

# A characteristic property of self-orthogonal codes and its application to lattices

Zhe-Xian Wan

## Abstract

Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$ ,  $D$  be the ring of algebraic integers in the field  $Q(\zeta)$ , and  $P = (1 - \zeta)$  be the principal ideal of  $D$  generated by  $1 - \zeta$ . For a  $p$ -ary linear code  $C$  of length  $n$ , define the lattice  $\Lambda_C = \{p^{-1/2}(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{z}) \mid \mathbf{c} \in C, \mathbf{z} \in P^n\}$ . It is proved that  $\Lambda_C$  is even if and only if  $C$  is self-orthogonal and that  $\Lambda_C$  is even unimodular if and only if  $C$  is self-dual. The proof rests on the following remark that for an odd prime power  $q$  a  $q$ -ary linear code  $C$  is self-orthogonal if and only if  $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{c} \in C$ . Finally, irreducible root lattices arising as  $\Lambda_C$  from  $p$ -ary linear codes  $C$  are completely determined.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $q$  be a prime power,  $n$  be a positive integer, and  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional row vector space over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  with  $q$  elements. A  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  is called a  $q$ -ary linear  $[n, k]$ -code. For any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ , define

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n.$$

Let  $C$  be a  $q$ -ary linear  $[n, k]$ -code. Define

$$C^\perp = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{y} \in C\}.$$

Then  $C^\perp$  is an  $(n - k)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  and called the *dual code* of  $C$ . If  $C \subseteq C^\perp$ , then  $C$  is called *self-orthogonal*. If  $C = C^\perp$ , then  $C$  is called *self-dual*.

---

Received by the editors August 1997.

Communicated by James Hirschfeld.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 05, 94.

*Key words and phrases*. self-orthogonal code, cyclotomic field, lattice, irreducible root lattice.

In the present paper it is remarked that when  $q$  is a power of an odd prime, a  $q$ -ary linear code  $C$  is self-orthogonal if and only if  $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{c} \in C$ . Then this remark is applied to the study of lattices.

Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  be the cyclotomic field of  $p$ th roots of unity,  $D$  be its ring of algebraic integers, and  $P = (1 - \zeta)$  be the principal ideal of  $D$  generated by  $1 - \zeta$ .

For a  $p$ -ary linear  $[n, k]$ -code  $C$ , define the lattice

$$\Lambda_C = \{p^{-1/2}(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{z}) \mid \mathbf{c} \in C, \mathbf{z} \in P^n\},$$

where  $\mathbf{c}$  is regarded as a vector whose components are integers  $0, 1, \dots, p-1$ . Then it is proved that  $\Lambda_C$  is even if and only if  $C$  is self-orthogonal and that  $\Lambda_C$  is even unimodular if and only if  $C$  is self-dual. This improves a proposition of [1].

Finally, let  $\Lambda$  be an irreducible root lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then it is proved that  $\Lambda \simeq \Lambda_C$  for a  $p$ -ary code  $C$  of length  $n$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime, if and only if  $\Lambda$  is of type  $A_{p-1}$ ,  $E_6$  (when  $p = 3$  and  $n = 3$ ), or  $E_8$  (when  $p = 3$  and  $n = 4$ , or  $p = 5$  and  $n = 2$ ).

## 2 A characteristic property of self-orthogonal codes

### Proposition 1

*Let  $q$  be a power of an odd prime and  $C$  be a  $q$ -ary linear code. Then  $C$  is self-orthogonal if and only if  $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{c} \in C$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{c} \in C$ . For any  $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}' \in C$ , since  $C$  is linear,  $\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}' \in C$ . Then

$$\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{c}' \cdot \mathbf{c}' = (\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}') \cdot (\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{c}') = 0,$$

which implies  $2\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}' = 0$ . Since  $q$  is odd, we have  $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}' = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}' \in C$ . Therefore  $C \subseteq C^\perp$ .

The converse part is trivial. ■

Proposition 1 should be known, but the author could not find a reference, so let its proof be here.

The following example shows that Proposition 1 does not always hold when  $q$  is even.

### Example

Let

$$C = \{(0, 0, 0), (1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1)\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^3.$$

Clearly,  $C$  is a binary linear  $[3, 2]$ -code with the property that  $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{c} \in C$ , but  $C \not\subseteq C^\perp$ .

For the following proposition, see, for example, [1], p. 9 or [4], p. 26.

### Proposition 2

*Let  $q$  be a prime power and  $C$  be a  $q$ -ary linear  $[n, k]$ -code. Then  $C$  is self-dual if and only if  $n$  is even,  $k = n/2$ , and  $C \subseteq C^\perp$ .*

### 3 Application to lattices

Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  be the cyclotomic field of  $p$ th roots of unity, and  $D$  be its ring of algebraic integers. It may be shown (see, for example, [3], Chapter 13, §2) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) &= \mathbb{Q} + \mathbb{Q}\zeta + \cdots + \mathbb{Q}\zeta^{p-2}, \\ D &= \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\zeta + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\zeta^{p-2},\end{aligned}$$

where both sums are direct. Also the ideal  $P = (1 - \zeta)$  is a prime ideal of  $D$ ,  $\bar{P} = P$ , and  $D/P \simeq \mathbb{F}_p$ . Define a bilinear form on  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  by

$$(x, y) = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}}(x\bar{y}) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta),$$

where  $\bar{y}$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $y$ . It was proved (see, for example, [1], §5.1 or [2]) that it is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ , that  $D$  is a  $(p-1)$ -dimensional lattice with disc  $D = p^{p-2}$ , and that  $p^{-1/2}P$  is a  $(p-1)$ -dimensional lattice of type  $A_{p-1}$ .

Let  $n$  be an integer  $\geq 2$ ,

$$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^n = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_i \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)\}$$

and define

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i, y_i) \text{ for all } \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^n.$$

Then  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)^n$ . Moreover,  $D^n$  is an  $n(p-1)$ -dimensional lattice with disc  $D^n = p^{n(p-2)}$ , and  $p^{-1/2}P^n$  is an  $n(p-1)$ -dimensional lattice of type  $nA_{p-1}$ .

Define a map  $\rho : D^n \rightarrow (D/P)^n \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^n$  by

$$\rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1 + P, \dots, x_n + P) \text{ for all } (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in D^n.$$

Clearly,  $\rho$  is a surjective homomorphism of additive groups. Let  $C$  be a  $p$ -ary linear  $[n, k]$ -code. Define

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_C &= p^{-1/2}\rho^{-1}(C) \\ &= \{p^{-1/2}(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{z}) \mid \mathbf{c} \in C, \mathbf{z} \in P^n\},\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{c}$  is regarded as a vector whose components are integers  $0, 1, \dots, p-1$ . Then we have

#### Proposition 3

Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $C$  be a  $p$ -ary linear  $[n, k]$ -code. Then  $\Lambda_C$  is an  $n(p-1)$ -dimensional lattice containing the lattice  $p^{-1/2}P^n$  of type  $nA_{p-1}$  and with disc  $\Lambda_C = p^{n-2k}$ . Moreover,

- (i)  $\Lambda_C$  is even if and only if  $C$  is self-orthogonal.
- (ii)  $\Lambda_C$  is even unimodular if and only if  $C$  is self-dual.

**Proof.** We have  $|\mathbb{F}_p^n/C| = p^{n-k}$ . By the 2nd isomorphism theorem (see [5], p. 150)

$$D^n/\rho^{-1}(C) \simeq \mathbb{F}_p^n/C.$$

Therefore  $|D^n/\rho^{-1}(C)| = p^{n-k}$ . Since  $D^n$  is an  $n(p-1)$ -dimensional lattice, so is  $\rho^{-1}(C)$ . It follows that  $\Lambda_C = p^{-1/2}\rho^{-1}(C)$  is also an  $n(p-1)$ -dimensional lattice. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc } \Lambda_C &= ((p^{-1/2})^{n(p-1)})^2 \text{disc } \rho^{-1}(C) \\ &= p^{-n(p-1)} \text{disc } D^n |D^n/\rho^{-1}(C)|^2 \\ &= p^{-n(p-1)} p^{n(p-2)} p^{2(n-k)} \\ &= p^{n-2k}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

(i) For any  $\mathbf{x} \in \Lambda_C$ ,  $\mathbf{x}$  can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{x} = p^{-1/2}(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{z}), \text{ where } \mathbf{c} \in C, \mathbf{z} \in P^n.$$

Then

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = p^{-1} (\text{Tr}(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}) + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{c}(\mathbf{z} + \bar{\mathbf{z}})) + \text{Tr}(\mathbf{z}\bar{\mathbf{z}})),$$

where  $\text{Tr} = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}}$ . It is easy to verify that

$$\text{Tr}(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}) = (p-1)(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c})$$

and

$$\text{Tr}(y + \bar{y}), \text{Tr}(y\bar{y}) \in 2p\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } y \in P.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) &= p^{-1}((p-1)(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}) + 2pr), \text{ where } r \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &= p^{-1}(p-1)(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}) + 2r. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) \in 2\mathbb{Z} &\Leftrightarrow p \mid \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c} = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\Lambda_C$  is even if and only if  $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{c} \in C$ . By Proposition 1,  $\Lambda_C$  is even if and only if  $C$  is self-orthogonal.

(ii) By (1),  $\text{disc } \Lambda_C = 1$  if and only if  $n = 2k$ , i.e.,  $n$  is even and  $k = n/2$ . By Proposition 2 and (i),

$$\begin{aligned} C \text{ is self-dual} &\Leftrightarrow n \text{ is even, } k = n/2, \text{ and } C \subseteq C^\perp \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{disc } \Lambda_C = 1 \text{ and } \Lambda_C \text{ is even} \\ &\Leftrightarrow \Lambda_C \text{ is even unimodular.} \end{aligned}$$

■

The “if” parts of Proposition 3 can be found in [1], i.e., Proposition 5.2 of [1], p. 135.

Let  $\Lambda$  be a lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Define

$$\Lambda^* = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } \mathbf{y} \in \Lambda\}.$$

Then  $\Lambda^*$  is also a lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , called the *dual lattice* of  $\Lambda$ .  $\Lambda$  is called *integral* if  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \Lambda$ . For an integral lattice  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda^*$  and  $\Lambda^*/\Lambda$  is a finite abelian group.  $\Lambda$  is called *even* if  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in \Lambda$ . If  $\Lambda$  is even then it is integral.

Let  $\Lambda$  be an even lattice. A vector of square length 2 in  $\Lambda$  is called a *root* of  $\Lambda$ . If  $\Lambda$  is generated by all its roots,  $\Lambda$  is called a *root lattice*. If  $\Lambda$  cannot be written as the direct sum of two sublattices  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  such that  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{x}_1 \in \Lambda_1$  and  $\mathbf{x}_2 \in \Lambda_2$ ,  $\Lambda$  is called *irreducible*. It is known that irreducible root lattices are of types  $A_n (n \geq 1)$ ,  $D_n$  ( $n$  even and  $\geq 4$ ),  $E_n (n = 6, 7, 8)$ , (cf. Theorem 1.2 of [1], p. 20). If irreducible root lattices  $\Lambda$  and  $\Lambda'$  are of the same type, we write  $\Lambda \simeq \Lambda'$ .

As in the binary case we can study which irreducible root lattices arise as lattices  $\Lambda_C$  from  $p$ -ary codes  $C$ .

### Lemma 1

Let  $C$  be a  $p$ -ary linear code, then  $\Lambda_C^* = \Lambda_{C^\perp}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathbf{x} = p^{-1/2}(\mathbf{c} + \mathbf{z}) \in \Lambda_C$  and  $\mathbf{y} = p^{-1/2}(\mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{z}') \in \Lambda_{C^\perp}$ , where  $\mathbf{c} \in C$ ,  $\mathbf{c}' \in C^\perp$ , and  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}' \in P^n$ . Then

$$(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = p^{-1} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{c} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{z}'} + \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{z} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{z}'}).$$

For  $\mathbf{c} \in C$  and  $\mathbf{c}' \in C^\perp$  we have  $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}' = 0$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Computed in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}' \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Since  $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}' \in P^n$  and  $\overline{P^n} = P^n$ , we have  $\mathbf{c} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{z}'}, \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{c}', \mathbf{z} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{z}'} \in P$ . Thus  $\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{c} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{z}'} + \mathbf{z} \cdot \mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{z} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{z}'}) \in p\mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This proves  $\Lambda_{C^\perp} \subseteq \Lambda_C^*$ .

Let  $\dim C = k$ . By Proposition 3,  $\operatorname{disc} \Lambda_C = p^{n-2k}$  and  $\operatorname{disc} \Lambda_{C^\perp} = p^{2k-n}$ . But  $\operatorname{disc} \Lambda_C^* = (\operatorname{disc} \Lambda_C)^{-1} = p^{2k-n}$ . Therefore  $\operatorname{disc} \Lambda_{C^\perp} = \operatorname{disc} \Lambda_C^*$ . Hence  $\Lambda_{C^\perp} = \Lambda_C^*$ . ■

### Proposition 4

Let  $\Lambda$  be an irreducible root lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\Lambda \simeq \Lambda_C$  for a  $p$ -ary linear code  $C$  of length  $n$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime if and only if  $\Lambda$  is of type  $A_{p-1}, E_6$  (when  $p = 3$  and  $n = 3$ ), or  $E_8$  (when  $p = 3$  and  $n = 4$ , or  $p = 5$  and  $n = 2$ ).

**Proof.** Assume that  $\Lambda \simeq \Lambda_C$  for a  $p$ -ary linear code  $C$  of length  $n$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime. For any  $p$ -ary linear code  $C'$  of length  $n$ , let  $\mathbf{x} \in \Lambda_{C'}$ , then  $\mathbf{x} = p^{-1/2}(\mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{z})$ , where  $\mathbf{c}' \in C'$  and  $\mathbf{z} \in P^n$ . Thus  $p\mathbf{x} = p^{-1/2}(p(\mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{z}))$  and  $p(\mathbf{c}' + \mathbf{z}) \in P^n$ . Therefore  $p\Lambda_{C'} \subseteq p^{-1/2}P^n \subseteq \Lambda_C$ . Since  $\Lambda_C^* = \Lambda_{C^\perp}$ , we have, in particular,  $p\Lambda_C^* \subseteq \Lambda_C$ . But  $\Lambda_C^*/\Lambda_C$  is a finite abelian group. So

$$\Lambda_C^*/\Lambda_C \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\ell \text{ for some } \ell \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

By inspecting the irreducible root lattices one by one we find that only  $A_{p-1}, E_6$  (when  $p = 3$ ), and  $E_8$  satisfy the condition (2). Moreover, if  $E_6 \simeq \Lambda_C$  for a  $p$ -ary linear code  $C$  of length  $n$ , then  $6 = (p-1)n$ , which implies  $p = 3$  and  $n = 3$ . If  $E_8 \simeq \Lambda_C$  for a  $p$ -ary linear code  $C$  of length  $n$ , then  $8 = (p-1)n$ . It follows that

$p = 3$  and  $n = 4$  or  $p = 5$  and  $n = 2$ . Therefore  $\Lambda$  is of type  $A_{p-1}, E_6$  (when  $p = 3$  and  $n = 3$ ), or  $E_8$  (when  $p = 3$  and  $n = 4$ , or  $p = 5$  and  $n = 2$ ).

Conversely, assume that  $\Lambda$  is of type  $A_{p-1}, E_6$ , or  $E_8$ . If  $\Lambda$  is of type  $A_{p-1}$ , let  $C$  be the 1-dimensional code  $\{0\}$  consisting of 0 only; then  $\Lambda_C = p^{-1/2}P$ , which is of type  $A_{p-1}$ . If  $\Lambda$  is of type  $E_6$ , let  $C = \mathbb{F}_3(1, 1, 1)$ ; then  $\Lambda \simeq \Lambda_C$ . If  $\Lambda$  is of type  $E_8$ , let  $C = \mathbb{F}_3(1, 0, 1, 1) + \mathbb{F}_3(0, 1, 1, 2)$  or  $\mathbb{F}_5(1, 2)$ ; then  $\Lambda \simeq \Lambda_C$ . ■

## References

- [1] W. Ebeling. *Lattices and Codes*. Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 1994.
- [2] F. Hirzebruch. A letter to N. J. A. Sloane on 19 August, 1986. In *Gesammelte Abhandlungen, Collected Papers*, pages 796–798. Band II. Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [3] K. Ireland and M. Rosen. *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*. Springer, New York, 2nd edition, 1990.
- [4] F. J. MacWilliams and N. J. A. Sloane. *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977.
- [5] B. L. van der Waerden. *Algebra I*. Springer, Berlin, 6 Auflage, 1964.

Zhe-Xian Wan  
 Department of Information Technology  
 Lund University  
 P.O. Box 118  
 S-221 00 Lund  
 Sweden  
 email: wan@it.lth.se