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#### Abstract

Consider the $2 D$ defocusing cubic NLS $i u_{t}+\Delta u-u|u|^{2}=0$ with Hamiltonian $\int\left(|\nabla \phi|^{2}+\frac{1}{2}|\phi|^{4}\right)$. It is shown that the Gibbs measure constructed from the Wick ordered Hamiltonian, i.e. replacing $|\phi|^{4}$ by : $|\phi|^{4}$ :, is an invariant measure for the appropriately modified equation $i u_{t}+\Delta u-\left[u|u|^{2}-2\left(\int|u|^{2} d x\right) u\right]=0$. There is a well defined flow on the support of the measure. In fact, it is shown that for almost all data $\phi$ the solution $u, u(0)=\phi$, satisfies $u(t)-e^{i t \Delta} \phi \in C_{H}(\mathbb{R})$, for some $s>0$. First a result local in time is established and next measure invariance considerations are used to extend the local result to a global one (cf. [B2]).


## Introduction

Consider the Wick ordering $H_{N}=\int|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int|u|^{4}-2 a_{N} \int|u|^{2}+a_{N}^{2}$ of the $2 D$ Hamiltonian $\int|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int|u|^{4}$ corresponding to the $2 D$-defocusing cubic NLS. ${ }^{1}$ It is shown that the solutions $u_{N}=u_{N}^{\omega}$ of the Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(u_{N}\right)_{t}=i \frac{\partial H_{N}}{\partial \bar{u}} \equiv \Delta u_{N}-P_{N}\left(u_{N}\left|u_{N}\right|^{2}\right)+2 a_{N} u_{N}=0  \tag{i}\\
u_{N}=P_{N} u_{N}, u_{N}(0)=\sum_{|n| \leqq N} \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\langle x, n\rangle}
\end{array}\right.
$$

converge weakly for all time, for almost all $\omega .^{2}$ Here $\left\{g_{n}(\omega) \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\right\}$ are independent $L^{2}$-normalized complex Gaussians and $P_{N}$ denotes the usual Dirichlet projection on the trigonometric system.

In fact, there is some $s>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{N}(t)-e^{2 i c_{N}(\omega) t} \sum_{|n|<N} \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\left(\langle x, n\rangle+|n|^{2} t\right)}, \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{N}(\omega)=\sum_{|n|<N} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{2}-1}{|n|^{2}} \tag{iii}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

converges in $H^{s}\left(\mathbf{T}^{2}\right)$, for all time $t$.
The study of (i) mainly reduces to the truncation independent equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}=\Delta u-\left[u|u|^{2}-2\left(\int_{\mathbf{T}^{2}}|u|^{2} d x\right) u\right] \tag{iv}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the expression between brackets has to be considered as the usual cubic term $u|u|^{2}$ with suppression of certain square-terms (which are obviously divergent for the data considered in (i) when $N \rightarrow \infty$ ).

The main point is that (iv) is well posed for typical elements in the support of the Gibbs measure, or, equivalently, for data $\phi_{\omega}=\sum \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\langle x, n\rangle}$, almost surely in $\omega$. Once a local result is obtained, one proceeds as in [B2], using the invariance of the Gibbs measure $e^{-H_{N}(\phi)} \Pi d \phi$ for the flow of the truncated equations (i), to get the results on solutions for all time. The limit flow for $N \rightarrow \infty$ and the flow of (iv) have the normalized Gibbs measure $d \mu=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} e^{-H_{N}(\phi)} \Pi d \phi$ as an invariant measure.

This problem was considered in the paper [L-R-S]. The present work extends the one-dimensional result in [B2] to the $2 D$-defocusing case.

## 1. Wick Ordered Hamiltonian for Cubic 2D-NLS (Defocusing Case)

We first recall the process of Wick ordering the $|u|^{4}$-nonlinearity (we are in the complex case). This Wick ordered Hamiltonian will lead to the modification of the cubic nonlinearity appearing in (iv) above. For the general theory of Wick ordering, the reader may consult [G-J].

The Wick ordered "truncated" Hamiltonians are given by

$$
H_{N}=\int\left|\nabla u_{N}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int\left|u_{n}\right|^{4}-2 a_{N} \int\left|u_{N}\right|^{2}+a_{N}^{2}
$$

where

$$
a_{N}=\sum_{\substack{|n|<N \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{1}{|n|^{2}}=\left\|\sum_{|n|<N} \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\langle n, x\rangle}\right\|_{L^{2}(d x d \omega)}^{2}
$$

The corresponding Gibbs measure is

$$
e^{-H_{N}} \Pi d \phi_{N}=\exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int\left|\phi_{N}\right|^{4}+2 a_{N} \int\left|\phi_{N}\right|^{2}-a_{N}^{2}\right] \underbrace{\exp \left(-\int\left|\nabla \phi_{N}\right|^{2}\right) \Pi}_{\text {Wiener measure }} d \phi_{N}
$$

Denoting $\phi=\phi_{N}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} \int|\phi|^{4}+2 a_{N} \int|\phi|^{2}-a_{N}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \int\left(|\phi|^{2}-2 a_{N}\right)^{2}+a_{N}^{2}<(\log N)^{2} \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fourier expansion yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{1}{2} \int|\phi|^{4}+2 a_{N} \int|\phi|^{2}-a_{N}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}-n_{4}=0 \\
n_{1} \neq n_{2}, n_{4}}} \frac{g_{n_{1}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{1}\right|} \frac{\overline{g_{n_{2}}(\omega)}}{\left|n_{2}\right|} \frac{g_{n_{3}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{3}\right|} \frac{\overline{g_{n_{4}}(\omega)}}{\left|n_{4}\right|}  \tag{1}\\
 \tag{2}\\
-\left(\sum_{|n|<N} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{2}}{|n|^{2}}\right)^{2}+2 a_{N} \cdot \sum_{|n|<N} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{2}}{|n|^{2}}-a_{N}^{2}  \tag{3}\\
\quad+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{|n|<N} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{4}}{|n|^{4}} \\
\sum_{|n|<N} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{2}}{|n|^{2}}
\end{gather*}=\sum_{|n|<N} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{2}-1}{|n|^{2}}+a_{N}, ~ l
$$

hence

$$
(2)=-\left(\sum \frac{\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{2}-1}{|n|^{2}}\right)^{2}
$$

Thus (1), (2), (3) are finite a.s. in $\omega$.
Also for $N>N_{0}$, there is the following distributional inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{P}_{\omega}\left[\left\lvert\,\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int\left|\phi_{N}\right|^{4}+2 a_{N} \int\left|\phi_{N}\right|^{2}-a_{N}^{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad-\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int\left|\phi_{N_{0}}\right|^{4}+2 a_{N_{0}} \int\left|\phi_{N_{0}}\right|^{2}-a_{N_{0}}^{2}\right) \right\rvert\,>1\right]<C e^{-N_{0}^{\delta}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

(for some $\delta>0$ ).
To prove (4), one considers the different terms (1), (2), (3) and uses the standard moment inequalities for linear combinations of products of Gaussians (obtained from hypercontractivity estimates). The contribution of expression (1) above to the difference in (4) is given by

$$
\sum_{\substack{n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}-n_{1}=0 \\ n_{1}+n_{2}, n_{4} \\ \max \left|n_{i}\right|>N_{0}}} \frac{g_{n_{1}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{1}\right|} \frac{\overline{g_{n_{2}}(\omega)}}{\left|n_{2}\right|} \frac{g_{n_{3}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{3}\right|} \frac{\overline{g_{n_{4}}(\omega)}}{\left|n_{4}\right|} .
$$

Since these are products of 4 Gaussians, there is equivalence of the $L^{2}(d \omega)$-norm and the Orlicz norm $L^{\psi}(d \omega)$, with $\psi(\lambda)=e^{\lambda^{1 / 2}}-1$. Since the $\left\{g_{n}(\omega)\right\}$ are independent complex Gaussians, one clearly gets for the $L^{2}(d \omega)$-norm

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{\int_{\left|x_{1}\right|>N_{0}}\left(1+\left|x_{1}\right|\right)^{-2}\left(1+\left|x_{2}\right|\right)^{-2}\left(1+\left|x_{3}\right|\right)^{-2}\left(1+\left|x_{1}-x_{2}+x_{3}\right|\right)^{-2} d x_{1} d x_{2} d x_{3}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
\leqq N_{0}^{-1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

The contribution of term (2) to the difference in (4) is

$$
\left(\sum_{|n| \leqq N} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{2}-1}{|n|^{2}}\right)\left(\sum_{N_{0}<|n| \leqq N} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{2}-1}{|n|^{2}}\right) .
$$

Since $\int\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{2} d \omega=1$, the norm is estimated by $\left(\sum_{|n|>N_{0}} \frac{1}{|n|^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq N_{0}^{-1}$.

Similarly, term (3) contributes for $\sum_{|n|>N_{0}} \frac{1}{|n|^{4}} \sim N_{0}^{-2}$. Estimate (4) easily follows.

One deduces the following stability estimate $(\lambda>2)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{\omega}\left[-\frac{1}{2} \int\left|\phi_{N}\right|^{4}+2 a_{N} \int\left|\phi_{N}\right|^{2}-a_{N}^{2}>\lambda\right] \leqq e^{-e^{\delta \sqrt{\lambda}}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Choose $N_{0}$ with $\left(\log N_{0}\right)^{2}<\frac{\lambda}{2}$. From (0) applied with $N=N_{0}$ and (4), (5) follows.

Hence, the renormalized Gibbs measure is a weighted Wiener measure with density in $\bigcap_{p<\infty} L^{p}$.

## 2. Truncated NLS

$$
u_{t}^{N}=i \frac{\partial H_{N}}{\partial \overline{u^{N}}} \Rightarrow i u_{t}^{N}-\Delta u^{N}+P_{N}\left(u^{N}\left|u^{N}\right|^{2}\right)-2 a_{N} u^{N}=0
$$

Rewrite equation ( $u=u^{N}$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i u_{t}-\Delta u+2\left(\int|u|^{2}-a_{N}\right) u+P_{N}\left(u|u|^{2}-2 u \int|u|^{2}\right)=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\int|u|^{2}-a_{N}=\sum_{|n| \leqq N} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{2}-1}{|n|^{2}}=c_{N}(\omega)$ is time invariant, and converges to $c_{\infty}(\omega)<\infty$ a.s. in $\omega$. Define $u_{N}=e^{2 i c_{N}(\omega) t} \cdot v_{N}$, reducing Eq. (6) to

$$
\begin{equation*}
i v_{t}-\Delta v+P_{N}\left(v|v|^{2}-2 v \int|v|^{2}\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nonlinear term is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{N}\left\{\sum_{n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3}} \widehat{v}\left(n_{1}\right) \overline{\widehat{v}\left(n_{2}\right)} \widehat{v}\left(n_{3}\right) e^{i\left\langle n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, x\right\rangle}\right\}  \tag{8}\\
& \quad-\sum_{|n|<N} \widehat{v}(n)|\widehat{v}(n)|^{2} e^{i\langle n, x\rangle} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Cauchy Problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i u_{t}-\Delta u+P_{N}\left(u|u|^{2}-2 u \int|u|^{2}\right)=0  \tag{10}\\
u=P_{N} u, u(0)=\phi_{N}(x)=\sum_{|n|<N} \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\langle n, x\rangle}
\end{array}\right.
$$

on time interval $[0, \tau]$.
Proposition. The Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i u_{t}-\Delta u+\left(u|u|^{2}-2 u \int|u|^{2}\right)=0  \tag{11}\\
u(0)=\phi_{\omega}(x)=\sum \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i(x, n\rangle}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is well posed on $[0, \tau]$ except for $\omega$ in a set of measure $\leqq e^{-\frac{1}{\tau^{\delta}}}(\delta>0)$ and the solution $u$ is the (distributional) limit of the solutions $u_{N}$ of (10) when $N \rightarrow \infty$.

In fact

$$
u-\sum \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\left(\langle x, n\rangle+|n|^{2} t\right)}
$$

is the limit in $L_{H^{s}\left(\mathbf{T}^{2}\right)}^{\infty}[0, \tau]$ of

$$
u_{N}-\sum_{|n|<N} \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\left(\langle x, n\rangle+|n|^{2} t\right)}
$$

for some $s>0$.
Corollary. Solutions of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{t}^{N}=i \frac{\partial H_{N}}{\partial u^{N}}  \tag{12}\\
u^{N}(0)=\phi_{N}(\omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $t \in[0, \tau]$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ converge for $\omega$ outside a set of measure $\leqq e^{-\frac{1}{\tau^{\delta}}}$. In fact

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{N}-e^{2 i c_{N}(\omega) t} \cdot \sum_{|n|<N} \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\left(\langle x, n\rangle+t|n|^{2}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

converges in $L_{H^{s}\left(\mathbf{T}^{2}\right)}^{\infty}[0, \tau]$ when $N \rightarrow \infty$ for those $\omega$.
Using invariant Gibbs measures $e^{-H_{N}} \Pi d \phi_{N}$ (forming a convergent sequence to a measure $\mu \sim$ Wiener measure) and probabilistic considerations, one shows next that a.s. in the $\omega$ solution $u_{N}=u_{N, \omega}$ of (12) converges on [ $0, \infty$ [ and also (13) converges in $H^{s}$ for all $t$. The limiting flow leaves $\mu$ invariant since $e^{-H_{N}} \Pi d \phi_{N}$ is invariant under the flow of the truncated equation (12). The reasoning followed here is completely analogous to the argument in [B2] for the 1-dimensional NLS.

## 4. Estimates on (11)

Consider the integral equation associated to (11)

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=S(t) \phi+i \int_{0}^{t} S(t-\tau)\left[\left(u|u|^{2}-2 u \int|u|^{2}\right)(\tau)\right] d \tau \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(t)=e^{i t \Delta}$. Consider the norm (space-time on $[0, \tau]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mid u\|_{s}=\left(\sum_{n} \int d \lambda\left(1+|n|^{2}\right)^{s}\left(1+\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|\right)|\widehat{u}(n, \lambda)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\widehat{u}(n, \lambda)$ denotes the Fourier transform $u$, in the sense that

$$
u(x, t)=\sum_{n} \int d \lambda e^{i(\langle n, x\rangle+\lambda t)} \widehat{u}(n, \lambda) \quad \text { for }(x, t) \in \mathbf{T}^{2} \times[0, \tau]
$$

(strictly speaking, $\widehat{u}$ is not uniquely defined and (15) should be understood as a restriction norm). The exposition below will be closely related to [B1], which the reader may wish to consult for more background and details.

We will show that for $\omega$ outside an exceptional set of size $<e^{-\frac{1}{\tau^{\delta}}}$, the transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \mapsto S(t) \phi+i \int_{0}^{t} S(t-\tau)\left[\left(u|u|^{2}-2 u \int|u|^{2}\right)(\tau)\right] d \tau \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines an $\left|\left|\left|\left|\left|\mid s\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$-contraction on the set $S(t) \phi+\left(\left|\left|\left|\left|\left|| |_{s}\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$-ball).
Write, cf. (8), (9),

$$
\begin{align*}
u|u|^{2}-2 u \int|u|^{2}= & \sum_{n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3}} \widehat{u}\left(n_{1}\right) \overline{\widehat{u}\left(n_{2}\right)} \widehat{u}\left(n_{3}\right) e^{i\left\langle n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, x\right\rangle} \\
& -\sum_{n} \widehat{u}(n)|\widehat{u}(n)|^{2} e^{i\langle n, x\rangle} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The contribution of the second term in (17) is immediate. We consider the first as a trilinear expression, replacing the $\widehat{u}, \overline{\hat{u}}, \widehat{u}$ factors by $\widehat{u}_{1}, \overline{\widehat{u}}_{2}, \widehat{u}_{3}$ resp. We limit each Fourier transform to a dyadic region $\left|n_{i}\right| \sim N_{i}(i=1,2,3)$. Denote $w$ the first term in (17). Since

$$
\int_{0}^{t} S(t-\tau) w(\tau) d \tau=-i \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^{2}} \int d \lambda\left\{\widehat{w}(n, \lambda) e^{i(n, x\rangle} \frac{e^{i \lambda t}-e^{i|n|^{2} t}}{\lambda-|n|^{2}}\right\}
$$

there is an estimate of

$$
\left\|\left\|\int_{0}^{t} S(t-\tau) w(\tau) d \tau\right\|\right\|_{s}
$$

by (cf. [B1])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\sum_{n} \int d \lambda \frac{|n|^{2 s}|\widehat{w}(n, \lambda)|^{2}}{\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|}\right\}^{1 / 2}+\left\{\sum_{n}|n|^{2 s}\left(\int \frac{|\widehat{w}(n, \lambda)|}{\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|} d \lambda\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the denominator $\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|$ means $\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|+1$ (because estimates are local in time).

For each of the $u_{i}(i=1,2,3)$, there are 2 possibilities,

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{i}=\frac{1}{N_{i}} \sum_{|n| \sim N_{i}} g_{n}(\omega) e^{i\left(\langle x, n\rangle+t|n|^{2}\right)},  \tag{I}\\
\left\|\mid u_{i}\right\|_{s}<1 \tag{II}
\end{gather*}
$$

decomposing as $S(t) \phi+\left(H^{s}\right.$-ball).
Denote $N^{1}, N^{2}, N^{3}$ the decreasing ordering of $\left\{N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}\right\}$ and $u^{1}, u^{2}, u^{3}$ the corresponding $u_{i}$-factors. The estimates from [B] permit to bound (18) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{c} \cdot \exp \frac{\log N^{2}}{\log \log N^{2}} \cdot\left\|\left|u^{1}\| \|_{s}\left\|u^{2}\right\|_{0}\left\|\mid u^{3}\right\|_{0}\right.\right. \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This estimate appears in [B1] in the discussion of the $2 D$ cubic NLS. The main underlying (Strichartz-type) inequality is inequality (26) below. The factor $\exp \frac{\log N}{\log \log N}$ appears from bounding the number of lattice points on a circle of radius $\leqq N$.

The exponent $s$ will be a sufficiently small positive number. It follows from (19) that the following cases are taking care of

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{1}(\mathrm{II}), u^{2}(\mathrm{II}) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{1}(\mathrm{II}), u^{3}(\mathrm{II}) \text { and } \log N^{2} \sim \log N^{3} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left\|\|v\|_{s} \leqq 1\right.$, we may clearly write $v$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int d \lambda^{\prime}\left(\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|+1\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n} n^{2 s}\left(1+\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|\widehat{v}\left(n, n^{2}+\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[e^{i \lambda^{\prime} t} \sum_{n} a_{\lambda^{\prime}}(n) e^{i\left(\langle n, x\rangle+t|n|^{2}\right)}\right] \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{\lambda^{\prime}}(n)=\frac{\hat{v}\left(n, n^{2}+\lambda^{\prime}\right)}{\left(\sum_{n} n^{2 s}\left|\hat{v}\left(n, n^{2}+\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}$, hence $\sum_{n} n^{2 s}\left|a_{\lambda^{\prime}}(n)\right|^{2}=1$. Also be Hölder's inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|<K}\left(1+\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n} n^{2 s}\left(1+\left|\lambda^{\prime}\right|\right)\left|\widehat{v}\left(n, n^{2}+\lambda^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} d \lambda^{\prime}<(\log K)^{1 / 2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we aim to bound the range of $\lambda^{\prime}$. Observe that we may assume (restricting $\omega)$ that say

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{|n| \sim N} g_{n}(\omega) e^{i\langle x, n\rangle}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq c \log N \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $N$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{\infty} \leqq c \log N_{i} \quad \text { if } u_{i} \text { is of type (I). } \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall also the main estimate used in the Cauchy problem for the $2 D$-cubic NLS (Strichart-type inequality)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{\left|n-n_{0}\right|<N} a_{n} e^{i\left(\langle x, n\rangle+t|n|^{2}\right)}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbf{T}^{3}\right)}<\exp \frac{\log N}{\log \log N} \cdot\left(\sum\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $L^{4}$-inequality reduces to lattice point counting on circles and the exponential factor bounds the divisor function. For details, see again [B1].

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\sum_{\left|n-n_{0}\right|<N} \int d \lambda a(n, \lambda) e^{i(\langle x, n\rangle+\lambda t)}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbf{T}^{2} \times[0,1]\right)} \\
& \quad \ll N^{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{n} \int d \lambda\left(1+\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|\right)|a(n, \lambda)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

To prove (27), write $n=n_{0}+n_{1},\left|n_{1}\right|<N$ and $\lambda=|n|^{2}+\lambda_{1}$. Estimate for $\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|<K$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\sum_{\left|n-n_{0}\right|<N} \int_{\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|<K} d \lambda\left\{a(n, \lambda) e^{i((x, n\rangle+\lambda t)}\right\}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbf{T}^{2} \times[0,1]\right)} \\
\leqq \int_{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|<K} d \lambda_{1}\left\|\sum_{\left|n_{1}\right|<N} a\left(n_{0}+n_{1},\left|n_{0}+n_{1}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{1}\right) e^{i\left(\left\langle x+2 n_{0} t, n_{1}\right\rangle+\left|n_{1}\right|^{2 t}\right.}\right\|_{L^{4}\left(\mathbf{T}^{2} \times[0,1]\right)} \ll \text { by (26) } \\
N^{\varepsilon} \int_{\left|\lambda_{1}\right|<K} d \lambda_{1}\left(\sum_{\left|n_{1}\right|<N}\left|a\left(n_{0}+n_{1},\left|n_{0}+n_{1}\right|^{2}+\lambda_{1}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\leqq N^{\varepsilon}(\log K)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n} \int d \lambda\left(1+\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|\right)|a(n, \lambda)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

This bound is conclusive, except if $\log K \gg \log N$. Now the range $\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|>N^{20}$ may be trivially estimated, writing from the triangle and Hausdorff-Young inequality w.r.t. the $t$-variable

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\sum_{\left|n-n_{0}\right|<N} \int_{\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|>N^{20}} d \lambda a(n, \lambda) e^{i(\langle x, n\rangle+\lambda t)}\right\|_{L^{4}(d x d t)} \\
& \quad \leqq \sum_{\left|n-n_{0}\right|<N}\left\{\int_{\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|>N^{20}}|a(n, \lambda)|^{4 / 3} d \lambda\right\}^{3 / 4}
\end{aligned}
$$

This expression is bounded by $N^{2} N^{-5}$, from Hölder's inequality, which establishes (27).

By interpolation, for $2 \leqq p \leqq 4$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\sum_{\left|n-n_{0}\right|<N} \int d \lambda a(n, \lambda) e^{i(\langle x, n\rangle+\lambda t)}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbf{T}^{2} \times[0,1]\right)} \\
& \quad \ll N^{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{n} \int d \lambda\left(1+\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|\right)^{2-\frac{4}{p}}|a(n, \lambda)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider first a triplet $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)$, where $u^{1}$ is (II) and hence $u^{2}$ is (I) (otherwise we are in case (20)). We estimate using duality (18) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N^{1}\right)^{s} \int u^{1} \cdot u^{2} \cdot u^{3} \cdot v \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
v=\sum_{n} \int d \lambda \frac{v(n, \lambda)}{\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|^{1 / 2}} e^{i(\langle n, x\rangle+\lambda t)} \quad \text { or } \quad v=\sum_{n} \int d \lambda \frac{v(n)}{\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|} e^{i(\langle n, x\rangle+\lambda t)}
$$

with $\sum_{n} \int d \lambda|v(n, \lambda)|^{2} \leqq 1$ and $\sum_{n}|v(n)|^{2} \leqq 1$. Applying (28) with $p=3$ and (24), estimate (29) by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(N^{1}\right)^{s} & \sum_{J \in \mathscr{G}} \int\left|P_{J} u^{1}\right| \cdot\left|u^{2}\right| \cdot\left|u^{3}\right| \cdot\left|P_{J} v\right| \leqq\left(N^{1}\right)^{s} \sum_{J \in \mathscr{J}}\left\|P_{J} u^{1}\right\|_{3} \cdot\left\|u^{2}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|u^{3}\right\|_{3}\left\|P_{J} v\right\|_{3} \\
\ll & \left(N^{1}\right)^{s}\left(N^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon} \sum_{J \in \mathscr{J}}\left(\sum_{n \in J} \int d \lambda\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|^{2 / 3}\left|\widehat{u^{1}}(n, \lambda)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{n} \int d \lambda\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|^{2 / 3}\left|\widehat{u^{3}}(n, \lambda)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n \in J} \int d \lambda\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|^{-1 / 3+}|\widehat{v}(n, \lambda)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\leqq & \left(N^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{|n| \sim N^{1}} \int d \lambda|n|^{2 s}\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|^{2 / 3}\left|\widehat{u^{1}}(n, \lambda)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \cdot\left(\sum_{|n| \sim N_{3}} \int d \lambda\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|^{2 / 3}\left|\widehat{u^{3}}(n, \lambda)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{n} \int d \lambda\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|^{-1 / 3+}|\widehat{v}(n, \lambda)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\mathscr{J}$ denotes a partition of the set $\left[|n| \sim N^{1}\right]$ in intervals $J$ of size $\sim N^{2}$ and $P_{J}$ is the corresponding Fourier restriction operator in the $x$-variable.

Thus the preceding estimate (30) is conclusive provided for some $u_{i}$ of type (II) we consider the contribution of $\left.\widehat{u_{i}}\right|_{\left[\left|\lambda_{l}-\left|n_{l}\right|^{2}\right| \ggg\left(N^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon}\right]}$ or if the denominator $\lambda-|n|^{2}$ in (18) satisfies $\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right| \gg\left(N^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon}$. Hence we may in the estimate of (18) assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right| \ll\left(N^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\lambda_{i}-\left|n_{i}\right|^{2}\right| \ll\left(N^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon} \quad \text { if } u_{i} \text { of type (II). } \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (22), (23) that, up to introducing a factor $\log N_{2}$ in estimating (18), the $u_{i}$ of type (II) may be taken of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}=e^{i \lambda_{t}^{\prime} t} \sum_{|n| \sim N_{i}} a_{i}(n) e^{i\left(\langle n, x\rangle+t|n|^{2}\right)} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{i}^{\prime}\right| \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum n^{2 s}\left|a_{i}(n)\right|^{2} \leqq 1 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (18) with $w$ the first term in (17) is bounded considering an expression of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\log N^{2}\right)^{2}\left\{\sum_{|n| \leqq N^{1} \mid}\left|\sum_{\substack{n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \\
|n|^{2}=\left|n_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|n_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|n_{3}\right|^{2}+\mu}} a_{1}\left(n_{1}\right) a_{2}\left(n_{2}\right) a_{3}\left(n_{3}\right)\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad\left(\left|n_{i}\right| \sim N_{i}, i=1,2,3\right), \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $|\mu| \ll\left(N^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon}, \sum\left|a^{1}(n)\right|^{2} \leqq 1, a^{2}(n)=\frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{N^{2}}, a^{3}(n)=\frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{N^{3}}$ or $\sum\left|a^{3}(n)\right|^{2} \leqq$ $\left(N^{3}\right)^{-2 s}$.

Next, assume $u^{1}$ of type (I). Estimate by (24), (28),

$$
\begin{align*}
(29) \leqq & \left(N^{1}\right)^{s}\left\|u^{1}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|u^{2}\right\|_{3}\left\|u^{3}\right\|_{3}\|v\|_{3} \\
& \leqq\left(N^{1}\right)^{s}\left(\log N^{1}\right) \cdot\left(N^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{|n| \sim N^{2}} \int d \lambda\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|^{2 / 3}\left|\widehat{u^{2}}(n, \lambda)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{|n| \sim N^{3}} \int d \lambda\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|^{2 / 3}\left|\widehat{u^{3}}(n, \lambda)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{n} \int d \lambda\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|^{-1 / 3+}|\widehat{v}(n, \lambda)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the estimate (35) is conclusive provided for some $u_{i}$ of type (II), we consider the contribution of $\left.\widehat{u}_{i}\right|_{\left[\left|\lambda_{i}-\left|n_{i}\right|^{2}\right|>\left(N^{1}\right)^{7 s}\right]}$ or if the denominator $\lambda-|n|^{2}$ in (18) satisfies $\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|>\left(N^{1}\right)^{7 s}$. Thus in this case, (18) may be estimated assuming

$$
\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|<\left(N^{1}\right)^{7 s} \quad \text { and } \quad\left|\lambda_{i}-\left|n_{i}\right|^{2}\right|<\left(N^{1}\right)^{7 s} \quad \text { if } u_{i} \text { is of type (II), }
$$

and hence is bounded by
$\left(\log N^{1}\right)^{2}\left(N^{1}\right)^{s}\left\{\sum_{n}\left|\sum_{\substack{n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \\|n|^{2}=\left|n_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|n_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|n_{3}\right|^{2}+\mu}} a_{1}\left(n_{1}\right) a_{2}\left(n_{2}\right) a_{3}\left(n_{3}\right)\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}\left(\left|n_{i}\right| \sim N_{i}\right)$,
where $|\mu|<\left(N^{1}\right)^{7 s}, a^{1}(n)=\frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{N^{1}}$ and $a^{i}(n)=\frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{N^{i}}$ or $\sum\left|a^{i}(n)\right|^{2} \leqq\left(N^{i}\right)^{-2 s}$ for $i=2,3$.

Observe that for $n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|n|^{2}-\left(\left|n_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|n_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|n_{3}\right|^{2}\right)=2\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence the second condition in the summation in (34), (36) may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left|n_{2}\right|>10\left(\left|n_{1}\right|+\left|n_{3}\right|\right), \quad\left|\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle\right| \sim\left|n_{2}\right|^{2}$ and it follows from $|\mu| \ll$ $\left(N^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon}$ or $|\mu|<\left(N^{1}\right)^{7 s}$ that thus $\left|n_{1}\right| \sim N^{1}$ or $\left|n_{3}\right| \sim N^{1}$. Hence, we may assume $n_{1}=N^{1}$, since the role of $u_{1}, u_{3}$ is identical. We assume here $s$ small enough ( $s<\frac{2}{7}$ ).

Our next aim is for given $n$ and $\mu$ to estimate

$$
\#\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)\left|\left|n_{i}\right| \sim N_{i} \text { and } n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3},\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}\right\} . . . . n_{3} \tag{39}
\end{array} .\right.
$$

In the proof of Lemma 1 below, we will use some elementary facts about lattice points on circles in the plane. First, on a circle of radius $R$, there are at most $\exp \frac{\log R}{\log \log R} \ll R^{\varepsilon}$ lattice points. As already mentioned above, this bound is an estimate on the divisor function (considering factorization in the ring of Gaussian integers $a+b i, a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ ). Secondly, if $\Gamma$ is an arc on a circle of radius $R$ and $|\Gamma|<c R^{1 / 3}$, then $\Gamma$ may only contain two lattice points. Indeed, if there were 3
distinct elements $P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}$ in $\Gamma \cap \mathbf{Z}^{2}$, then

$$
c \frac{|\Gamma|^{3}}{R}>2 \text { area triangle }\left(P_{1}, P_{2}, P_{3}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & P_{1} \\
1 & P_{2} \\
1 & P_{3}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbf{Z} \backslash\{0\}
$$

leading to a contradiction. This last argument is the essence of Jarnick's theorem on the distribution of lattice points on strictly convex arcs (see [BP]).

Lemma 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(39) \ll \min \left\{N_{2}^{2}\left(N_{1} \wedge N_{3}\right)^{\varepsilon}, N^{2} N^{3}\left(N^{3}\right)^{\varepsilon}\right\} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Recall that $N^{1}, N^{2}, N^{3}$ is the decreasing ordering of $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$.)

## Proof.

(i) Fix $n_{2}$ and write $\left\langle n_{1}-n_{2}, n_{1}-n\right\rangle=-\frac{\mu}{2}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n_{1}-\frac{n+n_{2}}{2}\right|^{2}=-\frac{\mu}{2}+\left|\frac{n-n_{2}}{2}\right|^{2} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus (41) corresponds to the lattice points $n_{1}$ on a given circle with $\left|n_{1}\right| \sim N_{1}$. Their number is bounded by $\exp \frac{\log N_{1}}{\log \log N_{1}}$ (distinguish the cases $\log N_{1} \geqq \log$ radius and $\log N_{1} \ll \log$ radius; in the second case, the number is at most 2 , by the triangle argument). This gives the first bound in (40).
(ii) Write the equation as $\left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}$ and assume $\left|n_{3}\right| \leqq\left|n_{1}\right|$. Write $n-n_{3}=r(a, b)$, with $a, b$ relatively prime, $v \neq 0$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle n_{1},(a, b)\right\rangle=-\frac{\mu}{2 r}+\langle n,(a, b)\rangle . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a, b \neq 0$, the number of solutions of (42) in $n_{1}$ is at most $1+\frac{N_{1}}{|a| \mathrm{V}|b|}$.
Consider the case $a, b \neq 0,\left|n_{3}\right|>|a| \vee|b|$. Fix $A, B,|a| \sim A,|b| \sim B$. The number of $n_{3}$ 's satisfying $n-n_{3}=r(a, b),\left|n_{3}\right|>|a| \vee|b|$ is at most $\frac{N_{3}}{A V B}$. The corresponding number of $n_{1}$ 's is $\frac{N_{1}}{A \vee B}$. This gives the bound $\sum_{A, B} A \cdot B \cdot \frac{N_{3}}{A \vee B} \cdot \frac{N_{1}}{A \vee B}=$ $N_{1} N_{3} \log N_{3}$.

Assume now $n_{3}$ satisfies $\left|n_{3}\right|<|a| \vee|b|$. Fix $n_{3}$, thus $N_{3}^{2}$ choices and estimate the number of $n_{1}$ 's by $1+\frac{N_{1}}{|a| \mathrm{V}|b|}<\frac{N_{1}}{N_{3}}$. Thus this contribution is bounded by $N_{1} N_{3}$. If $a=0(b \neq 0), n_{3}$ is restricted to $N_{3}$ choices ( $n, n_{3}$ with same first coordinate). The first coordinate of $n_{1}$ is arbitrary and the second defined by $\left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}$. This gives again a bound by $N_{1} N_{3}$.

Hence there is also the estimate by $N_{1} N_{3} \log \left(N_{1} \wedge N_{3}\right)$.
(iii) Write the equation as $\left\langle n-n_{3}, n_{3}-n_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}$. Write $n-n_{3}=r(a, b)$ with $r \neq 0, a, b$ relative prime. As in (ii), the contribution of $a, b \neq 0,\left|n_{2}\right|,\left|n_{3}\right|>|a| \vee|b|$, is estimated by $N_{2} N_{3} \log \left(N_{2} \wedge N_{3}\right)$. The contribution of $\left|n_{3}\right|<|a| \vee|b|<\left|n_{2}\right|$ is bounded by $N_{3}^{2} \frac{N_{2}}{N_{3}}=N_{2} N_{3}$ and the contribution of $\left|n_{2}\right|<|a| \vee|b|$ at most $N_{3}^{2}$. For $a=0$ or $b=0$, the number of possibilities is $N_{2} N_{3}$.

This yields the estimate $N_{2} N_{3} \log \left(N_{2} \wedge N_{3}\right)+N_{3}^{2}$.

From (i), (ii), (iii), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
(39) \ll & \min \left\{N_{2}^{2}\left(N_{1} \wedge N_{3}\right)^{\varepsilon}, N_{1} N_{3}\left(N_{1} \wedge N_{3}\right)^{\varepsilon}, N_{2} N_{3}\left(N_{2} \wedge N_{3}\right)^{\varepsilon}+N_{3}^{2},\right. \\
& \left.N_{2} N_{1}\left(N_{2} \wedge N_{1}\right)^{\varepsilon}+N_{1}^{2}\right\} . \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

In case $\left\{N^{2}, N^{3}\right\}=\left\{N_{2}, N_{3}\right\}$ and $N_{3}>N_{2}$, write $N_{2}^{2}\left(N_{1} \wedge N_{3}\right)^{\varepsilon} \leqq N_{2}^{2} N_{3}^{\varepsilon}<N_{2} N_{3} N_{2}^{\varepsilon}$ and similarly if $\left\{N^{2}, N^{3}\right\}=\left\{N_{2}, N_{1}\right\}$.

This proves the lemma.
Lemma 2. Consider the set

$$
S=\left\{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right) \mid n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \text { and }\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu\right\} .
$$

(i) For fixed $n_{1}, \# S\left(n_{1}\right) \ll N_{2} N_{3}\left(N_{2} \wedge N_{3}\right)^{\varepsilon}$ and $\# S\left(n_{1}\right) \ll N_{3}^{2} N_{2}^{\varepsilon}$.
(ii) For fixed $n_{2}, \# S\left(n_{2}\right) \ll N_{1} N_{3}\left(N_{1} \wedge N_{3}\right)^{\varepsilon}$.
(iii) For fixed $n_{1}, n_{2}, \# S\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)<N_{3}$.
(iv) For fixed $n_{1}, n_{3}, \# S\left(n_{1}, n_{3}\right) \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon}$.

## Proof.

(i) Fix $n_{1}$ and consider estimate (i) in Lemma 1, with $n \leftrightarrow n_{1}, n_{1} \leftrightarrow n_{2}, n_{2} \leftrightarrow$ $n_{3}$. This gives the bound $N_{3}^{2} N_{2}^{\varepsilon}$. Apply next estimate (iii) of Lemma 1 with $n \leftrightarrow$ $n_{1}, n_{3} \leftrightarrow n_{2}, n_{2} \leftrightarrow n_{3}$, giving the bound $N_{2} N_{3}\left(N_{2} \wedge N_{3}\right)^{\varepsilon}+N_{2}^{2}$. In case $N_{2}>N_{3}$, use the $N_{3}^{2} N_{2}^{\varepsilon}$ bound.
(ii) Follows from (ii) of Lemma 1.
(iii) Immediate.
(iv) Follows from lattice point estimate on circles.

We list the different ( $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ )-cases to be considered in bounding (18). As mentioned earlier, we may assume $n_{1}=N^{1}$. Cases (20), (21) are already considered:
Case (a) : $n_{1}=N^{1}(\mathrm{II}), n_{2}=N^{2}(\mathrm{I}), n_{3}=N^{3}(\mathrm{II})$.
Case (b) : $n_{1}=N^{1}$ (II), $n_{2}=N^{3}(\mathrm{II}), n_{3}=N^{2}(\mathrm{I})$.
Case (c) : $n_{1}=N^{1}(\mathrm{I}), n_{2}=N^{2}(\mathrm{II}), n_{3}=N^{3}(\mathrm{II})$.
Case (d) : $n_{1}=N^{1}(\mathrm{I}), n_{2}=N^{3}(\mathrm{II}), n_{3}=N^{2}(\mathrm{II})$.
Case (e) : $n_{1}=N^{1}(\mathrm{II}), n_{2}=N^{2}(\mathrm{I}), n_{3}=N^{3}(\mathrm{I})$.
Case ( $f$ ) : $n_{1}=N^{1}(\mathrm{II}), n_{2}=N^{3}(\mathrm{I}), n_{3}=N^{2}(\mathrm{I})$.
Case (g): $n_{1}=N^{1}(\mathrm{I}), n_{2}=N^{2}(\mathrm{I}), n_{3}=N^{3}(\mathrm{II})$.
Case (h): $n_{1}=N^{1}(\mathrm{I}), n_{2}=N^{3}(\mathrm{I}), n_{3}=N^{2}(\mathrm{II})$.
Case (i) : $n_{1}=N^{1}(\mathrm{I}), n_{2}=N^{2}(\mathrm{II}), n_{3}=N^{3}(\mathrm{I})$.
$\operatorname{Case}(j): n_{1}=N^{1}(\mathrm{I}), n_{2}=N^{3}(\mathrm{II}), n_{3}=N^{2}(\mathrm{I})$.
Case (k): $n_{1}=N^{1}(\mathrm{I}), n_{2}=N^{2}(\mathrm{I}), n_{3}=N^{3}(\mathrm{I})$.
Case (l): $n_{1}=N^{1}(\mathrm{I}), n_{2}=N^{3}(\mathrm{I}), n_{3}=N^{2}(\mathrm{I})$.
Consider first cases (k), (1) depending only on the data $\phi=\sum \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\langle n, x\rangle}$.
Thus we have to estimate (36), where $a_{i}\left(n_{i}\right)=\frac{g_{n_{i}(\omega)}}{\left|n_{i}\right|},\left|n_{i}\right| \sim N_{i}$. Assume $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$ distinct. We may assume $\omega$ satisfying

$$
\left.\sum_{\substack{n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3} \\|n|^{2}=\left|n_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|n_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|n_{3}\right|^{2}+\mu}} \frac{g_{n_{1}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{1}\right|} \frac{g_{n_{2}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{2}\right|} \frac{g_{n_{3}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{3}\right|}\right|^{2} \ll\left(N^{1}\right)^{\varepsilon} \cdot \sum_{\substack{n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3} \\|n|^{2}=\left|n_{1}\right|^{2}-\left|n_{2}\right|^{2}+\left|n_{3}\right|^{2}+\mu}} N_{1}^{-2} N_{2}^{-2} N_{3}^{-2},
$$

the $\omega$-exceptional set being of size $e^{-c\left(N^{1}\right)^{\delta}}$. Summation of (43) yields for (36) the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N^{1}\right)^{\varepsilon}\left(N^{1}\right)^{s}\left\{\sum_{\substack{n_{1} \neq n_{2} \neq n_{3},\left|n_{2}\right| \sim N_{l} \\\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}}} N_{1}^{-2} N_{2}^{-2} N_{3}^{-2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \ll\left(N^{1}\right)^{s-1 / 2+\varepsilon} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

applying Lemma 2, (iii) with $n_{1} \leftrightarrow n_{3}$. We take $s<\frac{1}{2}$.
Next assume $n_{1}=n_{3} \neq n_{2}$. The conditions $n=2 n_{3}-n_{2},\left|n_{2}-n_{3}\right|^{2}=\frac{\mu}{2}$ yield a number of terms at most $\left(N_{2} \wedge N_{3}\right)^{\varepsilon}$. Hence the (43)-bound is still valid. Thus (44) gives a bound on (18) in cases (k), (1).

We analyze the cases (a)-(j). Some of them will require additional arguments. Case (a). Use the estimate (34). The number of terms in the second summation is at most $N_{2} N_{3}^{1+\varepsilon}$, by Lemma 1. Thus, by Hölder's inequality, Lemma 2 (iv),

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { (34) } \ll\left(N^{2}\right)^{\varepsilon}\left\{N_{2} N_{3}^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{\substack{n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \\
\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}}}\left|a_{1}\left(n_{1}\right)\right|^{2} N_{2}^{-2+\varepsilon}\left|a_{3}\left(n_{3}\right)\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}  \tag{45}\\
\ll\left(N_{2}\right)^{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{N_{3}}{N_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} N_{3}^{-s} \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon-s} . \tag{46}
\end{gather*}
$$

Observe that for $N_{1} \gg N_{2}$, the $w$-expressions corresponding to different dyadic values of $N_{1}$ are orthogonal and hence the $\left|\left|\left|\left|\mid \|_{s}\right.\right.\right.\right.$-norms of the corresponding contributions to the nonlinear term add up in $l^{2}$. This leads to a bound of the form $M^{\varepsilon-s}| |\left|u_{1}\right|\left\|_{s}| | u_{3} \mid\right\|_{s}$, if we restrict $N_{2}>M$. On the other hand, exploiting the small time interval $[0, \tau]$ and the $\left|\lambda-|n|^{2}\right|$-factor in the definition of the $\left|\left|\left|\mid \|_{s^{-}}\right.\right.\right.$ norm, one also has an estimate of the form $M^{C} \tau^{\delta}\left|\left\|u_{1}\right\|\right|_{s}\left|\left\|u_{3} \mid\right\|_{s}\right.$, using for instance a straightforward $L^{4} \times L^{4} \times L^{4} \times L^{4}$ estimate (after projection) on (29) and $\left\|u_{i}\right\|_{4}<M^{1 / 4} \tau^{1 / 4-}| | u_{i} \mid \|$ for $i=1$ or 3 . Consequently an estimate $\tau^{\delta}| |\left|u_{1}\right|\left\|\left.\right|_{s}\right\| u_{3}| | \|_{s}$ in (18) is obtained, for some $\delta>0$. We don't repeat those considerations again later on.
Case (b). Use estimate (34). Applying the Hölder's inequality in the inner summation w.r.t. the $n_{2}$-summation, $\sum_{n_{2}}\left|a_{2}\left(n_{2}\right)\right|^{2}<N_{2}^{-2 s}$. This gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{3}^{\varepsilon} N_{2}^{-s}\left\{\sum_{n, n_{2}}\left|\sum_{\substack{n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \\
\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}}} a_{1}\left(n_{1}\right) \frac{g_{n_{3}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{3}\right|}\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \ll N_{3}^{\varepsilon} N_{2}^{-s}\left\{\sum_{\substack{n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \\
\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}}}\left|a_{1}\left(n_{1}\right)\right|^{2} N_{3}^{-2+\varepsilon}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \ll N_{3}^{\varepsilon} N_{2}^{-s}\left(N_{2} N_{3} N_{2}^{\varepsilon} N_{3}^{-2}\right)^{1 / 2} \ll N_{3}^{\varepsilon-s} . \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying the estimate from Lemma 1 (i) (replacing $n_{1}$ by $n_{3}$ ) and Lemma 2, (i).

Case (c). Use estimate (36). Proceeding as in case (b), we estimate by

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}^{\varepsilon+s} N_{2}^{-s}\left\{\left.\left.\sum_{n, n_{2}}\right|_{\substack{n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{n}, n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \\
\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}}} \frac{g_{n_{1}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{1}\right|} a_{3}\left(n_{3}\right)\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon+s} N_{2}^{-s}\left\{\sum_{\substack{\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}}} N_{1}^{-2}\left|a_{3}\left(n_{3}\right)\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon+s} N_{2}^{-s}\left(N_{1}^{-2} N_{3}^{-2 s} N_{2} N_{1} N_{2}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{N_{1}}{N_{2}}\right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} N_{3}^{-s}
\end{align*}
$$

applying Lemma 1 (i) and Lemma 2 (i) with $n_{1}$ replaced by $n_{3}$.
Next, we make another estimate using the Gaussians $\left\{g_{n_{1}}(\omega)| | n_{1} \mid \sim N_{1}\right\}$. Applying Hölder's inequality with respect to $n_{3}$ in the inner summation, estimate by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{s+\varepsilon} N_{3}^{-s}\left\{\left.\left.\sum_{\substack{n, n_{3}}}\right|_{\substack{n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \\\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}}} \frac{g_{n_{1}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{1}\right|} a_{2}\left(n_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $n_{3},\left|n_{3}\right| \sim N_{3}$. Define the matrix $\mathscr{G}=\mathscr{G}_{\omega}=\left(\sigma_{n, n_{2}}\right)_{\substack{|n|<N_{1}, n \neq n_{3} \\\left|n_{2}\right|<N_{2}}}$ by

$$
\sigma_{n, n_{2}}= \begin{cases}N_{1}^{-1} g_{n+n_{2}-n_{3}}(\omega) & \text { if }\left\langle n_{3}-n, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}, n_{2} \neq n_{3}  \tag{50}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Estimate (49) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{s+\varepsilon} N_{3}^{-s} N_{2}^{-s} N_{3}\left\|\mathscr{G}_{\omega}^{*} \mathscr{G}_{\omega}\right\|^{1 / 2} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{G} \mathscr{G}^{*}\right\| \leqq \max _{n}\left(\sum_{n_{2}}\left|\sigma_{n n_{2}}\right|^{2}\right)+\left(\sum_{n \neq n^{\prime}}\left|\sum_{n_{2}} \sigma_{n n_{2}} \bar{\sigma}_{n^{\prime} n_{2}}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term in (52) is bounded by $N_{2} N_{1}^{-2+\varepsilon}$. Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \neq n^{\prime}}\left|\sum_{n_{2}} \sigma_{n n_{2}} \bar{\sigma}_{n^{\prime} n_{2}}\right|^{2}=N_{1}^{-4} \sum_{n \neq n^{\prime}}\left|\sum_{\substack{\left\langle n_{3}-n, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2} \\\left\langle n_{3}-n^{\prime}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right)=\frac{\mu}{2} \\ n_{2} \neq n_{3}}} g_{n+n_{2}-n_{3}}(\omega) \bar{g}_{n^{\prime}+n_{2}-n_{3}}(\omega)\right|^{2} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

which expression depends on the initial data $\phi_{\omega}$. Observe that the Gaussian 2-products in the inner sum are at most repeated twice. Hence (53) may be estimated by

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}^{-4} \#\left\{\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{2}\right) \mid n \neq n^{\prime}, n \neq n_{3}, n^{\prime} \neq n_{3}, n_{2} \neq n_{3},\left\langle n_{3}-n, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2},\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left\langle n_{3}-n^{\prime}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}\right\} . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

The condition $\left\langle n_{3}-n, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\frac{\mu}{2}$ allows $N_{1} N_{2}^{1+\varepsilon}$ pairs ( $n, n_{2}$ ), by Lemma 2 (ii).

Next, since $n_{2} \neq n_{3}$, there are at most $N_{1}$ possible choices for $n^{\prime}$. The resulting estimate on (54) is $N_{1}^{-2} N_{2}^{1+\varepsilon}$. Consequently, from (51) and the preceding

$$
\begin{equation*}
(49) \ll N_{1}^{s+\varepsilon} N_{3}^{1-s} N_{2}^{-s}\left(N_{1}^{-2} N_{2}^{1+\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 4}=N_{1}^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{4}}\left(\frac{N_{1}}{N_{2}}\right)^{s-\frac{1}{4}} N_{3}^{1-s} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (55) with the previous bound (48), one easily gets the bound $N_{1}^{-\frac{s}{4}+\varepsilon}$ in Case (c).
Case (d). Same estimate applies as in Case (c).
Case (e). We have to estimate (34) with $n_{1} \in J$, where $J$ is a subinterval of length $\sim N_{2}$ in $\left[\left|n_{1}\right| \sim N_{1}\right]$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}^{\varepsilon}\left(\sum_{n \in \tilde{J}}\left|\sum_{\substack{n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, n_{2}+n_{1}, n_{3} \\\left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu}} a_{1}\left(n_{1}\right) \frac{\overline{g_{n_{2}}(\omega)}}{\left|n_{2}\right|} \frac{g_{n_{3}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{3}\right|}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(a_{1}\left(n_{1}\right)\right)_{n_{1} \in J}$ satisfies $\sum_{n_{1} \in J}\left|a_{1}\left(n_{1}\right)\right|^{2} \leqq 1$ and $\tilde{J}$ is a doubling of $J$. Define the matrix $\mathscr{G}=\mathscr{G}_{\omega}=\left(\sigma_{n, n_{1}}\right)_{n \in \tilde{J}, n_{1} \in J}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n, n_{1}}=N_{2}^{-1} N_{3}^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \\\left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu}} g_{n_{2}}(\omega) g_{n_{3}}(\omega), \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation extends over indices $n_{2}, n_{3}$.
Estimate (56) by $N_{2}^{\varepsilon}\left\|\mathscr{G} \mathscr{G}^{*}\right\|^{1 / 2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{G} \mathscr{G}^{*}\right\| \leqq \max _{n} \sum_{n_{1} \in J}\left|\sigma_{n n_{1}}\right|^{2}+\left(\sum_{n \neq n^{\prime}}\left|\sum_{n_{1} \in J} \sigma_{n n_{1}} \bar{\sigma}_{n^{\prime}, n_{1}}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n_{2} \neq n_{3}$ in the summation (57), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n_{1} \in J}\left|\sigma_{n n_{1}}\right|^{2} \ll\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{-2} \\
& \#\left\{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right) \mid n\right.\left.=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3},\left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu\right\} \cdot N_{2}^{\varepsilon} \\
& \ll\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{-2} N_{3}^{2} N_{2} N_{2}^{\varepsilon} \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon-1} \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

assuming

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum^{\prime} g_{n_{2}}(\omega) g_{n_{3}}(\omega)\right|^{2} \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon} \sum^{\prime} 1 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum^{\prime}$ denotes the (57)-summation.
Write explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \neq n^{\prime}}\left|\sum_{n_{1} \in J} \sigma_{n n_{1}} \bar{\sigma}_{n^{\prime}, n_{1}}\right|^{2}=\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{-4} \sum_{n \neq n^{\prime}}\left|\sum_{(*)} \overline{g_{n_{2}}(\omega)} g_{n_{3}}(\omega) g_{n_{2}^{\prime}}(\omega) \overline{g_{n_{3}^{\prime}}(\omega)}\right|^{2} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(*)$ refers to the set of $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n_{1} \in J  \tag{62}\\
n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3},\left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu \\
n^{\prime}=n_{1}-n_{2}^{\prime}+n_{3}^{\prime}, n_{2}^{\prime} \neq n_{1}, n_{3}^{\prime},\left\langle n^{\prime}-n_{1}, n^{\prime}-n_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\mu
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider the following cases:
case (i). The indices $n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}, n_{3}^{\prime}$ are distinct.
case (ii). $n_{2}=n_{2}^{\prime}\left(n_{3} \neq n_{3}^{\prime}\right)$.
case (iii). $n_{3}=n_{3}^{\prime}\left(n_{2} \neq n_{2}^{\prime}\right)$.
case (iv). $n_{2}=n_{3}^{\prime}, n_{3} \neq n_{2}^{\prime}$.
case (v). $n_{2} \neq n_{3}^{\prime}, n_{3}=n_{2}^{\prime}$.
case (vi). $n_{2}=n_{3}^{\prime}, n_{3}=n_{2}^{\prime}$.
Case (i). Denote $\sum_{(*)}^{1}$ the corresponding subsummation of $\sum_{(*)}$. Clearly each of the order 4 Gaussian products in $\sum_{(*)}^{1}$ can only appear a bounded number of times. Hence we may assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{(*)}^{1} \overline{g_{n_{2}}} g_{n_{3}} g_{n_{2}^{\prime}} \overline{g_{n_{3}^{\prime}}}\right|^{2} \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon} \sum_{(*)}^{1} 1 \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the corresponding contribution to (61) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{-4} N_{2}^{\varepsilon}(\# S), \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ stands for the systems $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n_{1} \in J,\left|n_{2}\right| \sim N_{2},\left|n_{3}\right| \sim N_{3},\left|n_{2}^{\prime}\right| \sim N_{2},\left|n_{3}^{\prime}\right| \sim N_{3}  \tag{65}\\
n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime} \neq n_{1}, n_{3}^{\prime} \\
\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu,\left\langle n_{2}^{\prime}-n_{1}, n_{2}^{\prime}-n_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\mu
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence

$$
\# S \ll N_{2}^{2}\left(N_{3}^{2} N_{2}^{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}
$$

fixing $n_{1} \in J$ and applying the second estimate of Lemma 2, (i). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(64) \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon-2} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (ii). $n_{2}=n_{2}^{\prime} \Rightarrow n_{3} \neq n_{3}^{\prime}$. Denote $\sum_{(*)}^{2}$ the corresponding subsummation of $\sum_{(*)}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{(*)}^{2} \overline{g_{n_{2}}} g_{n_{3}} g_{n_{2}^{\prime}} \overline{g_{n_{3}^{\prime}}}\right|^{2} \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon} \sum_{n_{3}, n_{3}^{\prime}}\left(\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{3}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{3}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \mid\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{2}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right) \text { satisfies }(*)\right\} \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus
$\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{3}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right) \leqq \#\left\{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \mid n_{1} \in J, n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3},\left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu\right\}<N_{2}$,
and the contribution of $\sum_{(*)}^{2}$ to (61) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{-4} N_{2}^{1+\varepsilon}(\# S), \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now $S$ consists of the systems $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ such that ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{2}, n_{3}^{\prime}$ ) fulfills (65). Hence clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# S \ll N_{2}^{2} N_{3}^{2} N_{2}^{\varepsilon} N_{3} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(70) \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon-1} N_{3}^{-1} \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (iii). $n_{3}=n_{3}^{\prime} \Rightarrow n_{2} \neq n_{2}^{\prime}$. Denoting $\sum_{(*)}^{3}$ the corresponding subsummation of $\sum_{(*)}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{(*)}^{3} \overline{g_{n_{2}}} g_{n_{3}} g_{n_{2}^{\prime}} \overline{g_{n_{3}^{\prime}}^{\prime}}\right|^{2} \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon} \sum_{n_{2}, n_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{2} n_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{2}, n_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(n_{1}, n_{3}\right) \mid\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}, n_{3}\right) \text { satisfies }(*)\right\} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{2}, n_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leqq \#\left\{\left(n_{1}, n_{3}\right) \mid n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3},\left\langle n_{3}-n_{2}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu\right\} \ll N_{3}^{\varepsilon} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution of $\sum_{(*)}^{3}$ to (61) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{-4} N_{3}^{\varepsilon}(\# S), \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ consists of the ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}$ ) such that ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}, n_{3}$ ) fulfills (65). Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# S \ll N_{2}^{2} N_{3}^{2} N_{2}^{\varepsilon} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(76) \ll N_{2}^{-2+\varepsilon} N_{3}^{-2} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (iv). $n_{2}=n_{3}^{\prime}, n_{3} \neq n_{2}^{\prime}$. Denoting $\sum_{(*)}^{4}$ the corresponding subsummation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{(*)}^{4} \overline{g_{n_{2}}} g_{n_{3}} g_{n_{2}^{\prime}} \overline{g_{n_{3}^{\prime}}}\right|^{2} \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon} \sum_{n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}}\left(\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \mid\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}, n_{2}\right) \text { satisfies }(*)\right\}, \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus
$\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leqq \#\left\{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \mid n_{1} \in J, n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3},\left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu\right\}<N_{2}$.

The contribution of $\sum_{(*)}^{4}$ to (61) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{-4} N_{2}^{1+\varepsilon}(\# S), \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ consists now of the $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ such that ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{2}^{\prime}, n_{2}$ ) fulfills (65). Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# S \ll N_{2}^{2+\varepsilon} N_{3}^{2}, \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 2 (i) and Lemma (2) (iv) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(82) \ll N_{2}^{-1+\varepsilon} N_{3}^{-2} \tag{84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (v). $n_{2} \neq n_{3}^{\prime}, n_{3}=n_{2}^{\prime}$ : Same as (iv).
Case (vi). $n_{2}=n_{3}^{\prime}, n_{3}=n_{2}^{\prime}$. Denoting $\sum_{(*)}^{(6)}$ the corresponding subsummation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{(*)}^{(6)} \overline{g_{n_{2}}} g_{n_{3}} g_{n_{2}^{\prime}} \overline{g_{n_{3}^{\prime}}}\right|^{2} \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon}\left(\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right) \mid\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{3}, n_{2}\right) \text { satisfies }(*)\right\}, \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

meaning that $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}$ are different and

$$
\begin{cases}n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3} & \left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu  \tag{87}\\ n^{\prime}=n_{1}-n_{3}+n_{2} & \left\langle n^{\prime}-n_{1}, n^{\prime}-n_{2}\right\rangle=\mu\end{cases}
$$

Thus $n+n^{\prime}=2 n_{1}$ and $\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)<N_{3}$.
The contribution to (61) is thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}^{\varepsilon}\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{-4} \sum_{n \neq n^{\prime}}\left(\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \ll N_{2}^{-4+\varepsilon} N_{3}^{-3}(\# S) \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ consists of the pairs $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu, \quad\left\langle n_{3}-n_{1}, n_{3}-n_{2}\right\rangle=\mu \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|n_{2}-n_{3}\right|^{2}=2 \mu . \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# S \ll N_{3}^{2} N_{2}^{\varepsilon} N_{2} \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(88) \ll N_{2}^{-3+\varepsilon} N_{3}^{-1} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting the various bounds (66),(72),(78),(84),(92), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(61) \ll N_{2}^{\varepsilon}\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{-1}<N_{2}^{-1+\varepsilon} \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (59), (93),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{G} \mathscr{G}^{*}\right\| \ll N_{2}^{-1 / 2+\varepsilon} \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(56) \ll N_{2}^{-1 / 4+\varepsilon} \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the bound on (56) and thus for Case (e).

Case ( $f$ ). By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, (i) we get applying first Hölder's inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
(56) & \ll N_{3}^{\varepsilon} N_{2}\left(\sum_{\substack{n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3}, n_{1} \in J \\
\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu}}\left|a_{1}\left(n_{1}\right)\right|^{2} N_{2}^{-2} N_{3}^{-2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \ll N_{3}^{\varepsilon} N_{2}\left(N_{1}^{1+\varepsilon} N_{3} N_{2}^{-2} N_{3}^{-2}\right)^{1 / 2}=N_{3}^{\varepsilon} N_{2}^{1 / 2} N_{3}^{-1 / 2} \tag{96}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, if $N_{2}<N_{3}^{1-\frac{1}{100}}$, we get an estimate $N_{3}^{-\frac{1}{300}}$, say. Otherwise the estimates made above in case (e) will yield a saving of $N_{3}^{-\frac{1}{300}}$ also.
Case (g). We use estimate (36)

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{s+\varepsilon}\left\{\sum_{n}\left|\sum_{\substack{n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \\\left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu}} \frac{g_{n_{1}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{1}\right|} \frac{\overline{g_{n_{2}}(\omega)}}{\left|n_{2}\right|} a_{3}\left(n_{3}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\sum\left|a_{3}\left(n_{3}\right)\right|^{2}<N_{3}^{-2 s}$. From Hölder's inequality and Lemma 1, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(97) \ll N_{1}^{s+\varepsilon}\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{\substack{n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \\\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu}} N_{1}^{-2} N_{2}^{-2}\left|a_{3}\left(n_{3}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from Lemma 2 (i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
(98) \ll N_{1}^{s+\varepsilon}\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(N_{1}^{-2} N_{2}^{-2} N_{1} N_{2}^{1+\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} N_{3}^{-s} \ll N_{1}^{s}\left(\frac{N_{3}}{N_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we may assume $N_{3}>N_{1}^{1-\frac{1}{100}}$ and we can use then the estimates from cases (f), (e).

Case (h). Estimate (36) as in case (g) with the same result. Again if $N_{3}>N_{1}^{1-\frac{1}{100}}$, the estimate in case (f) applies.
Case (i), ( $j$ ). Estimate (36)

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{1}^{s+\varepsilon}\left\{\sum_{n}\left|\sum_{\substack{n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \\
\left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu}} \frac{g_{n_{1}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{1}\right|} a_{2}\left(n_{2}\right) \frac{g_{n_{3}}(\omega)}{\left|n_{3}\right|}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .  \tag{100}\\
& \ll N_{1}^{s+\varepsilon}\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{\substack{n_{2}+n_{1}, n_{3} \\
\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu}} N_{1}^{-2} N_{3}^{-2}\left|a_{2}\left(n_{2}\right)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \ll N_{1}^{s+\varepsilon}\left(N_{2} N_{3}\right)^{1 / 2} N_{2}^{-s} N_{1}^{-1} N_{3}^{-1}\left(N_{1} N_{3}\right)^{1 / 2} \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{N_{2}}{N_{1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-s} . \tag{101}
\end{align*}
$$

We may also apply the estimate from case (e), introducing an extra factor $N_{3}^{s}$ to control $u^{3}$ in $H^{s}$. This yields the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{4}} \cdot N_{3} \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

from (55). Thus it follows from (101), (102) that we may assume $N_{2}>N_{1}^{1-\frac{1}{100}}$, $N_{3}>N_{1}^{1 / 5}$.

We next prove one more estimate, repeating mainly the argument from case (e). Define $\mathscr{G}=\mathscr{G}_{\omega}=\left(\sigma_{n, n_{2}}\right)_{\substack{|n|<N_{1} \\\left|n_{2}\right| \sim N_{2}}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n n_{2}}=N_{1}^{-1} N_{3}^{-1} \sum_{\substack{n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3} \\\left\langle n-n_{1},-n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu}} g_{n_{1}}(\omega) g_{n_{3}}(\omega) . \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Estimate (100) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{s+\varepsilon} N_{2}^{-s}\left\|\mathscr{G} \mathscr{G}^{*}\right\|^{1 / 2} \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{G} \mathscr{G}^{*}\right\| \leqq \max _{n}\left(\sum_{n_{2}}\left|\sigma_{n, n_{2}}\right|^{2}\right)+\left(\sum_{n \neq n^{\prime}}\left|\sum_{n_{2}} \sigma_{n n_{2}} \overline{\sigma_{n^{\prime}, n_{2}}}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the condition $\left\langle n-n_{1}, n_{1}-n_{2}\right\rangle=\mu$, the number of summands in the definition of $\sigma_{n, n_{2}}$ is at most $N_{1}^{\varepsilon}$. Hence the first term of (105) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{2}^{2} N_{1}^{\varepsilon} N_{1}^{-2} N_{3}^{-2} \ll N_{1}^{-1 / 3} \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

since we assumed $N_{3}>N_{1}^{1 / 5}$.
We analyze again the second term in (105). Write explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \neq n^{\prime}}\left|\sum_{n_{2}} \sigma_{n, n_{2}} \bar{\sigma}_{n^{\prime}, n_{2}}\right|^{2}=\left(N_{1} N_{3}\right)^{-4} \sum_{n \neq n^{\prime}}\left|\sum_{(*)} g_{n_{1}} g_{n_{3}} \overline{g_{n_{1}^{\prime}}} \overline{g_{n_{3}^{\prime}}}\right|^{2} \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(*)$ refers to the set of $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n=n_{1}-n_{2}+n_{3}, \quad n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3}, \quad\left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu  \tag{108}\\
n^{\prime}=n_{1}^{\prime}-n_{2}+n_{3}^{\prime}, \quad n_{2} \neq n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{3}^{\prime}, \quad\left\langle n^{\prime}-n_{1}^{\prime}, n^{\prime}-n_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\mu
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider the following cases:

$$
\begin{cases}\text { case (i): } & \text { The indices } n_{1}, n_{3}, n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{3}^{\prime} \text { are different } \\ \text { case (ii): } & n_{1}=n_{1}^{\prime}\left(n_{3} \neq n_{3}^{\prime}\right) . \\ \text { case (iii): } & n_{1}=n_{3}^{\prime}\left(n_{3} \neq n_{1}^{\prime}\right)\end{cases}
$$

There are the symmetric cases. Observe that if $n_{1}=n_{3}$ say, we get $g_{n_{1}}^{2}$ and the $\left\{g_{n}^{2}\right\}$ are still independent of mean zero, since the $g_{n}$ are complex Gaussians. Hence this case does not require a separate argument.

Case (i). Denote $\sum^{1}$ the corresponding subsummation. If the $n_{1}, n_{3}, n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{3}^{\prime}$ are all different, each of these order 4 Gaussian products only appears a bounded number
of times in the summation and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{(*)}^{1} g_{n_{1}} g_{n_{3}} \overline{g_{n_{1}^{\prime}}} \overline{g_{n_{3}^{\prime}}}\right|^{2} \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon} \sum_{(*)} 1 . \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
(107) \ll\left(N_{1} N_{3}\right)^{-4} N_{1}^{\varepsilon}(\# S), \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ stands for the systems $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
n_{2} \neq n_{1}, n_{3}, n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{3}^{\prime}  \tag{111}\\
\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}, n_{2}-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu, \quad\left\langle n_{2}-n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{2}-n_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle=\mu .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# S \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon} N_{2}^{2}\left(N_{1} N_{3}\right)^{2} \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(110) \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon} N_{1}^{-2} N_{2}^{2} N_{3}^{-2} \ll N_{1}^{-1 / 3} \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (ii). Denoting $\Sigma^{2}$ the corresponding subsummation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{(*)}^{2} g_{n_{1}} g_{n_{3}} \overline{g_{n_{1}^{\prime}}} \overline{g_{n_{3}^{\prime}}}\right|^{2} \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon} \sum_{n_{3}, n_{3}^{\prime}}\left(\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{3}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}, \tag{114}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{3}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \mid\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{1}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right) \text { satisfies }(*)\right\}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{3}, n_{3}^{\prime}\right) \leqq \#\left\{n_{1}| | n_{1} \mid \sim N_{1}, \quad\left\langle n-n_{1}, n-n_{3}\right\rangle=\mu\right\}<N_{1}, \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the contribution of $\sum^{2}$ to (107) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N_{1} N_{3}\right)^{-4} N_{1}^{1+\varepsilon}(\# S), \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ consists of the ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{3}^{\prime}$ ) such that ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{1}, n_{3}^{\prime}$ ) satisfies (111). Thus

$$
\# S \ll N_{2}^{2} N_{1}^{\varepsilon} N_{1} N_{3} N_{3}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(116) \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon} N_{1}^{-2} N_{2}^{2} N_{3}^{-2}<N_{1}^{-1 / 3} \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (iii). Denoting $\sum^{3}$ the corresponding summation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{(*)}^{3} g_{n_{1}} g_{n_{3}} \overline{g_{n_{1}^{\prime}}} \overline{g_{n_{3}^{\prime}}}\right|^{2} \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon} \sum_{n_{3}, n_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{3}, n_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{3}, n_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \mid\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{1}\right) \text { satisfies }(*)\right\} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# S\left(n, n^{\prime}, n_{3}, n_{1}^{\prime}\right)<N_{1} \tag{119}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the contribution of $\sum^{3}$ to (107) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N_{1} N_{3}\right)^{-4} N_{1}^{1+\varepsilon}(\# S), \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ consists of the $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ such that ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{1}^{\prime}, n_{1}$ ) satisfies (111). Thus

$$
\# S \ll N_{1}^{2} N_{3}^{2} N_{2}^{\ell} N_{1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(120) \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon} N_{3}^{-2}<N_{1}^{-1 / 3} \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summarizing, it follows from (113), (117), (121) that (107) $<N_{1}^{-1}$, thus by (106)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{G} \mathscr{G}^{*}\right\|<N_{1}^{-1 / 6} . \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(36),(100) \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon-1 / 12}\left(\frac{N_{1}}{N_{2}}\right)^{s} \ll N_{1}^{\varepsilon-\frac{1}{12}+\frac{s}{100}} \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the preceding, we get in case (i), (j) the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{1}^{-\frac{1}{300}} \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the analysis of the different cases (a)-(1).
It follows from this analysis that fixing an interval $[0, \tau]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(18)<c \tau^{\delta} \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\delta>0$. Here $w=u_{1} \bar{u}_{2} u_{3}$ with $u_{i} \in S(t) \phi_{\omega}+\left(\| \|\| \|_{s}\right.$-ball $), \phi_{\omega}=$ $\sum \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\langle n, x\rangle}$, and (125) will hold outside an $\omega$-set $\Omega$ of measure $<e^{-1 / \tau^{\delta^{\prime}}}$, for some $\delta^{\prime}>0$.

Observe also that if for one of the $u_{i}$ we consider $\sum_{|n|>M} \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\left(\langle n, x\rangle+|n|^{2} t\right)}$, there is an extra saving of $M^{-\delta}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(18)<c \tau^{\delta} M^{-\delta} \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transformation $T$ defined in (16) is a contraction, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T u-T v\|_{s} \leqq c \tau^{\delta}\| \| u-v\| \|_{s} . \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this estimate, one of the $u_{i}$ 's equals $u-v \in H^{s}$. Hence, for $\omega \notin \Omega$, Picard's theorem gives a solution $u$ to (11).

Let $\phi=\phi_{\omega}$ be a "good data" as above with solution $u, u(0)=\phi$. Let $\psi \in H^{s}$, $\|\varphi-\psi\|_{s}<\frac{1}{10}$. Consider the map $T_{1} v: S(t) \psi+i \int_{0}^{t} S(t-\tau)\left[\left(v|v|^{2}-2 v\left(|v|^{2}\right)(\tau)\right] d \tau\right.$. Writing $T_{1} v=T v+S(t)(\psi-\phi)$, it follows that

$$
\left\|\left\|T_{1} v-S(t) \phi\right\|\right\|_{s} \leqq\|\phi-\psi\|_{s}+\|\mid T v-S(t) \phi\|_{s}<\frac{1}{10}+\tau^{\delta}<1
$$

Hence $T_{1}$ maps $S(t) \phi+\left(\|| |\|_{s}\right.$-ball) to itself and is a contraction, since $T_{1}(v)-$ $T_{1}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=T(v)-T\left(v^{\prime}\right)$. Thus (11) has also a solution $v$ for initial data $v(0)=\psi$. Moreover

$$
\|u-v\|_{s} \leqq 2\|\varphi-\psi\|_{H^{s}}
$$

and also (cf. [B1] or the discussion in [B2], Sect. 2).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{H^{s}} \leqq C\|\varphi-\psi\|_{H^{s}} \quad \text { for }|t|<\tau \tag{127"}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Comparison and Convergence of Solutions

Let us compare next the solution of the truncated equation (10)

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i u_{t}^{N}-\Delta u^{N}+P_{N}\left(u^{N}\left|u^{N}\right|^{2}-2 u^{N} \int\left|u^{N}\right|^{2}\right)=0  \tag{128}\\
u^{N}=P_{N} u, u^{N}(0)=\phi_{\omega}^{N}(x)=\sum_{|n|<N} \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i(n, x\rangle}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and the solution $u$ obtained above for

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
i u_{t}-\Delta u+\left(u|u|^{2}-2 u \int|u|^{2}\right)=0  \tag{129}\\
u(0)=\phi_{\omega}(x)=\sum \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\langle x, n\rangle}
\end{array}\right.
$$

In (128), $u^{N} \in S(t) \phi^{N}+\left(\left\||\||_{s}\right.\right.$-ball) and in (129), $u \in S(t) \phi+\left(\|\left|\left|\left|| |_{s}\right.\right.\right.\right.$-ball). Fix $0 \leqq s_{1}<s$. Analyze the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
u|u|^{2}-2 u \int|u|^{2}-P_{N}\left(u^{N}\left|u^{N}\right|^{2}-2 u^{N} \int\left|u^{N}\right|^{2}\right) \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

writing it as a sum of products $v_{1} \overline{v_{2}} v_{3}$ where for some $i$, either $P_{\frac{N}{3}} v_{i}=0$ or $v_{i}=$ $u-u^{N}$. Taking (126), (127) into account, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|[u-S(t) \phi]-\left[u^{N}-S(t) \phi_{N}\right]\right\| \|_{s_{1}} \\
& \quad \leqq N^{s_{1}-s}+N^{-\delta}+c \tau^{\delta}\| \|[u-S(t) \phi]-\left[u^{N}-S(t) \phi_{N}\right]\| \|_{s_{1}} \tag{131}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we perform the analysis of the nonlinear term in $\left|\left|\left|\left|\left|\left.\right|_{s_{1}}\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$. For $P_{\frac{N}{3}} v_{i}=0$, either $v_{i}$ appears in the $\left.\left|\left|\left|\left|\left|\left.\right|_{s}\right.\right.\right.\right.\right.$-ball in which case there is an $N^{s_{1}-s}$ bound in $\left.\left.\left.\left.|\right|\right|\right|\right|\right|_{s_{1}}$ or $v_{i}=\sum_{|n|>\frac{N}{3}} \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\left(\langle n, x\rangle+|n|^{2} t\right)}$ in which case we invoke (126). Write

$$
u-u^{N}=\left(S(t) \phi-S(t) \phi_{N}\right)+\left[(u-S(t) \phi)-\left(u^{N}-S(t) \phi_{N}\right)\right]
$$

and apply again (126) if one of the $v_{i}$ 's equals $S(t) \phi-S(t) \phi_{N}$.
From (131), we get an approximation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(u-S(t) \phi)-\left(u^{N}-S(t) \phi^{N}\right)\right\|_{s_{1}} \leqq N^{s_{1}-s}+N^{-\delta} \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|(u-S(t) \phi)-\left(u^{N}-S(t) \phi^{N}\right)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{T}^{2}\right)}^{\infty}(0, \tau) \leqq N^{s_{1}-s}+N^{-\delta} . \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusion is that for $\omega$ outside a set $\Omega$ of measure $<e^{-1 / \tau^{\delta^{\prime}}}, u_{\omega}^{N}-S(t) \phi_{\omega}^{N}$ will converge to $u_{\omega}-S(t) \phi_{\omega}$ in $H^{s}$ for some $s>0$ and, more precisely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(u_{\omega}-S(t) \phi_{\omega}\right)-\left(u_{\omega}^{N}-S(t) \phi_{\omega}^{N}\right)\right\|_{s}<C N^{-\delta} \quad \text { for } t \in[0, \tau] \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $S^{N}(t)$ the flow map associated to (128). Fix a large positive integer $\bar{N}$ and denote $u_{\bar{N}}$ the Gibbs measure $e^{-H_{\bar{N}}} \Pi d \phi$. Thus $\mu_{\bar{N}}$ is invariant under the flow of
(6) and hence of (10), thus $S^{\bar{N}}(t)$. The solutions are indeed related by $e^{2 i c_{N}(\omega) t}$ multiplication, where $c_{N}(\omega)=\sum_{|n| \leqq N} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{2}-1}{|n|^{2}}$ and thus only depends on $\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|$. It follows from (134) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[S^{\bar{N}}(t)-S(t)-\left(S^{N}(t)-S(t)\right) P_{N}\right] \phi\right\|_{s}<C N^{-\delta} \tag{135}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $N<\bar{N}, t \in[0, \tau]$ and $\phi=P_{\bar{N}} \phi$ taken outside a set $\Lambda$ of measure $\mu_{\bar{N}}(\Lambda)<e^{-1 / \tau^{\delta^{\prime}}}$.
Our next purpose is to extend (135) for $t$ in an arbitrary interval. Consider say [ 0,1 ], fix a small number $\tau>0$ and partition [ 0,1 ] in $1 / \tau$ intervals $I_{\alpha}$ of size $\tau$. We will mainly repeat the invariant measure consideration from [B2].

Thus for $\phi \notin \Lambda$, (135) holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[S^{\bar{N}}(t)-S^{N}(t) P_{N}-S(t)\left(I-P_{N}\right)\right] \phi\right\|_{s} \leqq N^{-\delta} \quad(t \in[0, \tau]) \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus, denoting $\phi_{1}=S^{\bar{N}}(\tau) \phi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi_{1}-\left[S^{N}(\tau) P_{N}+S(\tau)\left(I-P_{N}\right)\right] \phi\right\|_{s} \leqq N^{-\delta} \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $\phi_{1}$ is again a "good" data, thus $\phi_{1} \notin \Lambda$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \notin \Lambda \cup S^{\bar{N}}(\tau)^{-1}(\Lambda) \tag{138}
\end{equation*}
$$

Repeating (136), one gets again for $N \leqq \bar{N}, t \in[0, \tau]$,

$$
\left\|\left[S^{\bar{N}}(t)-S^{N}(t) P_{N}-S(t)\left(I-P_{N}\right)\right] \phi_{1}\right\|_{s} \leqq N^{-\delta}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mid S^{\bar{N}}(\tau+t) \phi-\left[S^{N}(t) P_{N}+S(t)\left(I-P_{N}\right)\right] \phi_{1}\right\| \|_{s} \leqq N^{-\delta} \tag{139}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (137) that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|S(t)\left(I-P_{N}\right) \phi_{1}-S(\tau+t)\left(I-P_{N}\right) \phi\right\|_{s} \leqq N^{-\delta}  \tag{140}\\
\left\|P_{N} \phi_{1}-S^{N}(\tau) P_{N} \phi\right\|_{s} \leqq N^{-\delta} \tag{141}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\phi_{1}$ is a "good data", $S^{N}(t)$ acts in a Lipschitz way on $P_{N} \phi_{1}+\left(H^{s}\right.$-ball), $t \leqq \tau$, and (141), (127") implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S^{N}(t) P_{N} \phi_{1}-S^{N}(\tau+t) P_{N} \phi\right\|_{s} \leqq N^{-\delta} \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (139), (140), (142), it follows that for $t \in[0, \tau]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[S^{\bar{N}}(\tau+t)-S^{N}(\tau+t) P_{N}-S(\tau+t)\left(I-P_{N}\right) \phi\right]\right\|_{s} \leqq N^{-\delta} \tag{143}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus (136) holds for $t \in[0,2 \tau]$, provided (138).
The continuation of this process is clear. One gets eventually (136) on [0,1], provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \notin \Lambda \cup S^{\bar{N}}(\tau)^{-1}(\Lambda) \cup \cdots \cup S^{\bar{N}}(\tau)^{-k}(\Lambda) \quad\left(k \sim \tau^{-1}\right) \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $S^{\bar{N}}(\tau)$ is $\mu_{\bar{N}}$-preserving, the set $\Lambda_{\tau}$ defined in (144) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\bar{N}}\left(\Lambda_{\tau}\right) \leqq \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-1 / \tau^{\delta^{\prime}}} \underset{\tau \rightarrow 0}{\rightarrow} 0 \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (145) that given $\sigma>0$, there is a set $\Lambda_{\sigma}, \mu_{\bar{N}}\left(\Lambda_{\sigma}\right)<\sigma$, such that for $\phi \notin \Lambda_{\sigma}$ and $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left[S^{\bar{N}}(t)-S^{N}(t) P_{N}-S(t)\left(I-P_{N}\right)\right] \phi\right\|_{s} \leqq c(\sigma) N^{-\delta} \quad \text { for } N \leqq \bar{N} \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu_{\bar{N}}$ converges to the normalized Gibbs measure $\mu$ defined in Sect. 1, letting $\bar{N} \rightarrow \infty$ in the preceding shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\left[S^{N_{1}}(t)-S(t)\right] P_{N_{1}}-\left[S^{N_{2}}(t)-S(t)\right] P_{N_{2}}\right) \phi\right\|_{s} \leqq c(\sigma)\left(N_{1} \wedge N_{2}\right)^{-\delta} \tag{147}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0,1], \phi \notin \Lambda_{\sigma}$ with $\mu\left(\Lambda_{\sigma}\right)<\sigma$ and any integers $N_{1}, N_{2}$.
We get in particular from (147) for $N_{2}>N_{1}, \phi \notin \Lambda_{\sigma}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(P_{N_{1}} S^{N_{2}}(t) P_{N_{2}}-S^{N_{1}}(t) P_{N_{1}}\right) \phi\right\|_{\sigma}<C(\sigma) N_{1}^{-\delta} \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also $S^{N}(t) P_{N} \phi$ converges weakly to some $S^{\infty}(t) \phi \in S(t) \phi+B_{H^{s}}(C(\sigma)$ ) (take $N_{2}=0$ in (147) and let $N_{1} \rightarrow \infty$ ). From (147), (148), for $t \in[0,1], \phi \notin \Lambda_{\sigma}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\left[S^{\infty}(t)-S(t)\right]-\left[S^{N}(t)-S(t)\right] P_{N}\right) \phi\right\|_{s} \leqq C(\sigma) N^{-\delta} \tag{149}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(P_{N} S^{\infty}(t)-S^{N}(t) P_{N}\right) \phi\right\|_{s}<C(\sigma) N^{-\delta} \tag{150}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S^{\infty}(t) \phi$ is the solution of (11) obtained in Sect. 4 and from (150), it easily follows that $\mu$ is invariant under the flow $S^{\infty}(t)$ (using again the invariance of $\mu_{N}$ for $S^{N}(t)$ ).

Coming back to Eqs. (6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{t}^{N}=i \frac{\partial H_{N}}{\partial \overline{u^{N}}}, \quad u^{N}(0)=P_{N} \phi \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have $u^{N}=e^{2 i c_{N}(\phi) t} S^{N}(t) P_{N} \phi$, where $c_{N}(\phi)=c_{N}(\omega)=\sum_{|n| \leqq N} \frac{\left|g_{n}(\omega)\right|^{2}-1}{|n|^{2}}$ for $\phi=\sum \frac{g_{n}(\omega)}{|n|} e^{i\langle x, n\rangle}$. Thus $c_{N}(\phi)$ converge $\mu$-almost surely to some $c_{\infty}(\phi)$, and hence the $u^{N}(t)$ converge weakly for $N \rightarrow \infty$ to $e^{2 i c_{\infty}(\phi) t} \cdot S^{\infty}(t) \phi$ for all time, $\mu$-almost surely in $\phi$. In fact, from (150)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{N}(t)-e^{2 i c_{N}(\phi) t} P_{N} S^{\infty}(t) \phi\right\|_{s}<c(\sigma, T) N^{-\delta} \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\phi \in \Lambda_{\sigma, T}, \mu\left(\Lambda_{\sigma, T}\right)<\sigma$. In particular, (ii) converges in $H^{s}$ for some $s>0$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} u$ is a complex function.
    ${ }^{2}$ We ignore for notational simplicity the problem of the zero Fourier mode in (i). This problem may be avoided replacing $|n|$ by $\left(|n|^{2}+\kappa\right)^{1 / 2}, \kappa>0$ (redefining the Laplacian).

