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#### Abstract

This paper solves a problem that was stated by M. A. Harrison in 1973. The problem has remained open since then, and it is concerned with counting equivalence classes of $n \times r$ binary matrices under row and column permutations. Let $I$ and $O$ denote two sets of vertices, where $I \cap O=\varnothing,|I|=n$, $|O|=r$, and $B_{u}(n, r)$ denote the set of unlabeled graphs whose edges connect vertices in $I$ and $O$. Harrison established that the number of equivalence classes of $n \times r$ binary matrices is equal to the number of unlabeled graphs in $B_{u}(n, r)$. He also computed the number of such matrices (hence such graphs) for small values of $n$ and $r$ without providing an asymptotic formula for $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$. Here, such an asymptotic formula is provided by proving the following two-sided inequality using Polya's Counting Theorem.


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r}}{n!} \leq\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right| \leq 2 \frac{\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r}}{n!}, n<r . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 1 Introduction

Asymptotic counting of graphs has been an active area of research in graph theory [1-13]. Several of these efforts focused on the problem of counting unlabelled graphs with a given number of vertices and edges $[3,4,6-8,11]$. In this

Received by the editors in April 2018.
Communicated by J. Doyen.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 05C30, 05A16.
Key words and phrases : Bipartite graphs, cycle index polynomial, graph enumeration, Polya's counting theorem.
paper, we explore asymptotic counting of bipartite graphs. Clearly, the set of bipartite graphs with $n$ vertices in one part, and $r$ vertices in the other part forms a subset of all graphs with $n+r$ vertices. Hence, any upper bound on the number of graphs with $n+r$ vertices and up to $(n+r)(n+r-1) / 2$ edges provides an upper bound on the number of bipartite graphs with $n$ vertices in one part and $r$ vertices in the other part with up to $n r$ edges. Nonetheless, the known asymptotic formulas for unlabelled graphs are valid only when the number of edges satisfies certain inequalities, see for example, (1.2) and (1.4) in [3]. Similar constraints were imposed on the number of edges in [4,6]. Other results on the number of unlabelled graphs are related to particular types of unlabelled graphs such as regular graphs [11] or Hamiltonian graphs [9]. As we are interested in asymptotic bounds on the number of unlabeled bipartite graphs without any restrictions on $n$ and $r$, and the number of edges, the known asymptotic bounds for unlabelled graphs cannot be applied to obtain such bounds. Instead, we use Polya's counting theorem to obtain our main result ${ }^{1}$ in this paper.

The bipartite graph counting problem that is considered in this paper has been investigated in connection with the enumeration of unlabeled bipartite graphs and binary matrices [5]. Let $(I, O, E)$ denote a graph with two disjoint sets of vertices, $I$, called left vertices and a set of vertices, $O$, called right vertices, where each edge in $E$ connects a left vertex with a right vertex. We let $n=|I|, r=|O|$, and refer to such a graph as an $(n, r)$-bipartite graph. Let $G_{1}=\left(I, O, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(I, O, E_{2}\right)$ be two $(n, r)$-bipartite graphs, and $\alpha: I \rightarrow I$ and $\beta: O \rightarrow O$ be both bijections. The pair $(\alpha, \beta)$ is an isomorphism between $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ provided that $\left(\left(\alpha\left(v_{1}\right), \beta\left(v_{2}\right)\right) \in E_{2}\right.$ if and only if $\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in E_{1}, \forall v_{1} \in I, \forall v_{2} \in O$. It is easy to establish that this mapping induces an equivalence relation, and partitions the set of $2^{n r}(n, r)$-bipartite graphs into equivalence classes. This equivalence relation captures the fact that the vertices in $I$ and $O$ are unlabeled, and so each class of ( $n, r$ )-bipartite graphs can be represented by any one of the graphs in that class without identifying the vertices in $I$ and $O$. Let $B_{u}(n, r)$ denote any set of $(n, r)$-bipartite graphs that contains exactly one such graph from each of the equivalence classes of ( $n, r$ )-bipartite graphs induced by the isomorphism we defined. It is easy to see that determining $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$ amounts to an enumeration of non-isomorphic ( $n, r$ )-bipartite graphs that will henceforth be referred to as unlabeled ( $n, r$ )-bipartite graphs.

In [5], Harrison used Pólya's counting theorem to obtain an expression to compute the number of non-equivalent $n \times r$ binary matrices. This expression contains a nested sum, in which one sum is carried over all partitions of $n$ while the other is carried over all partitions of $r$, where the argument of the nested sum involves factorial, exponentiation and greatest common divisor (gcd) computations. He further established that this formula also enumerates the number of unlabeled ( $n, r$ )-bipartite graphs, i.e., $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$. A number of results indirectly related to Harrison's work and our result appeared in the literature [1,2,10]. In particular, the set $B_{u}(n, r)$ in our work coincides with the set of bicolored graphs described in Section 2 in [1]. Whereas [1] provides a counting polynomial for the

[^0]number of bicolored graphs, we focus on the asymptotic behavior of $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$ in this paper. Counting polynomials for other families of bipartite graphs were also reported in [2]. Likewise, [10] provides generating functions for related bipartite graph counting problems without an asymptotic analysis as provided in this paper. It should also be mentioned that Polya's theorem has been used more recently in [14-21] to count various combinatorial classes of objects. To the best of our knowledge, our work provides the first asymptotic enumeration of unlabelled bipartite graphs.

Let $S_{n}$ denote the symmetric group of permutations of degree $n$ acting on set $N=\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$. Suppose that the $n$ ! permutations in $S_{n}$ are indexed by $1,2, \cdots, n!$ in some arbitrary, but fixed manner. The cycle index polynomial of $S_{n}$ is defined as follows( [22],see p.35, (2.2.1)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{S_{n}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{m=1}^{n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{p_{m, k}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{m, k}$ denotes the number of cycles of length $k$ in the disjoint cycle representation of the $m^{\text {th }}$ permutation in $S_{n}$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k p_{m, k}=n, \forall m=1,2, \cdots, n!$.

Let $S_{n} \times S_{r}$ denote the direct product of symmetric groups $S_{n}$ and $S_{r}$ acting on $N=\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$ and $R=\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$, respectively, where $n$ and $r$ are positive integers such that $n<r$. It can be inferred from Harrison ( [23],Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.2) that the cycle index polynomial of $S_{n} \times S_{r}$ is given by [23]

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{S_{n} \times S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n r}\right)=Z_{S_{n}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{r}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boxtimes$ is a particular polynomial multiplication that distributes over ordinary addition, and in which the multiplication $X_{m} \odot X_{t}$ of two product terms ${ }^{2}$, $X_{m}=x_{1}^{p_{m, 1}} x_{2}^{p_{m, 2}} \cdots x_{n}^{p_{m, n}}$ and $X_{t}=x_{1}^{q_{t, 1}} x_{2}^{q_{t, 2}} \cdots x_{r}^{q_{t, r}}$ in $Z_{S_{n}}$ and $Z_{S_{r}}$, respectively, is defined as ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{m} \bigodot X_{t}=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{r} x_{\operatorname{lcm}(k, j)}^{p_{m, k} q_{t, j} \operatorname{gcd}(k, j)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Harrison further proved that [5]:
when ${ }^{4} n \neq r$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|=Z_{S_{n} \times S_{r}}(\underbrace{2,2, . .2}_{n r}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need one more fact that can be found in Harary ( [22], p.36) in order to compute the stated lower and upper bound in (1):

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots \ldots, x_{r}\right)=\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r} x_{i} Z_{S_{r-i}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots \ldots, x_{r-i}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{S_{0}}()=1$.
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## 2 An Upper Bound for $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$

We first note that $\left|B_{u}(1, r)\right|=r+1=\binom{r+2^{1}-1}{r} / 1!\leq 2\binom{r+2^{1}-1}{r} / 1$ !. Hence the upper bound that is claimed in the abstract holds for $n=1$. Proving that it also holds for $n \geq 2$ requires a more careful analysis of the terms in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{S_{n}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \boxtimes \mathrm{Z}_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{r}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first express $Z_{S_{n}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{S_{n}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=Z_{S_{n}}[1]+Z_{S_{n}}[2]+\ldots+Z_{S_{n}}[n!], \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{S_{n}}[1]=\frac{1}{n!} x_{1}^{n}  \tag{9}\\
& Z_{S_{n}}[2]=\frac{1}{n!} x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term is associated with the identity permutation and the second term is associated with any one of the permutations in which all but two of the elements in $N=1,2, \cdots, n$ are fixed to themselves. The remaining $Z_{S_{n}}[i]=$ $\frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{p_{i, k}}, 3 \leq i \leq n!$ terms represent all the other product terms in the cycle index polynomial of $S_{n}$ with no particular association with the permutations in $S_{n}$. Similarly, we set $Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)=\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{t=1}^{r!} \prod_{j=1}^{r} x_{j}^{q_{t, j}}$ without identifying the actual product terms with any particular permutation in $S_{r}$.
The following equations obviously hold as the sum of the lengths of all the cycles in any cycle disjoint representation of a permutation in $S_{n}$ and $S_{r}$ must be $n$ and $r$, respectively.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n} k p_{i, k}=n, 1 \leq i \leq n!  \tag{11}\\
& \sum_{j=1}^{r} j q_{t, j}=r, 1 \leq t \leq r! \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we can proceed with the computation of the upper bound for $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$. First, using (3) and (5) we note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|= & Z_{S_{n} \times S_{r}}(2,2,2, \ldots, 2), \\
= & {\left[Z_{S_{n}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \boxtimes \mathrm{Z}_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2), } \\
= & {\left[\left(Z_{S_{n}}[1]+\mathrm{Z}_{S_{n}}[2]+\ldots+\mathrm{Z}_{S_{n}}[n!]\right) \boxtimes \mathrm{Z}_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2), } \\
= & {\left[Z_{S_{n}}[1] \boxtimes \mathrm{Z}_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2) } \\
& +\left[Z_{S_{n}}[2] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2) \\
& +\ldots+\left[Z_{S_{n}}[n!] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2) . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

The first term in (13) is directly computed from (9) and the following proposition.

## Proposition 1.

$$
\left[\left(\frac{1}{n!} x_{1}^{n}\right) \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)=\frac{1}{n!}\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r}
$$

Proof. $\left[\left(\frac{1}{n!} x_{1}^{n}\right) \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{n!}\left\{\left[x_{1}^{n} \boxtimes \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{t=1}^{r!} \prod_{j=1}^{r} x_{j}^{q_{t, j}}\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{n!}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{t=1}^{r!} x_{1}^{n} \bigodot \prod_{j=1}^{r} x_{j}^{q_{t, j}}\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{n!}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{t=1}^{r!} \prod_{j=1}^{r} x_{\operatorname{lcm}(1, j)}^{n q_{t, j} \operatorname{gcd}(1, j)}\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{n!}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{t=1}^{r!} \prod_{j=1}^{r} x_{j}^{n q_{t, j}}\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)\right\}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{n!}\left\{\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{t=1}^{r!} \prod_{j=1}^{r} 2^{n q_{t, j}}\right\}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{n!}\left\{\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{t=1}^{r!} \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(2^{n}\right)^{q_{t, j}}\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{n!}\left\{Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right)\right\} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (6), we have

$$
r Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r} 2^{n} Z_{S_{r-i}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
(r-1) Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} 2^{n} Z_{S_{r-1-i}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right)
$$

Subtracting the second equation from the first one gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
r Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right)-(r-1) Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right) & =2^{n} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right), \\
r Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right) & =\left(r+2^{n}-1\right) Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right), \\
Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right) & =\left(\frac{r+2^{n}-1}{r}\right) Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Expanding the last equation inductively, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Z}_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right) & =\left(\frac{r+2^{n}-1}{r}\right)\left(\frac{r+2^{n}-2}{r-1}\right) \mathrm{Z}_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right), \\
\mathrm{Z}_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right) & =\left(\frac{r+2^{n}-1}{r}\right)\left(\frac{r+2^{n}-2}{r-1}\right)\left(\frac{r+2^{n}-3}{r-2}\right) \mathrm{Z}_{S_{r-3}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right), \\
\mathrm{Z}_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right) & =\left(\frac{r+2^{n}-1}{r}\right)\left(\frac{r+2^{n}-2}{r-1}\right)\left(\frac{r+2^{n}-3}{r-2}\right) \ldots\left(\frac{2^{n}}{1}\right) \mathrm{Z}_{S_{0}}() .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $Z_{S_{0}}()=1$, and combining the product terms together, we obtain

$$
Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right)=\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r}
$$

The proposition follows from the substitution of this last equation into (14).

Thus, it suffices to upper bound each of the remaining terms in (13) to upper bound $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$. This will be established by proving $\left[Z_{S_{n}}[2] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right]$ $(2,2, \ldots, 2) \geq\left[Z_{S_{n}}[i] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2), \forall i, 3 \leq i \leq n!$. We first need some preliminary facts.

Lemma 1. For all $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ !,

$$
\left[Z_{S_{n}}[i] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, \bar{x}_{r}\right)\right](2, \ldots, 2)=\frac{1}{n!} Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, \ldots, 2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, r)}\right)
$$

Proof.
$\left[Z_{S_{n}}[i] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left[\frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{p_{i, k}} \boxtimes\left(\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{t=1}^{r!} \prod_{j=1}^{r} x_{j}^{q_{t, j}}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2), \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{n!r!} \sum_{t=1}^{r!} \prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}^{p_{i, k}} \bigodot \prod_{j=1}^{r} x_{j}^{q_{t, j}}\right](2,2, \ldots, 2), \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{n!r!} \sum_{t=1}^{r!} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{\operatorname{lcm}(k, j)}^{p_{i, k} q_{t, j} \operatorname{gcd}(k, j)}\right](2,2, \ldots, 2), \\
& =\frac{1}{n!r!} \sum_{t=1}^{r!} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \prod_{k=1}^{n} 2^{p_{i, k} q_{t, j} \operatorname{gcd}(k, j)}, \\
& =\frac{1}{n!}\left[\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{t=1}^{r!} \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, j)}\right)^{q_{t, j}}\right], \\
& =\frac{1}{n!} Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, \ldots, 2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, r)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary 1.

$$
\left[Z_{S_{n}}[2] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2, \ldots, 2)=\frac{1}{n!} Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, 2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)
$$

Proof. By definition, $p_{2,1}=n-2, p_{2,2}=1, p_{2, k}=0,3 \leq k \leq n$. Substituting these into the last equation in Lemma 1 proves the statement.

## Lemma 2.

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \leq n-1, \forall i, 2 \leq i \leq n!.
$$

Proof. Recall from (11) that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} k p_{i, k}=n, \forall i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ !. Hence $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k}=n-\sum_{k=1}^{n}(k-1) p_{i, k}$, and so the maximum value of $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k}$ occurs when $\sum_{k=1}^{n}(k-1) p_{i, k}$ is minimized. Furthermore, at least one of $p_{i, k}, \forall i, 2 \leq i \leq n$ ! must be $\geq 1$ for some $k \geq 2$ since none of the permutations we consider is the identity. Thus, $\sum_{k=1}^{n}(k-1) p_{i, k} \geq 1$ and the statement follows.

Lemma 3. If $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha+1)=n$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha) \leq n-1$, $\forall i, 2 \leq i \leq n!$ and for any integer $\alpha \geq 2$.

Proof. If $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha+1)=n$ as stated in the lemma, then we must have $\operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha+1)=k$ where $p_{i, k} \geq 1, \forall i, 2 \leq i \leq n!$. Therefore $k \leq \alpha+1$. Now if $k=\alpha+1$, then trivially $\operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha)<k$. On the other hand if $k<\alpha+1$, then $\alpha+1$ must be a multiple of $k$. Therefore, $\alpha$ can not be a multiple of $k$ for any $k \geq 2$. At this point we find that $\operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha)<k, \forall k, 2 \leq k \leq n$. Since as in the previous lemma, none of the permutations we consider is the identity, at least one of $p_{i, k}, \forall i, 2 \leq i \leq n$ ! must be $\geq 1$ for some $k \geq 2$ and so we conclude that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha) \leq n-1$.
Lemma 4. $Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \geq Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)$, for $2 \leq n$.
Proof. Using (6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)=\sum_{\text {odd } i}^{r-\beta_{1}} 2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)+\sum_{\text {even } i}^{r-\beta_{2}} 2^{n} Z_{S_{r-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right), \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{1}=1, \beta_{2}=0$ if $r$ is even and $\beta_{1}=0, \beta_{2}=1$ if $r$ is odd. Similarly, for $r-1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& (r-1) Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)= \\
& \quad \sum_{\text {odd } i}^{r-1-\beta_{2}} 2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)+\sum_{\text {even } i}^{r-1-\beta_{1}} 2^{n} Z_{S_{r-1-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting 16 from 15 gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& r Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-(r-1) Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\text {even } i}^{r-\beta_{2}} 2^{n} Z_{S_{r-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-\sum_{\text {odd } i}^{r-1-\beta_{2}} 2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n} \ldots\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{\text {odd } i}^{r-\beta_{1}} 2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-\sum_{\text {even } i}^{r-1-\beta_{1}} 2^{n} Z_{S_{r-1-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right),  \tag{17}\\
& r Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-(r-1) Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\text {even } i}^{r-\beta_{2}} 2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)+2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)- \\
& \quad Z_{S_{S_{r}}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)=\left(r-1+2^{n-1}\right) Z_{S_{r-1}}^{r-1-\beta_{1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n} \ldots\right) \\
& \quad+2^{n-1}\left(\sum_{\text {even }}^{r-\beta_{2}} Z_{S_{r-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-\sum_{\text {even } i}^{r-1-\beta_{1}} Z_{S_{r-1-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

We now prove the lemma by induction on $r$.
Basis $r=1$. By (6), $Z_{S_{1}}\left(2^{n-1}\right)=2^{n-1} Z_{S_{0}}()=2^{n-1}$. So we have $Z_{S_{1}}\left(2^{n-1}\right)=$ $2^{n-1} \geq Z_{S_{0}}()=1$ for $2 \leq n$.

Induction Step. Suppose that the lemma holds from 1 to $r-1$. That is, $Z_{S_{r-i}}-Z_{S_{r-i-1}} \geq 0,1 \leq i \leq r-1$. Now recall that if $r$ is even then $\beta_{1}=$ 1 , and $\beta_{2}=0$, and hence the difference of the two sums in (18) becomes $\left(Z_{S_{r-2}}-Z_{S_{r-3}}\right)+\left(Z_{S_{r-4}}-Z_{S_{r-5}}\right) \ldots+\left(Z_{S_{2}}-Z_{S_{1}}\right)+Z_{S_{0}}$, which is clearly $\geq 0$ by the induction hypothesis. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
& r Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \geq\left(r-1+2^{n-1}\right) Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)  \tag{19}\\
& Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \geq Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right), n \geq 2 \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, if $r$ is odd then $\beta_{1}=0$, and $\beta_{2}=1$, and hence the difference of the two sums in the same equation becomes $\left(Z_{S_{r-2}}-Z_{S_{r-3}}\right)+\left(Z_{S_{r-4}}-Z_{S_{r-5}}\right) \ldots+$ $\left(Z_{S_{2}}-Z_{S_{1}}\right)+\left(Z_{S_{1}}-Z_{S_{0}}\right)$, which is again $\geq 0$, and the statement follows in this case as well.

We now are ready to prove that
$\left[Z_{S_{n}}[2] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2, \ldots, 2) \geq\left[Z_{S_{n}}[i] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2, \ldots, 2)$, $\forall i, 2 \leq i \leq n$ !.

## Theorem 1.

$\left[Z_{S_{n}}[2] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2) \geq\left[Z_{S_{n}}[i] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)$
$\forall i, 2 \leq i \leq n!$ and $\forall n, n<r$.
Proof. Using Lemma 1 and Corollary 1 it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \geq Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, \ldots, 2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, r)}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove the statement by induction on $r$.
Basis: $(r=1)$. By (6), $Z_{S_{1}}\left(2^{n-1}\right)=2^{n-1} Z_{S_{0}}()=2^{n-1}$. Similarly, by (6), $Z_{S_{1}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}\right)=2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)} Z_{S_{0}}()=2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k}}$. Given that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \leq$ $n-1$ by Lemma 2, we have $2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k}} \leq 2^{n-1}$, and hence the statement holds in this case.

Induction Step: First, by (6),

$$
Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)=\frac{1}{r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)  \tag{23}\\
+2^{n} Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-3}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
\vdots \\
+2^{\beta} Z_{S_{0}}()
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\beta=n$ if $r$ is even and $\beta=n-1$ if $r$ is odd. Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, \ldots, 2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, r)}\right)= \\
& \frac{1}{r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 2)} Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 3)} Z_{S_{r-3}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{cdd}(k, 1)}, \ldots\right) \\
\vdots \\
+2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, r)} Z_{S_{0}}()
\end{array}\right] \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (24) from (23), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, \ldots, 2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, r)}\right) \\
=\frac{1}{r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{n} Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-3}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
\vdots \\
+2^{\beta} Z_{S_{0}}()
\end{array}\right] \\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, 2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 2)}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 2)} Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, 2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 2)}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 3)} Z_{S_{r-3}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, 2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 2)}, \ldots\right) \\
\vdots \\
-\frac{1}{r}\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, r) \\
Z_{S_{0}}()
\end{array}\right]
\end{array} .\right.} \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, it suffices to show that the right hand side of the above equation is $\geq 0$, or

$$
\begin{gather*}
2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, 2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 2)}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{n} Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 2)} Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, 2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 2)}, \ldots\right. \\
+2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-3}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 3)} Z_{S_{r-3}}\left(2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)}, 2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 2)}, \ldots\right) \\
\vdots  \tag{26}\\
+2^{\beta} Z_{S_{0}}()-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, r)} Z_{S_{0}}() \geq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Now by induction hypothesis, (22) holds for $1,2, \cdots, r-1$. Thus, (26) can be replaced by

$$
\begin{gather*}
2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{n} Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 2)} Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-3}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 3)} Z_{S_{r-3}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)  \tag{27}\\
\vdots \\
+2^{\beta} Z_{S_{0}}()-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, r)} Z_{S_{0}}() \geq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, invoking Lemma 2 gives

$$
\begin{align*}
2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) & -2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 1)} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n} \ldots\right) \\
& \geq 2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)=0 \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the difference in the first line in $(27) \geq 0$, and therefore it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{gather*}
2^{n} Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 2)} Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-3}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, 3)} Z_{S_{r-3}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)  \tag{29}\\
\vdots \\
+2^{\beta} Z_{S_{0}}()-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{ccd}(k, r)} Z_{S_{0}}() \geq 0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

To prove this inequality, we will combine four terms in pairs of consecutive lines for the remaining $r-1$ lines by considering two cases. If $r$ is odd then $\beta=n-1$ and no extra line remains in this pairing. Thus, for all even $\alpha, 2 \leq \alpha \leq r-1$, it suffices to prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{n} Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha)} Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n} \ldots\right) \\
& +2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha+1)} Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n} \ldots\right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{gathered}
2^{n} Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} k} Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n} \ldots\right) \\
+2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha+1)} Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n} \ldots\right) \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Now if $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha+1) \leq n-1$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
2^{n} Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n}} p_{i, k} k \\
+Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \geq \\
2^{n} Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{n} Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
+2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

On the other hand, if $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha+1)=n$, then we prove (30) by noting that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, \alpha) \leq n-1$ by Lemma 3 . Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2^{n} Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
& +2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{n} Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
& =2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
& 2^{n-1}\left[Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now by Lemma $4, Z_{S_{r-\alpha}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \geq Z_{S_{r-\alpha-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)$ and the statement is proved for odd $r, n<r$. For even $r$, the last line in (29) is left out in the pairing of consecutive lines and $\beta=n$. In this case we have $2^{n} Z_{S_{0}}()-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} \operatorname{gcd}(k, r)} Z_{S_{0}}() \geq$ $2^{n} Z_{S_{0}}()-2^{\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{i, k} k} Z_{S_{0}}()=2^{n} Z_{S_{0}}()-2^{n} Z_{S_{0}}()=0$ and the statement follows.

## Theorem 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[Z_{S_{n}}[2] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2) \leq \frac{\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r}}{n!(n!-1)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 \leq n<r$.
Proof. By Corollary 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[Z_{S_{n}}[2] \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)=\frac{1}{n!} Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n!} Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, 2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \leq \frac{\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r}}{n!(n!-1)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2 \leq n<r$.

Now, using (6), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
r Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)=\sum_{\text {odd } i}^{r-\beta_{1}} 2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)+\sum_{\text {even } i}^{r-\beta_{2}} 2^{n} Z_{S_{r-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{1}=1, \beta_{2}=0$ if $r$ is even and $\beta_{1}=0, \beta_{2}=1$ if $r$ is odd. Similarly, for $r-2$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& (r-2) Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)= \\
& \quad \sum_{\text {odd } i}^{r-2-\beta_{1}} 2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-2-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)+\sum_{\text {even } i}^{r-2-\beta_{2}} 2^{n} Z_{S_{r-2-i}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) . \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (35) from (34) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& r Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)-(r-2) Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right) \\
& =2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)+2^{n} Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right), \\
& r Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)=2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)+\left(r-2+2^{n}\right) Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right), \\
& Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)=\frac{1}{r}\left[2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)+\left(r-2+2^{n}\right) Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)\right] . \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

We will use induction on $r$ and the recurrence given in (36) to prove this inequality.
Basis. Case $r=3$ : Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{S_{n}}[2]=\frac{1}{n!} x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2} \\
& Z_{S_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{3!}\left(x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{1} x_{2}+2 x_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[Z_{S_{n}}[2] \boxtimes Z_{S_{3}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)} \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{n!}\left(x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2}\right) \boxtimes \frac{1}{3!}\left(x_{1}^{3}+3 x_{1} x_{2}+2 x_{3}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2), \\
& =\frac{1}{3!n!}\left[\left(x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2}\right) \bigodot x_{1}^{3}+\left(x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2}\right) \bigodot\left(3 x_{1} x_{2}\right)+\left(x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2}\right) \bigodot 2 x_{3}\right](2,2, \ldots, 2), \\
& =\frac{1}{3!n!}\left[x_{1}^{3(n-2)} x_{2}^{3}+3 x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2} x_{2}^{n-2} x_{2}^{2}+2 x_{3}^{n-2} x_{6}\right](2,2, \ldots, 2), \\
& =\frac{1}{3!n!}\left[2^{3 n-3}+3 \times 2^{2 n-1}+2^{n}\right] \leq \frac{\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r}}{n!(n!-1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n=2$ and $r=3$.

Case $r=4$. In this case we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[Z_{S_{n}}[2] \boxtimes Z_{S_{4}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)\right](2, \ldots, 2)} \\
& =\left[\frac{1}{n!}\left(x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2}\right) \boxtimes \frac{1}{4!}\left(x_{1}^{4}+6 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+3 x_{2}^{2}+8 x_{1} x_{3}+6 x_{4}\right)\right](2, \ldots, 2), \\
& =\frac{1}{4!n!}\left[\left(x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2}\right) \bigodot x_{1}^{4}+\left(x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2}\right) \bigodot\left(6 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}\right)+\left(x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2}\right) \bigodot 3 x_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2}\right) \bigodot\left(8 x_{1} x_{3}\right)+\left(x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2}\right) \bigodot 6 x_{4}\right](2, \ldots, 2), \\
& =\frac{1}{4!n!}\left[x_{1}^{4(n-2)} x_{2}^{4}+6 x_{1}^{2(n-2)} x_{2}^{n-2} x_{2}^{2} x_{2}^{2}+3 x_{1}^{2(n-2)} x_{2}^{4}+8 x_{1}^{n-2} x_{3}^{n-2} x_{2} x_{6}+6 x_{4}^{n-2} x_{4}^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{4!n!}\left[2^{4 n-4}+6 \times 2^{3 n-2}+3 \times 2^{2 n}+8 \times 2^{2 n-2}+6 \times 2^{n}\right], \\
& =\frac{1}{4!n!}\left[2^{4 n-4}+6 \times 2^{3 n-2}+5 \times 2^{2 n}+6 \times 2^{n}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, given that $r=4$, the only possible values of $n$ are 2 and 3 . If $n=2$ then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[Z_{S_{n}}[2] \boxtimes Z_{S_{4}}\right.} & \left.\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2) \\
& =\frac{1}{4!n!}\left[2^{4 n-4}+6 \times 2^{3 n-2}+5 \times 2^{2 n}+6 \times 2^{n}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{4!2!}\left[2^{4}+6 \times 2^{4}+5 \times 2^{4}+6 \times 2^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{16+96+80+24}{4!2!}=4.5 \\
& \leq \frac{\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{n!}}{n!(n!-1)}=\frac{\binom{7}{4}}{2!(2!-1)}=\frac{35}{2}=17.5
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, if $n=3$ then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[Z_{S_{n}}[2] \boxtimes Z_{S_{4}}\right.} & \left.\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2) \\
& =\frac{1}{4!n!}\left[2^{4 n-4}+6 \times 2^{3 n-2}+5 \times 2^{2 n}+6 \times 2^{n}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{4!3!}\left[2^{8}+6 \times 2^{7}+5 \times 2^{6}+6 \times 2^{3}\right] \\
& =\frac{256+768+320+48}{4!3!}=\frac{29}{3}, \\
& \leq \frac{\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r}}{n!(n!-1)}=\frac{\binom{11}{4}}{3!(3!-1)}=\frac{330}{30}=11 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Induction Step: Suppose that (33) holds for all values from 3 to $r-1$. Using the recurrence given in (36) and the induction hypothesis for $r-1$ and $r-2$ we get:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{n!} Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)=\frac{1}{n!r}\left[2^{n-1} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)+\left(r-2+2^{n}\right) Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)\right] \\
\quad=\frac{2^{n-1}}{n!r} Z_{S_{r-1}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right)+\frac{r-2+2^{n}}{n!r} Z_{S_{r-2}}\left(2^{n-1}, 2^{n}, \ldots\right), \\
\quad \leq \frac{2^{n-1}}{r} \frac{\binom{r+2^{n}-2}{r-1}}{n!(n!-1)}+\frac{r-2+2^{n}}{r} \frac{\binom{r+2^{n}-3}{r-2}}{n!(n!-1)}, \\
\leq \frac{2^{n-1}}{n!(n!-1) r} \frac{\left(r+2^{n}-2\right)!}{(r-1)!\left(2^{n}-1\right)!}+\frac{r-2+2^{n}}{n!(n!-1) r} \frac{\left(r+2^{n}-3\right)!}{(r-2)!\left(2^{n}-1\right)!} \\
\leq \frac{2^{n-1}}{n!(n!-1) r} \frac{\left(r+2^{n}-2\right)!}{(r-1)!\left(2^{n}-1\right)!}+\frac{(r-1)\left(r+2^{n}-2\right)!}{n!(n!-1) r!\left(2^{n}-1\right)!} \\
\leq \frac{\left(r+2^{n}-2\right)!\left(r+2^{n-1}-1\right)}{n!(n!-1) r!\left(2^{n}-1\right)!} \leq \frac{\left(r+2^{n}-2\right)!\left(r+2^{n}-1\right)}{n!(n!-1) r!\left(2^{n}-1\right)!} \\
\leq \frac{\left(r+2^{n}-1\right)!}{n!(n!-1) r!\left(2^{n}-1\right)!}=\frac{1}{n!(n!-1)}\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r} \\
\leq \frac{1}{n!(n!-1)}\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This completes the proof.
Combining Theorems 1 and 2 concludes the upper bound calculation.

Proof. By (13),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|=\left[\left(Z_{S_{n}}[1]\right) \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2) \\
& \quad+\left[\left(Z_{S_{n}}[2]\right) \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2) \\
& \quad+\ldots+\left[\left(Z_{S_{n}}[n!]\right) \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2),
\end{aligned}
$$

and by Theorem 1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right| \leq\left[\left(Z_{S_{n}}[1]\right) \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\right. & \left.\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)+ \\
{\left[\left(Z_{S_{n}}[2]\right) \boxtimes\right.} & \left.\boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2) \\
& +\ldots+\left[\left(Z_{S_{n}}[2]\right) \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally by Theorem 2,

$$
\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right| \leq \frac{\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r}}{n!}+(n!-1) \frac{\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r}}{n!(n!-1)}=\frac{2\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r}}{n!} .
$$

## 3 The Lower Bound for $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$

Using (9) and (13) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right| \geq\left[\left(\frac{1}{n!} x_{1}^{n}\right) \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the following lower bound trivially follows from Proposition 1 and Eqn. (37):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right| \geq \frac{1}{n!} Z_{S_{r}}\left(2^{n}, 2^{n}, \ldots, 2^{n}\right) \geq \frac{\binom{r+2^{n}-1}{r}}{n!} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 Remarks

1. It should be mentioned that, if $r<n$, the obtained lower and upper bounds together with the relation $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|=\left|B_{u}(r, n)\right|$ give

$$
\frac{\binom{n+2^{r}-1}{n}}{r!} \leq\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right| \leq 2 \frac{\binom{n+2^{r}-1}{n}}{r!}, r<n .
$$

Furthermore, if $r=n$, using the cycle index representation of bi-colored graphs provided in Section 3 in [1] and Theorem 3 gives

$$
\left|B_{u}(n, n)\right| \geq \frac{\binom{n+2^{n}-1}{n}}{2 n!} .
$$

The $Z^{\prime}$ term in the cycle index representation of bi-colored graphs in [1] prevents us from deriving an upper bound for $\left|B_{u}(n, n)\right|$ that is a constant multiple of the lower bound in this case. On the other hand, an obvious upper bound for $\left|B_{u}(n, n)\right|$ can be derived by setting $r=n+1$ in the inequality in Theorem 3.
2. It may be worthwhile to mention that the proof of the upper bound on $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$ hinges on identifying the largest term in the cycle index polynomial of $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$, i.e., $\left[\left(\frac{1}{n!} x_{1}^{n}\right) \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)$, and the second largest term, $\left[\left(\frac{1}{n!} x_{1}^{n-2} x_{2}\right) \boxtimes Z_{S_{r}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{r}\right)\right](2,2, \ldots, 2)$. Identifying the second largest term turned out to be the most complex step in our proof. Establishing an upper bound (Theorem 2) leads to the upper bound for $\left|B_{u}\right|(n, r) \mid$. Improving this upper bound significantly will likely require identifying the third and possibly fourth largest term, and obtaining upper bounds for each.
3. The novelty of our proof rests in combining Harrison's cycle index representation of the number of unlabelled bipartite graphs in $B_{u}(n, r)$ with Harary's recursive formula (Eqn. 6). More specifically, we transform the terms in Harrison's cycle index representation into a form that allows us to use Harary's recurrence to carry out the computations in our theorems.
4. It is noted that when $n=2$ or $n=3$, exact values of $|B(n, r)|$ were reported in [13], but computing the exact values of $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$ for $n \geq 4$ remains open.
5. It is also noted that one can provide a simple combinatorial proof of the lower bound on $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$, by counting $r$-selections of all subsets of $n$ left vertices with repetition, and dividing it by $n!$. However, a similar combinatorial proof for the upper bound does not appear to be within our reach.

## Appendix:

Table 1 lists $\ln \left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|$ along with the natural logarithms of lower and upper bounds for $1<n<r<15$.

| $n$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.09861 \\ & 1.09861 \\ & 1.79176 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.38629 \\ & 1.38629 \\ & 2.07944 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.60944 \\ & 1.60944 \\ & 2.30259 \end{aligned}$ | 1.79176 1.79176 2.48491 | 1.94591 <br> 1.94591 <br> 2.63906 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.07944 \\ & 2.07944 \\ & 2.77259 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.19722 \\ & 2.19722 \\ & 2.89037 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.30259 \\ & 2.30259 \\ & 2.99573 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.3979 \\ 2.3979 \\ 3.09104 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.48491 \\ & 2.48491 \\ & 3.17805 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.56495 \\ 2.56495 \\ 3.2581 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.63906 \\ & 2.63906 \\ & 3.3322 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.70805 \\ 2.70805 \\ 3.4012 \end{gathered}$ | 2.77259 <br> 2.77259 <br> 3.46574 |
| 2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2.30259 \\ & 2.56495 \\ & 2.99573 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.83321 \\ & 3.09104 \\ & 3.55535 \end{aligned}$ | 3.3322 <br> 3.52636 <br> 4.02535 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.73767 \\ & 3.91202 \\ & 4.43082 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.09434 \\ & 4.2485 \\ & 4.78749 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.40672 \\ & 4.55388 \\ & 5.10595 \end{aligned}$ | 4.70048 <br> 4.82831 <br> 5.39363 | $\begin{gathered} 4.96284 \\ 5.0814 \\ 5.65599 \end{gathered}$ | 5.20401 <br> 5.31321 <br> 5.89715 | 5.42495 <br> 5.52943 <br> 6.1203 | $\begin{gathered} 5.63479 \\ 5.7301 \\ 6.32794 \end{gathered}$ | 5.82895 5.91889 6.52209 | 6.01127 <br> 6.09582 <br> 6.70441 |
| 3 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.00733 \\ & 4.46591 \\ & 4.70048 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8828 \\ & 5.24702 \\ & 5.57595 \end{aligned}$ | 5.65599 5.95584 6.34914 | 6.34914 <br> 6.59851 <br> 7.04229 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.97728 \\ & 7.18841 \\ & 7.67089 \end{aligned}$ | 7.55276 <br> 7.73368 <br> 8.24617 | 8.08364 <br> 8.24012 <br> 8.77678 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.57622 \\ & 8.71276 \\ & 9.26936 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.03575 \\ & 9.1562 \\ & 9.7289 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.46653 \\ & 9.57345 \\ & 10.1597 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.872 \\ 9.96754 \\ 10.5651 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.255 \\ 10.3409 \\ 10.9481 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 6.4708 \\ 6.9594 \\ 7.16395 \end{gathered}$ | 7.72356 <br> 8.08641 <br> 8.41671 | 8.86869 <br> 9.14238 <br> 9.56184 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.92471 \\ & 10.1349 \\ & 10.6179 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.9056 \\ & 11.0692 \\ & 11.5987 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8219 \\ 11.9512 \\ 12.515 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.6821 \\ & 12.7855 \\ & 13.3752 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.493 \\ 13.5767 \\ 14.1861 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.2603 \\ & 14.3287 \\ & 14.9534 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.9885 \\ 15.045 \\ 15.6816 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.6816 \\ & 15.7287 \\ & 16.3748 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 9.87164 <br> 10.2603 <br> 10.5648 | $\begin{gathered} 11.5633 \\ 11.826 \\ 12.2565 \end{gathered}$ | 13.1474 <br> 13.3276 <br> 13.8406 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.6391 \\ & 14.7645 \\ & 15.3322 \end{aligned}$ | 16.0501 16.1388 16.7432 | $\begin{gathered} 17.3899 \\ 17.4535 \\ 18.083 \end{gathered}$ | 18.6662 <br> 18.7124 <br> 19.3593 | $\begin{aligned} & 19.8854 \\ & 19.9195 \\ & 20.5785 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.053 \\ 21.0784 \\ 21.7461 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.1736 \\ & 22.1927 \\ & 22.8667 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 14.3253 \\ & 14.5771 \\ & 15.0185 \end{aligned}$ | 16.5086 16.6637 17.2017 | 18.588 18.6849 19.2811 | 20.5759 <br> 20.6372 <br> 21.269 | $\begin{aligned} & 22.482 \\ & 22.5215 \\ & 23.1752 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.3146 \\ & 24.3403 \\ & 25.0078 \end{aligned}$ | 26.0804 26.0974 26.7736 | 27.7852 27.7965 28.4783 | 29.4338 29.4415 30.127 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19.9011 \\ & 20.0463 \\ & 20.5942 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.6165 \\ & 22.6996 \end{aligned}$ $23.3097$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.2339 \\ 25.282 \\ 25.927 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.7633 \\ & 27.7915 \end{aligned}$ $28.4564$ | 30.2128 <br> 30.2295 <br> 30.906 | 32.5895 32.5995 33.2827 | 34.8992 34.9053 35.5924 | $\begin{aligned} & 37.147 \\ & 37.1507 \\ & 37.8401 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 26.6393 \\ & 26.7201 \\ & 27.3324 \end{aligned}$ | 29.9164 29.9604 30.6096 | 33.102 33.1261 33.7952 | 36.2043 36.2177 36.8975 | $\begin{aligned} & 39.2304 \\ & 39.2378 \\ & 39.9235 \end{aligned}$ | 42.186 <br> 42.1902 <br> 42.8792 | 45.0764 45.0788 45.7696 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34.5644 <br> 34.6096 <br> 35.2575 | 38.4241 38.4479 39.1173 | 42.1988 42.2114 42.892 | $\begin{gathered} 45.8953 \\ 45.902 \\ 46.5885 \end{gathered}$ | 49.5197 49.5233 50.2128 | 53.0769 53.0789 53.7701 |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43.693 43.7187 44.3861 | 48.1502 48.1635 48.8434 | 52.5284 52.5353 53.2216 | 56.8335 56.837 <br> 57.5266 | 61.0705 61.0723 61.7636 |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54.0381 54.0528 54.7312 | 59.1036 59.1111 59.7967 | 64.0955 64.0993 64.7886 | 69.0189 69.0208 69.712 |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65.6106 65.6191 66.3038 | $\begin{aligned} & 71.2925 \\ & 71.2968 \\ & 71.9856 \end{aligned}$ | 76.9056 <br> 76.9078 <br> 77.5988 |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 78.4205 \\ & 78.4254 \\ & 79.1137 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84.7251 \\ & 84.7275 \\ & 85.4182 \end{aligned}$ |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 92.4768 92.4797 93.17 |

Table 1: Exact values of $\ln \left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|, 1 \leq n<r \leq 15$, and natural logarithms of lower and upper bounds.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that a two-sided inequality for $r<n$ directly follows from the fact that $\left|B_{u}(n, r)\right|=$ $\left|B_{u}(r, n)\right|$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Note that we will not display the zero powers of $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots$ in a cycle index polynomial. We will use the same convention for all other cycle index polynomials throughout the paper.
    ${ }^{3}$ The $\operatorname{lcm}(a, b)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)$ denote least common multiple and greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$.
    ${ }^{4}$ As noted in [5], $n=r$ case involves a different cycle index polynomial. Bounding $\left|B_{u}(n, n)\right|$ will be considered separately at the end of the paper.

