(\frac{1}{[x, x]}\right) - 2i\pi^2 \operatorname{sign}(x_0)\delta([x, x]).$$

(f) If $s < 0$ and $n = 4$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\delta_s([x, x])) &= -2\pi \operatorname{vp}\left(\sqrt{\left|\frac{s}{[x, x]}\right|}\left[2Y([x, x])K_1(\sqrt{-s[x, x]})\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left.+ \pi Y(-[x, x])N_1(\sqrt{s[x, x]})\right]\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The formulas in (a), (b) and (c) follow immediately from Proposition 1 since T_λ and U_λ are locally integrable functions for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 1$, and this is the case for $\lambda = \frac{n-2}{2}$, $n = 2, 3$.

If $n = 4$, then $\lambda = 1$, and the values of T_1 and U_1 can be obtained as limits, i.e., $T_1 = \lim_{\lambda \nearrow 1} T_\lambda$, $U_1 = \lim_{\lambda \nearrow 1} U_\lambda$. From the elementary formula

$$\lim_{\lambda \searrow -1} |t|^\lambda \operatorname{sign} t = \operatorname{vp}(t^{-1}) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}_t^1),$$

we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{\lambda \nearrow 1} \left[2\pi Y(-[x, x]) \left(\frac{s}{-[x, x]}\right)^{\lambda/2} K_\lambda(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \pi^2 Y([x, x]) \left(\frac{s}{[x, x]}\right)^{\lambda/2} N_{-\lambda}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \right] \\ &= \pi \operatorname{vp}\left(\sqrt{\left|\frac{s}{[x, x]}\right|}\left[2Y(-[x, x])K_1(\sqrt{-s[x, x]})\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left.+ \pi Y([x, x])N_1(\sqrt{s[x, x]})\right]\right). \end{aligned}$$

This yields the second part in (d), and an analogous reasoning furnishes the formula in (f).

On the other hand, for $s > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 1$, the function

$$S_\lambda(t) = Y(t) \left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{\lambda/2} J_{-\lambda}(\sqrt{st})$$

is locally integrable in \mathbf{R}_t^1 and depends holomorphically on λ . Since $S_{\lambda+1} = 2\frac{d}{dt} S_\lambda$ holds for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0$, the distribution-valued function $\lambda \mapsto S_\lambda$ can analytically be continued to the whole complex λ -plane. In particular,

$$S_1 = 2\frac{d}{dt} S_0 = 2\frac{d}{dt} [Y(t)J_0(\sqrt{st})] = 2\delta - Y(t)\sqrt{\frac{s}{t}} J_1(\sqrt{st}),$$

and the composition with $t = [x, x]$ yields the formula in (d). Finally, (e) follows from (d) by performing the limit $s \searrow 0$. The proof is complete. ■

Remarks.

- (1) For the formula in (d), cf. [20, 29.4, p. 186, and 31.5, p. 200]; [21, pp. 83, 84]; [2, App. E, (E4), p. 334]; [10, Ch. IV, (5.6/7), pp. 136, 137]; [12, (IV,1/2), p. 67]. A part of the formulas in Corollary 1 can also be obtained by specializing formula (5) in [5, Ch. III, 2.9, p. 291].
- (2) If, by abuse of notation, we write generally $Y(t)(s/t)^{\lambda/2}J_{-\lambda}(\sqrt{st})$ for the distribution-valued function S_λ , $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, considered in the proof above, then the recursion formula $S_{\lambda+1} = 2\frac{d}{dt}S_\lambda$ implies the following equation, which holds in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}_t^1)$ for fixed $s > 0$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y(t)\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{k/2}J_{-k}(\sqrt{st}) &= 2^k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-s)^j}{2^{2j}j!} \delta^{(k-j-1)}(t) + (-1)^k Y(t)\left(\frac{s}{t}\right)^{k/2}J_k(\sqrt{st}).
 \end{aligned}$$

A similar formula appears in [11, (1.12), p. 188], where a proof by development in a power series is given.

The Fourier transforms in Corollary 1 are the analogues in the Lorentz case of the Poisson–Bochner formula (2.3). They yield formulas for the Fourier transforms of Lorentz invariant functions $f([x, x])$. Since the necessary assumptions on f depend on the dimension n , we consider the cases $n = 2, 3, 4$ separately.

Proposition 2. *Let $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^1)$ such that $\frac{f(s) \log^2 |s|}{(1 + |s|)^{1/4}}$ is integrable. If $x \in \mathbf{R}^2$ with $[x, x] = x_0^2 - x_1^2$, then $f([x, x]) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^2)$, and the Fourier transform of $f([x, x])$ is locally integrable, continuous outside the light cone, and given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}(f([x, x])) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s) \cdot [2Y(-s[x, x])K_0(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}) \\
 &\quad - \pi Y(s[x, x])N_0(\sqrt{s[x, x]})] ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The mapping

$$\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2) : s \longmapsto \delta_s([x, x])$$

is continuous and hence can be integrated against a test function in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$. Therefore, the formula in (a) of Corollary 1 yields the result by Fubini’s theorem if $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\})$. This can then be extended by density to the class of functions in Proposition 2 using Lebesgue’s theorem and the asymptotic properties of the Bessel functions at 0 and ∞ . ■

Proposition 3. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^1)$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}^3$ with $[x, x] = x_0^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2$. Then $f([x, x]) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^3)$, and the Fourier transform of $f([x, x])$ is locally integrable,*

continuous outside the light cone, and given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(f([x, x])) &= -\frac{2\pi Y([x, x])}{\sqrt{[x, x]}} \int_0^\infty f(s) \sin(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{2\pi Y(-[x, x])}{\sqrt{-[x, x]}} \int_0^\infty [f(s) e^{-\sqrt{-s[x, x]}} + f(-s) \cos(\sqrt{-s[x, x]})] \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 1 (b), (c) in an analogous way as Proposition 2. ■

Proposition 4. Let $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^1)$ such that $f(s)|s|^{1/4} \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$. If $x \in \mathbf{R}^4$ with $[x, x] = x_0^2 - x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2$, then $f([x, x]) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^4)$, and the Fourier transform of $f([x, x])$ is continuous outside the light cone $[x, x] = 0$, and generally a principal value given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(f([x, x])) &= 2\pi \text{vp} \left(\frac{1}{[x, x]} \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(s) [\pi Y(s[x, x]) \sqrt{s[x, x]} N_1(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2Y(-s[x, x]) \sqrt{-s[x, x]} K_1(\sqrt{-s[x, x]})] \, ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

(The meaning of the principal value is as explained in Corollary 1 (d).)

Proof. The parts (d), (e) and (f) of Corollary 1 yield the following representation of $\mathcal{F}(\delta_s([x, x]))$:

$$\mathcal{F}(\delta_s([x, x])) = \text{vp} \left(\frac{1}{[x, x]} \cdot g(s[x, x]) \right), \quad s \in \mathbf{R}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$g(t) = 2\pi^2 Y(t) \sqrt{t} N_1(\sqrt{t}) - 4\pi Y(-t) \sqrt{-t} K_1(\sqrt{-t}).$$

We observe that $g(t)$ is \mathcal{C}^∞ outside the origin and continuous at $t = 0$. More precisely, the behavior of g at 0 and at ∞ , respectively, is determined by

$$g(t) = -4\pi + \pi t \log |t| + \mathcal{O}(t) \text{ for } t \rightarrow 0, \text{ and } g(t) = \mathcal{O}(|t|^{1/4}) \text{ for } |t| \rightarrow \infty,$$

with \mathcal{O} denoting, as usual, Landau's symbol. In particular,

$$\exists C > 0 : \forall t \in \mathbf{R} : |g(t) - g(0)| \leq C|t|^{1/4}. \quad (2.6)$$

Let us consider the Banach space

$$\mathcal{M} = \{ \mu \text{ Radon measure on } \mathbf{R}; |s|^{1/4} \mu \text{ is an integrable measure} \}$$

with the norm $\|\mu\| = \int_{\mathbf{R}} |s|^{1/4} d|\mu|(s)$. For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$, the function

$$h_\mu(u) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} g(su) d\mu(s)$$

is well-defined and continuous. Furthermore, taking C as in (2.6) we obtain

$$|h_\mu(u) - h_\mu(0)| \leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}} |su|^{1/4} d|\mu|(s) = C\|\mu\| \cdot |u|^{1/4},$$

which implies that

$$\text{vp} \left(\frac{h_\mu([x, x])}{[x, x]} \right) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^4)$$

is well-defined for $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$.

By (2.5),

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu([x, x])) = \text{vp} \left(\frac{h_\mu([x, x])}{[x, x]} \right) \tag{2.7}$$

holds for $\mu = \delta_s, s \in \mathbf{R}$. Note, however, that the vector space V of linear combinations of $\delta_s, s \in \mathbf{R}$, is not dense in \mathcal{M} with respect to the norm topology. In contrast, V is dense in \mathcal{M} if we equip it with the weak topology σ with respect to the space

$$\{f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{R}); (1 + |s|)^{-1/4} f(s) \text{ is bounded}\}.$$

Since (2.7) holds for each μ in V and both sides depend, as elements of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^4)$ with the weak topology, continuously on μ in \mathcal{M} with respect to σ , we conclude that (2.7) holds for each $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$. In particular, for $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^1)$ such that $f(s)|s|^{1/4} \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$, we infer that

$$\mathcal{F}(f([x, x])) = \text{vp} \left(\frac{1}{[x, x]} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s)g(s[x, x]) ds \right),$$

and this completes the proof. ■

3. Representations of $\mathcal{F}(f([x, x]))$ derived by contour deformation

In Section 2, we deduced formulas for $\mathcal{F}(f([x, x]))$ which apply to “arbitrary” functions f satisfying suitable, dimension-dependent growth conditions. In this section, we shall, in contrast, assume that f is the boundary value of a meromorphic function $f(z)$ defined in the complex upper half-plane $\text{Im } z > 0$, and we shall express $\mathcal{F}(f([x, x]))$ in part by residues.

Proposition 5. *Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic in the complex upper half-plane $\text{Im } z > 0$ with the poles $z_1, \dots, z_m, m \in \mathbf{N}_0$, and assume that f is of polynomial growth, i.e.,*

$$\exists N \geq 0 : \forall z \in \mathbf{C} \text{ with } \text{Im } z > 0 : |f(z)| \leq N(1 + |z|)^N.$$

We suppose, furthermore, that $f(s + i\epsilon)$ converges locally uniformly for $\epsilon \searrow 0$ and that the limit fulfills $f(s)(1 + |s|)^{(n-3)/4} \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$.

Then $S := f([x, x]) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{F}S$ is given in $[x, x] \neq 0$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}S &= 2i(2\pi)^{n/2} \left(Y(-[x, x]) + e^{-(n-2)\pi i/2} Y([x, x]) \right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=1}^m \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_j} \left[f(z) \left(\frac{z}{-[x, x]} \right)^{(n-2)/4} K_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{-z[x, x]}) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2} (2\pi)^{n/2} Y(-[x, x]) \int_{-\infty}^0 f(s) \left(\frac{s}{[x, x]} \right)^{(n-2)/4} J_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2} (2\pi)^{n/2} Y([x, x]) \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\pi\right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \int_{-\infty}^0 f(s) \left(-\frac{s}{[x, x]} \right)^{(n-2)/4} K_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}) \, ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\infty} f(s) \left(\frac{s}{[x, x]} \right)^{(n-2)/4} [J_{-(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \\ &\quad \left. - 2e^{-(n-2)\pi i/2} J_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \, ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(With respect to the residues, we note that $z^{(n-2)/4} K_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{z})$ can be considered as a holomorphic function of $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$.)

Proof. First observe that $\mathcal{F}(\delta_s([x, x]))$ is, according to formulas (2.1) and (2.2) in Proposition 1, infinitely differentiable in the region G of \mathbf{R}^n where $[x, x] \neq 0$; furthermore, for fixed x in G , $\mathcal{F}(\delta_s([x, x]))$ is bounded by a multiple of $(1 + |s|)^{(n-3)/4}$ if $|s| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we can employ the formula

$$\mathcal{F}S = \mathcal{F}(f([x, x])) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s) \mathcal{F}(\delta_s([x, x])) \, ds$$

in G .

Setting $G = G_+ \cup G_-$ with $G_{\pm} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n; \pm[x, x] > 0\}$, and $W_{\pm} := \mathcal{F}(f([x, x]))|_{G_{\pm}}$, respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_- &= 2^{n/2} \pi^{(n-2)/2} |[x, x]|^{(2-n)/2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_0^{\infty} f(s) (-s[x, x])^{(n-2)/4} K_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}) \, ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 f(s) (s[x, x])^{(n-2)/4} N_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \, ds \right] \\ &= 2^{n/2} \pi^{(n-2)/2} |[x, x]|^{(2-n)/2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s) (-s[x, x])^{(n-2)/4} K_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}) \, ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i\pi}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 f(s) (s[x, x])^{(n-2)/4} J_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \, ds \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

In the integral over $(-\infty, 0]$ we have used the formula

$$(t + i0)^{\lambda/2} K_\lambda(\sqrt{t + i0}) = -\frac{\pi}{2} |t|^{\lambda/2} [N_\lambda(\sqrt{|t|}) + iJ_\lambda(\sqrt{|t|})], \quad \lambda = \frac{n-2}{2},$$

valid for $t = -s[x, x] < 0$, see [6, 8.407.2, 8.476.8].

Finally, we apply the residue theorem to the integral in (3.1) which contains the function $K_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{-s[x, x]})$, and we infer that the following equation holds for $[x, x] < 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}S &= 2i(2\pi)^{n/2} \sum_{j=1}^m \operatorname{Res}_{z=z_j} \left[f(z) \left(\frac{z}{-[x, x]} \right)^{(n-2)/4} K_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{-z[x, x]}) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{2} (2\pi)^{n/2} \int_{-\infty}^0 f(s) \left(\frac{s}{[x, x]} \right)^{(n-2)/4} J_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, Proposition 1 furnishes

$$\begin{aligned} W_+ &= 2^{n/2} \pi^{(n-2)/2} [x, x]^{(2-n)/2} \\ &\quad \times \left[\cos\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\pi\right) \int_{-\infty}^0 f(s) (-s[x, x])^{(n-2)/4} K_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}) \, ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\pi}{2} \int_0^\infty f(s) (s[x, x])^{(n-2)/4} N_{-(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \, ds \right] \\ &= 2^{n/2} \pi^{(n-2)/2} [x, x]^{(2-n)/2} \\ &\quad \times \left[e^{-(n-2)\pi i/2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(s) (-s[x, x])^{(n-2)/4} K_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{-s[x, x]}) \, ds \right. \\ &\quad + i \sin\left(\frac{n-2}{2}\pi\right) \int_{-\infty}^0 f(s) (-s[x, x])^{(n-2)/4} K_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \, ds \\ &\quad + \frac{i\pi}{2} \int_0^\infty f(s) (s[x, x])^{(n-2)/4} \\ &\quad \left. \times [J_{-(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) - 2e^{-(n-2)\pi i/2} J_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]})] \, ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the identity

$$\begin{aligned} (t - i0)^{\lambda/2} K_\lambda(\sqrt{t - i0}) &= -\frac{\pi}{2} |t|^{\lambda/2} e^{i\lambda\pi} [N_{-\lambda}(\sqrt{|t|}) \\ &\quad + iJ_{-\lambda}(\sqrt{|t|}) - 2ie^{-i\lambda\pi} J_\lambda(\sqrt{|t|})], \quad \lambda = \frac{n-2}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

valid for $t = -s[x, x] < 0$ (see [6, 8.407.2, 8.476.1, 8.476.3]), in order to replace the function $N_{-(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]})$. If the integral involving $K_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{-s[x, x]})$ is expressed by residues, we obtain the terms of the formula in Proposition 5 referring to the region G_+ . This completes the proof. ■

4. Temperate fundamental solutions of the iterated Klein–Gordon operator

The iterated Klein–Gordon operator $(\partial_0^2 - \Delta_{n-1} - c^2)^m = ([\partial, \partial] - c^2)^m$, $c \in \mathbf{C}$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$, is hyperbolic in the direction $x_0 = t$, and hence this operator possesses one and only one fundamental solution with support in the half-space $x_0 \geq 0$, see [18, pp. 89, 90], [22, (VI,5;30), p. 179], [12], [10], [8, Thm. 12.5.1, p. 120]. This fundamental solution is calculated best by means of the many-dimensional Laplace transformation, see [26, § 9], [13]. Note that the Laplace transformation can be applied since this fundamental solution has its support inside a convex cone.

In contrast, we are aiming here at deriving a *temperate* fundamental solution E of $(\partial_0^2 - \Delta_{n-1} - c^2)^m$ by *Fourier transformation*. Under the assumption of $c^2 \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}$, we have $([x, x] + c^2)^{-m} \in \mathcal{O}_M(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and hence $E = (-1)^m \mathcal{F}^{-1}(([x, x] + c^2)^{-m}) \in \mathcal{O}'_C(\mathbf{R}^n)$, and this is the only temperate fundamental solution of $(\partial_0^2 - \Delta_{n-1} - c^2)^m$. Except for the case of odd n and $[x, x] > 0$, the application of Proposition 5 proves to be advantageous to that of Proposition 1.

Proposition 6. *Let $c \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} c > 0$, $\operatorname{Im} c \neq 0$, and define $z^\lambda = e^{\lambda \log z}$ by $\operatorname{Im}(\log z) \in (-\pi, \pi)$ for $z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$.*

- (1) *The holomorphic distribution-valued function*

$$E_\lambda : \mathbf{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}'_C(\mathbf{R}^n) : \lambda \longmapsto \mathcal{F}^{-1}(([x, x] + c^2)^{-\lambda})$$

has, for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \frac{n}{2} - 1$, the representation

$$E_\lambda(x) = \frac{e^{-i \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} c)(n-1)\pi/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2} 2^{\lambda-1} \Gamma(\lambda)} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{[x, x]}} \right)^{n/2-\lambda} K_{n/2-\lambda}(c\sqrt{[x, x]}) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^n). \tag{4.1}$$

Here we set $\sqrt{[x, x]} = -i \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} c) \sqrt{-[x, x]}$ if $[x, x] < 0$.

- (2) *$E_\lambda : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}'_C(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a group homomorphism from the additive group \mathbf{C} into the convolution group \mathcal{O}'_C , i.e., $E_\lambda * E_\mu = E_{\lambda+\mu}$ for each $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{C}$.*
 (3) *In particular, $E_{-m} = (-[\partial, \partial] + c^2)^m \delta$, and the only temperate fundamental solution of the iterated Klein–Gordon operator $(\partial_0^2 - \Delta_{n-1} - c^2)^m$ is $E = (-1)^m E_m$.*

Proof. Due to the assumptions on c , $\operatorname{Im}([x, x] + c^2) \neq 0$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, and hence the powers $([x, x] + c^2)^{-\lambda}$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, are defined by means of the determination of the logarithm given in the proposition. Therefore $\lambda \mapsto E_\lambda$ is an entire function with values in \mathcal{O}'_C . Since, obviously,

$$([x, x] + c^2)^{-\lambda} \cdot ([x, x] + c^2)^{-\mu} = ([x, x] + c^2)^{-\lambda-\mu}, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{C},$$

the mapping $\lambda \mapsto E_\lambda$ is a group homomorphism from $(\mathbf{C}, +)$ into $(\mathcal{O}'_C, *)$.

In order to calculate E_λ , let us first assume that both $\operatorname{Re} c$ and $\operatorname{Im} c$ are positive, and let us distinguish four cases according to whether n is even or odd, and whether $[x, x]$ is positive or negative, respectively.

If n is odd and $[x, x] > 0$, then Proposition 1 yields, by [6, 8.465.2] and [15, 4.23, p. 36], the following for $\text{Re } \lambda > \frac{n-1}{4}$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_\lambda &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}([x, x] + c^2)^{-\lambda} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2(2\pi)^{n/2}[x, x]^{(n-2)/4}} \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{(n-2)/4}}{(s + c^2)^\lambda} N_{-(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \, ds \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{(n-1)/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}[x, x]^{(n-2)/4}} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{n/2}}{(u^2 + c^2)^\lambda} J_{(n-2)/2}(u\sqrt{[x, x]}) \, du \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{(n-1)/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}2^{\lambda-1}\Gamma(\lambda)} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{[x, x]}}\right)^{n/2-\lambda} K_{n/2-\lambda}(c\sqrt{[x, x]}). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if n is arbitrary, $[x, x] < 0$ and $\text{Re } \lambda > \frac{n+1}{4}$, then Proposition 5 furnishes with $f(z) = (z + c^2)^{-\lambda}$

$$\begin{aligned} E_\lambda &= \frac{i}{2}(2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{-\infty}^0 (s + c^2)^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{s}{[x, x]}\right)^{(n-2)/4} J_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \, ds \\ &= \frac{ie^{-i\lambda\pi}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}(-[x, x])^{(n-2)/4}} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{n/2}}{(u^2 - c^2)^\lambda} J_{(n-2)/2}(u\sqrt{-[x, x]}) \, du \\ &= \frac{ie^{-i\lambda\pi}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}2^{\lambda-1}\Gamma(\lambda)} \left(\frac{-ic}{\sqrt{-[x, x]}}\right)^{n/2-\lambda} K_{n/2-\lambda}(-ic\sqrt{-[x, x]}). \end{aligned}$$

Due to

$$\left(\frac{-ic}{\sqrt{-[x, x]}}\right)^{n/2-\lambda} = \left(e^{-i\pi} \frac{c}{\sqrt{[x, x]}}\right)^{n/2-\lambda} = \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{[x, x]}}\right)^{n/2-\lambda} e^{i\lambda\pi} e^{-in\pi/2},$$

the last expression coincides with the result in (4.1) for $[x, x] < 0$.

Finally, let us consider the case of n even and $[x, x]$ positive. Then Proposition 5 and [6, 8.404.2] yield

$$\begin{aligned} E_\lambda &= \frac{i[x, x]^{(2-n)/4}}{2(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{(n-2)/4}}{(s + c^2)^\lambda} \\ &\quad \times \left[J_{-(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) - 2e^{(n-2)\pi i/2} J_{(n-2)/2}(\sqrt{s[x, x]}) \right] \, ds \\ &= \frac{i(-1)^{n/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}([x, x])^{(n-2)/4}} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{n/2}}{(u^2 + c^2)^\lambda} J_{(n-2)/2}(u\sqrt{[x, x]}) \, du \\ &= \frac{e^{-i\pi(n-1)/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}2^{\lambda-1}\Gamma(\lambda)} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{[x, x]}}\right)^{n/2-\lambda} K_{n/2-\lambda}(c\sqrt{[x, x]}). \end{aligned}$$

So in each case, we have obtained the result announced in Proposition 6, at least for $[x, x] \neq 0$. Let us observe that, for $\text{Re } \lambda > \frac{n}{2} - 1$,

$$\left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{[x, x]}}\right)^{n/2-\lambda} K_{n/2-\lambda}(c\sqrt{[x, x]}) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$$

due to

$$K_\nu(z) \sim \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\nu)(\frac{1}{2}z)^{-\nu}, \quad z \rightarrow 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} \nu > 0,$$

see [1, 9.6.9, p. 375]. For sufficiently large $\operatorname{Re} \lambda$, the distribution E_λ must be locally integrable, as one can see by approximation from $\mathcal{F}^{-1}((\alpha^2 x_0^2 - x_1^2 - \dots - x_{n-1}^2 - c^2)^\lambda)$, $\alpha = 1 + i\epsilon$, $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, formula (4.1) in the proposition holds for all complex λ satisfying $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \frac{n}{2} - 1$ by analytic continuation. To conclude the proof, we employ the relation $E_{\lambda,c} = \overline{E_{\bar{\lambda},c}}$ in order to reduce the case of $\operatorname{Im} c < 0$ to that of $\operatorname{Im} c > 0$. ■

Remarks.

- (1) [5] arrives at a formula comparable to (4.1) using analytic continuation with respect to the coefficients of the quadratic form $[x, x]$, see [5, Ch. III, 2.8, (8), p. 289].
- (2) Let us emphasize again (cf also the introduction) that the formulas (2.1), (2.2) in Proposition 1, respectively the one in [23, Thm. 1, p. 509], which refers to $\mathcal{F}(\phi([x, x]))$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R})$, do not directly yield the simple result in Proposition 6, except for the case of n odd and $[x, x] > 0$.

Corollary 2. *Let $c \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} c > 0$, $\operatorname{Im} c \neq 0$, and set, as in Proposition 6, $\sqrt{[x, x]} = -i \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} c) \sqrt{-[x, x]}$ for $[x, x] < 0$. Let E denote the uniquely determined temperate fundamental solution of $\partial_0^2 - \Delta_{n-1} - c^2$.*

(a) *If $n = 2$, then*

$$E = \frac{i \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} c)}{2\pi} K_0(c\sqrt{[x, x]}) \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^2).$$

(b) *If $n = 3$, then*

$$E = \frac{e^{-c\sqrt{[x, x]}}}{4\pi\sqrt{[x, x]}} \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^3).$$

(c) *If $n = 4$, then*

$$E = -\frac{i \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Im} c)}{4\pi^2} \operatorname{vp} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{[x, x]}} K_1(c\sqrt{[x, x]}) \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta([x, x]).$$

Proof. For $n = 2$ or $n = 3$, Proposition 6 immediately yields the results, since then $E = -E_1 \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. For $n = 4$, in contrast, we have to determine $E = -E_1 = -\lim_{\lambda \searrow 1} E_\lambda$, since $E_\lambda \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^4)$ holds only for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \frac{n}{2} - 1 = 1$.

If $n = 4$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > 1$ and $\operatorname{Im} c > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} E_\lambda(x) &= \frac{i}{4\pi^2 \cdot 2^{\lambda-1} \Gamma(\lambda)} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{[x, x]}} \right)^{2-\lambda} K_{2-\lambda}(c\sqrt{[x, x]}) \\ &= \frac{i}{2^{\lambda+1} \pi^2 \Gamma(\lambda)} \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \left[([x, x] - i\epsilon)^{\lambda-2} (c\sqrt{[x, x]})^{2-\lambda} K_{2-\lambda}(c\sqrt{[x, x]}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

because of $\sqrt{[x, x]} = -i\sqrt{[x, x]}$ for $[x, x] < 0$, which implies

$$\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} \left[([x, x] - i\epsilon)^{\lambda-2} (c\sqrt{[x, x]})^{2-\lambda} \right] = \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{[x, x]}} \right)^{2-\lambda}.$$

Sokhotski's formula furnishes for the boundary values

$$\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} ([x, x] - i\epsilon)^{\lambda-2} =: ([x, x] - i0)^{\lambda-2}$$

the following limit relation in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbf{R}^4)$:

$$\lim_{\lambda \searrow 1} ([x, x] - i0)^{\lambda-2} = \text{vp} \left(\frac{1}{[x, x]} \right) + i\pi\delta([x, x]).$$

Since the function $f(t) = ctK_1(ct)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$, is \mathcal{C}^1 , it can be multiplied with the principal value and with the delta function, and therefore

$$E = -\frac{i}{4\pi^2} \text{vp} \left(\frac{c}{\sqrt{[x, x]}} K_1(c\sqrt{[x, x]}) \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta([x, x]).$$

As before, for $\text{Im } c < 0$, we use $E_{1,c} = \overline{E_{1,\bar{c}}}$. ■

Remark. For $n = 4$, the limits with respect to $c = i\epsilon, \pm\epsilon \searrow 0$, yield the following fundamental solutions E_{\pm} of the wave operator $\partial_0^2 - \partial_1^2 - \partial_2^2 - \partial_3^2 = \square_4$:

$$E_{\pm} = \mp \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \text{vp} \left(\frac{1}{[x, x]} \right) + \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta([x, x]) = \mp \frac{i}{4\pi^2} \text{vp}([x, x] \mp i0)^{-1}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{F}(\delta([x, x])) = -4\pi \text{vp}([x, x]^{-1})$ by Corollary 1 (e), and hence $\square_4 \text{vp}([x, x]^{-1}) = 0$, i.e., $\text{vp}([x, x]^{-1})$ is a solution of the homogeneous wave equation in \mathbf{R}^4 . On the other hand,

$$\text{Re } E_{\pm} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \delta([x, x]) = \frac{\delta(|x_0| - |x'|)}{8\pi|x_0|}, \quad x' = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T,$$

originates as convex combination of the retarded and the advanced fundamental solution $\delta(x_0 \mp |x'|)/(4\pi|x'|)$.

References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, 9th printing, Dover, New York, 1970.
- [2] N. N. Bogolubov, A. A. Logunov and I. T. Todorov, *Axiomatic Quantum Field Theory*, Benjamin, Reading, MA, 1975.
- [3] G. Friedlander and M. Joshi, *Introduction to the Theory of Distributions*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [4] L. Gårding and J.-L. Lions, *Functional analysis*, Nuovo Cimento **10** (1959), Suppl. 14, 9–66.

- [5] I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions. Vol. I*, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [6] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [7] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators. Vol. I*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **256**, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [8] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators. Vol. II*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **257**, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [9] J. Horváth, *Distribuciones definidas por prolongación analítica*, Rev. Colombiana Mat. **8** (1974), 7–95.
- [10] E. M. de Jager, *Applications of Distributions in Mathematical Physics*, Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, 1969.
- [11] A. I. Komech, *Linear partial differential equations with constant coefficients*, In: Partial Differential Equations. Vol. II (Enc. Math. Sci. Vol. 31, ed. by Yu.V. Egorov and M.A. Shubin), 121–255, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [12] J. Lavoine, *Solution de l'équation de Klein–Gordon*, Bull. Sci. Math. **85**(2) (1961), 57–72.
- [13] J. Leray, *Hyperbolic Differential Equations*, Institute of Advanced Study, Princeton, 1952.
- [14] P.-D. Methée, *Sur les distributions invariantes dans le groupe des rotations de Lorentz*, Commentarii Math. Helv. **28** (1954), 1–49.
- [15] F. Oberhettinger, *Tables of Bessel Transforms*, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [16] F. Oberhettinger, *Tables of Fourier Transforms and Fourier Transforms of Distributions*, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [17] N. Ortner and P. Wagner, *Distribution-Valued Analytic Functions. Theory and Applications*, Lecture note **37**, Max-Planck-Institut, Leipzig, 2008; <http://www.mis.mpg.de/preprints/ln/lecturenote-3708.pdf>.
- [18] M. Riesz, *L'intégrale de Riemann-Liouville et le problème de Cauchy*, Acta Math. **81** (1949), 1–223; Collected papers: 571–793, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [19] L. Schwartz, *Sur les multiplicateurs de FL^p* , Kungl. Fysiografiska Sällskapet i Lund Förhandlingar **22** (1953), 124–128.
- [20] L. Schwartz, *Matemática y Física Cuántica*, Notas, Universidad de Buenos Aires, 1958.
- [21] L. Schwartz, *Application of distributions to the theory of elementary particles in quantum mechanics*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1968.
- [22] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des Distributions*, Nouv. éd, Hermann, Paris, 1966.
- [23] R. S. Strichartz, *Fourier transforms and non-compact rotation groups*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **24** (1974/75), 499–526.
- [24] Z. Szmydt, *Fourier Transformation and Linear Differential Equations*, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1977.
- [25] S. E. Trione, *On the Fourier transforms of retarded Lorentz-invariant functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **84** (1981), 73–112.
- [26] V. S. Vladimirov, *Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics*, 2nd ed., Mir, Moscow, 1979.

Address: Norbert Ortner and Peter Wagner: University of Innsbruck, Technikerstr. 13, A-6020 Innsbruck, Austria.

E-mail: wagner@mat1.uibk.ac.at

Received: 12 April 2010; **revised:** 15 June 2010

