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#### Abstract

An existence theorem for the "gap equation" in the superconductivity theory is given, as a consequence of the Schauder-Tychonoff theorem. Sufficient conditions on the kernel are given, which insure the existence of a solution amongst a particular class of continuous functions. The case of a positive kernel is studied in detail.


## 1. Introduction

For a non relativistic many-fermion system the existence of a "superfluid" or "superconducting" state is related to the appearence of non trivial solutions in a non linear integral equation, called the "gap equation".

Various approximation methods for finding the solution of the gap equation have been devised [ $1,2,3$ ], which give rise to a "linearization" of the equation. All these methods produce solutions with the same nonanalytic behaviour for small values of the interaction strength. A necessary condition for the appearence of non trivial solutions has been given a long time ago by Cooper, Mills and Sessler [4] (see also ref. 1). The convergence of an iterative procedure has been proved, under certain conditions, by Kitamura [5]. Fixed point theorems were first used by ODEH [6]. We prove here an existence theorem under entirely different assumptions, which cover many cases of physical interest. We make use of the Schauder-Tychonoff theorem, which allows us to find a solution amongst a particular class of continuous functions.

## 2. The Existence Theorem

Let us consider the gap equation in its simplest form (i.e. the equation for the spherically symmetrical solutions at zero temperature):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(k)=\int_{0}^{\infty} K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \frac{\varphi\left(k^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+\varphi\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]and make the following hypotheses on the kernel $K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)$ :

(I) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}K \text { is a measurable real valued bounded function on } \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{+} ; \\ \text {let } M>0 \text { be a bound such that }\left|K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq M \text { for every } \\ \left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{+} .\end{array}\right.$
(II) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { There exists a compact interval } I=\left\{k: \xi_{1} \leqq k \leqq \xi_{2}\right\}, I \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{+}, \\ \xi_{1}<1<\xi_{2} \text { such that } K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \geqq 0 \text { for }\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \in I \times I .\end{array}\right.$
(III) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { There exist three positive numbers } a, A, \varepsilon(0<a<A, \varepsilon>0) \\ \text { such that the following inequalities hold. }\end{array}\right.$
$\left(\mathrm{III}_{1}\right) \int_{I} K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+a^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \geqq 1+\varepsilon$ for $k \in I$.

( $\left.\mathrm{III}_{3}\right) \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{+}}\left|K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)\right| \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+A^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \leqq 1 \quad$ for all $k \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$.
(IV) There exists an $L>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{+}}\left|K\left(k_{1}, k^{\prime}\right)-K\left(k_{2}, k^{\prime}\right)\right| \frac{A}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+A^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \leqq L\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right|
$$

for every $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$.
For the remainder of this section we will consider only kernels verifying conditions (I) . . (IV).

Definition 1. Let $\mathscr{F}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{+}\right)$be the space of all continuous numerical functions on $\boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, with the topology of uniform convergence on compacts. $\mathscr{F}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{+}\right)$is a Fréchet space.

We consider now the following subset of $\mathscr{F}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{+}\right)$:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{K}=\mathscr{K}\left(\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, a, A, L\right)=\left\{f \in \mathscr{F}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{+}\right): f\right. \text { real valued, } \\
\left.\|f\|_{\infty}=\sup _{k \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}}|f(k)| \leqq A, \inf _{k \in I} f(k) \geqq a, \lambda(f)=\sup _{\substack{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \\
k_{1} \neq k_{2}}}\left|\frac{f\left(k_{1}\right)-f\left(k_{2}\right)}{k_{1}-k_{2}}\right| \leqq L\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is straightforward to prove the following proposition:
Proposition 1. $\mathscr{K}$ is a convex compact subset of $\mathscr{F}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{+}\right)$, and $0 \ddagger \mathscr{K}$.
Furthermore we have:
Proposition 2. The application $T: \mathscr{K} \rightarrow \mathscr{F}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{+}\right)$defined, for every $f \in \mathscr{K}$ by

$$
(T(f))(k)=\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{+}} K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \frac{f\left(k^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+f\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \quad\left(k \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}\right)
$$

is a continuous mapping of $\mathscr{K}$ into $\mathscr{K}$.

Proof. By (I), $(T(f))(k)$ is defined for every $k \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$because

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f\left(k^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+f\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}\right| \leqq \frac{A}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+A^{2}}} \text { for every } k^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $T(f)$ is real valued because of ( I ).
By (IV) and the condition $\|f\|_{\infty} \leqq A$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T(f)\left(k_{1}\right)-T(f)\left(k_{2}\right)\right| & \leqq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|K\left(k_{1}, k^{\prime}\right)-K\left(k_{2}, k^{\prime}\right)\right| \frac{A}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+A^{2}}} \\
& \leqq L\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right| \quad \text { for } \quad\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{+}, k_{1} \neq k_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\lambda(T(f)) \leqq L$ which implies in particular $T(f) \in \mathscr{F}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{+}\right)$. Furthermore, for every $k \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$we have by $\left(\mathrm{III}_{3}\right)$

$$
|T(f)(k)| \leqq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)\right| \frac{A}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+A^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \leqq A
$$

Consequently $\|T(f)\|_{\infty} \leqq A$.
For $k \in I$, we have by (II), ( $\left.\mathrm{III}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{III}_{2}\right)$ and the inequality $\inf f\left(k^{\prime}\right) \geqq a$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(f)(k) \geqq \int_{I} K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \frac{a}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+a^{2}}} d k^{\prime}-\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{+}-I}\left|K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \cdot \frac{A}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+A^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \geqq a(1+\varepsilon)-a \varepsilon=a ; \quad \text { so } \quad \inf _{k \in I} T(f)(k) \geqq a .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $T(\mathscr{K}) \subset \mathscr{K}$. It still remains to prove the continuity of $T$.
As $\mathscr{K} \subset \mathscr{F}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{+}\right)$is a metrizable space, in order to prove the continuity of $T$ on $\mathscr{K}$ it is sufficient to show that from

$$
f_{n} \in \mathscr{K} \quad(n=1,2 \ldots), f \in \mathscr{K}, f_{n} \longrightarrow \quad f \quad \text { in } \quad \mathscr{K}
$$

it follows that $T\left(f_{n}\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{ } T(f)$ in $\mathscr{K}$.
In order to see that this is the case, let's fix an arbitrary number $\eta>0$ and write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|T\left(f_{n}\right)(k)-T(f)(k)\right| \\
& \quad \leqq \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|\frac{f_{n}\left(k^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+f_{n}\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}-\frac{f\left(k^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+f\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}\right| d k^{\prime} \\
& \quad=\int_{0}^{k_{1}}+\int_{k_{1}}^{\infty}=J_{1}+J_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $k_{1}$ is chosen large enough so that

$$
\int_{k_{1}}^{\infty} M \frac{2 A}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+A^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \leqq \frac{\eta}{2}, \xi_{2} \leqq k_{1}<\infty
$$

we have $J_{2} \leqq \frac{\eta}{2}$ independently of $k \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$and $n$. $k_{1}$ being fixed by this condition, there is uniform convergence of

$$
r_{n}\left(k^{\prime}\right)=\frac{f_{n}\left(k^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+f_{n}\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}-\frac{f\left(k^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+f\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}}}
$$

to zero on the interval $\left[0, k_{1}\right]$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$. This follows from the inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|r_{n}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqq & \frac{1}{\inf \left[a^{2},\left(\xi_{2}^{2}-1\right)^{2},\left(\xi_{2}^{1}-1\right)^{2}\right]} \\
& \cdot\left|f_{n}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+f\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}}-f\left(k^{\prime}\right) \sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+f_{n}\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

As $J_{1} \leqq M \int_{0}^{k_{1}}\left|r_{n}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right| d k^{\prime}$, there exists an entire $n_{0}$ such that for $n \geqq n_{0}$, $J_{1} \leqq \frac{\eta}{2}$ independently of $k$. Therefore $n \geqq n_{0} \Rightarrow\left\|T\left(f_{n}\right)-T(f)\right\|_{\infty} \leqq \eta$ which proves Proposition 2.

Theorem. Eq. (1) admits at least one solution $\varphi \in \mathscr{K}$. (Therefore in particular $\varphi \neq 0$.)

Proof. The theorem follows immediately from Propositions 1 and 2 by applying the Schauder-Tychonoff theorem [7].

Remark. Condition IV holds if the following condition is verified: (V) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { There exists } N>0 \text { such that, for every fixed } k^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}, \text {the function } \\ K_{k^{\prime}}: K_{k^{\prime}}(k)=K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)\left(k \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}\right) \text {verifies } \lambda\left(K_{k^{\prime}}\right) \leqq N .\end{array}\right.$

This happens in particular if for every fixed $k^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, the function $K_{k^{\prime}}$ is continuous on $\boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, differentiable on $\boldsymbol{R}^{+}$except at most for a denumerable set of points of $\boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, and the absolute value of this derivative is majorized by $N$.

In general condition III $_{1}$ can be satisfied with a sufficiently small $a>0$, and condition $\mathrm{III}_{3}$ can be satisfied with a sufficiently large $A>0$. In order to produce a large clase of kernels fulfilling all the conditions, it is then sufficient to consider kernels which vanish sufficiently fast outside of $I \times I$ (in order to verify condition $\mathrm{III}_{2}$ ) and which are sufficiently regular (in order to verify condition IV).

## 3. The Case of a Positive Kernel

If $K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)>0$ for every $\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, one is tempted to put $I=\boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, because the inequality $\mathrm{III}_{2}$ is then automatically satisfied.

However, since in all reasonable physical cases $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)=0$, it is not possible in general to find in $a$ such that the inequality $\mathrm{III}_{1}$, written with $I=\boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, is satisfied.

In order to avoid this difficulty we consider, in the place of $\mathscr{K}$, the following subset of $\mathscr{F}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{+}\right)$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{K}^{\prime} & =\mathscr{K}^{\prime}(a, A, L)=\left\{f \in \mathscr{F}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{+}\right): f \text { real valued }>0\right. \\
\|f\|_{\infty} & \left.=\sup _{k \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}} f(k) \leqq A, f(k) \geqq a K(k, 1), \lambda(f) \leqq L\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we make the following hypotheses on the kernel $K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)$ :
( $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ ) $K$ is a measurable bounded function $>0$ on $\boldsymbol{R}^{+} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$; let $M$ be a bound such that $K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \leqq M$ for every

$$
\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{+} .
$$

(II') There exists an $a>0$ such that the following inequality holds:

$$
\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{+}} \frac{K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) K\left(k^{\prime}, 1\right)}{K(k, 1) \sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+a^{2} K\left(k^{\prime}, 1\right)^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \geqq 1 \quad \text { for all } \quad k \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+} .
$$

(III') There exists an $L>0$ such that

$$
\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{+}}\left|K\left(k_{1}, k^{\prime}\right)-K\left(k_{2}, k^{\prime}\right)\right| \frac{A}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+A^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \leqq L\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right|
$$

for every $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$, where $A$ is a positive number verifying the inequalities:

$$
M \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{+}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+A^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \leqq 1 ; \quad A>a K(1,1)
$$

It is straightforward to prove that propositions 1 and 2, as well as the existence theorem, hold equally well if we replace $\mathscr{K}$ by $\mathscr{K}^{\prime}$, and we take into account the new hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{I}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{II}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mathrm{III}^{\prime}\right)$ on the kernel. In particular if $f \in \mathscr{K}^{\prime}$, we have, making use of the inequality ( $\mathrm{II}^{\prime}$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(f)(k) & =\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{+}} K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \frac{f\left(k^{\prime}\right)}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+f\left(k^{\prime}\right)^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \\
& \geqq \int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{+}} K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \frac{a K\left(k^{\prime}, 1\right)}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+a^{2} K\left(k^{\prime}, 1\right)^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \geqq a K(k, 1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Example. Let us consider the kernel

$$
\begin{aligned}
K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) & =\frac{V k^{\prime}}{\pi k} \int_{0}^{\infty} d r e^{-\alpha r} \sin k r \sin k^{\prime} r \\
& =\frac{2 V \alpha}{\pi} \frac{k^{\prime 2}}{\left[\alpha^{2}+\left(k+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]\left[\alpha^{2}+\left(k-k^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right]}(\alpha>0, V>0)
\end{aligned}
$$

This kernel arises naturally in physical situations (see ref. 2); it corresponds to an attractive two body potential of the form $V(r)=V e^{-\alpha r}$, $r$ being the interparticle distance. It is easy to verify that

$$
K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \leqq \frac{2 V}{\pi \alpha} ;\left|\frac{\partial K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)}{\partial k}\right| \leqq \frac{8 V}{\pi \alpha} \sup \left(1, \frac{1}{\alpha^{2}}\right)
$$

for every $\left(k, k^{\prime}\right) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+} \times \boldsymbol{R}^{+}$
and therefore the kernel verifies the conditions ( $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ ), ( $\mathrm{III}^{\prime}$ ) (see the preceding Remark). It is also immediate to see that the function

$$
D\left(k^{\prime}\right)=\inf _{k \in \boldsymbol{R}^{+}} \frac{K\left(k, k^{\prime}\right)}{K(k, 1)}
$$

is continuous and strictly positive for $k^{\prime}>0$.
Therefore choosing $a$ sufficiently small in order that

$$
\int_{\boldsymbol{R}^{+}} D\left(k^{\prime}\right) \frac{K\left(k^{\prime}, 1\right)}{\sqrt{\left(k^{\prime 2}-1\right)^{2}+a^{2} K\left(k^{\prime}, 1\right)^{2}}} d k^{\prime} \geqq 1
$$

also condition ( $\mathrm{II}^{\prime}$ ) is verified, and the existence theorem applies.
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