# On a Conjecture of Carathéodory: Analyticity Versus Smoothness

Carlos Gutierrez, Francesco Mercuri and Federico Sánchez-Bringas

## CONTENTS

 Introduction
Bonnet Coordinates and Functions
Umbilics of Lojasiewicz Type References

Supported in part by Proyecto D.G.A.P.A. IN 103792 UNAM and by IMPA, Rio de Janeiro. We show that, under mild nonflatness conditions, for any  $r \geq 3$ and any  $C^r$ -immersion of a surface into  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with an isolated umbilic point there exist an analytic surface with an isolated umbilic of the same index. The connection of this with Carathéodory's Conjecture on umbilics is discussed.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Carathéodory Conjecture states that every smooth convex embedding of a 2-sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  must have at least two umbilics. A well known approach to the problem is based on a "semi-local" argument. For any surface in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the eigenspaces of the second fundamental form define two orthogonal line fields (principal directions) whose singularities are exactly the umbilics. To each isolated umbilic we can attach the index of either one of the two fields, which is half of an integer, and the sum of those indexes is the Euler characteristic of the surface, if the surface is compact and all umbilics are isolated. So, if an embedded sphere has only one umbilic, this must have index two. We just observe that, up to an inversion in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , we can always suppose that the curvature at a given umbilic is positive, and therefore the convexity hypothesis is not relevant for this argument.

Examples of umbilics of index j are known for all  $j \leq 1$ . A local conjecture stronger than Carathéodory's, known as the Loewner conjecture, states that there are no umbilics of index greater then one. This conjecture has been asserted to be true for analytic surfaces by several authors [Hamburger 1940–1941; Bol 1943–1944; Klotz 1959; Titus 1973], implying therefore Carathéodory's Conjecture for

analytic surfaces. Very recently we where informed that Voss and Scherbel are trying to clarify some points in the above mentioned works. These points are explained in [Scherbel, Appendix B]; in this respect see also [Yau 1982, p. 684; Lang 1990, p. 19].

In this note we prove that for each umbilic on a  $C^r$  surface it is possible to construct, under a mild nondegeneracy condition, an analytic surface with an umbilic of the same index and therefore, in those cases, a positive answer to the the local  $C^r$ conjecture follows from a positive answer for the analytic case.

It is interesting to observe that generically the index of an umbilic is  $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ . In particular, a generically embedded compact surface S has at least  $2 |\chi(S)|$  umbilics. This was proved in [Feldman 1967] and subsequently in [Asperti 1980]. A nice geometric (generic) condition under which the index of an umbilic has to be at most one was given in [Smyth and Xavier 1992]. Their condition is a nonvanishing condition on the 3-jet of a suitable function. Our condition is somewhat better since, at least in the smooth case, it is a condition on the k-jet for some k, and is automatically verified in the analytic case.

The global configurations determined by the foliations tangent to the principal directions have already been studied. See for instance [Gutierrez and Sotomayor 1982; 1983; 1993; Ramírez-Galarza and Sánchez-Bringas 1995].

## 2. BONNET COORDINATES AND FUNCTIONS

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an oriented  $C^r$ -embedded surface, where  $r \geq 3$ . Suppose that the Gauss map  $N : S \to S^2$  takes S diffeomorphically and preserving orientation onto an open subset N(S) of  $S^2 \setminus \{(0,0,1)\}$ . In particular, the gaussian curvature of S is positive everywhere. Let  $\Pi : \mathbb{R}^2 \to S^2 \setminus (0,0,1)$  be the diffeomorphism given by the inverse map of the stereographic projection; that is,

$$\Pi(x,y) = \Big(\frac{2x}{1+x^2+y^2}, \frac{2y}{1+x^2+y^2}, \frac{x^2+y^2-1}{1+x^2+y^2}\Big).$$

Then the map

$$\begin{split} \Phi(x,y) &= (X(x,y),Y(x,y),Z(x,y)) = N^{-1} \circ \Pi(x,y) \\ \text{defined in } U &= \Pi^{-1}(N(\mathbb{S})) \text{ provides a global } C^{r-1} \\ \text{parametrization of } \mathbb{S}, \text{ called } Bonnet \ chart \ \text{associ-} \end{split}$$

parametrization of S, called Bonnet chart associated to S (and to the particular stereographic projection  $\Pi$ ).

Let 
$$\Lambda(x, y) = (1 + x^2 + y^2) \Pi(x, y)$$
; that is,

$$\Lambda(x, y) = (2x, 2y, x^2 + y^2 - 1).$$

We define the Bonnet function

$$\beta(x, y) = \Lambda(x, y) \cdot \Phi(x, y),$$

where the dot stands for the usual inner product.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an oriented  $C^r$  embedded surface, where  $r \geq 3$ . Suppose that the Gauss map  $N : S \to S^2$  takes S diffeomorphically and preserving orientation onto an open subset of  $S^2 - \{(0,0,1)\}$ . Then the Bonnet function  $\beta = \beta(x, y)$  associated to S is of class  $C^r$  and the differential equation of the principal lines of curvature of S, in its Bonnet chart, is given by

$$\beta_{xy} dx^2 + (\beta_{yy} - \beta_{xx}) dx dy - \beta_{xy} dy^2 = 0.$$
 (2.1)

Proof. Since  $\Lambda \cdot \Phi_x = \Lambda \cdot \Phi_y = 0$ , where the subindex means the partial derivative with respect to this variable, we have  $\Lambda_x \cdot \Phi = \beta_x$  and  $\Lambda_y \cdot \Phi = \beta_y$ . This, together with  $\Lambda \cdot \Phi = \beta$ , can be written in matrix notation as  $M \cdot \Phi = \mathcal{B}$ , where

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 2x & 2y & x^2 + y^2 - 1 \\ 2 & 0 & 2x \\ 0 & 2 & 2y \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{B} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ \beta_x \\ \beta_y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since N is of class  $C^{r-1}$ ,  $\Phi$  is also of class  $C^{r-1}$ . Therefore,  $M \cdot \Phi = \mathcal{B}$  implies that  $\beta$  is of class  $C^r$ . Since, for all  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , the determinant of M is  $-4(1 + x^2 + y^2) \neq 0$ , we may write  $\Phi = M^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{B}$ . From this we can compute the first and second fundamental forms of  $\Phi$  in terms of  $\beta$  and therefore we obtain (2.1).  $\Box$  **Remark 2.2.** There are several other proofs of (2.1); see, for example, [Bonnet 1860; Darboux 1896, pp. 285–300; Blaschke 1929, pp. 283–289]. Our direct approach has the merit that it can be easily checked using a symbolic computer system.

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $\beta : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^r$  function, with  $r \geq 3$ . Suppose that the 2-jet  $j^2\beta_{(0,0)}$  of  $\beta$  at (0,0) has the form

$$j^{2}\beta_{(0,0)}(x,y) = a_{00} + a_{10}x + a_{01}y + a_{20}x^{2} + 2a_{11}xy + a_{02}y^{2},$$

and that

$$a_{00}^2 + a_{00}(a_{02} + a_{20}) - a_{11}^2 + a_{02}a_{20} \neq 0.$$
 (2.2)

Then there exists an open neighborhood  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  of (0,0) such that  $\beta|_U$  is the Bonnet function of an oriented  $C^r$  surface embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

*Proof.* The function  $\beta = \beta(x, y)$  determines a function  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(x, y)$  as in the proof of Proposition 2.1; let  $\Phi = M^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{B}$ . Using (2.2), we can check that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \Phi_1}{\partial x}(0,0) & \frac{\partial \Phi_1}{\partial y}(0,0) \\ \frac{\partial \Phi_2}{\partial x}(0,0) & \frac{\partial \Phi_2}{\partial y}(0,0) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

and therefore that (since  $\mathcal{B}$  is of class  $C^{r-1}$ ) there exists an open neighborhood  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  of (0,0) such that  $\Phi: U \to \mathbb{R}^3$  is a  $C^{r-1}$  regular parametrization of  $S = \Phi(U)$ . We want to show that there exists an open neighborhood of  $\Phi(0,0)$  in S that is the inverse image of a regular value of a  $C^r$  function. Let  $\varphi: U \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be given by

$$\varphi(x, y, s) = \Phi(x, y) + s\Pi(x, y).$$

Then, by the Inverse Mapping Theorem,  $\varphi$  is a  $C^{r-1}$  local diffeomorphism around (0,0,0). Let  $V = \varphi(U \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))$ . By taking  $U \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  smaller if necessary, we shall proceed assuming that  $\varphi$ :  $U \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \to V$  is a diffeomorphism. Let  $g = \pi_3 \circ \varphi^{-1} : V \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\pi_3(x, y, s) \equiv s$ . Then  $S = g^{-1}(0)$ . Since  $(d\varphi^{-1})(\operatorname{grad} g) = (0,0,1)$ , we have  $\operatorname{grad} g = \Pi \circ \pi_{12} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ , where  $\pi_{12}(x, y, s) \equiv (x, y)$ .

Therefore grad g is of class  $C^{r-1}$ , so that g is of class  $C^r$  and 0 is a regular value. Finally, because  $\Lambda \cdot \Phi = \Lambda \cdot M^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{B} = \beta$ , we get that  $\beta$  is the Bonnet function of  $\Phi(U)$ .

**Remark 2.4.** In the proof above, notice that the parametrization  $\varphi$  is essentially defined in terms of what will be the unit normal vector, so it has a class of differentiability one less than the (natural) class of differentiability of S.

**Remark 2.5.** Under the conditions of Proposition 2.1, if the Bonnet function  $\beta$  is defined in a neighborhood of (0, 0) and the 2-jet of  $\beta$  at (0, 0) is written as in the statement of Proposition 2.3, then (since  $\Phi_x(0,0)$  and  $\Phi_y(0,0)$  are linearly independent) inequality (2.2) of Proposition 2.3 is satisfied.

#### 3. UMBILICS OF LOJASIEWICZ TYPE

We say that a  $C^r$  vector field  $\xi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  fulfills a Lojasiewicz inequality at (0,0) if there exist  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|\xi(x,y)\| \ge \delta \|(x,y)\|^k$  on some neighborhood of (0,0). Under these circumstances, we will also say that  $\xi$  satisfies a Lojasiewicz inequality of order k (with associated constant  $\delta$ ) at (0,0).

Suppose that a  $C^r$  oriented surface  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , with  $r \geq 3$ , has an isolated umbilic point  $p \in S$ . We will say that p is an *umbilic of Lojasiewicz type* (of order k, with  $1 \leq k \leq r-2$ ) if there is a local  $C^r$  diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  of a neighborhood of  $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$  onto an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  such that the image surface  $\varphi(S) = \tilde{S}$  satisfies the following properties:

- 1.  $\tilde{p} = \varphi(p)$  is an isolated umbilic of  $\tilde{S}$  with the same index as p, and  $\tilde{S}$  has positive curvature in  $\tilde{p}$  and unit normal vector (0, 0, -1).
- 2. The Bonnet function  $\tilde{\beta}$  of  $\tilde{S}$  is such that the vector field  $\tilde{\xi}(x, y) = (\tilde{\beta}_{xx} \tilde{\beta}_{yy}, 2\tilde{\beta}_{xy})$  satisfies a Lojasiewicz inequality of order k at (0, 0).

**Remarks 3.1.** (a) The composition of an appropriate rigid translation and the inversion  $\mathcal{I}(p) = p/||p||^2$  preserves the principal lines of curvature, hence

umbilics and their indexes as well. Thus an inversion may be used to transform a flat umbilic into an umbilic of positive curvature and, up to a conformal diffeomorphism, the first condition is always satisfied.

(b) With the notation above, the index of  $\xi$  at (0, 0) is twice the index of the umbilic point p [Smyth and Xavier 1992].

(c) If a vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  satisfies a Lojasiewicz inequality at the singular point (0, 0), then (0, 0) is an isolated singularity of the vector field.

(d) Suppose that  $Y : (U, (0, 0)) \to (\mathbb{R}^2, (0, 0))$  is an analytic vector field defined in an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then (0, 0) is an isolated singular point of Y if, and only if, Y satisfies a Lojasiewicz inequality at (0, 0) [Dumortier 1977]. Therefore, using (a) above, an analytic surface immersed in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  always satisfies a Lojasiewicz inequality at an isolated umbilic point.

**Lemma 3.2.** If  $\xi : (U, (0, 0)) \to (\mathbb{R}^2, (0, 0))$  is a  $C^r$  vector field, where  $r \ge 1$ , defined in a neighborhood U of (0, 0) and satisfying a Lojasiewicz inequality of order k at (0, 0), where  $1 \le k \le r$ , then:

- (a) the k-jet j<sup>k</sup>ξ<sub>0</sub> of ξ at (0,0) satisfies a Lojasiewicz inequality of order k at (0,0).
- (b) both ξ and its k-jet j<sup>k</sup>ξ<sub>0</sub> at (0,0) have the same index at their common isolated singularity (0,0).

Proof. Let  $\xi = j^k \xi_0 + \varphi$ . By assumption, there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|\xi(x, y)\| > \delta\|(x, y)\|^k$ , for all (x, y) in a neighborhood V of (0, 0). Since  $\varphi$  is the Taylor remainder of order k, by shrinking V if necessary, we may find  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}\delta$ and  $\|\varphi(x, y)\| < \varepsilon \|(x, y)\|^k$  for all  $(x, y) \in V$ . This implies that, when restricted to V, each element of the family  $\xi_{\mu} = j^k \xi_0 + \mu \varphi$ , with parameter  $\mu \in$ [0, 1], satisfies a Lojasiewicz inequality of order kwith associated constant  $\delta - \varepsilon$  at (0, 0). It is easy to see that the family  $\xi_{\mu}$  provides a homotopy between  $\xi$  and  $j^k \xi_0$  such that each  $\xi_{\mu}|_V$  has a unique singularity, namely (0, 0). This implies the lemma, by index theory [Guillemin and Pollack 1974].  $\Box$  We are now in condition to prove the announced result:

**Theorem 3.3.** If p is an umbilic point of a  $C^r$  surface  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , where  $r \geq 3$ , that satisfies a Lojasiewicz inequality of order  $1 \leq k \leq r-2$ , then there is an analytic surface  $\tilde{S}$  such that p is an isolated umbilic point of  $\tilde{S}$  and S having, in both cases, the same index.

*Proof.* By definition, we may assume that the Gaussian curvature of a surface S at p is positive, and that the unit normal vector to S at p is (0, 0, -1). Let  $\beta = \beta(x, y)$  be the  $C^r$  Bonnet function associated to S at a neighborhood of p. By assumption, the vector field

$$\xi(x,y) = (\beta_{xx} - \beta_{yy}, 2\beta_{xy})$$

satisfies a Lojasiewicz inequality of order k at the singularity (0,0). Let  $\gamma = \gamma(x,y)$  be the (k+2)-jet of  $\beta$  at (0,0). Since  $\beta$  satisfies the properties mentioned in Remark 2.5, we can apply Proposition 2.3 to make a Bonnet function of  $\gamma$  with associated surface  $\tilde{S}$ . Let

$$Y(x,y) = (\gamma_{xx} - \gamma_{yy}, 2\gamma_{xy}).$$

Since Y and the k-jet of  $\xi$  at (0,0) coincide, it follows from the lemma above that p is an umbilic point of both S and  $\tilde{S}$  satisfying the conditions of the lemma.

**Theorem 3.4.** Assuming the truth of the Loewner conjecture for isolated umbilics on analytic surfaces, if a  $C^r$  surface  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , with  $r \ge 3$ , satisfies a Lojasiewicz inequality at an umbilic point p, the index of p is at most 1. Therefore, if a  $C^r$  immersion of a sphere has one umbilic of Lojasiewicz type, it must have at least one more umbilic.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to express our thanks to Jorge Sotomayor, whose great interest in the subject stimulated the present work. We wish also to thank the referee, whose comments were appreciated and incorporated into this work.

#### REFERENCES

- [Asperti 1980] A. C. Asperti, "Some generic properties of riemannian immersions", *Boletim Soc. Bras. Mat.* 11 (1980), 191–216.
- [Blaschke 1929] W. Blaschke, Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie, III: Differentialgeometrie der Kreise und Kugeln, Springer, Berlin, 1929.
- [Bonnet 1860] O. Bonnet, "Mémoire sur l'emploi d'un nouveau système de variables dans l'étude des propriétés des surfaces courbes", J. Liouville (Sér. 2) 5 (1860), 153-266.
- [Bol 1943–1944] G. Bol, "Über Nabelpunkte auf einer Eifläche", Math Z. 49 (1943/1944), 389-410.
- [Feldman 1967] E. A. Feldman, "On parabolic and umbilic points of hypersurfaces", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1967), 1–28.
- [Dumortier 1977] F. Dumortier, "Singularities of vector fields on the plane", J. Diff. Eq. 23 (1977), 53-106.
- [Darboux 1896] G. Darboux, "Sur la forme des lignes de courbure dans la voisinage d'un ombilic", Note 7 in Leçons sur la Theorie des Surfaces IV, Gauthier Villars, Paris, 1896.
- [Guillemin and Pollack 1974] V. Guillemin and A. Pollack, *Differential Topology*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1974.
- [Gutierrez and Sotomayor 1983] C. Gutierrez and J. Sotomayor, "An approximation theorem for immersions with stable configurations of line of principal curvature", pp. 332-368 in *Geometric Dynamics*, Rio de Janeiro, 1981 (edited by J. Palis Jr.), Lecture Notes in Math. 1007, Springer, Berlin, 1983.
- [Gutierrez and Sotomayor 1982] C. Gutierrez and J. Sotomayor, "Structurally stable configurations of lines of principal curvature", pp. 195–215 in

Bifurcation, Ergodic Theory and Applications, Dijon, 1981, Astérisque **98–99**, Soc. Math. de France, Paris, 1982.

- [Gutierrez and Sotomayor 1993] C. Gutierrez and J. Sotomayor, "Lines of curvature and umbilic points on surfaces", 18° Colóquio Brasileiro de Matemática, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Rio de Janeiro, 1993.
- [Hamburger 1940–1941] H. Hamburger, "Beweis einer Carathéodoryschen Vermütung", Ann. of Math. 41 (1940), 63–68. Continued in Acta Math. 73 (1941), 174–332.
- [Klotz 1959] T. Klotz, "On Bol's proof of Carathéodory's Conjecture", Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12 (1959), 277-311.
- [Lang 1990] M. Lang, "Nabelpunkte, Krümmungslinien, Brennflächen und ihre Metamorphosen", Dissertation, Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, Darmstadt, 1990.
- [Ramírez-Galarza and Sánchez-Bringas 1995] A. I. Ramírez-Galarza and F. Sánchez-Bringas, "Lines of curvature near umbilical points on surfaces immersed in R<sup>4</sup>", Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 13 (1995), 129–140.
- [Scherbel] H. Scherbel, "A new proof of Hamburger's Index Theorem on umbilical points", Dissertation No. 10281, ETH, Zürich.
- [Smyth and Xavier 1992] B. Smyth and F. Xavier, "A geometric estimate for the index of an umbilic point on a smooth surface", Bull. Lon. Math. Soc. 24 (1992), 176–180.
- [Titus 1973] C. J. Titus, "A proof of a conjecture of Loewner and of the conjecture of Carathéodory on umbilic points", Acta Math. 131 (1973), 43-77.
- [Yau 1982] S. T. Yau, Seminar on Differential Geometry, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1982.
- Carlos Gutierrez, Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, Jardim Botânico, 22460-320, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil (gutp@impa.br)
- Francesco Mercuri, IMECC, Universidade de Campinas, C.P. 6065, 13081-970, Campinas, SP, Brazil (mercuri@ime.unicamp.br)
- Federico Sánchez-Bringas, Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, México, D.F., 04510, México (sanchez@redvax1.dgsca.unam.mx)

Received April 12, 1995; accepted August 17