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#### Abstract

We prove some inequalities for the Schatten $p$-norm of operators on a Hilbert space. It is shown, among other things, that if $A, B$, and $X$ are operators such that $A+B \geqq|X|$ and $A+B \geqq\left|X^{*}\right|$, then $\|A X+X B\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|A X^{*}+X^{*} B\right\|_{p}^{p}$ $\geqq 2\|X\|_{2 p}^{2 p}$ for $1 \leqq p<\infty$, and $\max \left(\|A X+X B\|,\left\|A X^{*}+X^{*} B\right\|\right) \geqq\|X\|^{2}$.


 Also, for any three operators $A, B$, and $X$,$$
\||A| X-X|B|\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\left|A^{*}\right| X-X\left|B^{*}\right|\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqq\|A X-X B\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|A^{*} X-X B^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

## 1. Introduction

In their work on free states of the canonical anticommutation relations, Powers and St $\phi$ rmer [9, Lemma 4.1] proved that if $A$ and $B$ are positive operators on a Hilbert space $H$, then $\left\|A^{1 / 2}-B^{1 / 2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqq\|A-B\|_{1}$. Also, in studying the quasiequivalence of quasifree states of canonical commutation relations, Araki and Yamagami [2, Theorem 1] proved that if $A$ and $B$ are operators on a Hilbert space $H$, then $\||A|-|B|\|_{2} \leqq 2^{1 / 2}\|A-B\|_{2}$. This has been recently generalized so that $\||A|-|B|\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\left|A^{*}\right|-\left|B^{*}\right|\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqq 2\|A-B\|_{2}^{2}$ [7, Theorem 2].

The purpose of this paper, which is in the same spirit as those of [5-7], is to extend these inequalities to commutator versions and to show that in some cases the trace norm can be replaced by a general $p$-norm. In particular it will be shown that for positive operators $A$ and $B,\left\|A^{1 / 2}-B^{1 / 2}\right\|_{2 p}^{2} \leqq\|A-B\|_{p}$ for $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$.

Let $H$ be a separable complex Hilbert space and let $B(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on $H$. Let $K(H)$ denote the closed two-sided ideal of compact operators on $H$. For any compact operator $A$, let $s_{1}(A), s_{2}(A), \ldots$ be the eigenvalues of $|A|=\left(A^{*} A\right)^{1 / 2}$ in decreasing order and repeated according to multiplicity. A compact operator $A$ is said to be in the Schatten $p$-class $C_{p}(1 \leqq p$ $<\infty$ ), if $\sum s_{i}(A)^{p}<\infty$. The Schatten $p$-norm of $A$ is defined by $\|A\|_{p}=\left(\sum s_{i}(A)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$. This norm makes $C_{p}$ into a Banach space. Hence $C_{1}$ is the trace class and $C_{2}$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt class. It is reasonable to let $C_{\infty}$ denote the ideal of compact operators $K(H)$, and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ stand for the usual operator norm.

If $A \in C_{p}(1 \leqq p<\infty)$ and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is any orthonormal set in $H$, then $\|A\|_{p}^{p} \geqq$ $\sum\left|\left(A e_{i}, e_{i}\right)\right|^{p}$. More generally, if $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ is a family of orthogonal projections satisfying $E_{i} E_{j}=\delta_{i j} E_{i}$, then $\|A\|_{p}^{p} \geqq \sum\left\|E_{i} A E_{i}\right\|_{p}^{p}=\left\|\sum E_{i} A E_{i}\right\|_{p}^{p}$, and for $p>1$ equality will hold if and only if $A=\sum E_{i} A E_{i}$. Moreover, if $\sum E_{i}=1$ (the identity operator) and $p=2$, then $\|A\|_{2}^{2}=\sum\left\|E_{i} A E_{j}\right\|_{2}^{2}$. One more fact that will be needed in
the sequel is that if $A \in C_{p}(1 \leqq p \leqq \infty)$, then $\|A\|_{p}=\left\|A^{*}\right\|_{p}=\left\|\left|A^{*}\right|\right\|_{p}=\||A|\|_{p}$. The reader is referred to [3] for further properties of the Schatten $p$-classes.

## 2. On the Powers-St $\phi$ rmer Inequality

First we extend the Powers-St $\phi$ rmer inequality for the usual operator norm.
Theorem 1. If $A, B \in B(H)$ with $A+B \geqq \pm X$, where $X \in B(H)$ is self-adjoint, then $\|A X+X B\| \geqq\|X\|^{2}$.
Proof. Since $X$ is a self-adjoint operator, it follows that there exists a sequence $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ of unit vectors in $H$ such that $\left(X f_{n}, f_{n}\right) \rightarrow t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $|t|=\|X\|$. But then,

$$
\left\|X f_{n}-t f_{n}\right\|^{2}=\left\|X f_{n}\right\|^{2}+t^{2}-2 t\left(X f_{n}, f_{n}\right) \leqq 2 t^{2}-2 t\left(X f_{n}, f_{n}\right)
$$

Therefore $X f_{n}-t f_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A X+X B\| & \geqq\left|\left((A X+X B) f_{n}, f_{n}\right)\right| \\
& =\left|\left(A(X-t) f_{n}, f_{n}\right)+\left(B f_{n},(X-t) f_{n}\right)+t\left((A+B) f_{n}, f_{n}\right)\right| \\
& \geqq|t|\left((A+B) f_{n}, f_{n}\right)-\left|\left(A(X-t) f_{n}, f_{n}\right)+\left(B f_{n},(X-t) f_{n}\right)\right| \\
& \geqq|t|\left|\left(X f_{n}, f_{n}\right)\right|-\left|\left(A(X-t) f_{n}, f_{n}\right)+\left(B f_{n},(X-t) f_{n}\right)\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that $\|A X+X B\| \geqq\|X\|^{2}$ as required.
Corollary 1. If $A, B \in B(H)$ with $A+B \geqq \pm X$, where $X \in B(H)$ is self-adjoint such that $A X+X B=0$, then $X=0$.

Next we establish the corresponding inequality for a general $p$-norm.
Theorem 2. If $A, B \in B(H)$ with $A+B \geqq \pm X$, where $X \in B(H)$ is self-adjoint, then $\|A X+X B\|_{p} \geqq\|X\|_{2 p}^{2}$ for $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$.
Proof. Of course the $p=\infty$ case is the content of Theorem 1. Now assume that $1 \leqq$ $p<\infty$ and $A X+X B \in C_{p}$ (otherwise we have nothing to prove). Hence $A X+X B$ is compact. If $\pi: B(H) \rightarrow B(H) / C_{\infty}$ is the quotient map of $B(H)$ onto the Calkin algebra $B(H) / C_{\infty}$, then we have $\pi(A) \pi(X)+\pi(X) \pi(B)=0$ and $\pi(A)+\pi(B) \geqq \pm \pi(X)$. Applying Corollary 1 now implies that $\pi(X)=0$, in other words $X$ is compact. (Recall that the Calkin algebra is a $B^{*}$-algebra and so it is representable as an operator algebra.) But it is known that a compact self-adjoint operator is diagonalizable, hence $X e_{n}=t_{n} e_{n}$, where $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $H$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A X+X B\|_{p}^{p} & \left.\geqq \sum \mid(A X+X B) e_{n}, e_{n}\right)\left.\right|^{p} \\
& =\sum\left|\left(A X e_{n}, e_{n}\right)+\left(B e_{n}, X e_{n}\right)\right|^{p}=\sum\left|t_{n}\left((A+B) e_{n}, e_{n}\right)\right|^{p} \\
& \geqq \sum\left|t_{n}\right|^{p}\left|\left(X e_{n}, e_{n}\right)\right|^{p}=\sum\left|t_{n}\right|^{2 p}=\|X\|_{2 p}^{2 p}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a Corollary of Theorem 2, we obtain the Powers-Størmer inequality [9, Lemma 4.1] and extend it to other $p$-norms (including the usual operator norm).
Corollary 2. If $A, B \in B(H)$ are positive, then $\|A-B\|_{2 p}^{2} \leqq\left\|A^{2}-B^{2}\right\|_{p}$ for $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$.
Proof. Let $X=A-B$, and then apply Theorem 2.
The above theorems can be generalized further by removing the restriction on $X$.

To accomplish this we first recall the following lemma which has appeared in [7].
Lemma. If $A, B \in B(H)$ and $T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & A \\ B & 0\end{array}\right)$ is defined on $H \oplus H$, then $|T|=\left(\begin{array}{cc}|B| & 0 \\ 0 & |A|\end{array}\right)$. Moreover, $\|T\|_{p}^{p}=\|A\|_{p}^{p}+\|B\|_{p}^{p}$ for $1 \leqq p<\infty$ and $\|T\|=\max (\|A\|,\|B\|)$.

Theorem 3. If $A, B$, and $X \in B(H)$ with $A+B \geqq|X|$ and $A+B \geqq\left|X^{*}\right|$, then $\|A X+X B\|_{p}^{p}+\left\|A X^{*}+X^{*} B\right\|_{p}^{p} \geqq 2\|X\|_{2 p}^{2 p}$ for $1 \leqq p<\infty$, and $\max (\|A X+X B\|$, $\left.\left\|A X^{*}+X^{*} B\right\|\right) \geqq\|X\|^{2}$.
Proof. On $H \oplus H$, let $T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & A\end{array}\right), S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}B & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right)$, and $Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & X \\ X^{*} & 0\end{array}\right)$. Then $Y$ is self-adjoint and by the lemma, we have $|Y|=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\left|X^{*}\right| & 0 \\ 0 & |X|\end{array}\right)$. From $A+B \geqq|X|$ and $A+B \geqq\left|X^{*}\right|$, we obtain that $T+S \geqq|Y|$. Since $Y$ is self-adjoint, it follows that $T+S \geqq|Y| \geqq \pm Y$. Applying Theorem 2 to the operators $T, S$ and $Y$ we get $\|T Y+Y S\|_{p} \geqq\|Y\|_{2 p}^{2}$ for $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$. But $T Y+Y S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & A X+X B \\ A X^{*}+X^{*} B & 0\end{array}\right)$. Now using the lemma, the proof can be completed as that of Theorem 1 in [7].

Corollary 3. If $A, \quad X \in B(H)$ with $A+A^{*} \geqq|X|$ and $A+A^{*} \geqq\left|X^{*}\right|$, then $\left\|A X+X A^{*}\right\|_{p} \geqq\|X\|_{2 p}^{2}$ for $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3 applied to $A$ and $A^{*}$ with the observation that $\left\|A X+X A^{*}\right\|_{p}=\left\|A X^{*}+X^{*} A^{*}\right\|_{p}$ for $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$.
Remarks. (1) If $A$ is a positive operator and $X$ is a self-adjoint operator such that $A \geqq \pm X$, then it need not be true that $A \geqq|X|$. For example, consider $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4\end{array}\right)$ and $X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ which act on a two-dimensional Hilbert space.
(2) If the assumptions $A+B \geqq|X|$ and $A+B \geqq\left|X^{*}\right|$ are strengthened so that $A$ $\geqq\left|X^{*}\right|$ and $B \geqq|X|$, then following the proofs of Theorems 1, 2, and 3, we obtain that $\|A X+X B\|_{p} \geqq 2\|X\|_{2 p}^{2}$ for $1 \leqq p \leqq \infty$. In this case the operators, $T, S$ in the proof of Theorem 3 should be taken as $T=S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & 0 \\ 0 & B\end{array}\right)$. It should be also noticed that if the roles of $X$ and $X^{*}$ are interchanged, that is if $A \geqq|X|$ and $B \geqq\left|X^{*}\right|$, then such inequality may not be true. For example, consider $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right), B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$, and $X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$ which act on a two-dimensional Hilbert space.

## 3. On the Araki-Yamagami Inequality

In [1, Lemma 5.2], Araki proved that if $A$ and $B$ are self-adjoint operators in $B(H)$, then $\||A|-|B|\|_{2} \leqq\|A-B\|_{2}$. A commutator version of this result is also true, namely $\||A| X-X|B|\| \leqq\|A X-X B\|_{2}$ for any $X \in B(H)$. This has been recently
obtained in a more general setting where $A$ and $B$ are normal operators [8, Corollary 2]. For general operators $A$ and $B$, Araki and Yamagami [2, Theorem 1], proved that $\||A|-|B|\|_{2} \leqq 2^{1 / 2}\|A-B\|_{2}$. This also has been extended so that $\||A|-|B|\|_{2}^{2}$ $+\left\|\left|A^{*}\right|-\left|B^{*}\right|\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqq 2\|A-B\|_{2}^{2}$ [7, Theorem 2].

In this section we establish a commutator version of this Araki-Yamagami type inequality.

Theorem 4. If $A, B$, and $X \in B(H)$, then

$$
\||A| X-X|B|\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\left|A^{*}\right| X-X\left|B^{*}\right|\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqq\|A X-X B\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|A^{*} X-X B^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Proof. On $H \oplus H$, let $T=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & A \\ A^{*} & 0\end{array}\right), S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & B \\ B^{*} & 0\end{array}\right)$, and $Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}X & 0 \\ 0 & X\end{array}\right)$. Then $T$ and $S$ are self-adjoint. Thus $\||T| Y-Y|S|\|_{2} \leqq\|T Y-Y S\|_{2}$. Simple calculations and the lemma show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|T| Y-Y|S| & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left|A^{*}\right| X-X\left|B^{*}\right| & 0 \\
0 & |A| X-X|B|
\end{array}\right) \text { and } T Y-Y S \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & A X-X B \\
A^{*} X-X B^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\||T| Y-Y|S|\|_{2}^{2}=\||A| X-X|B|\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|\left|A^{*}\right| X-X\left|B^{*}\right|\right\|_{2}^{2}$ and $\|T Y-Y S\|_{2}^{2}$ $=\|A X-X B\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|A^{*} X-X B^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}$, it follows that $\||A| X-X|B|\|_{2}^{2}+\|\left|A^{*}\right| X$ $-X\left|B^{*}\right|\left\|_{2}^{2} \leqq\right\| A X-X B\left\|_{2}^{2}+\right\| A^{*} X-X B^{*} \|_{2}^{2}$.

Corollary 4. If $N, M \in B(H)$ are normal, then for any $X \in B(H), \||N| X-$ $X|M|\left\|_{2} \leqq\right\| N X-X M \|_{2}$.

Proof. Since $N$ and $M$ are normal operators, the spectral theorem implies that $|N|$ $=\left|N^{*}\right|$ and $|M|=\left|M^{*}\right|$, and the Fuglede-Putnam theorem modulo the HilbertSchmidt class [10, Theorem 1] implies that $\|N X-X M\|_{2}=\left\|N^{*} X-X M^{*}\right\|_{2}$. Now the result follows by Theorem 4.

Inspired by the results of this section and by the fact that every operator $A \in B(H)$ has a normal dilation in $B(H \oplus H)$, we obtain the following extension of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem modulo the Hilbert-Schmidt class [10, Theorem 1].

Theorem 5. If $A, B$, and $X \in B(H)$, then

$$
\|A X-X B\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|A^{*} X\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|X B^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|A^{*} X-X B^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|A X\|_{2}^{2}+\|X B\|_{2}^{2}
$$

Proof. On $H \oplus H$, let $N=\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & A^{*} \\ A^{*} & A\end{array}\right), M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}B & B^{*} \\ B^{*} & B\end{array}\right)$, and $Y=\left(\begin{array}{cc}X & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$. Then $N$ and $M$ are normal [4, p. 123], and so by the Fuglede-Putnam theorem modulo the Hilbert-Schmidt class we have $\|N Y-Y M\|_{2}=\left\|N^{*} Y-Y M^{*}\right\|_{2}$. But

$$
\begin{aligned}
N Y-Y M & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A X-X B & -X B^{*} \\
A^{*} X & 0
\end{array}\right) \text { and } N^{*} Y-Y M^{*} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{*} X-X B^{*} & -X B \\
A X & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Since

$$
\|N Y-Y M\|_{2}^{2}=\|A X-X B\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|A^{*} X\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left\|X B^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left\|N^{*} Y-Y M^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}=\left\|A^{*} X-X B^{*}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\|A X\|_{2}^{2}+\|X B\|_{2}^{2}
$$

we have the required result.
Remark. If in Theorem 5, $A$ and $B$ are assumed to be normal operators, then we retain the Fuglede-Putnam theorem modulo the Hilbert-Schmidt class, because in this case we have

$$
\|A X\|_{2}=\left\|A^{*} X\right\|_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad\|X B\|_{2}=\left\|X B^{*}\right\|_{2} .
$$

## References

1. Araki, H.: Publ. RIMS Kyoto University 6, 385-442 (1971)
2. Araki, H., Yamagami, S.: An inequality for the Hilbert Schmidt norm. Commun. Math. Phys. 81, 8998 (1981)
3. Gohberg, I. C., Krein, M. G.: Introduction to the theory of linear nonself-adjoint operators. Transl. Math. Monogr. Vol. 18, Providence, R. I.: Am. Math. Soc. 1969
4. Halmos, P. R.: A Hilbert space problem book. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1982
5. Kittaneh, F.: Inequalities for the Schatten p-norm. Glasg. Math J. 26, 141-143 (1985)
6. Kittaneh, F.: Inequalities for the Schatten p-norm. II, Glasg. Math. J. (to appear)
7. Kittaneh, F.: Inequalities for the Schatten p-norm. III, Commun. Math. Phys. 104, 307-310 (1986)
8. Kittaneh, F.: On Lipschitz functions of normal operators. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 94, 416-418 (1985)
9. Powers, R. T., Størmer, E.: Free states of the canonical anticommutation relations. Commun. Math. Phys. 16, 1-33 (1970)
10. Weiss, G.: The Fuglede commutativity theorem modulo the Hilbert-Schmidt class and generating functions for matrix operators. II. J. Oper. Theory 5, 3-16 (1981)

Communicated by H. Araki

Received March 15, 1986

