# On different types of algebras contained in CV(X)

L. Oubbi

#### Abstract

With every Nachbin family on a Hausdorff completely regular space X, we associate natural locally convex algebras of different types. Fundamental properties of these algebras are given. In particular every character of such an algebra E is shown to be an evaluation at some point of  $\beta(X)$ , the Stone-Čech compactification of X. Results are also furnished extending to general weighted algebras the relationship between the compact open, the strict and the uniform topologies on  $C_b(X)$ 

### Introduction

Let X be a Hausdorff completely regular space, V a Nachbin family on X and CV(X)and  $CV_0(X)$  the corresponding weighted locally convex spaces in the sense of [2] and [12]. In general these spaces need not be algebras. In [9], there are given necessary and sufficient conditions for  $CV_0(X)$  and CV(X) to be locally convex algebras of a certain type. In case these conditions are not satisfied, questions involving the algebra structure cannot be studied on the whole weighted space. In order to make such a study possible, at least on a large part of CV(X) and  $CV_0(X)$ , we associate with every Nachbin family on X canonical locally convex (resp. locally A-convex, uniformly locally A-convex) algebras, contained respectively in CV(X) and  $CV_0(X)$ . These algebras are maximal in some respect and offer a convenient framework for results of Buck stated for  $C_b(X)$  in [3]. Finally we show that every character on a selfadjoint subalgebra E of C(X) which is a  $C_b(X)$ -module (e.g. a weighted algebra)

Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. 6 (1999), 111-120

Received by the editors March 1997.

Communicated by J. Schmets.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 46H25, secondary 46E10, 46H05.

Key words and phrases : Nachbin family, weighted space, locally A-convex algebra, character.

is an evaluation at some point of  $\beta(X)$ , the Stone-Čech compactification of X, giving the complex version of a result obtained, in the real case, in [1].

## 1 Preliminaries

Henceforth X will denote a Hausdorff completely regular space, C(X) the algebra of all K-valued continuous functions on X (K = R or C) and V a Nachbin family on X, i.e. a family of upper semicontinuous (u.s.c.) non negative functions v on X such that for every  $v_1, v_2 \in V$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists  $v \in V$  with  $\max(\lambda v_1, \lambda v_2) \leq v$ and for every  $x \in X$ ,  $v(x) \neq 0$  for some  $v \in V$ . We will consider the so called weighted locally convex spaces  $CV(X) := \{f \in C(X) : |f|v \text{ is bounded for every} v \in V\}$  and  $CV_0(X) := \{f \in C(X) : fv \text{ vanishes at infinity for every } v \in V\}$ , equipped with the (weighted) topology  $\tau_V$  defined by the seminorms  $(||_v)_{v \in V}$ , where  $|f|_v := \sup\{v(t)|f(t)| : t \in X\}, f \in CV(X)$ .

In all the sequel, unless the contrary is stated, all subspaces of CV(X) we will consider are supplied with the topology induced by  $\tau_V$ . For every  $v \in V$ , we will denote by  $B_v(E)$  the unit ball of  $||_v$  in E. A subspace E of CV(X) is said to be essential if, given  $x \in X$ , there is some  $f \in E$  so that  $f(x) \neq 0$ .

A locally convex algebra (l. c. a.) is any (here commutative) algebra E endowed with a locally convex topology such that the multiplication of E is separately continuous. A l. c. a. is said to be locally A-convex (l. A-c.) if zero admits a fundamental system of neighbourhoods  $(U_i)_{i\in I}$  consisting of A-convex sets (that is, for every  $i \in I$ ,  $U_i$  is absolutely convex, absorbing and absorbs  $xU_i$  for every  $x \in U_i$ ). Equivalently E is a locally A-convex algebra if and only if its topology can be given by a family  $(P_i)_{i\in I}$  of A-seminorms; that is to say, for every  $i \in I$  and  $x \in E$ , there is some M(x, i) > 0 such that  $P_i(xy) \leq M(x, i)P_i(y)$ ,  $y \in E$ . If the constant M(x, i) can be chosen depending only on x, but not on i, we say that E is a uniformly locally A-convex algebra (u. l. A-c. a.) (cf. [4]). For any A-convex set B,  $\Im(B)$  will designate the idempotent kernel of B, where  $\Im(B) := \{x \in B : xB \subset B\}$ . It is clear that B and  $\Im(B)$  generate the same linear space. Hence if E is a l. A-c. a., then for every  $x \in E$  and every 0-neighbourhood  $\theta$ , there is some r > 0 such that  $\{(\frac{x}{r})^n, n \geq 1\} \subset \theta$ . A locally m-convex algebra is a l. c. a. whose topology can be defined by a family  $(P_i)_{i\in I}$  of submultiplicative seminorms (cf. [7]).

We assume familiarity with the book of Jarchow [6] for the notations or terminology not given here.

## **2** Locally convex algebras contained in CV(X)

Let us start with the following examples.

**Examples 1:** 1. Put  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $V = \{\lambda v, \lambda > 0\}$ , where  $v(t) = e^{-|t|}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Neither CV(X) nor  $CV_0(X)$  are algebras. But both contain, for example, the algebra  $C_b(X)$  of all continuous and bounded functions and the algebra  $P(\mathbb{R})$  of all polynomials. Here  $C_b(X)$  is a l. c. a. while  $P(\mathbb{R})$  is not.

2. Set  $X = [0, 1] \cup Q^+$ , v(t) = 1 on [0, 1] and  $e^{-t}$  elsewhere and  $V = \{\lambda v, \lambda > 0\}$ . Then  $CV_0(X)$  is isomorphic to the subalgebra of C[0, 1] consisting of those functions vanishing at 1, with the uniform norm. This is a Banach algebra, while CV(X) is not even an algebra.

Since CV(X) and  $CV_0(X)$  may fail to be algebras, one cannot deal with questions involving the algebra structure in general. We are going to introduce different types of locally convex algebras contained in CV(X) or  $CV_0(X)$ . Let us first show the following lemma improving Theorem 2.1 of [12]. Our result is more general and the proof is much shorter. If E is a subspace of CV(X), put  $coZ(E) := \{x \in X : \exists f \in E$ with  $f(x) \neq 0\}$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let E be a subspace of CV(X) and  $f \in C(X)$  such that  $fE \subset CV(X)$ . If E is a  $C_b(X)$ -module, then the mapping  $I_f : g \mapsto fg$  is continuous from E into CV(X) iff  $|f|V \leq V$  on coZ(E); i.e. for every  $v \in V$ , there is  $v' \in V$  such that  $|f|v \leq v'$  pointwise on coZ(E).

*Proof*: If  $I_f$  is continuous, then for every  $v \in V$ , there is  $v' \in V$  so that for every  $g \in E$ , we have:  $|fg|_v \leq |g|_{v'}$ . We claim that  $|f|v \leq v'$  pointwise on coZ(E). Indeed, take  $t \in coZ(E)$  and  $g \in E$  so that  $g(t) \neq 0$ . Put  $U_n := \{x \in X : v'(x) < v'(t) + \frac{1}{n} \text{ and } |g(x)| < |g(t)| + \frac{1}{n}\}$ . This is an open neighbourhood of t. Let  $g_n$  be a continuous function such that  $g_n(t) = 1, 0 \leq g_n \leq 1$  and  $\operatorname{supp} g_n \subset U_n$ . The function  $h_n := gg_n$  belongs to E and then enjoys  $v(t)|f(t)h_n(t)| \leq |h_n|_{v'}$ . Hence  $v(t)|f(t)g(t)| \leq (v'(t) + \frac{1}{n})(g(t) + \frac{1}{n})$ . Since n is arbitrary and  $g(t) \neq 0$ , we get  $|f(t)|v(t) \leq v'(t)$ . The converse is trivial.

**Remark 3:** 1. If coZ(E) = X or  $f \in E$ , we get  $|f|v \le v'$  pointwise on the whole of X.

2. If, in the preceding proof, v' can be taken equal to v, the function f must be bounded on the set  $N_v := \{x \in X : v(x) \neq 0\}.$ 

We are then led to consider the spaces  $C_{\ell}V(X) := \{f \in CV(X) : |f|V \leq V\}, C_{\ell}V_0(X) := \{f \in CV_0(X) : |f|V \leq V\}, C_AV(X) := \{f \in CV(X) : f \text{ is bounded on each } N_v, v \in V\}$  and  $C_AV_0(X) := \{f \in CV_0(X) : f \text{ is bounded on each } N_v, v \in V\}.$ It is also worthwhile to take into account  $C_AV_{00}(X) := \{f \in CV_0(X) : f \text{ vanishes at infinity on each } \overline{N_v}, v \in V\}, C_{uA}V(X) := CV(X) \cap C_b(X) \text{ and } C_{uA}V_0(X) := CV_0(X) \cap C_b(X).$  We clearly have:

$$C_A V_{00}(X)$$

$$\cap$$

$$C_{uA} V_0(X) \subset C_A V_0(X) \subset C_\ell V_0(X) \subset CV_0(X)$$

$$\cap$$

$$\cap$$

$$\cap$$

$$C_{uA} V(X) \subset C_A V(X) \subset C_\ell V(X) \subset CV(X).$$

All the spaces here are solid and hence  $C_b(X)$ -modules. We will write  $CV_{(0)}(X)$  to mean "CV(X) (resp.  $CV_0(X)$ )". The same also holds for  $C_\ell V_{(0)}(X)$ ,  $C_A V_{(0)}(X)$  and  $C_{uA}V_{(0)}(X)$ . We summarise properties of these spaces in:

**Theorem 4.** 1.  $C_{uA}V_{(0)}(X)$  is the largest u. l. A-c. a. contained in  $CV_{(0)}(X)$ . It is essential whenever  $CV_{(0)}(X)$  is.

2.  $C_A V_{(0)}(X)$  is the largest locally A-convex algebra contained in  $CV_{(0)}(X)$ .

3.  $C_{\ell}V_{(0)}(X)$  is the largest locally convex algebra which is both a  $C_b(X)$ -module and contained in  $CV_{(0)}(X)$ .

4.  $C_A V_{00}(X)$  is essential whenever X is locally compact.

Proof: 1. It is clear that  $C_{uA}V_{(0)}(X)$  is a uniformly locally A-convex algebra. Now, since every element of a u. l. A-c. a. is regular ([11]), if A is any such algebra contained in  $CV_{(0)}(X)$ , then for every  $f \in A$ , there is some r > 0 so that the sequence  $((\frac{f}{r})^n)_n$  is bounded in A. This is true only if f is bounded. Now if  $x \in X$  and  $f \in CV_{(0)}(X)$  enjoy  $f(x) \neq 0$ , then the function  $g := \min(|f(x)|, |f|)$  is in  $C_{uA}V_{(0)}(X)$  and verifies  $g(x) \neq 0$ . Hence  $C_{uA}V_{(0)}(X)$  is essential provided  $CV_{(0)}(X)$  is.

2. By its very definition,  $C_A V_{(0)}(X)$  is a locally A-convex algebra. Take any l. A-c. a. A contained in  $CV_{(0)}(X)$ . For every  $v \in V$  and  $f \in A$ , there is some r > 0 such that  $((\frac{f}{r})^n)_n \subset B_v(A)$ . We then have, for every  $t \in N_v$  and every  $n \ge 1$ ,  $|f(t)| \le r(\frac{1}{v(t)})^{\frac{1}{n}}$ . This is only true if  $|f(t)| \le r$ . Hence  $A \subset C_A V_{(0)}(X)$ .

3. By Lemma 2, for every  $f \in C_{\ell}V_{(0)}(X)$ , the mapping  $I_f : g \mapsto fg$  is continuous from  $C_{\ell}V_{(0)}(X)$  into  $CV_{(0)}(X)$ . We then have to show that fg belongs to  $C_{\ell}V_{(0)}(X)$ . But this follows from the very definition of  $C_{\ell}V_{(0)}(X)$ . As to the largeness of  $C_{\ell}V_{(0)}(X)$ , it is also an immediate consequence of Lemma 2 and remark 3.1.

4. If X is locally compact,  $C_A V_{00}(X)$  is essential since it contains the continuous functions with compact support.

**Remark 5:** 1. By Theorem 4,  $CV_{(0)}(X)$  is a locally convex algebra (resp. a l. A-c. a. resp. a u. l. A-c. a.) iff it is equal to  $C_{\ell}V_{(0)}(X)$  (resp.  $C_{A}V_{(0)}(X)$ , resp.  $C_{uA}V_{(0)}(X)$ ) (compare [9], Proposition 2).

2. The algebra  $C_{\ell}V_{(0)}(X)$  may fail to be the largest algebra contained in  $CV_{(0)}(X)$ . In example 1.1, the algebra  $C_{\ell}V_{(0)}(X)$  coincides with  $C_b(\mathbb{R})$  and  $P(\mathbb{R})$  intersects  $C_{\ell}V_{(0)}(X)$  only at  $\{0\}$ . Notice that  $P(\mathbb{R})$  is not a l. c. a., the product by x is not continuous.

3. In general, the algebras in Theorem 4 may differ from each other. They will be referred to as weighted algebras. If, however,  $V = \{\lambda v : \lambda > 0\}$  for some weight v on X, then  $C_{uA}V(X) = C_{\ell}V(X)$  and  $C_{uA}V_0(X) = C_{\ell}V_0(X)$ .

To give an example where the nine (algebras or) spaces above all differ from each other, let us first recall a method, given in case of n = 2 in [10], of constructing new weighted spaces starting from given ones. For i = 1, ..., n, let  $X_i$  denote a Hausdorff completely regular space, and  $X = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} X_i$  the disjoint union. This is the set  $\bigcup_{1 \le i \le n} X_i$  in which we distinguish any  $x_i \in X_i$  from each  $x_j \in X_j$ ,  $i \ne j$ . Equip X with the topology whose open sets are exactly the unions of open sets of the  $X_i$ 's. Assume that, for every i = 1, ..., n,  $V_i$  is a Nachbin family on  $X_i$ and set  $V := \prod_i^n V_i$ . If, for  $v = (v_i)_i \in V$  and  $x \in X$ , we put  $v(x) = v_i(x)$  if  $x \in X_i$ , we then get a Nachbin family on the Hausdorff completely regular space X such that the following equalities hold algebraically and topologically:  $CV_{(0)}(X) =$  $\prod_{i=1}^n C(V_i)_{(0)}(X_i)$  and  $C_*V_{(0)}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n C_*(V_i)_{(0)}(X_i)$ , where \* stands for  $\ell$ , A or uA; finally, also  $C_A V_{00}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^n C_A(V_i)_{00}(X_i)$ .

**Example 6:** For i = 1, ..., 4, take  $X_i$  to be the real line with its usual topology and  $X = \dot{\cup}_i X_i$ . Assume  $V_1 := \{\lambda 1_K, K \subset X_1 \text{ compact}, \lambda > 0\}, V_2 := \{\lambda 1, \lambda > 0\}$ , where  $1_K$  denotes the characteristic function of K and 1 the constant function with value 1,  $V_3 := \{\lambda e^{-\frac{1}{n}|.|}, n \ge 1, \lambda > 0\}$  and  $V_4 := \{\lambda e^{-|.|}, \lambda > 0\}$ . If we put  $V := \prod_1^4 V_i$ , then, by the remark above, we get that the (algebras and) spaces  $CV(X), CV_0(X), C_\ell V(X), C_\ell V_0(X), C_A V(X), C_A V_0(X), C_{uA} V(X), C_{uA} V_0(X)$ , and  $C_{A}V_{00}(X) \text{ are pairewise different since } CV(V_{4})(X_{4}) \text{ is not an algebra, } C(V_{2})(X_{2}) \neq C(V_{2})_{0}(X_{2}), C_{\ell}(V_{2})(X_{2}) \neq C_{\ell}(V_{2})_{0}(X_{2}), C_{A}(V_{3})(X_{3}) \neq C_{\ell}(V_{3})(X_{3}), C_{A}(V_{3})_{0}(X_{3}) \neq C_{\ell}(V_{3})_{0}(X_{3}), C_{A}(V_{2})_{0}(X_{2}) \neq C_{A}(V_{2})(X_{2}), C_{uA}(V_{1})(X_{1}) \neq C_{A}(V_{1})_{0}(X_{1}), C_{uA}(V_{1})_{0}(X_{1}) \neq C_{A}(V_{1})_{0}(X_{1}), C_{uA}(V_{1})_{0}(X_{1}) \neq C_{A}(V_{1})_{0}(X_{1}), C_{uA}(V_{1})_{0}(X_{1}) \neq C_{A}(V_{3})_{0}(X_{3}) \neq C_{A}(V_{3})_{0}(X_{3}).$ 

Actually such an example may also be obtained by considering, as X, the boundary of any complex rectangle without its extreme points, with an appropriate Nachbin family.

Let *E* be a l. c. a. over the field  $\mathbb{K}$  (=  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ ) and  $x \in E$ . By the spectrum of x relatively to *E* (even in the real case), we mean the set  $\operatorname{sp}_E x := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : x - \lambda e \text{ is not invertible}\}$  if *E* has a unit *e* and  $\operatorname{sp}_E x := \{0\} \cup \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\} : \frac{x}{\lambda} \text{ is not quasi-invertible}\}$  if *E* does not have a unit, where x is said to be quasi-invertible if there is  $y \in E$  so that xy = x + y. The radius of boundedness  $\beta(x)$  and the spectral radius  $\rho(x)$  are defined as  $\beta(x) = \inf\{\alpha > 0 : ((\frac{x}{\alpha})^n)_n \text{ is bounded}\}$  and  $\rho(x) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \operatorname{sp}_E x\}$ . We then obtain:

**Proposition 7.** Let *E* be a subalgebra of  $C_{\ell}V(X)$ . If *E* is a  $C_b(X)$ -module, then for every  $f \in E$ , one has  $\rho(f) = \beta(f) = ||f||$ , where  $||f|| := \sup\{|f(t)|, t \in X\}$ .

*Proof*: Clearly  $||f|| \leq \rho(f)$ . For the converse, we only have to show that if  $|\lambda| > ||f||$ , then  $\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}_E f$ . For such a  $\lambda$ , the function  $g := f - \lambda$  is continuous and bounded away from 0 (i.e.  $|f - \lambda| > \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ ). If  $1 \in E$ , then  $C_b(X)$  is contained in E and then  $\frac{1}{g} \in E$ . Hence  $\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}_E f$ . Now if  $1 \notin E$ , the function  $\frac{f}{g}$  belongs to E and the equality  $\frac{f^2}{\lambda g} = \frac{f}{g} + \frac{f}{\lambda}$  shows that  $\lambda \notin \operatorname{sp}_E f$ . As to  $\beta$ , if  $|f(t)| > \alpha$  for some  $t \in X$ , then  $((\frac{f}{\alpha})^n)_n$  is unbounded even in CV(X). Hence  $||f|| \leq \beta(f)$ . In particular, if f is unbounded,  $||f|| = \beta(f) = +\infty$ . Now if  $f \in C_b(X) \cap E$  and  $\alpha > ||f||$  are given, then for every  $v \in V$  and  $n \geq 1$ , we have  $|(\frac{f}{\alpha})^n|_v \leq |\frac{f}{\alpha}|_v$ . Hence  $\beta(f) \leq \alpha$  and then  $\beta(f) = ||f||$ .

The equality  $\beta(f) = ||f||$  actually holds in every subalgebra of  $C_{\ell}V(X)$ . Moreover, with a similar proof as in the first part above, one shows easily that if f belongs to a subalgebra E of  $C_{\ell}V(X)$  which is a  $C_b(X)$ -module, then  $f(X) \subset \operatorname{sp}_E f \subset \overline{f(X)}$ .

A locally convex algebra E is said to be strongly sequential if the set  $\{x \in E : (x^n)_n \text{ is bounded}\}$  is a 0-neighbourhood. It is a Q-algebra if the set of all its (quasi-) invertible elements is open. Equivalently, E is strongly sequential (resp. Q) iff  $\beta$  (resp.  $\rho$ ) is continuous at 0 (cf. [5]). We then have:

**Corollary 8.** Let E be a subalgebra of  $C_{\ell}V(X)$ . If E is a  $C_b(X)$ -module, the following assertions are equivalent: i) E is strongly sequential, ii) E is a Q-algebra, and iii) || || is a continuous norm on E.

This corollary provides a large class of non complete normed Q-algebras. Take any  $C_{uA}V_{(0)}(X)$  and endow it with the uniform norm.

#### **3** M-convex topologies in subalgebras of $C_{\ell}V(X)$

Notice first that, with the same proof as for Lemma 2.1 of [10], one shows

**Lemma 9.** Let *E* be a subalgebra of  $C_{\ell}V(X)$  which is a  $C_b(X)$ -module. For every  $v \in V$  and  $t \in coZ(E)$ , one has  $\frac{1}{v(t)} = \sup\{|f(t)| : f \in B_v(E)\}$  with  $\frac{1}{0} = +\infty$ .

For every locally A-convex topology  $\tau$  on an algebra E, we will write  $M(\tau)$  for the weakest locally m-convex topology on E stronger than  $\tau$  (cf. [8]). In what follows, we deal with  $M(\tau_V)$  on  $C_A V(X)$  and its subalgebras. For this purpose, we need some additional notations from [10]. For every  $v \in V$  and every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we consider  $N_{v,\epsilon} := \{x \in X : v(x) \ge \epsilon\}, N_v := \{x \in X : v(x) > 0\}$  and the mappings  $u_{v,\epsilon} := \max(v, \epsilon)$  on  $\overline{N_v}$  and 0 otherwise and  $w_{v,\epsilon} = v$  on  $N_{v,\epsilon}$  and 0 elsewhere. Both  $u_{v,\epsilon}$  and  $w_{v,\epsilon}$  are weights on X and verify  $u_{v,\epsilon} = \epsilon u_{\frac{v}{\epsilon},1}$  and  $w_{v,\epsilon} = \epsilon w_{\frac{v}{\epsilon},1}$ . Hence  $U := \{\lambda u_{v,1}, \lambda > 0\}$  and  $W := \{\lambda w_{v,1}, \lambda > 0\}$  are Nachbin families on X. Let  $CU_{(0)}(X)$  and  $CW_{(0)}(X)$  be the corresponding weighted spaces. By Proposition 2 of [9] these are locally m-convex algebras and, by construction,  $W \le V \le U$ . Hence  $CU_{(0)}(X) \subset CV_{(0)}(X) \subset CW_{(0)}(X)$  and on  $CU_{(0)}(X)$ , one has  $\tau_W \le \tau_V \le \tau_U$ . Actually we have:

**Theorem 10.** The equalities  $CU(X) = C_A V(X)$  and  $CU_0(X) = C_A V_{00}(X)$ hold algebraically. Moreover on every  $C_b(X)$ -module E which is a subalgebra of  $C_A V(X)$ ,  $\tau_U$  coincides with  $M(\tau_V)$  and  $\tau_W$  is the strongest weighted locally mconvex topology coarser than  $\tau_V$ .

*Proof*: Let f be in CU(X) and  $v \in V$ . Then  $f \in CV(X)$  and for every  $t \in N_v$ , one has  $|f(t)| \leq |f(t)| u_{v,1}(t) \leq |f|_{u_{v,1}}$ . Hence f belongs to  $C_A V(X)$ . Assume now f is in  $C_A V(X)$  and v in V. We have that  $|f|_{u_{v,1}}$  is less than the maximum of  $|f|_v$  and  $||f||_{N_v}$ . Then f belongs to CU(X). As to  $CU_0(X) = C_A V_{00}(X)$ , let  $f \in CU_0(X)$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. The set  $\{t \in \overline{N_v} : |f(t)| \ge \epsilon\}$  is closed and contained in the compact set  $\{t \in X : |f(t)|u_{v,1}(t) \geq \epsilon\}$ . Hence  $f \in C_A V_{00}(X)$ . Conversely, take  $f \in C_A V_{00}(X)$ ,  $v \in V$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . The sets  $A := \{t \in N_{v,1} : |f(t)|v(t) \geq \epsilon\}$ and  $B := \{t \in \overline{N_v} : |f(t)| \ge \epsilon\}$  are compact. Since  $\{t \in X : |f(t)| u_{v,1}(t) \ge \epsilon\}$  is closed and contained in  $A \cup B$ , f belongs to  $CU_0(X)$ . Now let E be a  $C_b(X)$ -module which is a subalgebra of  $C_A V(X)$  and let us show that  $\tau_U = M(\tau_V)$  on E. Since  $\tau_U$ is m-convex,  $M(\tau_V)$  is coarser than  $\tau_U$  (cf. [8]). To get the equality, we only have to show that for every  $v \in V$ ,  $B_{u_{v,1}}(E)$  contains the idempotent kernel  $\Im(B_v(E))$ of  $B_v(E)$ . But for  $f \in \mathfrak{S}(B_v(E))$ , we have  $f \in B_v(E)$  and  $fB_v(E) \subset B_v(E)$ . This means that  $|f(t)|v(t) \leq 1$  and  $|f(t)g(t)|v(t) \leq 1$  for  $t \in N_v$  and  $g \in B_v(E)$ . Now if  $t \notin coZ(E)$ , obviously  $|f(t)|u_{v,1}(t) \leq 1$ . If  $t \in coZ(E)$ , by Lemma 9,  $\frac{1}{v(t)} = \sup\{|g(t)| : g \in B_v(E)\}, \text{ whence } |f(t)| \leq 1 \text{ for every } t \in \overline{N_v}.$  This leads to  $|f(t)|u_{v,1}(t) \leq 1, t \in N_v$  and then  $f \in B_{u_{v,1}}(E)$ . Now take a Nachbin family V' on X so that  $(E, \tau_{V'})$  is locally m-convex. From Proposition 2. 4) of [9], we may assume that, for every  $v' \in V'$ ,  $\epsilon := \inf\{v'(t) : t \in N_{v'} \cap coZ(E)\} > 0$ . If, in addition,  $\tau_{V'} \leq \tau_V$  on E, then for such a v' there is some  $v \in V$  so that  $|f|_{v'} \leq |f|_v$ ,  $f \in E$ . This leads to  $v' \leq v$  pointwise and then  $v' \leq w_{v,\epsilon}$  on coZ(E). Since v' is arbitrary in V', we get the required result. 

Actually, on every subalgebra of  $C_{\ell}V(X)$ ,  $\tau_W$  is the strongest weighted locally m-convex topology coarser than  $\tau_V$ . We do not know whether  $\tau_W$  coincides with the strongest locally m-convex topology coarser than  $\tau_V$ .

We now give a result connecting the bounded sets of the topologies  $\tau_W$ ,  $\tau_V$  and  $\tau_U$ , extending the corresponding results of [3] from  $C_b(X)$  to general weighted algebras. By an m-bounded set, we mean any set absorbed by an idempotent bounded disc.

**Proposition 11.** Let *E* be a subalgebra of  $C_A V(X)$ .

1.  $\tau_W$  and  $\tau_U$  always have the same *m*-bounded sets in *E*.

2. If E is a  $C_b(X)$ -module, then every completing  $\tau_V$ -bounded disc in E is  $\tau_U$ -bounded. In particular  $\tau_U$  and  $\tau_V$  have the same bounded sets whenever  $(E, \tau_V)$  is locally complete.

3. Given  $(f_n)_n \subset E$ . Then  $(f_n)_n \tau_V$ -converges to  $f \in E$  whenever it is  $\tau_U$ -bounded and  $\tau_W$ -convergent. The converse is true if E is a  $C_b(X)$ -module and locally complete.

*Proof*: 1. Let *B* be an idempotent  $\tau_W$ -bounded disc of *E* and *v* in *V*. Then, for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is  $M_{\epsilon} > 0$  so that  $|f^n(t)|v(t) \le M_{\epsilon}$ ,  $t \in N_{v,\epsilon}$  and  $n \ge 1$ . This gives  $|f(t)| \le 1$  on  $N_{v,\epsilon}$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, we get  $|f(t)|_{u_{v,1}} \le \max(1, M_1)$  and *B* is  $\tau_U$ -bounded.

2. derives from the well known Banach-Mackey theorem and the fact that every 0-neighbourhood for  $M(\tau_V)$  contains a  $\tau_V$ -barrel.

3. Assume  $(f_n)_n \tau_U$ -bounded and  $\tau_W$ -convergent to  $f \in C_A V(X)$ . Then for every  $v \in V$ , there is M > 1 with  $|f_n(t)| \leq M$  and  $|f(t)| \leq M$  for every  $t \in N_v$ and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(f_n)_n \tau_W$ -converges to f, for a given  $\epsilon > 0$ , consider  $\epsilon' = \frac{\epsilon}{2M}$  and  $v' = v/\epsilon'$ ; then  $|f_n - f|_{w_{v',1}} \leq 1$  for sufficiently large n. This leads, for such an n, to  $|f_n - f|_v \leq \epsilon$  and then  $(f_n)_n \tau_V$ -converges to f. The converse is a consequence of 2.

#### 4 Scalar homomorphisms on weighted algebras

In this section we are interested in the characters of a weighted algebra E. By a character, we mean a non zero algebra morphism from E onto  $\mathbb{K}$ . The set of all characters will be denoted by  $M^*(E)$  while M(E) will designate the continuous ones. We show that every character of E is an evaluation at some point of  $\beta(X)$ , the Stone-Čech compactification of X. Actually we get this result for more general subalgebras of C(X), providing the complex version of Lemma 2 of [1].

From now on, let E denote an arbitrary subalgebra of C(X) and for every  $f \in E$ , let  $\overline{f}$  be the complex-conjugate of f. We will say that E is selfadjoint if  $\overline{f} \in E$ whenever  $f \in E$ . E is said to be hermitian if it is selfadjoint and for every character  $\chi$  of E and every  $f \in E$ , the equality  $\chi(\overline{f}) = \overline{\chi(f)}$  holds. The character  $\chi$  will be referred to as verifying property (P) if for every  $f \in E$ ,  $\chi(f)$  belongs to the closure  $\operatorname{cl}(f(X))$  of f(X).

**Lemma 12.** If  $\chi$  is a character on E with property (P), then  $\chi(\bar{g}) = \overline{\chi(g)}$  whenever g and  $\bar{g}$  belong to E. This holds, in particular, when E is a  $C_b(X)$ -module.

*Proof*: If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ , there is nothing to show. In the complex case, let g and  $\overline{g}$  belong to E. Then  $\operatorname{Re} g = (g + \overline{g})/2$  and  $\operatorname{Im} g = (g - \overline{g})/2i$  also belong to E. The hypothesis on  $\chi$  ensures that  $\chi(\operatorname{Re} g)$  and  $\chi(\operatorname{Im} g)$  are real. The linearity of  $\chi$  then leads to the required equality. Now assume that E is a  $C_b(X)$ -module,  $\chi \in M^*(E)$ ,  $f \in E$  and  $\chi(f) \notin \operatorname{cl}(f(X))$ . Then there is  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $|f(x) - \chi(f)| > \epsilon, x \in X$ . In case  $\chi(f) \neq 0$ , the function  $g := \frac{f}{f - \chi(f)}$  belongs to E and then  $f = gf - \chi(f)g$ . This leads to the contradiction  $\chi(f) = 0$ . Now  $\chi(f) = 0$  cannot occur since then 1/f would belong to E.

According to Lemma 12, if E is a  $C_b(X)$ -module, then the algebra  $E \cap \overline{E}$  is hermitian. In particular, the weighted algebras (involved in Theorem 4) are all hermitian.

In the sequel, for  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $\tilde{f}$  will designate the (unique) extension of f to  $\beta(X)$  with values in the one point compactification  $\mathbb{K} \cup \{\infty\}$  of  $\mathbb{K}$ . If E fails to be essential, its unitization  $E_1$  (consisting of all the functions of the form  $f + \lambda$ ,  $f \in E$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ ) is always essential. Moreover  $\chi \in M^*(E)$  satisfies (P) with respect to E if and only if this also holds for  $\chi_1 : f + \lambda \mapsto \chi(f) + \lambda$  with respect to  $E_1$ . We then get the complex version of Lemma 2 of [1]:

**Theorem 13.** Let *E* be a selfadjoint subalgebra of C(X). If  $\chi \in M^*(E)$  satisfies (*P*), then there is some  $z \in \beta(X)$  such that  $\chi(f) = \tilde{f}(z)$  for each  $f \in E$ .

*Proof*: According to the comment above, we (may) assume *E* essential. For *f* ∈ *E* and *ε* > 0, set *F*(*f*, *ε*) := {*x* ∈ *X* : |*f*(*x*) − *χ*(*f*)| ≤ *ε*} and *G*(*f*, *ε*) := {*x* ∈ *β*(*X*) : |*f*(*x*) − *χ*(*f*)| ≤ *ε*}. We then have *F*(*f*, *ε*) ⊂ *G*(*f*, *ε*) and the hypothesis on *χ* gives *F*(*f*, *ε*) ≠ Ø. By compactness of *β*(*X*), the set *I<sub>f</sub>* := ∩<sub>*ε*>0</sub>*G*(*f*, *ε*) cannot be empty for any *f* ∈ *E*. Moreover for every *x* ∈ *I<sub>f</sub>*, one has *χ*(*f*) = *f*(*x*). Furthermore if *f*<sub>1</sub>, *f*<sub>2</sub>, ..., *f<sub>n</sub>* are elements of *E* and *ε* > 0, since *E* is selfadjoint, the function  $h := \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \bar{f}_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\chi(f_i)} f_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi(f_i) \bar{f}_i$  belongs to *E*. By Lemma 12, *F*(*h*, *ε*<sup>2</sup>) ⊂ ∩<sup>*n*</sup><sub>*i*=1</sub>*G*(*f*<sub>*i*</sub>, *ε*). Once again by compactness, *I* = ∩<sub>*f*∈*EI<sub>f</sub>* is not empty and *χ* is the evaluation at any point of *I*.</sub>

If E is selfadjoint and a  $C_b(X)$ -module, then every character on E is an evaluation at some point of  $\beta(X)$ . This holds in particular for any weighted algebra. Another consequence of Theorem 13 is, if E is a  $C_b(X)$ -module and  $\chi \in M^*(E)$  does not vanish identically on  $E \cap \overline{E}$ , then  $\chi$  is an evaluation at a point of  $\beta(X)$ .

Henceforth, as in [9], we will consider:  $N(E) := \{x \in \beta(X) : \tilde{f}(x) \neq 0 \text{ for some } f \in E\}$ ,  $F(E) := \{x \in \beta(X) : \tilde{f}(x) \neq \infty \text{ for every } f \in E\}$  and  $S^*(E) := N(E) \cap F(E)$ . We then give a precise description of  $M^*(E)$ :

**Corollary 14.** Let E be selfadjoint and a  $C_b(X)$ -module. Then  $M^*(E)$  is homeomorphic to  $S^*(E)$ .

*Proof*: Let  $\delta$  be the mapping which assigns to every  $x \in S^*(E)$  the evaluation  $\delta_x$  at x. It is one to one, since E is a  $C_b(X)$ -module and  $\beta(X)$  compact, and onto by Theorem 13. Now if  $x_0 \in S^*(E)$  and  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in E$  are given, then

$$\delta(\{x \in S^*(E) : |\hat{h}(x) - \hat{h}(x_0)| < \epsilon^2\}) \subset \{\delta_x \in M^*(E) : |\delta_x(f_i) - \delta_{x_0}(f_i)| < \epsilon, \\ i = 1, ..., n\}$$

where  $h := \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \bar{f}_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{\tilde{f}_i(x_0)} f_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{f}_i(x_0) \bar{f}_i$ . This shows the continuity of  $\delta$  at  $x_0$ . To show that  $\delta$  is open, let U be an open subset of  $S^*(E)$  and  $x_0 \in U$ . Then  $U = W \cap S^*(E)$  for some open set W in  $\beta(X)$ . Take  $f \in C(\beta(X))$  and  $g \in E$  such that  $0 \leq f \leq 1$ ,  $f(x_0) = 1$  and supp  $f \subset W$  and  $\tilde{g}(x_0) = 1$ . Then  $k := f_{|X}g \in E$  and  $\{\chi \in M^*(E) : |\chi(k) - \tilde{k}(x_0)| < \frac{1}{2}\} \subset \delta(U)$ , whence the result.

**Remark 15:** 1. It is not difficult to show that  $S^*(E)$  is actually contained in  $\overline{coZ(E)}^{\beta X}$ . But the latter is a continuous image of  $\beta(coZ(E))$ . Hence every character on E is an evaluation at some point of the Stone-Čech compactification of coZ(E). This can be directly seen using a slight modification of the proof of Theorem 13.

2. If u is an u.s.c. function on a subset A of a Hausdorff completely regular space Y with values in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and if w is an u.s.c. extension of u to Y with values in  $\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$ , we will consider  $\tilde{u} := \inf\{w : Y \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}, w \text{ u.s.c. and } w_{|X} \ge u\}$ . This is the minimal u.s.c. extension of u to Y. If u happens to be bounded, then so is also  $\tilde{u}$  and  $||u||_A = ||\tilde{u}||_Y$ . In case  $E \subset CV(X)$ ,  $Y = S^*(E)$  and A = coZ(E), every  $v \in V$  admits a minimal u.s.c. extension  $\tilde{v}$  to  $S^*(E)$ . For, by Lemma 9, 1/w is u.s.c. and extends v to  $S^*(E)$ , where  $w(x) := \sup\{|\tilde{f}(x)|, f \in B_v(E)\}$ . Furthermore if  $f \ge 0$  belongs to CV(X), then  $\tilde{f}\tilde{v}$  is u.s.c. and extends fv. Hence  $\tilde{f}v \le \tilde{f}\tilde{v}$ . Conversely if we define w on  $S^*(E)$  by  $w(x) = \frac{\tilde{f}v(x)}{f(x)}$  if  $\tilde{f}(x) \ne 0$  and  $w(x) = +\infty$ elsewhere, we get an u.s.c. function on  $S^*(E)$  whose restriction to coZ(E) is larger than v. Hence  $\tilde{v} \le w$  and then  $\tilde{v}\tilde{f} = \tilde{f}v$ .

In what follows, for every  $v \in V$ , set  $\tilde{N}_v := \{x \in S^*(E) : \tilde{v}(x) \neq 0\}$  and let  $\tilde{N}(V)$  be the union of all the  $\tilde{N}_v$ 's. We then obtain:

**Theorem 16.** Let  $E \subset C_{\ell}V(X)$  be selfadjoint and a  $C_b(X)$ -module. Then M(E) is homeomorphic to  $\tilde{N}(V)$ .

Proof: We only have to show the algebraic equality  $\delta(\tilde{N}(V)) = M(E)$ . Let  $\chi = \delta_x$  be a continuous character on E. There is some  $v \in V$  such that, for every  $f \in E$ ,  $|\tilde{f}(x)| \leq |f|_v$ . We claim that  $\tilde{v}(x) \neq 0$ . If not, take  $f \in E$  and an open subset  $W_n$  of  $\beta(X)$  such that  $\tilde{f}(x) = 1$  and  $U_n = W_n \cap S^*(E)$ , where  $U_n := \{t \in S^*(E) : 1 - \frac{1}{n} < |\tilde{f}(t)| < 1 + \frac{1}{n}$  and  $v(t) < \frac{1}{n}\}$ . Let  $g_n \in C(\beta(X))$  enjoy  $0 \leq g_n \leq 1, g_n(x) = 1$  and supp  $g_n \subset W_n$ . Then  $h_n := (g_n)_{|X}f$  belongs to E and  $|\tilde{h}_n(x)| \leq |h_n|, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence

$$|g_n(x)\tilde{f}(x)| = 1 \leq \sup_{t \in U_n} \tilde{v}(t)|\tilde{f}(t)g_n(t)|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{n}(1+\frac{1}{n}).$$

This is impossible since n is arbitrary. Conversely if  $\tilde{v}(x) \neq 0$ , then for every  $f \in E$ , one has  $|\tilde{f}(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(x)} |f|_v$ .

**Corollary 17.** If  $E \subset CV_0(X)$  is selfadjoint and a  $C_b(X)$ -module, then M(E) is homeomorphic to coZ(E).

*Proof*: Assume that  $\delta_x \in M(E)$ ,  $\tilde{v}(x) \neq 0$  and  $x \notin coZ(E)$ . From the equality  $\tilde{v}(x)\tilde{f}(x) = \tilde{fv}(x) = 0$ , for every  $f \in E$ , one derives  $x \notin S^*(E)$ . This is a contradiction.

Acknowledgement: The author gratefully thanks the referee for his remarks and suggestions.

## References

- P. BISTRÖM, S. BJON, M. LINDSTRÖM: Function algebras on which homomorphisms are point evaluations on sequences. Manuscripta Math. 73 (1991), 179–185.
- [2] K. D. BIERSTEDT, R. MEISE, W. H. SUMMERS: A projective description of weighted inductive limits. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 272 (1982), 107–160.
- [3] R. C. BUCK: Bounded continuous functions on a locally compact space. Michigan Math. J. 5 (1958), 95–104.
- [4] A. C. COCHRAN, R. KOEWN, C. R. WILLIAMS: On a class of topological algebras. Pacific J. Math. 34 (1970), 17–25.
- [5] A. EL KINANI, L. OUBBI and M. OUDADESS: Spectral and boundedness radii in locally convex algebras. Georgian Math. J. (to appear).
- [6] H. JARCHOW: Locally convex spaces. B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart (1981).
- [7] E. A. MICHAEL: Locally multiplicatively convex topological algebras. Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 11, Providence (1952).
- [8] L. OUBBI: Topologies m-convexes dans les algèbres A-convexes. Rendiconti Circolo Mat. Palermo Serie II, XLI (1992), 397–406.
- [9] L. OUBBI: Weighted algebras of continuous functions. Res. Math. 24 (1993), 298–307.
- [10] L. OUBBI: Algèbres A-convexes à poids. Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas Fisicas y Naturles de Madrid, T. LXXXIX, 1–2 (1995), 99–110.
- [11] M. OUDADESS: Théorèmes de structure et propriétés fondamentales des algèbres uniformément A-convexes. C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris 296 (1983), 851–853.
- [12] R. K. SINGH and J. S. MANHAS: Multiplication operators on weighted spaces of vector-valued continuous functions. J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Serie A), 50 (1991), 98–107.

Département de Mathématiques Ecole Normale Supérieure B.P. 5118, Takaddoum 10105 Rabat (Morocco)