

On Artin L-Functions for Octic Quaternion Fields

Sami Omar

CONTENTS

1. Introduction
 2. Definitions and Notation
 3. Quaternion Extensions
 4. Computation of n_χ
 5. An Upper Bound for n_χ and Low Zeros of $L(s, \chi)$
 6. Computations of n_χ for Quaternion Fields
- Acknowledgments
References

We study the Artin L-function $L(s, \chi)$ associated to the unique character χ of degree 2 in quaternion fields of degree 8. We first explain how to find examples of quaternion octic fields with not too large a discriminant. We then develop a method yielding a quick computation of the order n_χ of the zero of $L(s, \chi)$ at the point $s = \frac{1}{2}$. In all our calculations, we find that n_χ only depends on the sign of the root number $W(\chi)$; indeed $n_\chi = 0$ when $W(\chi) = +1$ and $n_\chi = 1$ when $W(\chi) = -1$. Finally we give some estimates on n_χ and low zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ on the critical line in terms of the Artin conductor f_χ of the character χ .

1. INTRODUCTION

The well known conjecture that the zeros of the Riemann zeta function are simple can be also stated for a more general class of Dirichlet L -series and Artin L -functions associated to one-dimensional characters of number fields. Conjecturally when the base field is \mathbb{Q} , these functions never vanish at the central critical point [Murty and Murty 1997]. More particularly, a question of J.-P. Serre is to know whether the order n_χ of a zero of $L(s, \chi)$ at the point $s = \frac{1}{2}$ is the smallest possible with respect to the constraints imposed by the properties of the character χ , in particular those imposed by the sign of the root number $W(\chi)$ when χ is real-valued.

A precise form of this conjecture is stated in [Goss 1996, p. 324]. In this paper, we study the case of two-dimensional characters χ arising from quaternion fields N/\mathbb{Q} of degree 8. Recall that the explicit computation of values of Artin L -functions done in [Tollis 1997] based on a formula due to A. F. Lavrik and E. Friedman (see [Cohen 2000, Section 10.3]) becomes very lengthy from degree 7 onwards. The expected running time is roughly $O(\sqrt{f_\chi})$. However, for the method we develop here, the required time is $O(\ln f_\chi)$, which allows us to deal with degree-eight fields. We also give faster

AMS Subject Classification: 11R42

Keywords: Artin L -functions, zeros, quaternion fields

algorithms that depend on the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis.

2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

Let N/K be a Galois extension of a number field with Galois group $G = \text{Gal}(N/K)$, let (ρ, V) be a representation of G and χ its character. Then the Artin L -function attached to χ is defined:

$$L(N/K, \chi, s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \text{ finite}} \frac{1}{\det(1 - \rho(\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}) | V^{I_{\mathfrak{p}}} N(\mathfrak{p})^{-s})},$$

where the product is over all finite primes \mathfrak{p} of K . Here $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the Frobenius automorphism of one \mathfrak{P} above an unramified \mathfrak{p} . For ramified \mathfrak{p} , see [Martinet 1977]. The Artin L -series converges uniformly in half-planes $\text{Re } s > 1 + \delta$ (with $\delta > 0$) and defines an analytic function on the half-plane $\text{Re } s > 1$. Using basic properties of representations, one can prove that

$$\zeta_N(s) = \zeta_K(s) \prod_{\chi \neq 1} L(N/K, \chi, s)^{\chi(1)},$$

where χ varies over the nontrivial irreducible characters of G . The positive integer $\chi(1)$ arises from the decomposition of the regular representation reg_G of G into $\text{reg}_G = \sum_{\chi} \chi(1) \chi$; see [Serre 1978].

In order to obtain an L -function with a functional equation, it is necessary to introduce Euler factors for the infinite primes of K . For every infinite place \mathfrak{p} of K , define

$$L_{\mathfrak{p}}(N/K, \chi, s) = \begin{cases} L_{\mathbb{C}}(s)^{\chi(1)}, & \mathfrak{p} \text{ complex,} \\ L_{\mathbb{R}}(s)^{n^+} L_{\mathbb{R}}(s+1)^{n^-}, & \mathfrak{p} \text{ real,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$L_{\mathbb{C}}(s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(s), \quad L_{\mathbb{R}}(s) = \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma(s/2)$$

and

$$n^+ = \frac{\chi(1) + \chi(\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}})}{2}, \quad n^- = \frac{\chi(1) - \chi(\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}})}{2}.$$

Define the enlarged Artin function $\Lambda(N/K, \chi, s)$ by

$$\Lambda(N/K, \chi, s) = c(N/K, \chi)^{s/2} L_{\infty}(N/K, \chi, s) L(N/K, \chi, s),$$

where

$$c(N/K, \chi) = |d_K|^{\chi(1)} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(f(N/K, \chi))$$

and

$$L_{\infty} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} | \infty} L_{\mathfrak{p}}(N/K, \chi, s);$$

this function has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda(N/K, \chi, 1 - s) = W(\chi) \Lambda(N/K, \bar{\chi}, s),$$

where the root number $W(\chi)$ is a constant of absolute value 1 [Martinet 1977].

Artin’s conjecture says that for every irreducible character $\chi \neq 1$, the Artin L -function $L(N/K, \chi, s)$ is everywhere holomorphic. In particular, the quotient ζ_N/ζ_K should be entire, as a consequence of the Aramata–Brauer Theorem [Murty and Murty 1997]. Now if we restrict our attention to the order of the zero $n_{\chi}(s_0)$ at some $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ of the Artin L -functions, a few results were proved in this direction; see [Stark 1974] for example. By analogy with the conjecture on the simplicity of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function, the main question is to know whether for $\text{Re } s_0 > 0$ we have $n_{\chi}(s_0) \leq 1$ if χ is absolutely irreducible and $K = \mathbb{Q}$.

3. QUATERNION EXTENSIONS

In this section we describe how to compute quaternion fields and give some properties of their associated Artin L -functions.

Definition 3.1. A quaternion extension of \mathbb{Q} is a normal extension N of \mathbb{Q} with Galois group G isomorphic to the quaternion group H_8 of order 8.

The quaternion group H_8 can be written $H_8 = \langle \sigma, \tau \rangle$ with relations $\sigma^4 = 1, \tau^2 = \sigma^2$ and $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \sigma^{-1}$. It possesses a unique irreducible character χ of degree 2; one has $\chi(1) = 2, \chi(\sigma^2) = -2$ and $\chi(s) = 0$ for $s \neq 1, \sigma^2$.

The field N contains three quadratic subfields k_1, k_2, k_3 with discriminants d_1, d_2, d_3 and a biquadratic subfield K with discriminant $d_1 d_2 d_3$. The theorem below allows us to know under what condition a quadratic field $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ can be embedded in a quaternion field N . For a general formulation, see [Witt 1936].

Theorem 3.2. *Let m be a squarefree integer. In order that $k = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ should be a quadratic subfield of some quaternion field N , it is necessary and*

sufficient that m be positive and not congruent to $-1 \pmod 8$.

By a theorem of Gauss (see [Serre 1970] for a proof), the preceding condition on m holds if and only if $m = p^2 + r^2 + s^2$ where p, r, s are integers. Let $K' = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m}, i)$ with $i^2 = -1$ and let N' be a quartic cyclic extension of K' such that N'/\mathbb{Q} is Galois. Put $\langle s \rangle = \text{Gal}(K'/k)$, $\langle \tau \rangle = \text{Gal}(K'/\mathbb{Q}(i))$, and lift them to elements $\bar{s}, \bar{\tau}$ in $\text{Gal}(N'/\mathbb{Q})$. By cohomological considerations, we have the following proposition related to the construction of quaternion fields N [Damey and Payan 1970]:

Proposition 3.3

citedam. $N \subset N'$ if and only if $N'/\mathbb{Q}(i)$ is a quaternion extension and $\bar{s}\bar{\tau} = \bar{\tau}\bar{s}$.

Now one can write $N' = K'(\sqrt[4]{\alpha})$ where $\alpha \in K' \setminus k^2$, thus one can compute explicitly N' by the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *The extension $N'/\mathbb{Q}(i)$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.3 if and only if α can be written*

$$\alpha = m(r + is)^2 \frac{p + \sqrt{m} \lambda}{p - \sqrt{m} \bar{\lambda}},$$

with

$$\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-m}), (r^2 + s^2)\lambda\bar{\lambda} \notin K'^{*2}.$$

From this, we deduce easily N by computing the fixed subfields of N' by any lifting of $s, \bar{s} \in G' = \text{Gal}(N'/\mathbb{Q})$ of order 2. Since $G' = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times H_8$, there are 3 automorphisms in G' of order 2, but only two of them can be a lifting of s and the third one has a square root in G' . Therefore one can compute easily the two quaternion subfields of N' . In the last section we shall give a table of many totally real and imaginary quaternion extensions with their quadratic subfields.

Now we restrict our attention to the Artin L -function $L(s, \chi)$ associated to the unique character χ of degree 2 of H_8 . If we write $L(s, \chi)$ in terms of Dedekind zeta functions, we have:

Proposition 3.5. *Let K be the quartic subfield of N , we have:*

$$\zeta_N(s) = \zeta_K(s)L(s, \chi)^2 = \zeta_K(s)L(N/K, \chi', s),$$

where χ' is the nontrivial character associated to the quadratic extension N/K .

From the preceding identity, we deduce that $L(s, \chi)^2$ is an entire function. Since $L(s, \chi)$ is meromorphic then $L(s, \chi)$ is entire too.

In Theorems 3.6 and 3.7, we give an explicit computation of $W(\chi)$ for tamely ramified extensions (those such that 2 is not ramified in N/\mathbb{Q}). We start by defining an invariant U_N of the quaternion extension N , by setting it to $+1$ if the ring of integers O_N of N is a free $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module, and to -1 otherwise. The Fröhlich theorem gives the general equality:

Theorem 3.6 [Fröhlich 1972]. $W(\chi) = U_N$.

Set

$$\varepsilon = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } N \text{ is real,} \\ -1 & \text{if } N \text{ is imaginary.} \end{cases}$$

In [Martinet 1971], one can find an explicit criterion to know whether O_N is a free $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module or not:

Theorem 3.7. *O_N is a free $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module if and only if*

$$\varepsilon \prod_{p|d_N} p \equiv \frac{1 + d_1 + d_2 + d_3}{4} \pmod 4.$$

A look at the functional equation of $L(s, \chi)$ shows:

Theorem 3.8. *If $W(\chi) = +1$ then n_χ is even, If $W(\chi) = -1$ then n_χ is odd.*

and the conjecture on n_χ can be expressed in the following way:

Conjecture 3.9. *If $W(\chi) = +1$ then $n_\chi = 0$, If $W(\chi) = -1$ then $n_\chi = 1$.*

4. COMPUTATION OF n_χ

In this section we give an explicit method to compute n_χ and verify numerically Conjecture 3.9 in many cases (see Section 6). For that purpose, we use Weil's explicit formula [1972], as reformulated by K. Barner [1981] for ease of computation. One can adapt this formula to $L(N/K, \chi', s)$ and then evaluate the sum on the zeros of the Artin L -function $L(s, \chi)$ in the explicit formula.

Theorem 4.1. *Let F satisfy $F(0) = 1$ and the following conditions:*

- (A) *F is even, continuous and continuously differentiable everywhere except at a finite number of*

points a_i , where $F(x)$ and $F'(x)$ have only a discontinuity of the first kind, such that $F(a_i) = \frac{1}{2}(F(a_i+0) + F(a_i-0))$.

(B) There exists a number $b > 0$ such that $F(x)$ and $F'(x)$ are $O(e^{-(\frac{1}{2}+b)|x|})$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Then the Mellin transform of F ,

$$\Phi(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} F(x)e^{(s-\frac{1}{2})x} dx,$$

is holomorphic in every vertical strip $-a \leq \sigma \leq 1+a$ where $0 < a < b$, $a < 1$, and the sum $\sum \Phi(\rho)$ running over the non trivial zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ of $L(s, \chi)$ with $|\gamma| < T$ tends to a limit as T tends to infinity. This limit is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{|\gamma| < T} \Phi(\rho) \\ &= \ln f_\chi - \sum_{\mathfrak{p}, m} \frac{\ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})^{m/2}} \chi'(\mathfrak{p})^m F(m \ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})) \\ & \quad - 2(\ln 2\pi + \gamma + 2 \ln 2) - 2\varepsilon J(F) + 2I(F), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J(F) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{F(x)}{2 \cosh(x/2)} dx, \\ I(F) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1 - F(x)}{2 \sinh(x/2)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

$\gamma = 0.57721566\dots$ is the Euler constant and ε is defined by Theorem 3.6.

4A. The Conditional Case

Now we assume the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) for $L(s, \chi)$ which asserts that all the nontrivial zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ lie on the critical line $\text{Re } s = \frac{1}{2}$. Now we write Theorem 4.1 for Serre's choice $F_y(x) = e^{-yx^2}$ ($y > 0$). The Mellin transform $\Phi(s)$ of F_y is

$$\Phi_y(s) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{y}} e^{(s-\frac{1}{2})^2/(4y)},$$

and the Fourier transform \hat{F}_y of F_y is

$$\hat{F}_y(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{y}} e^{-t^2/(4y)}.$$

If we assume the GRH for $L(s, \chi)$, we can write $\Phi_y(\rho) = \hat{F}_y(t)$ where $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + it$. For every $k \geq 1$, we denote by t_k the positive imaginary part of the

k -th zero of the Artin L -function $L(s, \chi)$, and n_k its multiplicity. We have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} S(y) &= n_\chi + 2 \sum_{k \geq 2}^{+\infty} n_k e^{-\frac{t_k^2}{4y}} \\ &= -\sqrt{\frac{y}{\pi}} \sum_{\mathfrak{p}, m} \frac{\ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})^{m/2}} \chi'(\mathfrak{p})^m e^{-y(m \ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}))^2} \\ & \quad + \sqrt{\frac{y}{\pi}} (\ln f_\chi - 2(\ln 2\pi + \gamma + 2 \ln 2) \\ & \quad \quad - 2\varepsilon J(F_y) + 2I(F_y)). \end{aligned}$$

To compute n_χ , one needs:

Proposition 4.2. Assuming the GRH, we have

$$n_\chi \leq S(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} S(y) = n_\chi$$

for all $y > 0$.

The advantage of Serre's choice in Weil's explicit formula is that the series $S(y)$ converges rapidly to n_χ when $y \rightarrow 0$. In practice we prove for many quaternion fields that when $W(\chi) = +1$, we have $n_\chi \leq S(y) < 2$ for some $y > 0$ and so $n_\chi = 0$. Similarly for $W(\chi) = -1$, we can prove the inequality $n_\chi \leq S(y) < 3$ for some $y > 0$ and so $n_\chi = 1$. Actually, using Theorem 3.8, Conjecture 3.9 can be stated thus:

Proposition 4.3. Under GRH, Conjecture 3.9 holds if and only if there exists $y > 0$ such that $S(y) < 2$.

4B. The Unconditional Case

The unconditional bounds of n_χ are less good than the GRH ones in Proposition 4.2 because of the requirement that $\text{Re } \Phi(s) \geq 0$ on the whole critical strip. By using an argument of Odlyzko [Poitou 1977], this last condition holds when we take in Theorem 4.1 the function $G_y(x) = F_y(x)/\cosh(x/2)$ with $F_y(x) = e^{-yx^2}$ ($y > 0$). Thus we obtain the following bound of n_χ .

Theorem 4.4. For all $y > 0$, we have $n_\chi \leq T(y)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} T(y) &= \left(2 \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{e^{-yx^2}}{\cosh(x/2)} dx \right)^{-1} \times \\ & \quad \left(\ln f_\chi - 2 \sum_{\mathfrak{p}, m} \frac{\ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})}{1 + N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})^m} \chi'(\mathfrak{p})^m e^{-y(m \ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}))^2} \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. - 2(\ln 2\pi + \gamma + 2 \ln 2) - 2\varepsilon J(G_y) + 2I(G_y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In practice we check Conjecture 3.9 using this criterion:

Proposition 4.5. *Conjecture 3.9 holds if there exists $y > 0$ such that $T(y) < 2$.*

To compute $S(y)$ and $T(y)$, we begin by computing the integrals $I(F_y)$, $J(F_y)$, $I(G_y)$ and $J(G_y)$ to a high enough precision, we then compute the series over the prime ideals in the Weil explicit formula by computing $\chi'(\mathfrak{p})$ and $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})$ for each prime number p less than some large enough p_0 . Actually the number field N is defined by a polynomial $P(x)$; for every prime number p prime to the index of N , the decomposition of the ideal (p) into a product of prime ideals of N is given by the decomposition of $P(x)$ modulo p ; see [Cohen 1993]. Since N/\mathbb{Q} is a Galois extension, then one needs to compute only the degree f of the first irreducible polynomial appearing in the decomposition of $P(x)$ modulo p . The computations of $\chi'(\mathfrak{p})$ and $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})$ are given in the proposition below:

Proposition 4.6. *Let $k_1 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1})$, $k_2 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_2})$, $k_3 = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_3})$ be the quadratic subfields of N .*

- If $f = 1$ then $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) = p$ and $\chi'(\mathfrak{p}) = +1$.
- If $f = 4$ then $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) = p^2$ and $\chi'(\mathfrak{p}) = -1$.
- If $f = 2$ we have two cases:
 - If $(\frac{d_i}{p}) = -1$ for exactly one $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) = p^2$ and $\chi'(\mathfrak{p}) = +1$.
 - If $(\frac{d_i}{p}) = +1$ for exactly one $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) = p$ and $\chi'(\mathfrak{p}) = -1$.

Example 4.7. Let $N = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{M})$, where

$$M = \frac{5 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \frac{21 + \sqrt{21}}{2}.$$

The quaternion field N could be defined by the polynomial $P(x)$ in example 1 of section 6. One can compute the different terms in $T(y)$ for $y = 0.04$ and show that the sum over the prime ideals is equal to -0.33763 , $J(G_y) = 0.89478$ and $I(G_y) = 0.83304$. Thus $T(y) = 0.39377$.

When the conductor f_χ is large, the computation of $S(y)$ and $T(y)$ is slower and this is essentially due to the possible existence of low zeros of the Artin L -function $L(s, \chi)$. Actually when the first zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ distinct from $\frac{1}{2}$ are close to the real axis, one needs to compute $S(y)$ and $T(y)$ for smaller positive

values of y in order to be able to bound $S(y)$ and $T(y)$ above by 2 (see Propositions 4.3 and 4.5). An approach to the problem of low zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ in terms of the conductor f_χ is given in the next section.

5. AN UPPER BOUND FOR n_χ AND LOW ZEROS OF $L(s, \chi)$

We now give estimates on the upper bounds of n_χ and the first zero $\rho_\chi = \frac{1}{2} + i\beta_\chi$ of $L(s, \chi)$ distinct from $\frac{1}{2}$. For this purpose, we apply Theorem 4.1 to suitable functions with compact supports. If we assume the GRH, then one can prove more precise estimates on n_χ and β_χ . Such improvements have been considered in [Mestre 1986] for L -series of modular forms.

Theorem 5.1. *Under GRH,*

$$n_\chi \ll \frac{\ln f_\chi}{\ln \ln f_\chi} \quad \text{and} \quad |\beta_\chi| \ll \frac{1}{\ln \ln f_\chi}.$$

Proof. We first need an estimate for the sum over the prime ideals of K in Theorem 4.1. Let F be a function with compact support satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 and let $F_T(x) = F(\frac{x}{T})$. By using the prime number theorem, one can prove the following estimate:

Lemma 5.2. *The sum over the prime ideals in Theorem 4.1 is bounded by the inequality*

$$\left| \sum_{\mathfrak{p}, m} \frac{\ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})^{m/2}} \chi'(\mathfrak{p})^m F_T(m \ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})) \right| \leq C_0 e^{T/2},$$

with $C_0 > 0$.

We also need an easy lemma:

Lemma 5.3. *Define F by*

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - |x| & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then F satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 and

$$\hat{F}(u) = \left(\frac{2 \sin(u/2)}{u} \right)^2.$$

Now if we put $F_T(x) = F(\frac{x}{T})$ then $\hat{F}_T(u) = T \hat{F}(Tu)$. Applying Weil's explicit formula to F_T and using Lemma 5.2, we obtain the estimate:

$$n_\chi T \leq \ln f_\chi + C_0 e^{T/2} + 2(I(F_T) + J(F_T)),$$

since $I(F_T)$ and $J(F_T)$ are bounded as T tends to $+\infty$, replacing T by $2 \ln \ln f_\chi$, we see that

$$n_\chi \ll \frac{\ln f_\chi}{\ln \ln f_\chi},$$

proving the first inequality in the statement of Theorem 5.1. To prove the theorem's second inequality, we use another even function G with compact support, defined as follows.

Lemma 5.4. *Let*

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} (1-x) \cos(\pi x) + \frac{3}{\pi} \sin(\pi x) & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then G satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 and

$$\hat{G}(u) = \left(2 - \frac{u^2}{\pi^2}\right) \left(\frac{2\pi}{\pi^2 - u^2} \cos \frac{u}{2}\right)^2.$$

We now apply once more Weil's explicit formula to $G_T(x) = G(x/T)$ and replace T by $\sqrt{2}\pi/|\beta_\chi|$. We obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{8}{\pi^2} n_\chi T &\geq \ln f_\chi - 2(\ln 2\pi + \gamma + 2 \ln 2) \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon J(G_T) + 2I(G_T) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\mathfrak{p}, m} \frac{\ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})^{m/2}} \chi'(\mathfrak{p})^m G_T(m \ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5.2, the above estimate (1) on n_χ and the fact that the integrals $I(G_T)$ and $J(G_T)$ are bounded as T tends to $+\infty$, we deduce, for some positive constants A and B :

$$\frac{\ln f_\chi}{\ln \ln f_\chi} AT + Be^{T/2} \geq \ln f_\chi,$$

so that

$$T \geq \min\left(\frac{1}{2A}, 1 - \frac{\ln(2B)}{\ln \ln f_\chi}\right) \ln \ln f_\chi.$$

Thus for sufficiently large f_χ we have $T \gg \ln \ln f_\chi$, and so

$$|\beta_\chi| \ll \frac{1}{\ln \ln f_\chi},$$

concluding the proof of the theorem. \square

Corollary 5.5. *If we assume the GRH,*

$$\lim_{f_\chi \rightarrow +\infty} \rho_\chi = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Without assuming the GRH, we have the following estimate for n_χ , which is less good than the one in Theorem 5.1; see [Mestre 1983] for a similar result in the case of elliptic curves.

Theorem 5.6. $n_\chi < \ln f_\chi$ unconditionally.

Proof. Define the function H_T with compact support by $H_T(x) = F_T(x)/\cosh(x/2)$, where F_T is defined after Lemma 5.3. By using an argument of Odlyzko [Poitou 1977], one can show that the Mellin transform Φ_T of H_T satisfies $\text{Re } \Phi_T(s) \geq 0$ in the critical strip. Thus, when we apply Theorem 4.1 to H_T , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n_\chi \Phi_T\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &\leq \ln f_\chi - 2(\ln 2\pi + \gamma + 2 \ln 2) \\ &\quad - 2\varepsilon J(H_T) + 2I(H_T) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\mathfrak{p}, m} \frac{\ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})^{m/2}} \chi'(\mathfrak{p})^m H_T(m \ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})). \end{aligned}$$

Since H_T is a decreasing function on $[0, +\infty]$, one can show:

Lemma 5.7.

$$\left| \sum_{\mathfrak{p}, m} \frac{\ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})^{m/2}} \chi'(\mathfrak{p})^m H_T(m \ln N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})) \right| \leq 4 \sum_{p^m \leq e^T} \frac{\ln p}{p^{m/2}} H_T(m \ln p).$$

Thus, by using the inequality before the lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} n_\chi \Phi_T\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &\leq \ln f_\chi - 2(\ln 4\pi + \gamma) + 2J(H_T) + 2I(H_T) \\ &\quad + 4 \sum_{p^m \leq e^T} \frac{\ln p}{p^{m/2}} H_T(m \ln p). \end{aligned}$$

Now if we put $T = \ln 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1.072n_\chi &\leq \ln f_\chi - 6.216 + 0.523 + 4.648 + 0.683 \\ &\leq \ln f_\chi - 0.362. \end{aligned}$$

And so we find that $n_\chi < \ln f_\chi$. \square

6. COMPUTATIONS OF n_χ FOR QUATERNION FIELDS

Table 1 gives our computed data. Each box refers to one quaternion field N/\mathbb{Q} , giving on the top line a reduced polynomial $P(x)$ ("reduced" meaning that we have written $N = \mathbb{Q}[\theta]$, choosing for θ a minimal primitive vector of the lattice of integers of N for the "twisted" trace form $\text{tr}_{N/\mathbb{Q}}(x\bar{y})$), and on the bottom line other related information. The computations were done using PARI-GP version 2.0.19.

According to [Kwon 1996], the minimum discriminant both in the real and in the imaginary case is

| | $P(x)$ and D_N | R/I | quad. subfields | $W(\chi)$ | y_0 | $S(y_0)$ | y | $T(y)$ | n_χ |
|----|---|-----|---|-----------|-------|----------|------|----------|----------|
| 1 | $x^8 - x^7 - 34x^6 + 29x^5 + 361x^4 - 305x^3 - 1090x^2 + 1345x - 395$ 1340095640625 | R | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{21})$ | +1 | 0.04 | 0.00806 | 0.04 | 0.393771 | 0 |
| 2 | $x^8 + 315x^6 + 34020x^4 + 1488375x^2 + 22325625$ 1340095640625 | I | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{21})$ | -1 | 0.07 | 1.04505 | 0.11 | 1.58039 | 1 |
| 3 | $x^8 - 205x^6 + 13940x^4 - 378225x^2 + 3404025$ 74220378765625 | R | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{41})$ | -1 | 0.05 | 1.00067 | 0.1 | 1.30413 | 1 |
| 4 | $x^8 - 3x^7 + 142x^6 - 115x^5 + 6641x^4 + 3055x^3 + 157938x^2 + 152941x + 2031361$ 6011850680015625 | I | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{41})$ | -1 | 0.05 | 1.26425 | 0.05 | 2.09134 | 1 |
| 5 | $x^8 - x^7 - 178x^6 - 550x^5 + 7225x^4 + 44407x^3 + 55928x^2 - 45392x + 4096$ 31172897213027361 | R | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{33})$ | +1 | 0.04 | 0.00222 | 0.04 | 0.31774 | 0 |
| 6 | $x^8 - 3x^7 + 106x^6 + 381x^5 + 414x^4 - 8475x^3 + 44497x^2 + 151740x + 253168$ 31172897213027361 | I | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{33})$ | -1 | 0.04 | 1.19064 | 0.04 | 2.05980 | 1 |
| 7 | $x^8 - 3x^7 - 475x^6 - 2386x^5 + 56669x^4 + 732202x^3 + 3280440x^2 + 5788174x + 2396941$ 12187467896636600569 | R | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{37}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{41})$ | -1 | 0.03 | 1.03133 | 0.03 | 1.75340 | 1 |
| 8 | $x^8 - 3x^7 - 847x^6 - 4250x^5 + 194805x^4 + 2321042x^3 + 4218300x^2 - 28827252x - 48031623$ 388282220975269366201 | R | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{37}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{73})$ | -1 | 0.03 | 1.00010 | 0.03 | 1.35751 | 1 |
| 9 | $x^8 - 3x^7 + 1854x^6 + 14657x^5 + 1134753x^4 + 15385779x^3 + 370857442x^2 + 2861780247x + 28470071727$ 31450859898996818662281 | I | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{37}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{73})$ | -1 | 0.03 | 1.84217 | 0.01 | 2.83822 | 1 |
| 10 | $x^8 - 3x^7 + 1042x^6 + 8233x^5 + 284219x^4 + 4899401x^3 + 42209694x^2 + 179998937x + 404059099$ 987184899627564646089 | I | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{37}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{41})$ | -1 | 0.03 | 1.58551 | 0.03 | 2.81849 | 1 |
| 11 | $x^8 - x^7 - 866x^6 - 2686x^5 + 197617x^4 + 1072207x^3 - 8786448x^2 - 32864208x + 159160192$ 420386522758923179809 | R | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{17}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{161})$ | +1 | 0.03 | 0.19296 | 0.03 | 1.13789 | 0 |
| 12 | $x^8 - 3x^7 - 1591x^6 - 7978x^5 + 718061x^4 + 8174530x^3 - 29006964x^2 - 433628432x + 235862473$ 16964214194699233633081 | R | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{37}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{137})$ | -1 | 0.03 | 1.00204 | 0.03 | 1.64797 | 1 |
| 13 | $x^8 - 3x^7 + 3478x^6 + 27505x^5 + 4489397x^4 + 53881703x^3 + 2972520282x^2 + 26220344507x + 651061429207$ 1374101349770637924279561 | I | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{37}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{137})$ | -1 | 0.05 | 2.24737 | 0.01 | 2.88613 | 1 |
| 14 | $x^8 - 12x^6 + 36x^4 - 36x^2 + 9$ 12230590464 | R | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ | +1 | 0.05 | 0.00002 | 0.08 | 0.11665 | 0 |
| 15 | $x^8 + 12x^6 + 36x^4 + 36x^2 + 9$ 12230590464 | I | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$ | | 0.05 | 1.000005 | 0.05 | 1.05777 | ≤ 1 |
| 16 | $x^8 - 44x^6 + 308x^4 - 484x^2 + 121$ 29721861554176 | R | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{11})$ | +1 | 0.05 | 0.01167 | 0.05 | 0.36928 | 0 |
| 17 | $x^8 - 76x^6 + 1748x^4 - 12996x^2 + 29241$ 789298907447296 | R | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{19})$ | +1 | 0.04 | 0.04449 | 0.04 | 0.66149 | 0 |

TABLE 1 (start). For each quaternion field N/\mathbb{Q} , we show a reduced polynomial $P(x)$ (see beginning of Section 6), the discriminant d_N , whether N is real or imaginary, two quadratic subfields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_2})$ of N —the third being $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d_1 d_2})$ —and the values of $W(\chi)$, y_0 , $S(y_0)$ (Proposition 4.3), y , $T(y)$ (Proposition 4.5) and n_χ .

| | $P(x)$ and D_N | R/I | quad. subfields | $W(\chi)$ | y_0 | $S(y_0)$ | y | $T(y)$ | n_χ |
|----|--|-----|---|-----------|-------|----------|------|---------|----------|
| 18 | $x^8 - 60x^6 + 810x^4 - 1800x^2 + 900$ 47775744000000 | R | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ | | 0.07 | 1.00101 | 0.07 | 1.13852 | ≤ 1 |
| 19 | $x^8 - 60x^6 + 1170x^4 - 9000x^2 + 22500$ 47775744000000 | R | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ | +1 | 0.07 | 0.09399 | 0.07 | 0.61520 | 0 |
| 20 | $x^8 + 60x^6 + 810x^4 + 1800x^2 + 900$ 47775744000000 | I | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ | | 0.07 | 1.07405 | 0.07 | 1.55366 | ≤ 1 |
| 21 | $x^8 + 60x^6 + 1170x^4 + 9000x^2 + 22500$ 47775744000000 | I | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ | | 0.08 | 1.09340 | 0.07 | 1.63606 | ≤ 1 |
| 22 | $x^8 + 105x^6 + 3780x^4 + 55125x^2 + 275625$ 343064484000000 | I | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{21})$ | +1 | 0.05 | 0.54966 | 0.05 | 1.53349 | 0 |
| 23 | $x^8 + 205x^6 + 13940x^4 + 378225x^2 + 3404025$ 19000416964000000 | I | $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{41})$ | | 0.05 | 1.13981 | 0.03 | 1.80213 | ≤ 1 |

TABLE 1 (continued)

$2^{24}3^6$, attained exactly in the fields 14 and 15; similarly the smallest coincidences between two real or imaginary fields occur for the discriminant $2^{22}5^63^6$, attained exactly on the four fields 18 to 21. Fields 1 to 13 are tame, the others are not.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank J. Martinet for bringing this problem to my attention and for his helpful comments and suggestions. I also profited from discussions with H. Cohen and B. Erez. I also thank J.-P. Serre for his remarks on an earlier version of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [Barner 1981] K. Barner, "On A. Weil's explicit formula", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **323** (1981), 139–152.
- [Cohen 1993] H. Cohen, *A course in computational algebraic number theory*, Graduate Texts in Math. **138**, Springer, Berlin, 1993.
- [Cohen 2000] H. Cohen, *Advanced topics in computational number theory*, Graduate Texts in Math. **193**, Springer, New York, 2000.
- [Damey and Payan 1970] P. Damey and J.-J. Payan, "Existence et construction des extensions galoisiennes et non-abéliennes de degré 8 d'un corps de caractéristique différente de 2", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **244** (1970), 37–54.

[Fröhlich 1972] A. Fröhlich, "Artin root numbers and normal integral bases for quaternion fields", *Invent. Math.* **17** (1972), 143–166.

[Goss 1996] D. Goss, *Basic structures of function field arithmetic*, *Ergebnisse der Math.* (3) **35**, Springer, Berlin, 1996.

[Kwon 1996] S.-H. Kwon, "Sur les discriminants minimaux des corps quaternioniens", *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **67:2** (1996), 119–125.

[Martinet 1971] J. Martinet, "Modules sur l'algèbre du groupe quaternionien", *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **4** (1971), 399–408.

[Martinet 1977] J. Martinet, "Character theory and Artin L -functions", pp. 1–87 in *Algebraic number fields: L -functions and Galois properties* (Durham, Durham, 1975), edited by A. Fröhlich, Academic Press, London, 1977.

[Mestre 1983] J.-F. Mestre, "Courbes elliptiques et formules explicites", pp. 179–187 in *Séminaire de théorie des nombres* (Paris, 1981/1982), *Progress in Math.* **38**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1983.

[Mestre 1986] J.-F. Mestre, "Formules explicites et minorations de conducteurs de variétés algébriques", *Compositio Math.* **58:2** (1986), 209–232.

[Murty and Murty 1997] M. R. Murty and V. K. Murty, *Non-vanishing of L -functions and applications*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997.

- [Poitou 1977] G. Poitou, “Sur les petits discriminants”, pp. Exp. No. 6, 18 in *Séminaire Delange–Pisot–Poitou*, 18e année (1976/77), Secrétariat Math., Paris, 1977.
- [Serre 1970] J.-P. Serre, *Cours d’arithmétique*, Presses Universitaires de France, Paris, 1970.
- [Serre 1978] J.-P. Serre, *Représentations linéaires des groupes finis*, revised ed., Hermann, Paris, 1978.
- [Stark 1974] H. M. Stark, “Some effective cases of the Brauer–Siegel theorem”, *Invent. Math.* **23** (1974), 135–152.
- [Tollis 1997] E. Tollis, “Zeros of Dedekind zeta functions in the critical strip”, *Math. Comp.* **66**:219 (1997), 1295–1321.
- [Weil 1972] A. Weil, “Sur les formules explicites de la théorie des nombres”, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **36** (1972), 3–18. Reprinted as pp. 249–264 in his *Œuvres*, v. 3, Springer, Heidelberg, 1979.
- [Witt 1936] E. Witt, “Konstruktion von galoisschen Körpern der Charakteristik p zu vorgegebener Gruppe der Ordnung p^f ”, *J. Reine angew. Math.* **174** (1936), 237–245. Reprinted as pp. 120–128 in his *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, Springer, Heidelberg, 1991.

Sami Omar, Université Bordeaux I, Laboratoire A2X, U.M.R. Université, CNRS numéro 5465
351, Cours de la Libération, F-33405 Talence, France (omar@math.u-bordeaux.fr)

Received February 14, 2000; accepted in revised form November 4, 2000