Demonstration.—First multiplying by x both members of the given equality [which is the first member of the entire secondary equality], we have

$$x = ax$$
,

which, as we know, is equivalent to the inclusion x < a.

Now multiplying both members by x', we have

$$o = bx',$$

which, as we know, is equivalent to the inclusion

b < x.

Summing up, we have

$$(x = ax + bx') < (b < x < a).$$

Conversely,

$$(b < x < a) < (x = ax + bx').$$

For

$$(x < a) = (x = ax),$$

 $(b < x) = (bx' = o).$

Adding these two equalities member to member [the second members of the two larger equalities],

$$(x = ax) (o = bx) < (x = ax + bx').$$

Therefore

(b < x < a) < (x = ax + bx'),

and thus the equivalence is proved.

30. Schröder's Theorem.¹—The equality ax + bx' = o

signifies that x lies between a' and b.

Demonstration:

$$(ax + bx' = 0) = (ax = 0) (bx' = 0),$$

 $(ax = 0) = (x < a'),$
 $(bx' = 0) = (b < x).$

¹ SCHRÖDER, Operationskreis des Logikkalkuls (1877), Theorem 20.

Hence

$$(ax+bx'=o)=(b< x< a').$$

Comparing this theorem with the formula of PORETSKY, we obtain at once the equality

$$(ax+bx'=0)=(x=a'x+bx'),$$

which may be directly proved by reducing the formula of PORETSKY to an equality whose second member is o, thus:

$$(x = a'x + bx') = [x(ax + b'x') + x'(a'x + bx') = 0]$$

= (ax + bx' = 0).

If we consider the given equality as an *equation* in which x is the unknown quantity, PORETSKY's formula will be its solution.

• From the double inclusion

we conclude, by the principle of the syllogism, that

$$b < a'$$
.

This is a consequence of the given equality and is independent of the unknown quantity x. It is called the *resultant of the elimination* of x in the given equation. It is equivalent to the equality

$$ab = o.$$

Therefore we have the implication

$$(ax+bx'=o) < (ab=o).$$

Taking this consequence into consideration, the solution may be simplified, for

$$(ab = o) = (b = a'b).$$

Therefore

$$x = a'x + bx' = a'x + a'bx'$$

= a'bx + a'b'x + a'bx' = a'b + a'b'x
= b + a'b'x = b + a'x.

This form of the solution conforms most closely to common sense: since x' contains b and is contained in a', it is natural that x should be equal to the sum of b and a part of a'

40

(that is to say, the part common to a' and x). The solution is generally indeterminate (between the limits a' and b); it is determinate only when the limits are equal,

$$a'=b$$
,

for then

$$x = b + a'x = b + bx = b = a'.$$

Then the equation assumes the form

$$(ax + a'x' = 0) = (a' = x)$$

and is equivalent to the double inclusion

$$(a' < x < a') = (x = a').$$

31. The Resultant of Elimination.—When ab is not zero, the equation is impossible (always false), because it has a false consequence. It is for this reason that SCHRÖDER considers the resultant of the elimination as a *condition* of the equation. But we must not be misled by this equivocal word. The resultant of the elimination of x is not a *cause* of the equation, it is a *consequence* of it; it is not a *sufficient* but a *necessary* condition.

The same conclusion may be reached by observing that ab is the inferior limit of the function ax + bx', and that consequently the function can not vanish unless this limit is o.

$$(ab < ax + bx') (ax + bx' = o) < (ab = o).$$

We can express the resultant of elimination in other equivalent forms; for instance, if we write the equation in the form

$$(a+x')(b+x)=0,$$

we observe that the resultant

$$ab = 0$$

is obtained simply by dropping the unknown quantity (by suppressing the terms x and x'). Again the equation may be written:

$$a'x + b'x' = \mathbf{I}$$

and the resultant of elimination:

a' + b' = 1.