Commun. math. Phys. 8, 1-11 (1968)

# Einstein-Maxwell Fields of Embedding Class One

C. D. Collinson

Department of Applied Mathematics, The University of Hull

Received December 1, 1967

Abstract. It is shown that a necessary condition for an Einstein-Maxwell field to be of embedding class one is that the electromagnetic field and Weyl tensor are *both* null (and non-zero). All Einstein-Maxwell fields of embedding class one are, in principle, obtained.

## 1. Introduction

The investigation carried out in this paper was motivated by the desire to determine whether the dimensionality of embeddings, discussed elsewhere [1], of certain Einstein-Maxwell fields is minimal. Necessary and sufficient conditions for embedding class one have been found by THOMAS [2]. However, these conditions involve extremely heavy algebraic manipulations and have not been much used by other workers. In fact, several papers have appeared recently discussing space-times of embedding class one, for instance SZEKERES [3] and STEPHANI [4]. In particular Stephani has investigated null electromagnetic fields of embedding class one. The present paper completes these investigations and generalizes them to the non-null case. The results can, in part, be stated as the

**Theorem.** Solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell field equations can be embedded (locally and isometrically) in a five dimensional pseudo-euclidean space only if the electromagnetic field and the Weyl tensor are both null and non-zero.

The null tetrad notation of NEWMAN and PENROSE [5] is used to prove this theorem. The notation is based on a tetrad of vectors  $l^{\alpha}$ ,  $n^{\alpha}$ ,  $\overline{m}^{\alpha}$ ,  $\overline{m}^{\alpha}$  satisfying the orthonormality conditions

$$l^lpha n_lpha = - \, m^lpha \, \overline{m}_lpha = 1$$
 ,

all other contractions being zero. Throughout this paper Greek letters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , ... denote tensor indices (and range from 1 to 4), Roman letters  $m, n, p, \ldots$  denote tetrad indices (and range from 1 to 4) whilst capital letters  $Q, R, S, \ldots$  denote tensor indices in the embedding space (and range from 1 to 5). The tetrad components of the Weyl tensor can be 1 Commun. math. Phys., Vol. 8

#### C. D. Collinson:

written in terms of the five complex scalars  $\psi_0$ ,  $\psi_1$ ,  $\psi_2$ ,  $\psi_3$ ,  $\psi_4$  whilst the tetrad components of the Ricci tensor can be written in terms of the ten scalars  $\phi_{mn}$ ,  $\Lambda$  (here alone the indices m, n take the values 0, 1, 2). Solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell field equations are characterized by

$$\Lambda = 0, \quad \phi_{mn} = \phi_m \bar{\phi}_n \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\phi_m$  are the following tetrad components of the electromagnetic field tensor  $F_{\alpha\beta}$ ,

$$\phi_0 = F_{\alpha\beta} l^{\alpha} m^{\beta}, \ \phi_1 = 1/2 \ F_{\alpha\beta} (l^{\alpha} n^{\beta} + \overline{m}^{\alpha} m^{\beta}) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_2 = F_{\alpha\beta} \overline{m}^{\alpha} n^{\beta}$$

The elegance of the Newman-Penrose notation is in the introduction of ten functions, called the spin coefficients, which are defined in terms of the complex Ricci rotation coefficients  $\gamma_{mnp}$  by

$$\begin{split} \varkappa &= \gamma_{131}, \ \pi = -\gamma_{241}, \ \varepsilon = 1/2 \ (\gamma_{121} - \gamma_{341}), \ \varrho = \gamma_{134}, \ \lambda = -\gamma_{244}, \\ \sigma &= \gamma_{133}, \ \mu = -\gamma_{243}, \ \alpha = 1/2 \ (\gamma_{124} - \gamma_{344}), \ \beta = 1/2 \ (\gamma_{123} - \gamma_{343}), \\ \nu &= -\gamma_{242}, \ \gamma = 1/2 \ (\gamma_{122} - \gamma_{342}) \text{ and } \tau = \gamma_{132}. \end{split}$$

An explicit notation is sometimes used for intrinsic derivatives, namely

$$D\phi = \phi, {}_{i}l^{i}, \Delta \phi = \phi, {}_{i}n^{i} \text{ and } \delta\phi = \phi, {}_{i}m^{i}$$

Continual use will be made of the Newman-Penrose field equations, the commutation relations for intrinsic derivatives, the Einstein-Maxwell field equations and, finally, the Bianchi identity in the presence of an electromagnetic field. All these equations are to be found in the references [5] and [6] and will not be reproduced here.

## 2. The Gauss-Codazzi Equations

It is well known [7] that a space-time is of embedding class one if and only if there exists a symmetric tensor  $a_{mn}$  satisfying the following equations.

Gauss equation:

$$R_{mn\,p\,q} = 2ea_{m\,[p}a_{q]n} \,. \tag{2.1}$$

Codazzi equation:

$$a_{m[n;p]} - \gamma^{q}_{[np]} a_{mq} + \gamma^{q}_{m[n} a_{p]q} = 0.$$
 (2.2)

In the above  $R_{mnpq}$  is the curvature tensor of the space-time,  $e = \pm 1$ and square brackets denote antisymmetrization. These equations are the integrability conditions of the differential equations

$$y_{;mn}^{\boldsymbol{Q}} - y_{;p}^{\boldsymbol{Q}} \, \gamma_{mn}^{\boldsymbol{p}} = e a_{mn} \eta^{\boldsymbol{Q}} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\eta^{\mathbf{Q}}_{:\mathbf{m}} = -a_{\mathbf{p}\,\mathbf{m}}\,y^{\mathbf{Q}\,\mathbf{p}}_{:}\,.\tag{2.4}$$

Here  $\eta^{Q}$  is a vector normal to the space-time and  $y^{Q}$  are coordinates in the embedding space.

A necessary condition for embedding class one can be obtained in the following straight forward manner. Define

$$T_{mnpq} = \varepsilon^{stuv} R_{stmp} R_{uvnq} . \qquad (2.5)$$

If the Gauss equation is satisfied

$$T_{mnpq} = 4 \varepsilon^{stuv} a_{sm} a_{tp} a_{un} a_{vq} = 4 |a| \varepsilon_{mnpq}.$$

Therefore

$$T_{mnpq} + T_{mnqp} = 0. (2.6)$$

The condition (2.6) is very useful when solving the equations (2.1). Contracting (2.6) on p and q gives

$$\varepsilon^{stuv} R_{stm} {}^{q} R_{uvnq} = 0$$

This can be written in terms of the Weyl tensor  $C_{mnp\,q}$  and Ricci tensor  $R_{mn}$  as

$$C^{u^*vpq} C_{uvpq} = R^t {}_{p} C^{p^*m}{}_{nt} = 0$$
,

where \* denotes the dual tensor. The components of the Gauss equation (2.1) can be written explicitly as

$$e(a_{13} a_{13} - a_{11} a_{33}) = \psi_0 \tag{2.7}$$

$$e(a_{12} a_{13} - a_{11} a_{23}) = \psi_1 + \phi_{01} \tag{2.8}$$

$$e(a_{12}a_{34} - a_{14}a_{23}) = \psi_2 + 2\Lambda \tag{2.9}$$

$$e(a_{12}a_{24} - a_{22}a_{14}) = \psi_3 + \phi_{21} \tag{2.10}$$

$$e(a_{24}a_{24} - a_{22}a_{44}) = \psi_4 \tag{2.11}$$

$$e(a_{13} a_{34} - a_{14} a_{33}) = \psi_1 - \phi_{01} \tag{2.12}$$

$$e(a_{11} a_{34} - a_{13} a_{14}) = -\phi_{00}$$
(2.13)

$$e(a_{13} a_{23} - a_{12} a_{33}) = -\phi_{02}$$
(2.14)

$$e(a_{22}a_{34} - a_{23}a_{24}) = -\phi_{22} \tag{2.15}$$

$$e(a_{24}a_{34} - a_{23}a_{44}) = \psi_3 - \phi_{21} \tag{2.16}$$

$$e(a_{11} a_{22} - a_{12} a_{12}) = -\psi_2 - \bar{\psi}_2 - 2\phi_{11} + 2\Lambda$$
(2.17)

$$e(a_{33}a_{44} - a_{34}a_{34}) = -\psi_2 - \bar{\psi}_2 + 2\phi_{11} + 2\Lambda$$
(2.18)

while the components of equation (2.6) can be written

$$\phi_{02} \left( \psi_2 - \bar{\psi}_2 \right) - 2 \psi_1 \phi_{12} + 2 \bar{\psi}_3 \phi_{01} - \bar{\psi}_4 \phi_{00} + \psi_0 \phi_{22} = 0 \tag{2.19}$$

$$-\phi_{00}\phi_{12} - \phi_{02}\phi_{10} + \psi_1 (-\psi_2 + 4\Lambda) + 2\phi_{01}\phi_{11} + \psi_0\psi_3 = 0 \quad (2.20)$$

$$-\phi_{22}\phi_{10} - \phi_{20}\phi_{12} + \psi_3(-\psi_2 + 4\Lambda) + 2\phi_{21}\phi_{11} + \psi_4\psi_1 = 0 \quad (2.21)$$

$$\phi_{01} \left( \psi_2 + 2\bar{\psi}_2 \right) - \bar{\psi}_3 \phi_{00} - \bar{\psi}_1 \phi_{02} - 2\psi_1 \phi_{11} + \psi_0 \phi_{21} = 0 \qquad (2.22)$$

$$\phi_{21} (\psi_2 + 2\bar{\psi}_2) - \bar{\psi}_2 \phi_{22} - \bar{\psi}_3 \phi_{20} - 2\psi_3 \phi_{11} + \psi_4 \phi_{01} = 0 \qquad (2.23)$$

1\*

#### C. D. Collinson:

$$-\phi_{00}(\psi_2 - \bar{\psi}_2) + 2\psi_1\phi_{10} - 2\bar{\psi}_1\phi_{01} + \bar{\psi}_0\phi_{02} - \psi_0\phi_{20} = 0 \qquad (2.24)$$

$$-\phi_{22}(\psi_2 - \bar{\psi}_2) + 2\psi_3\phi_{12} - 2\bar{\psi}_3\phi_{21} + \bar{\psi}_4\phi_{20} - \psi_4\phi_{02} = 0 \qquad (2.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0 & (\psi_2 + 2A) - \psi_1^2 + \phi_{01} \phi_{01} - \phi_{00} \phi_{02} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.26} \\ \psi_1 & (\psi_2 + 2A) - \psi_2^2 + \phi_{02} \phi_{02} - \phi_{02} \phi_{02} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi_4 \ (\psi_2 + 2\Lambda) = \psi_3 \ + \psi_{21} \ \psi_{21} = \psi_{22} \ \psi_{20} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.27} \\ &(\psi_2 + \bar{\psi}_2 + 2\phi_{11} - 2\Lambda) \ (\psi_2 - \bar{\psi}_2) - (\psi_1 + \phi_{01}) \ (\psi_3 + \phi_{21}) \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

$$+ (\bar{\psi}_1 + \phi_{10}) (\bar{\psi}_3 + \phi_{12}) = 0$$
(2.28)

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_2 + \bar{\psi}_2 - 2\phi_{11} - 2\Lambda) (\psi_2 - \bar{\psi}_2) - (\psi_1 - \phi_{01}) (\psi_3 - \phi_{21}) \\ + (\bar{\psi}_1 - \phi_{10}) (\bar{\psi}_3 - \phi_{12}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$
 (2.29)

$$(\psi_{2} + \bar{\psi}_{2} + 2\phi_{11} - 2\Lambda) (\psi_{2} + \bar{\psi}_{2} - 2\phi_{11} - 2\Lambda) - \psi_{4}\psi_{0} + \phi_{00}\phi_{22} - (\bar{\psi}_{1} + \phi_{10}) (\bar{\psi}_{3} - \phi_{12}) + \phi_{02}\phi_{20} + (\bar{\psi}_{2} + 2\Lambda)^{2} - 2(\psi_{2} + 2\Lambda) (\bar{\psi}_{2} + 2\Lambda) - (\bar{\psi}_{3} + \phi_{12}) (\bar{\psi}_{1} - \phi_{10}) = 0.$$

$$(2.30)$$

## 3. Algebraically General Electromagnetic Fields

If a solution of the Einstein-Maxwell field equations represents an algebraically general electromagnetic field then there exists two null vectors satisfying the equation

$$k^{\alpha}F_{\alpha\,[\beta}k_{\gamma]}=0$$

Choosing these vectors as the tetrad vectors  $l^{\alpha}$  and  $n^{\alpha}$  gives

$$\phi_0 = \phi_2 = 0 . \tag{3.1}$$

Without loss of generality it can be assumed that  $\phi_1$  is non-zero. Eqs. (2.19), . . ., (2.30) together with the conditions (1.1) and (3.1) yield either

$$\psi_2 \neq 0$$
,  $\psi_0 = \psi_1 = \psi_3 = \psi_4 = 0$ ,  $4\phi_{11}^2 = 3\psi_2^2$  (3.2)

 $\mathbf{or}$ 

$$\psi_2 = 0, \quad \psi_1 = \psi_3 = 0, \quad 4\phi_{11}^2 = -\psi_0\psi_4.$$
 (3.3)

Substituting (3.2) into the Einstein-Maxwell field equations and into the Bianchi identities gives

$$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{\sigma} = \mathbf{\lambda} = \mathbf{\varkappa} = \mathbf{\mu} = \mathbf{\tau} = \mathbf{\pi} = \mathbf{\varrho} = \mathbf{0}$$

The Newman-Penrose field equation

$$D\mu - \delta\pi = (\bar{\varrho}\mu + \sigma\lambda) + \pi\bar{\pi} - (\varepsilon + \bar{\varepsilon})\mu - \pi(\bar{\alpha} - \beta) - \nu\varkappa + \psi_2 + 2\Lambda \quad (3.4)$$

then yields  $\psi_2 = 0$  which contradicts (3.2). The case corresponding to (3.3) is far more difficult to deal with. Substituting (3.3) into the Einstein-

Maxwell field equations gives

$$egin{aligned} D \, \phi_1 &= 2 \, arrho \, \phi_1 \ &= - \, 2 \mu \, \phi_1 \ &\delta \, \phi_1 &= - \, 2 \pi \, \phi_1 \ &\delta \, \phi_1 &= - \, 2 \pi \, \phi_1 \, . \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.3) into the Bianchi identities gives

$$egin{aligned} D \, \psi_0 &= (4 \, arepsilon + 2 \, arepsilon + 4 \, ar arepsilon) \, \psi_0 \ arDelta \, \psi_0 &= (4 \, \gamma - 2 \mu) \, \psi_0 \ \delta \, \psi_0 &= (-4 \, ar \pi + 2 \, au + 4 eta) \, \psi_0 \ ar \delta \, \psi_0 &= (4 \, lpha - 2 \, ar \pi) \, \psi_0 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$2\sigma\phi_1\phi_1 = -\mu\psi_0 \tag{3.5}$$

$$2\varkappa\phi_1\bar{\phi}_1 = \pi\,\psi_0\tag{3.6}$$

$$\nu \psi_0 = -2\tau \phi_1 \bar{\phi}_1 \tag{3.7}$$

$$\lambda \psi_0 = 2 \varrho \phi_1 \phi_1 . \tag{3.8}$$

Applying the commutators to  $\phi_1$  and  $\psi_0$  yields, after simplification,

$$\Delta \varrho = \varrho \left( \gamma + \bar{\gamma} \right) + \pi \left( \tau + \bar{\pi} \right) + \bar{\tau} \bar{\pi} - \bar{\nu} \bar{\varkappa} + \phi_{11} \tag{3.9}$$

$$D\mu = -\mu(\varepsilon + \bar{\varepsilon}) - \tau(\pi + \bar{\tau}) - \bar{\tau}\bar{\pi} + \bar{\nu}\bar{\varkappa} - \phi_{11}$$
(3.10)

$$\delta \varrho = \varrho \left( \bar{\alpha} + \beta - \bar{\pi} \right) + \pi \sigma \tag{3.11}$$

$$\bar{\delta}\mu = -\mu\left(\bar{\beta} + \alpha - \bar{\tau}\right) - \tau\lambda \tag{3.12}$$

$$\Delta \pi = -\nu \varrho - \pi (\bar{\mu} - \bar{\gamma} + \gamma) \tag{3.13}$$

$$D\tau = \varkappa \mu + \tau (\bar{\varrho} - \bar{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon) \tag{3.14}$$

$$\delta \pi = - \varrho \left( \mu - \bar{\mu} \right) - \pi \left( \beta - \bar{\alpha} \right) - \bar{\mu} \bar{\varrho} + \bar{\lambda} \bar{\sigma} - \phi_{11} \tag{3.15}$$

$$\delta \tau = \mu \left( \varrho - \bar{\varrho} \right) + \tau \left( \alpha - \beta \right) + \bar{\varrho} \bar{\mu} - \lambda \bar{\sigma} + \phi_{11} \tag{3.16}$$

$$0 = \pi \bar{\mu} - \bar{\tau} \mu - 2\pi \mu \tag{3.17}$$

$$0 = \tau \bar{\varrho} - \bar{\pi} \varrho - 2\tau \varrho . \tag{3.18}$$

The Newman-Penrose field equations

 $\Delta \lambda - \bar{\delta} \nu = - (\mu + \bar{\mu}) \lambda - (3\gamma - \bar{\gamma}) \lambda + (3\alpha + \bar{\beta} + \pi - \bar{\tau}) \nu - \psi_4$ and

 $D\mu - \delta\pi = (\bar{\varrho}\mu + \sigma\lambda) + \pi\bar{\pi} - (\varepsilon + \bar{\varepsilon})\mu - \pi(\bar{\alpha} - \beta) + \nu\varkappa + \psi_2 + 2\Lambda$ now give, using (3.5), ..., (3.16),

$$ar{arrho}\mu+arrho\,ar{\mu}-2\,arrho\mu-2\,ar{arrho}\,ar{\mu}-\pi\,ar{\pi}- au\,ar{ au}-2\, au\,\pi-2\,ar{ au}\,ar{\pi}=0$$
 (3.19)  
and

$$ar{arrho}\mu + arrho\,ar{\mu} - 2\,arrho\mu - 2\,ar{arrho}\,ar{\mu} + \pi\,ar{\pi} + au\,ar{ au} + 2\, au\,\pi + 2\,ar{ au}\,ar{\pi} = 0 \;.$$
 (3.20)

#### C. D. Collinson:

Suppose  $\rho = 0$ . From Eq. (3.11),  $\pi\sigma = 0$ . If  $\pi = 0$  Eqs. (3.15) and (3.8) yields  $\phi_{11} = 0$  which contradicts the hypothesis that  $\phi_1 \neq 0$ . If  $\pi \neq 0$  then  $\sigma = 0$  and from Eq. (3.5),  $\mu = 0$ . Eq. (3.9) and (3.10) become, using (3.6) and (3.7),

$$\pi \tau + \bar{\pi} \pi + \bar{\pi} \,\bar{\tau} + \bar{\pi} \,\bar{\tau} + \phi_{11} = 0 \tag{3.21}$$

$$-\pi \tau - \bar{\tau} \tau - \bar{\pi} \bar{\tau} - \bar{\pi} \bar{\tau} - \phi_{11} = 0.$$
 (3.22)

The imaginary part of Eq. (3.21) gives

$$\bar{\pi}\,\bar{\tau}=\pi\tau\,,\qquad\qquad(3.23)$$

while Eq. (3.21) plus (3.22) gives

$$\bar{\pi}\pi = \bar{\tau}\tau$$
. (3.24)

Substituting these into Eq. (3.19) gives  $\tau = -1/2 \pi$  and then Eq. (3.24) yields  $\pi \bar{\pi} = 0$ . This contradicts the hypothesis that  $\pi \neq 0$ .

Now suppose  $\rho \neq 0$ . If  $\tau \neq 0$  Eqs. (3.17) and (3.18) yield

$$3\bar{\varrho}\mu + \varrho\bar{\mu} - 2\varrho\mu - 2\bar{\varrho}\bar{\mu} = 0. \qquad (3.25)$$

Eq. (3.19) plus (3.20) gives

$$\bar{\varrho}\mu + \varrho\,\bar{\mu} - 2\,\varrho\mu - 2\,\bar{\varrho}\,\bar{\mu} = 0 \tag{3.26}$$

and comparing these equations,  $\mu = 0$ . Eqs. (3.12) and (3.8) then give  $\rho\tau = 0$  which contradicts the hypotheses. If  $\tau = 0$  then from Eq. (3.18),  $\pi = 0$ . Eqs. (3.15) and (3.16) now become

$$- \rho \mu + \rho \bar{\mu} - \bar{\varrho} \bar{\mu} - \bar{\varrho} \bar{\mu} - \phi_{11} = 0 \qquad (3.27)$$

$$\varrho\mu - \bar{\varrho}\mu + \bar{\varrho}\bar{\mu} + \bar{\varrho}\bar{\mu} + \phi_{11} = 0. \qquad (3.28)$$

Eq. (3.27) plus (3.28) gives

$$\varrho\,\bar{\mu} = \bar{\varrho}\mu \tag{3.29}$$

while the imaginary part of (3.27) gives

$$\bar{\varrho}\,\bar{\mu} = \varrho\mu\;.\tag{3.30}$$

Substituting these into the sum of the Eq. (3.19) and (3.20) yields

$$\varrho \, \bar{\mu} = 2 \, \varrho \mu$$
,

whence  $\mu = 0$ . From Eq. (3.10)  $\phi_{11} = 0$  which contradicts the hypothesis that  $\phi_1 \neq 0$ . The space-times considered in this section are therefore not of embedding class one.

## 4. Null Electromagnetic Fields

If a solution of the Einstein-Maxwell field equations represents a null electromagnetic field then there exists a null vector satisfying the equations

$$F_{\alpha\beta}k^{\beta} = F_{[\alpha\beta}k_{\gamma]} = 0 \; .$$

#### **Einstein-Maxwell Fields**

Choosing this vector as the tetrad vector  $l^{\alpha}$  gives

$$\phi_0 = \phi_1 = 0 . (4.1)$$

Without loss of generality it can be assumed that  $\phi_2$  is non-zero. Eqs. (2.19), . . ., (2.30) together with the conditions (1.1) and (4.1) yield

$$\psi_0 = \psi_1 = \psi_2 = \psi_3 = 0 \; .$$

The Weyl tensor is therefore null. This completes the proof of the Theorem stated in the Introduction (the fact that the Weyl tensor must be non-zero is apparent from the subsequent calculations).

STEPHANI [4] has shown that, if the Einstein-Maxwell field is of embedding class one, the vector  $l^{\alpha}$  is geodesic, hypersurface orthogonal and expansion free. Space-times admitting a congruence  $l^{\alpha}$  having these properties have been discussed by KUNDT [8]. In particular the metric is of the form

 $ds^{2} = - |dz - 4vs du/(z + \overline{z})|^{2} - 2du dv - H du^{2}, s = +1 \text{ or } 0.$  (4.2) The vectors  $l^{\alpha}$ ,  $n^{\alpha}$ ,  $m^{\alpha}$  defined by

$$l^{lpha}=\delta^{lpha}_2,\,n^{lpha}=-\,\delta^{lpha}_1+1/2\,H\,\delta^{lpha}_2-4\,s\,v\,(z+\overline{z})^{-1}\,(\delta^{lpha}_0+\delta^{lpha}_{\overline{0}}),\,m^{lpha}=\sqrt{2}\,\delta^{lpha}_0$$

form a null tetrad. Here  $(x^1, x^2, x^0, x^{\overline{0}}) \equiv (u, v, z, \overline{z})$ .

Substituting the coordinates into the commutators yields

$$\begin{split} &\varkappa = \varrho = \sigma = \varepsilon = 0 \ , \\ &\alpha = \beta = 1/2 \ \tau = 1/2 \ \pi = - \ 1/2 \ s \sqrt{2} (z + \overline{z})^{-1} \ , \\ &\lambda = \mu = 4 s v (z + \overline{z})^{-2} \ , \\ &\nu = - \ 1/2 \sqrt{2} \partial H / \partial \overline{z} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = - \ 1/4 \ \partial H / \partial v \ . \end{split}$$

Substituting these values for the spin coefficients into the Newman-Penrose field equations gives

$$\begin{split} \Lambda &= \phi_0 = \phi_1 = \psi_0 = \psi_1 = \psi_2 = \psi_3 = 0 , \\ \psi_4 &= -\partial^2 H/\partial \bar{z}^2 - 2Hs(z+\bar{z})^{-2} - 106sv^2(z+\bar{z})^{-4} \\ &+ 2sv(z+\bar{z})^{-2}\partial H/\partial v + 2s(z+\bar{z})^{-1}\partial H/\partial \bar{z} \\ \phi_{22} &= -\partial^2 H/\partial z \partial \bar{z} - 2Hs(z+\bar{z})^{-2} - 106sv^2(z+\bar{z})^{-4} \\ &+ 2sv(z+\bar{z})^{-2}\partial H/\partial v + s(z+\bar{z})^{-1}(\partial H/\partial z + \partial H/\partial \bar{z}) \end{split}$$

with

vield

 $\partial^2 H/\partial v^2 = -24s(z+\bar{z})^{-2}$  and  $\partial^2 H/\partial v \partial z = 48sv(z+\bar{z})^{-3}$ . The Bianchi identities are identically satisfied and Maxwell's equations

$$D\phi_2 = \delta\phi_2 = 0 \; .$$

The forms of the metric and tetrad are invariant under the transformations

$$u' = f(u), \quad v' = v\dot{f}^{-1}(u); \quad z' = z, \quad H' = H\dot{f}^{-2} + 2\ddot{f}\dot{f}^{-3}v,$$

with

$$l^{\alpha'} = \dot{f} \, l^{\alpha}, \qquad n^{\alpha'} = \dot{f}^{-1} \, n^{\alpha}, \qquad m^{\alpha'} = m^{\alpha} \,, \qquad (4.3)$$
$$v' = v + f(u) \, (z + \bar{z})^{2s}, \qquad u' = u \,, \quad z' = z \,,$$

$$H'_{}=H-16sf^{2}(z+\overline{z})^{4\,s-2}-32vsf(z+\overline{z})^{2\,s-2}-2\dot{f}(z+\overline{z})^{2\,s},$$

with

$$\begin{split} l^{\alpha'} &= l^{\alpha} , \quad n^{\alpha'} = n^{\alpha} + 8s f^2 (z + \overline{z})^{4s - 2} l^{\alpha} \\ &- 2 \sqrt{2} s f (z + \overline{z})^{2s - 1} (m^{\alpha} + \overline{m}^{\alpha}) , \quad m^{\alpha'} = m^{\alpha} \\ &- 2 \sqrt{2} s f (z + \overline{z})^{2s - 1} l^{\alpha} , \end{split}$$
(4.4)

and, for s = 0,

$$z' = z + f(u), \ v' = v - 1/2 \ (\dot{f}\bar{z} + \bar{f}z), \ u' = u, \ H' = H + \ddot{f}\bar{z} + \bar{f}z - \dot{f}\bar{f}$$

$$l^{\alpha'} = l^{\alpha}, \ n^{\alpha'} = n^{\alpha} + 1/2 \ \dot{f}\bar{f}\,l^{\alpha}$$

$$+ 1/2 \sqrt{2} \ \dot{f}m^{\alpha} + 1/2 \sqrt{2} \ \dot{f}\bar{m}^{\alpha}, \ m^{\alpha'} = m^{\alpha} + 1/2 \sqrt{2} \ \dot{f}\,l^{\alpha}.$$
(4.5)

To complete the present work it is necessary to obtain those functions H for which the metric (4.2) is of embedding class one. This is achieved by analysing the Gauss-Codazzi equations. The case of embeddings with  $a_{33} = 0$  is fairly straight forward and the results are summarized in section 5 (for s = 0) and section 6 (for s = 1). The case of embeddings with  $a_{33} \neq 0$  is far more difficult and the appropriate functions H, although found in principle, are not displayed in a closed form. For this reason the explicit calculations are given in section 7.

## 5. Embeddings of the Space-Time s = 0 with $a_{33} = 0$

With  $a_{33} = s = 0$  the Gauss-Codazzi equations admit a solution if and only if the function H can be put in the form

$$H=(\overline{A}\,z-A\,\overline{z})^2$$
 ,

where A is a function of u. One solution of the Gauss-Codazzi equations is then

$$e=+1 \; , \ a_{23}=-\, i\, \sqrt{2}\, \overline{A} \;\; {
m and} \;\; a_{22}=i\, ({\dot A}z-{\dot A}{ar z})$$

with all other components zero. The Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) can be solved to give

$$y^Q = C_1^Q v + C_2^Q u + C_3^Q + 1/2 \sqrt{2} [R^Q(u) (A \overline{z} + \overline{A} z) - i S^Q(u) (A \overline{z} - \overline{A} z)]$$
  
where  $C_1^Q, C_2^Q, C_3^Q$  are constants and  $R^Q, S^Q$  are real functions of  $u$  satisfying the equations

$$iS[Aar{A}-ar{A}A]=-2Aar{A}B-R[Aar{A}+ar{A}A]$$

and

$$\overline{A}\left[\ddot{A}\left(R+iS
ight)+\dot{A}\left(\dot{R}+i\dot{S}
ight)+\overline{A}\left(\ddot{R}+i\ddot{S}
ight)
ight]=\dot{A}^{2}(R+iS)+4\overline{A}^{2}A\ iS$$
 .

As an explicit example of the embedding consider the case A = +1. Then the space-time

$$ds^2 = - dz d\overline{z} - 2 du dv - (z - \overline{z})^2 du^2$$

is obtained from the flat space

$$ds^2 = -(dz^1)^2 + (dz^2)^2 - (dz^3)^2 - (dz^4)^2 + (dz^5)^2$$

by the transformation

6. Embeddings of the Space-Time 
$$s = 1$$
 with  $a_{33} = 0$ 

With  $a_{33} = 0$ , s = 1 the Gauss-Codazzi equations admit a solution if and only if the function H can be put in the form

$$H = - \, 12 \, v^2 \, (z + \overline{z})^{-2} + A + 1/2 \, i \, B \, (z^2 - \overline{z}^2) + C \, (z + \overline{z}) \; ,$$

where A, B and C are real functions of u with A < 0. In this case

$$e=+1$$
 , $a_{23}=\sqrt{2}\,iA^{1/2}(z+\overline{z})^{-1}$  ,  $a_{22}=1/2\,iA^{-1/2}\dot{A}$ 

and

$$y^Q = v\,M^Q\,(z+ar{z})^{-1} - 1/4\,\,\dot{M}^Q\,(z+ar{z}) + i\,R^Q\,(z-ar{z}) + P^Q$$

where  $M^{Q}$ ,  $R^{Q}$  and  $P^{Q}$  are real functions of u satisfying the equations

$$egin{array}{ll} \dot{M}^Q = & - \ 4 \ B \ R^Q \ \dot{R}^Q = 1/4 \ B \ M^Q \end{array}$$

and

$$\dot{P}^{Q} = 1/2 C M^{Q} + i A^{1/2} C_{1}^{Q};$$

here  $C_1^Q$  is a real constant.

As an explicit example of the embedding consider the case A = -1, B = C = 0. Then the space-time

$$egin{aligned} ds^2 &= - \, |dz - 4 \, v \, (z + ar z)^{-1} \, du |^2 - 2 \, du \, dv \ &+ \, du^2 + 12 \, v^2 (z + ar z)^{-2} \, du^2 \end{aligned}$$

is obtained from the flat space

$$ds^2 = - (dz^1)^2 - (dz^2)^2 + (dz^3)^2 + (dz^4)^2 - (dz^5)^2$$

by applying the transformation

$$\begin{split} z^1 &= 1/2 \; i \; (z - \overline{z}) \;, \quad z^2 &= 2 \, u \, v \, (z + \overline{z})^{-1} - 1/2 \; (z + \overline{z}) \;, \quad z^3 = u \;, \\ z^4 &= v \, (u^2 + 1) \; (z + \overline{z})^{-1} - 1/2 \, u \, (z + \overline{z}) \;, \quad z^5 &= v \, (u^2 - 1) \; (z + \overline{z})^{-1} - 1/2 \, u \, (z + \overline{z}) \;. \end{split}$$

# 7. Embeddings with $a_{33} = 0$

In this case the Codazzi equations yield  $\tau = 0$ . Therefore only those space-times (4.2) with s = 0 need be considered. For these space-times the Gauss equations (2.7), ..., (2.18) give

$$a_{11} = a_{12} = a_{13} = 0$$
,  $a_{23} = A e^{i\theta}$ ,  $a_{33} = a_{34} e^{2i\theta}$  (7.1)

with

$$A^2 - a_{22}a_{34} = -e\,\partial^2 H/\partial z\,\partial\bar{z} \tag{7.2}$$

and

$$e^{-2i\theta} \partial^2 H/\partial z \partial \overline{z} = \partial^2 H/\partial \overline{z}^2 .$$
(7.3)

Using (7.1) the non-trivial Codazzi equations can be written as

$$\theta_{;3}A - \theta_{;2} a_{34} e^{i\theta} = 0 , \qquad (7.4)$$

$$A_{;3} - a_{34;2} e^{i\theta} - i\theta_{;2} a_{34} e^{i\theta} = 0 , \qquad (7.5)$$

$$a_{34;4} e^{i\theta} - a_{34;3} e^{-i\theta} + 2i\theta_{;4} e^{i\theta} a_{34} = 0 , \qquad (7.6)$$

and

$$a_{22;3} - a_{23;2} - a_{33} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \bar{z}} - a_{24} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial z} = 0 , \quad (7.7)$$

where  $a_{34}$ , A and  $a_{22}$  are now independent of v.

If  $\theta_{;3} = 0$  Eq. (7.4) yields  $\theta_{;2} = 0$  and so  $\theta$  is a constant which can be made zero by means of the transformation  $z' = e^{i\theta}z$ . Eq. (7.3) then gives, using the transformation (4.5),

$$H = H(x, u) , \qquad (7.8)$$

where  $x = z + \overline{z}$ .

Equations (7.5) and (7.6) yield

 $A = \partial G/\partial u$  and  $a_{34} = -\sqrt{2} \partial G/\partial x$ ,

where G = G(x, u).

Only Eq. (7.7) remains to be satisfied. This equation can be written  $\left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}\right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial u^2} + \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial u}\right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^3} - 2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial u} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial u \partial x}$ (7.9)

$$+ e \frac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x^2} - e \frac{\partial G}{\partial x} \frac{\partial^3 H}{\partial x^3} + 2 \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x}\right)^3 \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = 0.$$

Space-times given by (4.2) and (7.8) are therefore of embedding class one if Eq. (7.9) admits a solution for G in terms of H. As an example consider the case  $\partial H/\partial u = 0$ . Then one solution of (7.9) is

$$e = +1$$
,  $\sqrt{2}G = \log(\partial H/\partial x)$ .

If 
$$\theta_{:3} \neq 0$$
 Eq. (7.4) gives

$$A=Pa_{34},$$

where

and

$$P = \left[e^{i\theta} \theta_{;2}/\theta_{;3}\right].$$

Eq. (7.6) is identically satisfied while Eqs. (7.5) and (7.7) can be written in terms of  $a_{34}$  as

$$Pa_{34;3} + P_{;3}a_{34} - a_{34;2}e^{i\theta} - i\theta_{;2}a_{34}e^{i\theta} = 0$$
(7.10)

$$- ea_{34;3}\partial^2 H/\partial z \,\partial \overline{z} + e\sqrt{2} \,a_{34}\partial^3 H/\partial z^2 \,\partial \overline{z} + a_{34}^3 (PP_{;3} - e^{i\theta}P_{;2}) - a_{34}^3 \,1/2\sqrt{2} \,(e^{2i\theta}\partial H/\partial \overline{z} + \partial H/\partial z) = 0 .$$

$$(7.11)$$

Eq. (7.11) is an equation for  $a_{34;3}$  whilst (7.10) can be rewritten as an equation for  $a_{34;2}$ . The integrability condition for these equations will give a polynomial in  $a_{34}$ . If this is solved and the resulting expression for  $a_{34}$  is substituted back into (7.10) and (7.11) then two conditions on H are obtained which, together with

$$(\partial^2 H/\partial z^2) \; (\partial^2 H/\partial \overline{z}^2) = (\partial^2 H/\partial z \, \partial \overline{z})^2$$

[see Eq. (7.3)], will form a set of sufficient conditions for embedding class one. This then exhausts all possible Einstein-Maxwell fields of embedding class one.

The author would like to thank Professor F.A.E. PIRANI for his helpful comments on the manuscript.

### References

- 1. COLLINSON, C. D.: to be published in J. Math. Phys.
- 2. THOMAS, T. Y.: Acta. Math. 67, 169 (1936).
- 3. SZEKERES, P.: Nuovo Cimento XL 111A, 1062 (1966).
- 4. STEPHANI, H.: Commun. math. Phys. 4, 137 (1967).
- 5. NEWMAN, E., and R. PENROSE: J. Math. Phys. 3, 566 (1962).
- 6. -, J. Math. Phys. 4, 998 (1963).
- 7. EISENHART, L. P.: Riemannian Geometry, p. 97. Princeton. New Jersey: Princeton University Press 1925.
- 8. KUNDT, W.: Z. Physik 163, 77 (1961).

Dr. C. D. COLLINSON Department of Applied Mathematics University of Hull Hull, Great Britain