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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a permutation group on a set $\Omega$ with no fixed points in $\Omega$ and let $m$ be a positive integer. Then we define the movement of $G$ as, $m:=\operatorname{move}(G):=$ $\sup _{\Gamma}\left\{\left|\Gamma^{g} \backslash \Gamma\right| \mid g \in G\right\}$. Let $p$ be a prime, $p \geq 5$, and let $\operatorname{move}(G)=m$. We show that if $G$ is not a 2 -group and $p$ is the least odd prime dividing $|G|$, then $n:=|\Omega| \leq 4 m-p$.

Moreover for an infinite family of groups the maximum bound $n=4 m-p$ is attained.


## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be a permutation group on a set $\Omega$ with no fixed points in $\Omega$ and let $m$ be a positive integer. If for a subset $\Gamma$ of $\Omega$ the size $\left|\Gamma^{g}-\Gamma\right|$ is bounded, for $g \in G$, we define the movement of $\Gamma$ as move $(\Gamma)=\max _{g \in G}\left|\Gamma^{g}-\Gamma\right|$. If move $(\Gamma) \leq m$ for all $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega$, then $G$ is said to have bounded movement and the movement of $G$ is defined as the maximum of move $(\Gamma)$ over all subsets $\Gamma$, that is,

$$
m:=\operatorname{move}(G):=\sup \left\{\left|\Gamma^{g} \backslash \Gamma\right| \mid \Gamma \subseteq \Omega, g \in G\right\}
$$

This notion was introduced in [4]. By [4, Theorem 1 ], if $G$ has bounded movement $m$, then $\Omega$ is finite. Moreover both the number of $G$-orbits in $\Omega$ and the length of each $G$-orbit are bounded above by linear functions of $m$. In particular, it was

[^0]proved that each $G$-orbit has length at most $3 m$ and $n:=|\Omega| \leq 5 m-2$. In [1] it was shown that $n=5 m-2$ if and only if $n=3$ and $G$ is transitive. But in [3], this bound was refined further and it was shown that $n \leq \frac{1}{2}(9 m-3)$. Moreover, if $n=\frac{1}{2}(9 m-3)$ then either $n=3$ and $G=S_{3}$ or $G$ is an elementary abelian 3-group and all its orbits have length 3 .

Now suppose that $G$ is not a 2 -group. Let $p$ be the least odd prime dividing $|G|$ and suppose that $p \geq 5$. Then by [4, Lemma 2.2], $n \leq(9 m-3) / 2$. In this paper we aim to improve the bound as follows:

Theorem 1.1 Let $m$ be a positive integer, and let $G$ be a finite permutation group on a set $\Omega$ with movement $m$ such that $G$ has no fixed points in $\Omega$. If $|G|$ is not a 2-power and $|G|$ is not divisible by 3 , then $n:=|\Omega| \leq 4 m-p$, where $p$ is the smallest odd prime dividing $|G|$

The maximum bound in Theorem 1.1 is attained for an infinite family of groups (see example 2.4).

We denote by K.P a semi-direct product of $K$ by $P$ with normal subgroup $K$.

## 2 Examples and preliminaries

Let $1 \neq g \in G$ and suppose that $g$ in its disjoint cycle representation has $t$ nontrivial cycles of lengths $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{t}$ say. We might represent $g$ as

$$
g=\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{l_{1}}\right)\left(b_{1} b_{2} \ldots b_{l_{2}}\right) \ldots\left(z_{1} z_{2} \ldots z_{l_{t}}\right) .
$$

Let $\Gamma(g)$ denote a subset of $\Omega$ consisting of $\left\lfloor l_{i} / 2\right\rfloor$ points from the $i^{\text {th }}$ cycle, for each $i$, chosen in such a way that $\Gamma(g)^{g} \cap \Gamma(g)=\emptyset$. For example, we could choose

$$
\Gamma(g)=\left\{a_{2}, a_{4}, \ldots, a_{k_{1}}, b_{2}, b_{4}, \ldots, b_{k_{2}}, \ldots, z_{2}, z_{4}, \ldots, z_{k_{t}}\right\}
$$

where $k_{i}=l_{i}-1$ if $l_{i}$ is odd and $k_{i}=l_{i}$ if $l_{i}$ is even. Note that $\Gamma(g)$ is not uniquely determined as it depends on the way each cycle is written. For any set $\Gamma(g)$ of this kind we say that $\Gamma(g)$ consists of every second point of every cycle of $g$. From the definition of $\Gamma(g)$ we see that

$$
\left|\Gamma(g)^{g} \backslash \Gamma(g)\right|=|\Gamma(g)|=\sum_{i=1}^{t}\left\lfloor l_{i} / 2\right\rfloor .
$$

The next lemma shows that this quantity is an upper bound for $\left|\Gamma^{g} \backslash \Gamma\right|$ for an arbitrary subset $\Gamma$ of $\Omega$.
Lemma 2.1 [2, Lemma 2.1] Let $G$ be a permutation group on a set $\Omega$ and suppose that $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega$. Then for each $g \in G,\left|\Gamma^{g} \backslash \Gamma\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{t}\left\lfloor l_{i} / 2\right\rfloor$ where $l_{i}$ is the length of the $i^{\text {th }}$ cycle of $g$ and $t$ is the number of nontrivial cycles of $g$ in its disjoint cycle representation. This upper bound is attained for $\Gamma=\Gamma(g)$ defined above.

Now we have the following lemma which is a classification of all transitive permutation groups $G$ of degree $p$ where $p$ is the least odd prime dividing $|G|$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $G$ be a transitive permutation group on a set $\Omega$ of size $p$, where $p$ is the least odd prime dividing $|G|$. Then $G=Z_{p} . Z_{2^{a}}$, where $a \geq 0$, and $2^{a} \mid(p-1)$. Proof. Let $G$ be a transitive permutation group on a set $\Omega$ of size $p$. Then $G$ is isomorphic to a transitive subgroup of $S_{p}$ and so $p$ is the largest prime divisor of $|G|$. Since $p$ is also the least odd prime dividing $|G|$, we have $|G|=p .2^{a}$ for some $a \geq 0$. By Burnside's" pq theorem" ( see [6, Theorem 2.10.17]) $G$ is soluble, and hence by a theorem of Galois [6,Theorem 3.6.1] $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the group AGL $(1, p)$ of affine transformations of a finite field consisting of $p$ elements. Thus $G=Z_{p} . Z_{2^{a}}$ as asserted.

Corollary 2.3 Let $G$ be a permutation group on a set $\Omega$, and suppose that $\Delta$ is a $G$-orbit of length $p$ in $\Omega$ where $p$ is the least odd prime dividing $|G|$. Then the induced permutation group $G^{\Delta}$ is $Z_{p} \cdot Z_{2^{a}}$ where $2^{a} \mid p-1$.

Let $d$ be a positive integer, $p$ a prime, $G:=Z_{p}^{d}, t:=\left(p^{d}-1\right) /(p-1)$, and let $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{t}$ be all subgroups of index $p$ in $G$. Define $\Omega_{i}$ to be the right coset space $\left\{H_{i} g \mid g \in G\right\}$ of $H_{i}$ and $\Omega:=\Omega_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \Omega_{t}$. Consider $G$ as a permutation group on $\Omega$ by the right multiplication, that is $x \in G$ is identified with the composite of permutation $H_{i} g \longmapsto H_{i} g x(i=1, \ldots, t)$ on $\Omega_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, t$. If $g \in G-\{1\}$, then $g$ lies in $\left(p^{d-1}-1\right) /(p-1)$ groups $H_{i}$ and therefore acts on $\Omega$ as a permutation with $p\left(p^{d-1}-1\right) /(p-1)$ fixed points and $p^{d-1}$ orbits of length $p$. Taking every second point from each of these $p$-cycles to form a set $\Gamma$ we see that $\operatorname{move}(g)=m \geq p^{d-1}(p-1) / 2$ if $p$ is odd or $2^{d-1}$ if $p=2$, and it is not hard to prove that in fact move $(g)=m=p^{d-1}(p-1) / 2$ if $p$ is odd or $2^{d-1}$ if $p=2$. Since $g$ is non-trivial, all non-identity elements of $G$ have the same movement $m$.

Now we will show that there certainly is an infinite family of groups for which equality in Theorem 1.1 holds, for any prime $p \geq 5$.

Example 2.4 For a positive integer $d$ and a prime $p \geq 5$, let $G_{1}:=\langle(12 \ldots p)\rangle \cong Z_{p}$ be a permutation group on $\Omega_{1}:=\{1,2, \ldots, p\}$. Moreover, suppose that $G_{2}:=Z_{2}^{d}$, and $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{t}$ denote the groups defined in the above for the prime number 2 on $\Omega_{2}:=\bigcup_{i=1}^{2^{d}-1} \Omega_{2 i}$, where $\Omega_{2 i}$ denotes the set of two cosets of $H_{i}$ in $G_{2}, 1 \leq i \leq t=$ $2^{d}-1$. Then $G_{2}$ has movement equal to $2^{d-1}$ and also $\left(2^{d}-1\right)$ nontrivial orbits in $\Omega_{2}$. Now we consider the direct product $G:=G_{1} \times G_{2}$ as a permutation on $\Omega$ which is the disjoint union of $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$, and $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ act trivially on $\Omega_{2}$ and $\Omega_{1}$, respectively. Then $G$ has movement $m=(p-1) / 2+2^{d-1}$. The set $\Omega$ splits into $2^{d}=2 m-(p-1)$ orbits under $G$, which are $\Omega_{1}$ and $2^{d}-1$ orbits of length 2 in $\Omega_{2}$. In particular, none of them is trivial. Furthermore,

$$
4 m-p=2(p-1)+2^{d+1}-p=p+2\left(2^{d}-1\right)=\left|\Omega_{1}\right|+\left|\Omega_{2}\right|=|\Omega| .
$$

## 3 The maximum degree of bounded movement groups

Suppose that $G \leq \operatorname{Sym}(\Omega)$ and that $G$ is not a 2 -group and $\operatorname{move}(G)=m$, and that $p \geq 5$ is the least odd prime dividing $|G|$. In this section we find an upper bound for $|\Omega|$ that is a linear function of $m$.

To prove the main theorems, we introduce the following notation.
$r_{p}(a):=$ number of $G$-orbits of length $p$ on which $G$ acts as $Z_{p} \cdot Z_{2^{a}}$ with $0 \leq a \leq a_{0}$ and set $r_{p}:=\sum_{a=0}^{a_{1}} r_{p}(a)$;
$\Phi:=$ union of $G$-orbits of lengths $2^{b}$, where $1 \leq b \leq \log _{2} p$; and $u$ is the number of orbits in $\Phi$;
$s:=$ number of $G$-orbits of length $>p$.
The orbits are labeled accordingly: thus $\Omega_{1}, \ldots, \Omega_{r_{p}}$ are those of length $p$ on which $G$ acts as $Z_{p} . Z_{2^{a}}$ for some $a \geq 0 ; \Omega_{r_{p}+1}, \ldots, \Omega_{r_{p}+u}$ are those of length $2^{b}$ where $1 \leq b \leq \log _{2} p$, which the group induced by $G$ on each orbit in $\Phi$ is a 2 -group; and etc. Define $t:=r_{p}+u+s, t_{1}:=r_{p}+u$. So $t$ is the total number of $G$-orbits.

For $1 \leq i \leq r_{p}$ define $K_{i}$ to be the kernel of the action of $G$ on $\Omega_{i}$ and for $g \in G$ define $k(g)$ to be the number of $i$ in that range for which $g$ is not in $K_{i}$. For $g \in G$ and a $G$-invariant set $\Delta$ we denote by fix $_{\Delta}(g)=\left\{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \alpha^{g}=\alpha\right\}$ and $\operatorname{supp}_{\Delta}(g)=\left\{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \alpha^{g} \neq \alpha\right\}$ the set of fixed points of $g$ in $\Delta$ and the support of $g$ in $\Delta$, respectively (so that $\left|\operatorname{fix}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{g})\right|+\left|\operatorname{supp}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{g})\right|=|\Delta|$ ), and define odd ${ }_{\Delta}(g):=$ the number non-trivial cycles of $g$ in $\Delta$ that have odd length.

Lemma 3.1 With the above notation, let $\Delta:=\bigcup_{i=t_{1}+1}^{t} \Omega_{i}$ be the union of $G$-orbits of length $>p$, and let $g \in G$. Then

$$
\frac{p-1}{2} k(g)+\frac{1}{2}\left|\operatorname{supp}_{\Phi}(g)\right|+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\operatorname{supp}_{\Delta}(g)\right|-\operatorname{odd}_{\Delta}(g)\right) \leq m .
$$

Proof. For each $i$ such that $1 \leq i \leq t_{0}$ and $g$ is not in $K_{i}$, since $\left|\Omega_{i}\right|=p$ then $g^{\Omega_{i}}$ is a $p$-cycle or a 2 -element with one fixed point and we may choose a subset $\Gamma_{i}$ of $\frac{p-1}{2}$ points of $\Omega_{i}$ such that $\Gamma_{i}^{g} \cap \Gamma_{i}=\emptyset$. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ be the set of chosen points from all the $\Gamma_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r_{p}$, and so by definition $\Gamma_{0}^{g} \cap \Gamma_{0}=\emptyset$.

For each of the non-trivial cycles $\left(b_{1} \ldots b_{2 l}\right)$ and $\left(a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{k}\right)$ of $g$ in $\Phi$ and $\Delta$ respectively, adjoin the points $b_{1}, b_{3}, \ldots, b_{2 l-1}$ and also $a_{1}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{k^{\prime}}$ to $\Gamma_{0}$, where $k^{\prime}$ is odd and $k-2 \leq k^{\prime} \leq k-1$.
Let $\Gamma$ be the resulting set. It has been constructed so that $\Gamma^{g} \cap \Gamma=\emptyset$. Therefore $|\Gamma| \leq m$. Since

$$
|\Gamma|=\frac{p-1}{2} k(g)+\frac{1}{2}\left|\operatorname{supp}_{\Phi}(g)\right|+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\operatorname{supp}_{\Delta}(g)\right|-\text { odd }_{\Delta}(g)\right),
$$

we have the stated inequality.
To prove Theorem 1.1 we first prove the following lemma.

## Lemma 3.2

$$
\sum_{a=0}^{a_{0}} \frac{p-1}{2} .\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{a} p}\right) r_{p}(a)+\frac{|\Phi|-u}{2}+\frac{p-1}{2 p}(|\Delta|-s)<m,
$$

Proof. Suppose that $1 \leq i \leq r_{p}$. Then the group induced by $G$ on $\Omega_{i}$ is $Z_{p} Z_{2^{a}}$ for some $a \geq 0$, such that $2^{a} \mid(p-1)$, and since $\left|G: K_{i}\right|=2^{a} p$, there are

$$
|G|-\left|K_{i}\right|=\left(2^{a} p-1\right)\left|K_{i}\right|
$$

elements $g$ which act nontrivially on $\Omega_{i}$. It follows that

$$
\sum_{g \in G} \frac{p-1}{2} k(g)=\frac{p-1}{2} \sum_{a=0}^{a_{0}}\left(\frac{2^{a} p-1}{2^{a} p}|G|\right) r_{p}(a) .
$$

For $r_{p}+1 \leq i \leq t_{1}$, the group induced by $G$ on $\Omega_{i}$ is a 2 -group. The union of these sets $\Omega_{i}$ is $\Phi$, and since by Burnside's Lemma [5, Theorem 3.26] the average number of fixed points of elements of $G$ in $\Phi$ is the number $u$ of $G$-orbits in $\Phi$, we have

$$
\sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{2}\left|\operatorname{supp}_{\Phi}(g)\right|=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{g \in G}\left(|\Phi|-\mid \text { fix }_{\Phi}(g) \mid\right)=\frac{1}{2}|\Phi||G|-\frac{|G|}{2} u .
$$

Similarly,

$$
\sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{2}\left|\operatorname{supp}_{\Delta}(g)\right|=\frac{1}{2}|\Delta| \cdot|G|-\frac{s|G|}{2},
$$

and since $\operatorname{odd}_{\Delta}(g)<\frac{1}{p}|\operatorname{supp} \Delta(g)|$, we have

$$
\sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{2}\left(\left|\operatorname{supp}_{\Delta}(g)\right|-o d d_{\Delta}(g)\right)>\frac{p-1}{2 p}(|\Delta| \cdot|G|-s|G|) .
$$

Thus adding the inequality of Lemma 3.1 over all $g \in G$, we obtain

$$
m|G|>|G|\left(\sum_{a=0}^{a_{0}} \frac{p-1}{2} .\left(1-\frac{1}{2^{a} p}\right) r_{p}(a)+\frac{|\Phi|-u}{2}+\frac{p-1}{2 p}(|\Delta|-s)\right)
$$

where the last inequality recognizes the fact the inequality of Lemma 3.1 is strict for the identity element of $G$. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Recall that in general the movement move $(g)$ of an element $g$ of a permutation group $G$ on a set $\Omega$ is defined as

$$
\operatorname{move}_{\Omega}(g):=\max \left\{\left|\Gamma^{g} \backslash \Gamma\right| \mid \Gamma \subseteq \Omega\right\}
$$

Thus the movement $m$ of $G$ is given as $m=\max \left\{\operatorname{move}_{\Omega}(g) \mid g \in G\right\}$. Assume that $\Omega$ is the disjoint union of $G$-invariant sets $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$. Then every subset $\Gamma$ of $\Omega$ is a disjoint union of subsets $\Gamma_{i}:=\Gamma \cap \Omega_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Let $g_{i}$ be the permutation on $\Omega_{i}$ induced by $g$ for $i=1,2$. Since $\left|\Gamma^{g} \backslash \Gamma\right|=\left|\Gamma_{1}^{g_{1}} \backslash \Gamma_{1}\right|+\left|\Gamma_{2}^{g_{2}} \backslash \Gamma_{2}\right|$, we have

$$
\operatorname{move}_{\Omega}(g)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} \max \left\{\left|\Gamma_{i}^{g_{i}} \backslash \Gamma_{i}\right| \mid \Gamma_{i} \subseteq \Omega_{i}\right\}=\text { move }_{\Omega_{1}}\left(g_{1}\right)+\text { move }_{\Omega_{2}}\left(g_{2}\right)
$$

Now

$$
n=\left(\sum_{a=0}^{a_{0}} r_{p}(a)\right) p+|\Phi|+|\Delta| .
$$

Also we have $|\Phi| \geq 2 u$, and so

$$
\frac{|\Phi|-u}{2} \geq \frac{|\Phi|}{4} .
$$

By above statement and since $G$ is intransitive, thus the inequality in Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
m-1 & \geq \frac{n}{4}+\sum_{a=0}^{a_{0}} r_{p}(a)\left(\frac{p-1}{2}-\frac{p-1}{2^{a+1} p}-\frac{p}{4}\right)+|\Delta|\left(\frac{p-1}{2 p}-\frac{1}{4}\right)-\frac{p-1}{2 p} s \\
& =\frac{n}{4}+\sum_{a=0}^{a_{0}} r_{p}(a)\left(\frac{p-2}{4}-\frac{p-1}{2^{a+1} p}\right)+|\Delta|\left(\frac{p-2}{4 p}\right)-\frac{p-1}{2 p} s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G$ is not a 2-group, we have either $r_{p}(a)>0$ for some $a$ or $s>0$. If some $r_{p}(a)>0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m-1 \geq \frac{n}{4}+\frac{p-2}{4}-\frac{p-1}{2^{a+1} p} . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

But we note that since $p \geq 5$, for each $a \geq 0$,

$$
\frac{p-2}{4}-\frac{p-1}{2^{a+1} p} \geq \frac{p-2}{4}-\frac{p-1}{2 p}>0 .
$$

Hence,

$$
m-1 \geq \frac{n}{4}+\frac{p-2}{4}-\frac{p-1}{2 p}=\frac{n}{4}+\frac{p^{2}-4 p+2}{4 p} .
$$

On the other hand if $s>0$, then $|\Delta| \geq(p+1) s \geq p+1$. Thus,

$$
m-1 \geq \frac{n}{4}+|\Delta|\left(\frac{p-2}{4 p}\right)-\frac{p-1}{2 p} s \geq \frac{n}{4}+s\left(\frac{(p+1)(p-2)}{4 p}-\frac{p-1}{2 p}\right) \geq \frac{n}{4}+\frac{p^{2}-3 p}{4 p} .
$$

So in either case we must have,

$$
m-1 \geq \frac{n}{4}+\min \left\{\frac{p^{2}-4 p+2}{4 p}, \frac{p^{2}-3 p}{4 p}\right\}=\frac{n}{4}+\frac{p^{2}-4 p+2}{4 p} .
$$

Hence,

$$
4 m \geq n+p+\frac{2}{p}
$$

That is, $n \leq 4 m-p$. Hence the proof of Theorem 1.1 is complete.
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