Commun. math. Phys. 43, 69—71 (1975) © by Springer-Verlag 1975

# On the Gel'fand-Kirillov Conjecture

L. Abellanas and L. Martinez Alonso

Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad, Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

Received December 5, 1974

**Abstract.** In this paper we exhibit a simple counterexample to the Gel'fand-Kirillov conjecture on the structure of the quotient field of every algebraic Lie algebra over a commutative field of characteristic zero.

Acknowledgment. The authors are indebted to Prof. A. Galindo for some valuable discussions.

## 1. Introduction

Let G be a finite dimensional Lie algebra over a commutative field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero. Let  $\mathfrak{A}(G)$  denote the envelopping algebra of G;  $\mathscr{D}(G)$  the quotient field of  $\mathfrak{A}(G)$ , and C(G) the center of  $\mathscr{D}(G)$ . Gel'fand and Kirillov [1] proposed a conjecture on the structure of  $\mathscr{D}(G)$  based on the following model. Two nonnegative integers n, r, define a ring  $R_{n,r}(\mathbb{R})$  generated over the polynomial ring on r indeterminates  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, ..., x_r]$  by 2n elements  $p_1, ..., p_n, q_1, ..., q_n$  satisfying:

$$p_i q_j - q_j p_i = \delta_{ij}, \quad q_i q_j - q_j q_i = p_i p_j - p_j p_i = 0.$$

In the ring  $R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K})$  we have a filtration:

$$(R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K}))_0 \subset (R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K}))_1 \subset \cdots,$$

where  $(R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K}))_i$  is the set of all elements in  $R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K})$ , which can be written as (noncommutative) polynomials of degree  $\leq i$  in  $\{q_k, p_j\}_{k,j=1}^n$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_r]$ . It is obvious that the associated graded ring  $\operatorname{gr} R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K})$  is isomorphic to the polynomial ring:

 $\mathbb{K}[x_1, ..., x_r, p_1, ..., p_n, q_1, ..., q_n].$ 

Moreover  $R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K})$  is a Ore ring. We shall denote  $\mathcal{D}_{n,r}(\mathbb{K})$  its quotient field.

**Conjecture.** If G is an algebraic Lie algebra over a commutative field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero, then  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is isomorphic to the field  $\mathcal{D}_{n,r}(\mathbb{K})$  where:

 $r = transcendence \ degree \ of \ C(G) \ over \ \mathbb{K}$ 

$$n = \frac{1}{2} \left( \dim_{\mathbb{K}} G - r \right). \quad \Box$$

The conjecture was verified by Gel'fand and Kirillov [1] for  $GL(n, \mathbb{K})$ ,  $SL(n, \mathbb{K})$  and every nilpotent G over  $\mathbb{K}$  and in a modified form for G semisimple over  $\mathbb{C}$  [2]. Recently it has been demonstrated for G solvable over  $\mathbb{C}$  [3].

To make the discussion as self-contained as possible we review some of the basic concepts that are relevant to the conjecture.

Let  $\pi_i$  denote the canonical projection:

$$\pi_i: (R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K}))_i \to \operatorname{gr}^{(i)} R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K}) = (R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K}))_i / (R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K}))_{i-1}.$$

For any nonzero  $a \in R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K})$  there exists a unique integer *i* such that  $\pi_i(a)$  is welldefined and different from zero. The integer *i* is the degree of *a*, and it will be denoted by d(a). Let [a] denote  $\pi_i(a)$ . It is an homogeneous polynomial in the variables  $p_i, q_j$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{K}[x_1, ..., x_r]$ .

Every  $b \in \mathscr{D}_{n,r}(\mathbb{K})$  can be decomposed in the following way:

$$b = a_1^{-1} a_2, \quad a_1, a_2 \in R_{n,r}(\mathbb{K}).$$

Althought this decomposition is not unique, we have the following result [1]:

**Lemma.** The rational function  $[b] \equiv [a_1]^{-1} [a_2]$  depends only on the element b and not on the way b is decomposed. Moreover:

$$[b_1b_2] = [b_1][b_2] \qquad b_1, b_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{n,r}(\mathbb{K}). \quad \Box$$

### 2. A Counterexample to the Gel'fand-Kirillov Conjecture

Let G be the three-dimensional real Lie algebra, with basis  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{3}$ , such that:

$$[A_1, A_2] = A_3, \ [A_1, A_3] = -A_2, \ [A_2, A_3] = 0.$$

Actually this is the Lie algebra of the Euclidean group of the plane  $(A_1 \text{ rotations}, A_2, A_3 \text{ translations})$ . Clearly C(G) is generated over  $\mathbb{R}$  by the element  $A_2^2 + A_3^2$ .

Hence the conjecture would require  $\mathscr{D}(G)$  to be isomorphic to  $\mathscr{D}_{1,1}(\mathbb{K})$ , the field generated over the field  $\mathbb{R}(x)$  by two elements p, q such that:

$$[p,q] = 1$$

Given such an isomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{D}(G) \to \mathcal{D}_{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ , we put  $B_j = \phi(A_j)$  j = 1, 2, 3. Then, since  $\phi|_{C(G)}$  maps C(G) isomorphically onto the center  $\mathbb{R}(x)$  of  $\mathcal{D}_{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$  there is a choice of x such that:

$$\phi(A_2^2 + A_3^2) = B_2^2 + B_3^2 = x$$

Let us descompose  $B_3^2 = V^{-1} U$ , where  $V, U \in R_{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ . From the previous lemma we conclude:

$$[B_3^2] = [B_3]^2 = \frac{[U]}{[V]}$$
$$[B_2^2] = [B_2]^2 = [x - B_3^2] = [V^{-1}(xV - U)] = \frac{[xV - U]}{[V]}.$$

Let us investigate all possible situations

$$d(V) > d(U) \Rightarrow [xV - U] = [xV] = x[V] \Rightarrow [B_2]^2 = x$$
(1)

which is impossible,  $[B_2]$  being a real-valued rational function.

$$d(V) < d(U) \Rightarrow [xV - U] = -[U] \Rightarrow [B_2]^2 + [B_3]^2 = 0$$
(2)

which is also impossible (the trivial case  $B_2 = B_3 = 0$  being excluded by the isomorphic character of  $\phi$ ).

$$d(V) = d(U) = g$$
. Now two different situations arise. (3)

$$d(xV - U) = g \Rightarrow [xV - U] = x[V] - [U] \Rightarrow [B_2]^2 + [B_3]^2 = x, \qquad (3a)$$

$$d(xV - U) < g \Rightarrow [U] = x[V] \Rightarrow [B_3]^2 = \frac{[U]}{[V]} = x.$$
(3b)

Both of these are clearly impossible.

The conclusion is that  $\mathscr{D}(G)$  does not admits any isomorphism onto  $\mathscr{D}_{1,1}(\mathbb{R})$ .

#### 3. Final Remark

The failure of the conjecture depends on the use of a field  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$  which is not algebraically closed. In fact, accordingly to [3], the complexified Lie algebra *G* admits an isomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{D}(G) \to \mathcal{D}_{1,1}(\mathbb{C})$ , which can be realized in the following way:

$$\phi(A_1) = -ip \cdot q$$
  

$$\phi(A_2) = \frac{1}{2}(q + xq^{-1})$$
  

$$\phi(A_3) = \frac{-i}{2}(q - xq^{-1})$$

Therefore, we think that the Gel'fand-Kirillov conjecture must be weakened to read:

**Conjecture.** If G is an algebraic Lie algebra over an algebraically closed commutative field  $\mathbb{K}$  of characteristic zero, then  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{D}_{n,r}(\mathbb{K})$ , where:

 $r = transcendence \ degree \ of \ C(G) \ over \ \mathbb{K}$  $n = \frac{1}{2} (\dim_{\mathbb{K}} G - r) . \quad \Box$ 

#### References

1. Gel'fand, I. M., Kirillov, A. A.: I.H.E.S. Publications mathématiques 31, 5-19 (1966)

2. Gel'fand, I. M., Kirillov, A. A.: Funkcional'nyj Analiz, 7-26 (1969)

3. Joseph, A.: Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45, 1-10 (1974)

Communicated by H. Araki

L. Abellanas L. Martinez Alonso Departamento de Física Teórica Fac. de Ciencias Universidad de Madrid Madrid 3, Spain