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Consider an elliptic curve defined over the rational numbers and embedded in projective space. The rational points on the curve are viewed as integer vectors with coprime coordinates. What can be said about the rational points for which the number of prime factors dividing a fixed coordinate does not exceed a fixed bound? If the bound is zero, then Siegel's theorem guarantees that there are only finitely many such points. We consider, theoretically and computationally, two conjectures: one is a generalization of Siegel's theorem, and the other is a refinement that resonates with Hall's conjecture.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $C$ denote an elliptic curve defined over the rational field $\mathbb{Q}$ embedded in projective space $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ for some $N$. For background on elliptic curves consult [Cassels 91, Silverman 86, Silverman 94]. The rational points of $C$ can be viewed as vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{0}, \ldots, x_{N}\right], \quad x_{0}, \ldots, x_{N} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with coprime integer coordinates. Fixing $0 \leq n \leq N$, Siegel's theorem guarantees that only finitely many rational points $Q \in C(\mathbb{Q})$ have $x_{n}=1$. The number 1 is divisible by no primes, so we consider how the set of rational points $Q$ might be constrained if the number of distinct primes dividing $x_{n}$ is restricted to lie below a given positive bound.

Conjecture 1.1. Let $C$ denote an elliptic curve defined over the rational field $\mathbb{Q}$, embedded in projective space. For any fixed choice of coordinate $x_{n}$, as in (1-1), given a fixed bound $L$, the set $S_{n}(L)$ of points $Q \in C(\mathbb{Q})$ for which $x_{n}$ is divisible by fewer than $L$ primes is repelled by $C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. In other words, on any affine piece of $C$ containing a point $D \in C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, there is a punctured neighborhood $N(D)$ of $D$ (with respect to the archimedean topology)
such that

$$
N(D) \cap S_{n}(L)=\emptyset
$$

Example 1.2. To show that Conjecture 1.1 implies Siegel's theorem, consider the rational points with $x_{n}=1$. The hyperplane $x_{n}=0$ intersects $C(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ nontrivially. Let $D$ denote any point in the intersection. Fixing $L=0$, the conjecture implies in particular that each $\left|x_{i} / x_{n}\right|$, with $i \neq n$, is bounded above. Since $x_{n}=1$, this bounds each $\left|x_{i}\right|$ with $i \neq n$. Thus there can be only finitely many such points.

Example 1.3. Consider a homogeneous cubic

$$
A X^{3}+B Y^{3}+C Z^{3}=0
$$

with all the terms nonzero integers. Consider the coprime integer triples $[X, Y, Z]$ satisfying the equation with one of them, say $Z$, constrained to be a prime power. Choosing $D$ to be any algebraic point with $Z$-coordinate zero, the application of Conjecture 1.1 to $D$ predicts that $|X / Z|$ and $|Y / Z|$ are bounded. Notice that the conjecture does not predict that only finitely many such points exist.

If $A / B$ is a rational cube, then only finitely many triples can have $Z$ equal to a prime power. This is essentially the point in [Everest et al. 04, Theorem 4.1]. The condition about $A / B$ enables a factorization to take place. Now the claim follows because essentially all of $Z$ must occur in one of the factors. But the logarithms of the variables are commensurate by a strong form of Siegel's theorem [Silverman 86, p. 250], and this yields a contradiction.

Example 1.4. When the group of rational points has rank 1, we expect a natural generalization of the primality conjecture [Einsiedler et al. 01, Everest et al. 04, Everest and King 05] for elliptic divisibility sequences to hold. This conjecture was stated in [Einsiedler et al. 01] for Weierstrass curves, and it predicts that only finitely many multiples of a fixed nontorsion point have a prime-power denominator in the $x$-coordinate. Using the same heuristic argument as in [Einsiedler et al. 01, Everest et al. 04], we expect that in rank $1, S_{n}(1)$ is finite. More generally, it seems likely that in rank $1, S_{n}(L)$ is finite for any fixed $L$ and $n$.

On a plane curve, Siegel's theorem can be interpreted to say that the point at infinity repels integral points. We can see no reason why infinity should play a special role, and the computations in Section 3 support this
view. That is why Conjecture 1.1 is stated in such a general way. For practical purposes, measuring the distance to infinity is natural, and many of our computations concern this distance. Conjecture 1.1 arose from the use of the Weierstrass model, so we now focus on that equation, making a conjecture about an explicit bound on the radius of the punctured neighborhood, one that resonates with Hall's conjecture.

### 1.1 Weierstrass Equations

Let $E$ denote an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ given by a Weierstrass equation in minimal form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}+a_{1} x y+a_{3} y=x^{3}+a_{2} x^{2}+a_{4} x+a_{6} \tag{1-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{6} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given a nonidentity rational point $Q \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, the shape of equation (1-2) forces $Q$ to be in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\left(\frac{A_{Q}}{B_{Q}^{2}}, \frac{C_{Q}}{B_{Q}^{3}}\right) \tag{1-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{Q}, B_{Q}, C_{Q} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(B_{Q}, A_{Q} C_{Q}\right)=1$. Define the length of $Q$, written $L(Q)$, to be the number of distinct primes $p$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(Q)|_{p}>1 \tag{1-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\cdot|_{p}$ denotes the usual multiplicative $p$-adic valuation. In other words, the length of $Q$ is the number of distinct prime divisors of $B_{Q}$. From the definition, the length-zero rational points are precisely the integral points on $E$. Conjecture 1.1 implies that bounding $L(Q)$ bounds $|x(Q)|$ independently of $Q$.

The case $L(Q)=1$ is much more interesting. The definition of a length-1 point $Q$ means that the denominator of $x(Q)$ is the square of a prime power. It has been argued heuristically [Einsiedler et al. 01, Everest et al. 04, Everest and King 05] that when the rank of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is 1 , then again, only finitely many points $Q$ exist. This is known as the primality conjecture for elliptic divisibility sequences. Much data has been gathered in support of the primality conjecture, and it has been proved in many cases. In higher rank, a heuristic argument, together with computational evidence [Everest et al. 02], suggests that in some cases, infinitely many rational points $Q$ can have length 1. In Section 3, many examples appear.

What follows is an explicit form of Conjecture 1.1. To motivate this, consider a Mordell curve

$$
E: y^{2}=x^{3}+d, \quad d \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Hall's conjecture [Birch et al. 65, Hall 71] predicts an asymptotic bound of $(2+\varepsilon) \log |d|$ (which is essentially
$\left.(1+\varepsilon) \log \left|\Delta_{E}\right|\right)$ for $\log |x|$ when $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Conjecture 1.5 is a simultaneous generalization of a strong form of Siegel's theorem and of Hall's conjecture. Given any rational point $D$ on $E$, let $h_{D}$ denote the Weil height from $D$. In other words,

$$
h_{D}(Q)=\max \{0, \log |x(Q)|\}
$$

if $D=O$ is the point at infinity, and

$$
h_{D}(Q)=\max \{0,-\log |x(Q)-x(D)|\}
$$

if $D$ is a finite point.

Conjecture 1.5. Assume that $E$ is in standardized minimal form. Let $D$ denote any rational point on $E$. If $L(Q) \leq L$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{D}(Q)<C(L, D) \log \left|\Delta_{E}\right| \tag{1-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(L, D)$ depends only on $L$ and $D$, and $\Delta_{E}$ denotes the discriminant of $E$.

Note 1.6. The term standardized means that $a_{1}, a_{3} \in$ $\{0,1\}$ and $a_{2} \in\{-1,0,1\}$. Every elliptic curve has a unique standardized minimal form. This assumption is necessary in Conjecture 1.5. When $D=O$, the point at infinity, the left-hand side is not invariant under a translation of the $x$-coordinate, unlike the right-hand side.

Note 1.7. When $D$ is algebraic but not rational, a similar conjecture can be made. Now, though, the constant $C(L, D)$ will also depend on the degree of the field generated by $D$.

Although strong bounds are known for the number of $S$-integral points on an elliptic curve [Gross and Silverman 95 , Hindry and Silverman 98 , Silverman 87], the best unconditional bound on the height of an $S$-integral point is quite weak [Bilu 97, Bugeaud 97, Hadju and Herendi 98] in comparison with what is expected to be true. Using the ABC conjecture, an explicit bound on the height of an $S$-integral point can be given [Elkies 91, Surroca 04]. For integral points, the best bound for the logarithm of the $x$-coordinate of an integral point on a standardized minimal curve is expected to be a multiple of the log-discriminant (or the Faltings height).

What follows are some special cases of Conjecture 1.5.
Theorem 1.8. Let $N>0$ denote an integer and consider the curve

$$
E_{N}: y^{2}=x^{3}-N x
$$

Suppose the nontorsion point $Q_{1} \in E_{N}(\mathbb{Q})$ has $x\left(Q_{1}\right)<$ 0 . Let $O$ denote the point at infinity. Assume that the $A B C$ conjecture holds in $\mathbb{Z}$.

- If $L\left(n Q_{1}\right) \leq 1$, then the following uniform bound holds:

$$
h_{O}\left(n Q_{1}\right) \ll \log N .
$$

- With $Q_{1}$ as before, assume that $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are independent and either $Q_{2}$ is twice another rational point or $x\left(Q_{2}\right)$ is a square. Writing $G=\left\langle Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right\rangle$ for any point $Q \in G, L(Q) \leq 1$, implies the uniform bound

$$
h_{O}(Q) \ll \log N .
$$

The discriminant of $E_{N}$ is essentially a power of $N$, so $\log N$ is commensurate with the log-discriminant, as required by Conjecture 1.5.

As we said before, only finitely many terms $n Q_{1}$ are expected to have length 1. Nonetheless, Theorem 1.8 gives nontrivial information about where they are located. Computations, as well as a standard heuristic argument, suggest there could be infinitely many length1 points in the group $G=\left\langle Q_{1}, Q_{2}\right\rangle$ in the second part of Theorem 1.8.

Example 1.9. $E_{90}: y^{2}=x^{3}-90 x, Q_{1}=[-9,9], Q_{2}=$ $[49 / 4,-217 / 8]$. This example occurs as one of a number of similar examples of rank-2 curves appearing Table 6, in Section 3. Note that $Q_{2}$ is twice the point $[-6,18]$.

Example 1.10. $E_{1681}: y^{2}=x^{3}-1681 x, Q_{1}=[-9,120]$, $Q_{2}=[841,24360]$. Note that $x\left(Q_{2}\right)=29^{2}$. Also, $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ are generators for the torsion-free part of $E_{1681}(\mathbb{Q})$.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.8 is a version of Conjecture 1.5 when $D$ is the point $[0,0]$.

Corollary 1.11. Assume the $A B C$ conjecture for $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $D$ denote the point $[0,0]$. With $G$ as in Theorem 1.8, let $G^{\prime}=D+G$. Suppose $Q$ is a point in $G^{\prime}$ with a primepower numerator. Then

$$
h_{D}(Q) \ll \log N
$$

uniformly.
Although there are many curves with a large number of length-1 points, no proof exists of the infinitude of length-1 points for even one curve. We see no way of gathering data about length-2 points, because checking
seems to require the ability to factorize very large integers. All the data gathered in this paper used Cremona's tables [Cremona 02], together with the computing packages Magma and PARI-GP.

Theorem 1.8 and Corollary 1.11 are proved in the next section. Section 3 gives data in support of Conjecture 1.5. The introduction concludes with a brief subsection about the situation in which the base field is a function field.

### 1.2 The Function Field $\mathbb{Q}(t)$

The situation in which the base field is $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ lies at a somewhat obtuse angle to the rational case. On a Weierstrass model, Conjecture 1.1 predicts that over the rational field, length-1 points will have bounded $x$-coordinate. In the language of local heights [Hindry and Silverman $00]$, this is equivalent to the archimedean local height being bounded. Over the field $\mathbb{Q}(t)$, Manin [Manin 63] showed that all the local heights, including the one at infinity, are bounded unconditionally. On the other hand, work of Hindry and Silverman [Hindry and Silverman 98, Proposition 8.2] shows that the bound for integral points agrees with the one predicted by Conjecture 1.5.

## 2. SPECIAL CASES

Before the proof of Theorem 1.8, one lemma is needed.
Lemma 2.1. Let $P$ denote any nontorsion point in $E_{N}(\mathbb{Q})$. Assuming the ABC conjecture for $\mathbb{Z}$, if $L(2 P) \leq$ 1, then

$$
\log |x(P)| \ll \log |N| \text { and } \log |x(2 P)| \ll \log |N|
$$

Proof: Note that $L(2 P) \leq 1$ implies $L(P) \leq 1$. If

$$
P=\left(\frac{A}{B^{2}}, \frac{C}{B^{3}}\right)
$$

with $\operatorname{gcd}(B, A C)=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(2 P)=\left(\frac{A^{2}+N B^{4}}{2 C B}\right)^{2} \tag{2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $L(P)=0$, then $\log |x(P)|=\log |A| \ll \log N$ follows from the ABC conjecture. A similar bound for $\log |x(2 P)|$ follows from $(2-1)$ with $B=1$.

If $L(P)=1$, then $2 C$ must cancel in $(2-1)$. That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \mid A^{2}+N B^{4} \tag{2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the coprimality relations $\operatorname{gcd}(B, C)=\operatorname{gcd}\left(B, A^{2}+\right.$ $\left.N B^{4}\right)=1$. The defining equation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{2}=A\left(A^{2}-N B^{4}\right) \tag{2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any prime power $p^{r}$ dividing $C$ divides $2 N$ from (2-2) and (2-3). Hence $|C| \leq 2 N$. Then equation (2-3) implies $|A| \leq 4 N^{2}$. Rearranging (2-3) bounds $B$ in a similar way. The bound for $x(P)$ follows directly. The bound for $x(2 P)$ follows using (2-1).

Write $E^{O}(\mathbb{R})$ for the connected component of infinity on the real curve. If $E(\mathbb{R})$ has two connected components, write $E^{B}(\mathbb{R})$ for the bounded component.

Proof (of Theorem 1.8.): Note first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(P)| \leq N \tag{2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $P \in E_{N}^{B}(\mathbb{Q})$. A proof of the first part of Theorem 1.8 follows: if $n$ is odd, then $n Q_{1} \in E_{N}^{B}(\mathbb{Q})$, so we are done, and if $n$ is even and $L\left(n Q_{1}\right) \leq 1$, then Lemma 2.1 applies.

For the second part, assume first that $Q_{2}$ is twice a rational point. Any $Q \in G$ can be written $Q=n_{1} Q_{1}+$ $n_{2} Q_{2}$ with $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $n_{2}=0$, the first part applies. If $n_{1}=0$, Lemma 2.1 applies. If $n_{1}$ is odd, then $Q \in$ $E_{N}^{B}(\mathbb{Q})$, so $(2-4)$ applies. If $n_{1}$ is even, then Lemma 2.1 applies.

Now assume that $x\left(Q_{2}\right)$ is a square. This condition implies [Cassels 91, Chapter 14] that $E_{N}^{\prime}$ maps to $E_{N}$ via a 2 -isogeny $\sigma$, where

$$
E_{N}^{\prime}: y^{2}=x^{3}+4 N x \text { and } x(\sigma(Q))=x(Q)+\frac{4 N}{x(Q)}
$$

An analogue of Lemma 2.1 says that if $L(\sigma(Q)) \leq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |x(Q)| \ll \log |N| \text { and } \log |x(\sigma(Q))| \ll \log |N| \tag{2-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (2-5), first write $Q=\left[a / b^{2}, c / b^{3}\right]$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b$ coprime to $a c$. The case $b=1$ follows from the ABC conjecture as before. If $b$ is a prime power, then $L(\sigma(Q)) \leq 1$ only when $a \mid 4 N$. Now using the ABC conjecture on the equation

$$
c^{2}=a^{3}+4 N a b^{4}
$$

we obtain $\log |b| \ll \log N$. The double of any rational point lies in the image of $\sigma$ : if $Q=2 Q^{\prime}$, then $Q$ is the image of $\widehat{\sigma}\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$, where $\widehat{\sigma}: E_{N} \rightarrow E_{N}^{\prime}$ is the dual isogeny. Therefore, the assumptions on $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ guarantee that the elements of $G$ lie either on the bounded component or in the image of $\sigma$. The proof follows exactly as before.

Proof of Corollary 1.11.: Translating by the point $D=$ [ 0,0$]$, the conditions and the conclusion of Theorem 1.8 become the corresponding statements for the corollary.

| $d$ | $x$ | $\log x$ | $\log x / 2 \log \|d\|$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1641843 | 5853886516781223 | 36.305 | 1.268 |
| 30032270 | 38115991067861271 | 38.179 | 1.108 |
| -1090 | 28187351 | 17.154 | 1.226 |
| -193234265 | 810574762403977064 | 41.236 | 1.080 |
| -17 | 5234 | 8.562 | 1.511 |
| -225 | 720114 | 13.487 | 1.245 |
| -24 | 8158 | 9.006 | 1.417 |
| 307 | 939787 | 13.753 | 1.200 |
| 207 | 367806 | 12.815 | 1.201 |
| -28024 | 3790689201 | 22.055 | 1.076 |

TABLE 1. Evidence for Hall's Conjecture.

Note in particular that translation by $D$ essentially inverts the $x$-coordinate; hence numerators become denominators. Also, the distance between a point and infinity changes places with the distance to $D$.

This section concludes with a generalization of (2-4), by giving an explicit bound for the $x$-coordinate of a point in the bounded component of the real curve in short Weierstrass form, in terms of the height of the curve. Let $h(a / b)=\log \max \{|a|,|b|\}$ denote the usual projective height. Let $j=j_{E}$ denote the $j$-invariant of $E, \Delta=\Delta_{E}$ the discriminant of $E$, and write $h(E):=$ $\left.\frac{1}{12} \max (h(j)), h(\Delta)\right)$ for the height of $E$.

Proposition 2.2. Assume that $E$ is in short Weierstrass form. For every rational point $Q \in E^{B}(\mathbb{Q})$, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log |x(Q)| \leq 4 h(E) \tag{2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Denote by $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ the three roots of $x^{3}+A x+B$. The proof can be obtained using Hunter's formula [Cohen 93, Theorem 6.4.2]. ${ }^{1}$ Alternatively, using Cardan's Formula, we see that there are two complex numbers $u_{i}, v_{i}$ such that $\alpha_{i}=u_{i}+v_{i}$ and

$$
\Delta=-16 \times 27 \times\left(B+2 u_{i}^{3}\right)^{2}=-16 \times 27 \times\left(B+2 v_{i}^{3}\right)^{2}
$$

[^0]Since $-16 \times 27 \times B^{2}=\frac{(j+1728) \Delta}{1728}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\left|u_{i}\right|^{3} & \leq|B|+\left|B+2 u_{i}^{3}\right| \\
& \leq e^{6 h(E)}\left(\frac{1}{2^{4} \times 3^{3}}+\frac{e^{12 h(E)}}{2^{10} \times 3^{6}}\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{e^{6 h(E)}}{12 \sqrt{3}} \\
& \leq \frac{e^{6 h(E)}}{12 \sqrt{3}}+\frac{e^{12 h(E)}}{864}+\frac{e^{6 h(E)}}{12 \sqrt{3}} \\
& \leq \frac{e^{12 h(E)}}{4 \sqrt{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way, we prove that $\left|v_{i}\right| \leq \frac{e^{4 h(E)}}{2 \times 3^{1 / 6}}$. In particular, an upper bound for $\left|\alpha_{i}\right|$ follows: $\left|\alpha_{i}\right| \leq \frac{e^{4 h(E)}}{3^{1 / 6}}$. To conclude, notice that $|x(Q)| \leq \max _{i=1}^{3}\left(\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\right)$ for every point $Q$ in the bounded real connected component of $E$.

## 3. COMPUTATIONAL DATA

### 3.1 Data Concerning Hall's Conjecture

To enable a comparison to be made, Table 1 gives some examples in the length-0 case. They are drawn from Elkies' research into Hall's conjecture [Elkies 00, Elkies 08a]. The table shows values of $x$ and $d$ with $E: y^{2}=$ $x^{3}+d$ with $\log x$ large in comparison to $2 \log |d|$ (essentially $\left.\log \left|\Delta_{E}\right|\right)$.

### 3.2 Some Rank-2 Curves

Table 2 shows data collected for some rank-2 curves taken from a table of thirty curves studied by Peter Rogers [Everest et al. 02, Rogers 08] (the first ten curves and the last three). In rank 2 , the available data support the heuristic argument that if $P_{1}, P_{2}$ are a basis for the torsion-free part of $E(\mathbb{Q})$, then the number of length-1 points $n_{1} P_{1}+n_{2} P_{2}$ having $\left|n_{1}\right|,\left|n_{2}\right|<T$ is asymptotically

| $E$ | $P$ | $Q$ | $\left\|\Delta_{E}\right\|$ | $[m, n]$ | $\bar{h}$ | $\bar{h} / h_{E}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[0,0,1,-199,1092]$ | $[-13,38]$ | $[-6,45]$ | 11022011 | $[21,26]$ | 12.809 | 0.789 |
| $[0,0,1,-27,56]$ | $[-3,10]$ | $[0,7]$ | 107163 | $[14,5]$ | 11.205 | 0.967 |
| $[0,0,0,-28,52]$ | $[-4,10]$ | $[-2,10]$ | 236800 | $[14,8]$ | 13.429 | 1.085 |
| $[1,-1,0,-10,16]$ | $[-2,6]$ | $[0,4]$ | 10700 | $[29,11]$ | 9.701 | 1.045 |
| $[1,-1,1,-42,105]$ | $[17,-73]$ | $[-5,15]$ | 750592 | $[33,30]$ | 8.136 | 0.601 |
| $[0,-1,0,-25,61]$ | $[19,-78]$ | $[-3,10]$ | 154368 | $[29,69]$ | 16.592 | 1.388 |
| $[1,-1,1,-27,75]$ | $[11,-38]$ | $[-1,10]$ | 816128 | $[22,17]$ | 12.363 | 0.908 |
| $[0,0,0,-7,10]$ | $[2,2]$ | $[1,2]$ | 21248 | $[18,43]$ | 12.075 | 1.211 |
| $[1,-1,0,-4,4]$ | $[0,2]$ | $[1,0]$ | 892 | $[5,17]$ | 11.738 | 1.727 |
| $[0,0,1,-13,18]$ | $[1,2]$ | $[3,2]$ | 3275 | $[4,-3]$ | 6.511 | 0.804 |
| $[0,1,0,-5,4]$ | $[-1,3]$ | $[0,2]$ | 4528 | $[1,-4]$ | 7.377 | 0.876 |
| $[0,1,1,-2,0]$ | $[1,0]$ | $[0,0]$ | 389 | $[5,8]$ | 9.707 | 1.627 |
| $[1,0,1,-12,14]$ | $[12,-47]$ | $[-1,5]$ | 2068 | $[16,19]$ | 9.819 | 1.286 |

TABLE 2. Rank 2 length 1.

| $E$ | $P$ | $Q$ | $\left\|\Delta_{E}\right\|$ | $[m, n]$ | $\bar{h}$ | $\bar{h} / h_{E}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[0,0,1,-199,1092]$ | $[-13,38]$ | $[-6,45]$ | 11022011 | $[65,48]$ | 7.476 | 0.461 |
| $*[0,0,1,-27,56]$ | $[-3,10]$ | $[0,7]$ | 107163 | $[4,1]$ | 1.945 | 0.168 |
| $[0,0,0,-28,52]$ | $[-4,10]$ | $[-2,10]$ | 236800 | $[14,8]$ | 13.429 | 1.085 |
| $*[1,-1,0,-10,16]$ | $[-2,6]$ | $[0,4]$ | 10700 | $[1,-1]$ | 3.135 | 0.337 |
| $[1,-1,1,-42,105]$ | $[17,-73]$ | $[-5,15]$ | 750592 | $[21,12]$ | 8.923 | 0.659 |
| $[0,-1,0,-25,61]$ | $[19,-78]$ | $[-3,10]$ | 154368 | $[9,13]$ | 5.976 | 0.500 |
| $[1,-1,1,-27,75]$ | $[11,-38]$ | $[-1,10]$ | 816128 | $[8,5]$ | 9.843 | 0.723 |
| $[1,-1,0,-4,4]$ | $[0,2]$ | $[1,0]$ | 892 | $[3,3]$ | 2.772 | 0.408 |
| $[0,0,1,-13,18]$ | $[1,2]$ | $[3,2]$ | 3275 | $[68,8]$ | 15.496 | 4.408 |

TABLE 3. Rank 2 prime numerators.

| $E$ | $P$ | $Q$ | $R$ | $[m, n, l]$ | $\bar{h}$ | $\bar{h} / h_{E}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[0,0,1,-7,6]$ | $[-2,3]$ | $[-1,3]$ | $[0,2]$ | $[27,32,-23]$ | 14.079 | 1.650 |
| $[1,-1,1,-6,0]$ | $[-2,1]$ | $[-1,2]$ | $[0,0]$ | $[-45,36,41]$ | 15.934 | 1.709 |
| $[1,-1,0,-16,28]$ | $[-3,8]$ | $[-2,8]$ | $[-1,7]$ | $[12,35,29]$ | 21.260 | 2.114 |
| $[0,-1,1,-10,12]$ | $[-3,2]$ | $[-2,4]$ | $[-1,4]$ | $[1,32,3]$ | 13.960 | 1.328 |
| $[1,0,1,-23,42]$ | $[-5,8]$ | $[-1,8]$ | $[0,6]$ | $[10,7,4]$ | 18.721 | 1.613 |
| $[0,1,1,-30,60]$ | $[4,4]$ | $[-5,10]$ | $[-4,11]$ | $[18,27,40]$ | 14.463 | 1.133 |
| $[0,0,1,-147,706]$ | $[4,13]$ | $[-13,20]$ | $[-11,31]$ | $[-39,20,30]$ | 15.800 | 0.968 |
| $[0,0,0,-28,148]$ | $[4,10]$ | $[-6,10]$ | $[-4,14]$ | $[-77,69,55]$ | 19.720 | 1.240 |
| $[1,-1,0,-324,-896]$ | $[23,47]$ | $[-15,28]$ | $[-13,38]$ | $[93,27,17]$ | 22.899 | 1.075 |
| $[1,-1,0,-142,616]$ | $[-12,28]$ | $[-11,33]$ | $[-10,36]$ | $[21,23,20]$ | 18.494 | 1.058 |

TABLE 4. Rank 3 length 1.
$c_{1} \log T$, where $c_{1}>0$ is a constant that depends only on $E$.

In the table, $E$ is a minimal elliptic curve given by a vector $\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{6}\right]$ in Tate's notation; $P$ and $Q$ denote independent points in $E(\mathbb{Q}) ;\left|\Delta_{E}\right|$ denotes the absolute
value of the discriminant of $E ;[m, n]$ denote the indices yielding the maximum absolute value of an $x$-coordinate with a prime square denominator, where $|m|,|n| \leq 150$; $\bar{h}$ denotes that absolute value; the final column compares $\bar{h}$ with $h_{E}=\log \left|\Delta_{E}\right|$.

|  | $E$ | $P$ | $\left\|\Delta_{E}\right\|$ | $n$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\bar{h} / h_{E}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $[1,1,1,-125615,61203197]$ | $[7107,594946]$ | 1494113863691104200 | 39 | 0.361 |
| $[1,0,0,-141875,18393057]$ | $[-386,-3767]$ | 36431493120000000 | 32 | 0.216 |
| $[1,-1,1,-3057,133281]$ | $[591,-14596]$ | 5758438400000 | 33 | 0.388 |
| $[1,1,1,-2990,71147]$ | $[27,-119]$ | 553190400000 | 43 | 0.319 |
| $[0,0,0,-412,3316]$ | $[-18,-70]$ | 274400000 | 37 | 0.484 |
| $[1,0,0,-4923717,4228856001]$ | $[1656,-25671]$ | 87651984035481255936 | 197 | 0.331 |
| $[1,0,0,-13465,839225]$ | $[80,485]$ | 148827974400000 | 34 | 0.254 |
| $[1,0,0,-21736,875072]$ | $[-154,-682]$ | 325058782980096 | 36 | 0.245 |
| $[1,-1,1,-1517,26709]$ | $[167,-2184]$ | 76204800000 | 41 | 0.223 |
| $[1,0,0,-8755,350177]$ | $[14,473]$ | 10245657600000 | 79 | 0.255 |
| $[1,-1,1,-180,1047]$ | $[-1,35]$ | 62720000 | 31 | 0.451 |
| $[1,0,0,-59852395,185731807025]$ | $[12680,1204265]$ | 1180977565620646379520000 | 28 | 0.277 |
| $[1,0,0,-10280,409152]$ | $[304,-5192]$ | 3093914880000 | 41 | 0.283 |
| $[0,1,1,-310,3364]$ | $[-19,52]$ | 3281866875 | 59 | 0.309 |
| $[1,0,0,-42145813,105399339617]$ | $[31442,5449079]$ | 8228050444183680000000 | 47 | 0.206 |
| $[1,0,0,-25757,320049]$ | $[-116,-1265]$ | 1048775180673024 | 40 | 0.269 |
| $[1,0,0,-350636,80632464]$ | $[352,748]$ | 51738305261094144 | 34 | 0.287 |
| $[1,0,0,-23611588,39078347792]$ | $[-3718,-272866]$ | 182691077679728640000000 | 26 | 0.264 |

TABLE 5. Elliptic divisibility sequences.

| $E$ | $P$ | $Q$ | $\left\|\Delta_{E}\right\|$ | $[m, n]$ | $\bar{h}_{D}$ | $\bar{h}_{D} / h_{E}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $[0,0,0,150,0]$ | $[10,50]$ | $[24,132]$ | 216000000 | $[4,-19]$ | 6.436 | 0.335 |
| $[0,0,0,-90,0]$ | $[-9,9]$ | $[-6,18]$ | 46656000 | $[1,30]$ | 3.756 | 0.212 |
| $[0,0,0,-132,0]$ | $[-11,11]$ | $[-6,24]$ | 147197952 | $[1,2]$ | 4.470 | 0.237 |
| $[0,1,0,-648,0]$ | $[-24,48]$ | $[-9,72]$ | 17420977152 | $[1,-6]$ | 0.602 | 0.025 |
| $[0,0,0,34,0]$ | $[8,28]$ | $[32,184]$ | 2515456 | $[12,-19]$ | 2.107 | 0.143 |
| $[0,0,0,-136,0]$ | $[-8,24]$ | $[153,1887]$ | 160989184 | $[17,2]$ | 0.279 | 0.014 |
| $[0,1,0,-289,0]$ | $[-17,17]$ | $[-16,28]$ | 1546140752 | $[11,0]$ | 5.712 | 0.269 |

TABLE 6. Distance to $[0,0]$.

In Table 3, similar computations are shown, except that the numerator of $x(m P+n Q)$ is tested for primality and a resulting bound for the $x$-coordinate is shown. For the curves marked with an asterisk, it seems likely that only finitely many points have a prime numerator in the $x$-coordinate.

### 3.3 Some Rank-3 Curves

In rank 3, it is expected [Everest et al. 02] that asymptotically, $c_{2} T$ values $x\left(n_{1} P_{1}+n_{2} P_{2}+n_{3} P_{3}\right)$, with index bounded by $T$, will have length 1 , where $c_{2}>0$ depends only on $E$. As before, elliptic curves $E$ are listed, now with generators $P, Q$, and $R$. The index set is bounded by 100 in each variable. For the eighth and ninth curves in Table 4, although the largest values occur at large indices, the increment is noteworthy. For the eighth curve, [ $-30,47,22]$ yields a point whose $x$-coordinate has log-
arithm 19.244. For the ninth curve, $[10,1,-1]$ yields a point whose $x$-coordinate has logarithm 20.586 .

### 3.4 Some Elliptic Divisibility Sequences

Table 5 shows data collected for some elliptic divisibility sequences generated by rational points with small height [Elkies 08b, Everest et al. 08]. Although the curves themselves do not necessarily have rank 1 , the data are interesting because some of the discriminants are very large. Also, the primes occurring are extreme in a sense. The notation remains as before, but this time, $n$ denotes the index yielding the maximum absolute value of an $x$-coordinate with a prime square denominator, where $n \leq 3500$.

### 3.5 Other Repelling Points

Table 6 gives examples of rank- 2 curves with generators $P$ and $Q$ and a rational 2-torsion point equal to $D=[0,0]$.

We computed the smallest value of $x(m P+n Q)$ when $L(m P+n Q)=1$, assuming that the bound on $|m|$ and $|n|$ was 100 . For consistency with the definitions given, the largest value
$\bar{h}_{D}=-\log |x(m P+n Q)-x(D)|=-\log |x(m P+n Q)|$
with $L(m P+n Q)=1$ and $|m|,|n| \leq 100$ is recorded.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We are indebted to the referee for this observation.

