

A note on the Lindeberg condition for convergence to stable laws in Mallows distance

EURO G. BARBOSA¹ and CHANG C.Y. DOREA²

¹*Banco Central do Brasil, SBS Quadra 3, 70074-900 Brasília-DF, Brazil.*

E-mail: euro.barbosa@bcb.gov.br

²*Departamento de Matemática, Universidade de Brasília, 70910-900 Brasília-DF, Brazil.*

E-mail: changdorea@unb.br

We correct a condition in a result of Johnson and Samworth (*Bernoulli* **11** (2005) 829–845) concerning convergence to stable laws in Mallows distance. We also give an improved version of this result, setting it in the more familiar context of a Lindeberg-like condition.

Keywords: Lindeberg condition; Mallows distance; stable laws

Theorem 5.2 of [1] considers a fixed parameter $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, an independent sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots with $S_n = (X_1 + \dots + X_n)/n^{1/\alpha}$ and a random variable Y with an α -stable distribution. Theorem 5.2 claims that if there exist (independent) copies Y_1, Y_2, \dots of Y satisfying

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\{|X_i - Y_i|^\alpha \mathbb{1}(|X_i - Y_i| > b)\} \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

as $b \rightarrow \infty$, then S_n (possibly shifted) converges to Y in Mallows distance d_α . The proof given for Theorem 5.2 requires simultaneous control of b and n , which is not provided by (1) as stated. Although the result could be corrected by adding “ \sup_n ” to the beginning of (1) and with other small modifications, we instead provide a more natural Lindeberg condition. We also change the centering, providing explicit expressions for the centering sequence for the case $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. This is, in fact, a coupling theorem. Indeed, for $\alpha \in [1, 2)$, if the Mallows distance between the distributions F_X and F_Y of X and Y is finite, then the random variables X and Y are highly dependent, in the sense that $d_\alpha^\alpha(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}|X - Y|^\alpha$ provided the joint distribution of (X, Y) is $F_X \wedge F_Y$.

Theorem 1. Fix $0 < \alpha < 2$. Let $(X_1, Y_1), (X_2, Y_2), \dots$ be a sequence of independent pairs such that Y_1, Y_2, \dots are copies of an α -stable random variable Y , and such that for all $b > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\{|X_i - Y_i|^\alpha \mathbb{1}(|X_i - Y_i| > bn^{(2-\alpha)/2\alpha})\} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Then, writing $S_n = (X_1 + \cdots + X_n)/n^{1/\alpha}$, there exists a sequence of constants (c_n) such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\alpha(S_n - c_n, Y) = 0$. Moreover, when $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, we may take $c_n = n^{-1/\alpha} \times \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}X_i - \mathbb{E}Y$.

Proof. By Corollary 1.2.9 of [2],

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/\alpha}} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \stackrel{d}{=} \begin{cases} Y + \mu n^{1-1/\alpha} - \mu, & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1, \\ Y + \frac{2}{\pi} \sigma \beta \log n, & \text{if } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Here, the constants $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma \geq 0$ and $\beta \in [-1, 1]$ are, respectively, the shift, scale and skewness parameters of the stable law of Y (see, e.g., [2], page 5), so for $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, we may take $\mu = \mathbb{E}Y$. We first treat the case $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. With c_n defined as in the statement of the theorem,

$$S_n - c_n - Y \stackrel{d}{=} n^{-1/\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n (U_i - \mathbb{E}U_i + V_i - \mathbb{E}V_i),$$

where, writing $\delta = \frac{2-\alpha}{2\alpha}$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_i &= (X_i - Y_i) \mathbb{1}(|X_i - Y_i| \leq bn^\delta), \\ V_i &= (X_i - Y_i) \mathbb{1}(|X_i - Y_i| > bn^\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lyapunov's inequality and the fact that $|U_i| \leq bn^\delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (U_i - \mathbb{E}U_i) \right|^\alpha \right\} &\leq \left[\mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (U_i - \mathbb{E}U_i) \right|^2 \right\} \right]^{\alpha/2} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var } U_i \right)^{\alpha/2} \\ &\leq b^\alpha n^{(1+2\delta)\alpha/2} = b^\alpha n. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Similarly, a von Bahr–Esseen moment bound given as equation (12) in [1] yields

$$\mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (V_i - \mathbb{E}V_i) \right|^\alpha \right\} \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(|V_i - \mathbb{E}V_i|^\alpha) \leq 2^{\alpha+1} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}(|V_i|^\alpha). \quad (4)$$

Thus, by (3) and (4), we find that for $\alpha \in (1, 2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\alpha^\alpha(S_n - c_n, Y) &\leq \mathbb{E}\{|S_n - c_n - Y|^\alpha\} \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\alpha-1}}{n} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (U_i - \mathbb{E}U_i) \right|^\alpha \right\} + \frac{2^{\alpha-1}}{n} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n (V_i - \mathbb{E}V_i) \right|^\alpha \right\} \\ &\leq 2^{\alpha-1} b^\alpha + \frac{2^{2\alpha}}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\{|X_i - Y_i|^\alpha \mathbb{1}(|X_i - Y_i| > bn^\delta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce from condition (2) that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\alpha^\alpha(S_n - c_n, Y) \leq 2^{\alpha-1} b^\alpha$. However, $b > 0$ was arbitrary, so the result follows.

When $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and condition (2) holds, we can find a sequence (b_n) converging to zero with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\{|X_i - Y_i|^\alpha \mathbb{1}(|X_i - Y_i| > b_n n^{(2-\alpha)/2\alpha})\} = 0.$$

In this case, we should define

$$c_n = \begin{cases} n^{-1/\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\{(X_i - Y_i) \mathbb{1}(|X_i - Y_i| \leq b_n n^\delta)\} + \mu n^{1-1/\alpha} - \mu, & \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ n^{-1/\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\{(X_i - Y_i) \mathbb{1}(|X_i - Y_i| \leq b_n n^\delta)\} + \frac{2}{\pi} \sigma \beta \log n, & \text{for } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then, with the same definitions of U_i and V_i , except with b replaced by b_n , we have

$$S_n - c_n - Y \stackrel{d}{=} n^{-1/\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^n (U_i - \mathbb{E}U_i + V_i).$$

The argument now mimics the case $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. Using analogues of the bounds (3) and (4), we find

$$d_\alpha^\alpha(S_n - c_n, Y) \leq b_n^\alpha + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}\{|X_i - Y_i|^\alpha \mathbb{1}(|X_i - Y_i| > b_n n^{(2-\alpha)/2\alpha})\} \rightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to O. Johnson and R. Samworth for their contributions to the writing of this note. Research partially supported by CNPq, FAPDF, CAPES and FINATEC/UnB.

References

- [1] Johnson, O. and Samworth, R. (2005). Central limit theorem and convergence to stable laws in Mallows distance. *Bernoulli* **11** 829–845. [MR2172843](#)
- [2] Samorodnitsky, G. and Taqqu, M.S. (1994). *Stable Non-Gaussian Random Processes*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall. [MR1280932](#)

Received October 2008 and revised November 2008