35. The Expression of a Double Inclusion by Means of an Indeterminate.—THEOREM. The double inclusion

b < x < a

is equivalent to the equality x = au + bu' together with the condition (b < a), u being a term absolutely indeterminate.

Demonstration .- Let us develop the equality in question,

$$x(a'u + b'u') + x'(au + bu') = 0,$$

(a'x + ax')u + (b'x + bx')u' = 0.

Eliminating *u* from it,

a'b'x + abx' = 0.

This equality is equivalent to the double inclusion

ab < x < a + b.

But, by hypothesis, we have

(b < a) = (a b = b) = (a + b = a).

The double inclusion is therefore reduced to

b < x < a.

So, whatever the value of u, the equality under consideration involves the double inclusion. Conversely, the double inclusion involves the equality, whatever the value of x may be, for it is equivalent to

a'x + bx' = 0,

and then the equality is simplified and reduced to

ax'u+b'xu'=0.

from which (by a formula to be demonstrated later on) we derive the solutions

$$u = ab + w (a + b'), \quad v = a'b + w (a + b),$$

or simply

 $u = ab + wb', \quad v = a'b + wa,$

w being absolutely indeterminate. We would arrive at these solutions simply by asking: By what term must we multiply b in order to obtain *a*? By a term which contains ab plus any part of b'. What term must we add to *a* in order to obtain *b*? A term which contains a'b plus any part of *a*. In short, *u* can vary between ab and a + b', v between a'b and a + b. We can always derive from this the value of u in terms of x, for the resultant (ab'xx'=0) is identically verified. The solution is given by the double inclusion

$$b'x < u < a' + x.$$

Remark.—There is no contradiction between this result, which shows that the value of u lies between certain limits, and the previous assertion that u is absolutely indeterminate; for the latter assumes that x is any value that will verify the double inclusion, while when we evaluate u in terms of x the value of x is supposed to be determinate, and it is with respect to this particular value of x that the value of u is subjected to limits.¹

In order that the value of u should be completely determined, it is necessary and sufficient that we should have

$$b'x = a' + x,$$

that is to say,

b' x a x' + (b + x') (a' + x) = 0

or

bx + a'x' = 0.

Now, by hypothesis, we already have

$$a'x + bx' = 0.$$

If we combine these two equalities, we find

$$(a'+b=o)=(a=1) (b=o).$$

This is the case when the value of x is absolutely indeterminate, since it lies between the limits \circ and I.

In this case we have

u = b'x = a + x = x.

In order that the value of u be absolutely indeterminate, it is necessary and sufficient that we have at the same time

^I Moreover, if we substitute for x its inferior limit b in the inferior limit of u, this limit becomes bb' = 0; and, if we substitute for x its superior limit a in the superior limit of u, this limit becomes $a + a' = \mathbf{I}$.

$$b'x = 0, \quad a' + x = 1,$$

 $b'x + ax' = 0,$

or

that is

a < x < b.

Now we already have, by hypothesis,

so we may infer

$$b=x=a$$
.

This is the case in which the value of x is completely determinate.

36. Solution of an Equation Involving One Unknown Quantity.—The solution of the equation

$$ax + bx' = 0$$

may be expressed in the form

$$x = a'u + bu',$$

u being an indeterminate, on condition that the resultant of the equation be verified; for we can prove that this equality implies the equality

$$ab'x + a'bx' = 0$$
,

which is equivalent to the double inclusion

a'b < x < a'+b.

Now, by hypothesis, we have

$$(ab = o) = (a'b = b) = (a' + b = a').$$

Therefore, in this hypothesis, the proposed solution implies the double inclusion

which is equivalent to the given equation,

Remark.—In the same hypothesis in which we have

$$(ab = o) = (b < a'),$$

we can always put this solution in the simpler but less symmetrical forms

 $x = b + a'u, \quad x = a'(b + u).$

50