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#### Abstract

Let $\Omega$ be a connected bounded open set in $\mathbf{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$, with lipschitzian boundary. The best constant in the Poincaré type inequality: $$
|u|_{2}^{2} \leq C(\Omega)\|\operatorname{grad}(u)\|_{-1}^{2}, \forall u \in L^{2}(\Omega) / \mathbf{R}
$$ is the inverse of the smallest spectral value of the the bounded self-adjoint linear operator $T=-\operatorname{div}(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{grad}$ in $L^{2}(\Omega) / \mathbf{R}([4])$. In this paper we show that, in the case of an elliptical domain of $\mathbf{R}^{2}$, the point spectrum of this operator is the set $\sigma_{p}(T)=\left\{\lambda_{n}, \widetilde{\lambda}_{n}, 1 ; n \in \mathbf{N}^{*}\right\}$, where 1 is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity and $\lambda_{n}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2 a b(n+1)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{n}}{(a+b)^{2 n+2}-(a-b)^{2 n+2}}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{n}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 a b(n+1)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{n}}{(a+b)^{2 n+2}-(a-b)^{2 n+2}}$. If $a \neq b, \lambda_{n}$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}_{n}$ are eigenvalues of multiplicity 1 , they converge to $1 / 2$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sigma(T)=\sigma_{p}(T) \cup\{1 / 2\}$. If $a=b, \lambda_{n}=\widetilde{\lambda}_{n}=$ $1 / 2$ is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity and $\sigma(T)=\sigma_{p}(T)=\{1 / 2,1\}$. Consequently, if $b \leq a, \frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{b^{2}}$ is the best constant in the preceding Poincaré type inequality.


[^0]
## 1 Preliminaries

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded, open, connected domain in $\mathbf{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$, with regular boundary $\partial \Omega$. Throughout this paper, we use the usual product topology on the product spaces.

In $L^{2}(\Omega)$, the Hilbert norm and the scalar product are written $|\cdot|_{2}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_{2}$. Let $M(\Omega)$ be the closed subspace of $L^{2}(\Omega)$ of functions of zero mean :

$$
M(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega) ; \int_{\Omega} u(x) d x=0\right\} .
$$

$M(\Omega)$ is equipped with the norm induced by the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\Omega)$, and it is isometrically isomorphic to the quotient space $L^{2}(\Omega) / \mathbf{R}$.

The Sobolev space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is equipped with the gradient norm. We denote by $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ the dual space of $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ normed by :

$$
\|f\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)}=\operatorname{Sup}\left\{\frac{<f, v>}{\|v\|_{H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)}} ; \quad v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), \quad v \neq 0\right\}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ denotes the duality between $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) .\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{N}$ is isomorphic to $\left(H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)^{N}$ and $-\Delta$ is this isometric isomorphism. We shall write $\|\cdot\|_{-1}$ for the norm on $\left(H^{-1}(\Omega)\right)^{N}$.

The important inequality which follow is proved in [5] :
Proposition 1. There exists a constant $C(\Omega) \geq 1$, depending only on $\Omega$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{2}^{2} \leq C(\Omega)\|\operatorname{grad}(u)\|_{-1}^{2}, \quad \forall u \in M(\Omega) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notation. In the remainder of this paper, the best value of constant $C(\Omega)$ in the inequality (1) is denoted by $P(\Omega)$ :

$$
P(\Omega)^{-1}=\operatorname{Inf}\left\{\frac{\|\operatorname{grad}(u)\|_{-1}^{2}}{|u|_{2}^{2}} ; \quad u \in M(\Omega), \quad u \neq 0\right\} .
$$

From proposition 1, the operator $T=-\operatorname{div}(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{grad}$ is an isomorphism from $M(\Omega)$ onto $M(\Omega)$. Moreover, for all $u \in M(\Omega)$, we have $(T u, u)_{2}=\|\operatorname{grad}(u)\|_{-1}^{2}$. Consequently,

$$
P(\Omega)^{-1}=\operatorname{Inf}\left\{(T u, u)_{2} ; \quad u \in M(\Omega), \quad u \neq 0\right\}
$$

Important properties of this operator $T$ are proved in [4]:
Theorem 1. T is a self-adjoint and coercive operator. $T u-u$ is a harmonic function, $\forall u \in M(\Omega) .\|T\|=1$ and 1 is an eigenvalue of $T$ of infinity multiplicity. If $u$ is an eigenvector of $T$ corresponding to an eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$, then $u$ is a harmonic function.

Consequently ([1]), the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of $T$ is closed, $\sigma(T) \subset\left[P(\Omega)^{-1}, 1\right]$, the residual spectrum of $T$ is empty and $P(\Omega)$ is the inverse of smallest spectral value of $T$.

## 2 Case where $\Omega$ is an elliptical domain

In the particular case where $\Omega$ is an elliptical domain :

$$
\Omega=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{2} ; \frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}<1\right\},
$$

we are able to give the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of the operator $T$.
Proposition 2. The point spectrum of the operator $T=-\operatorname{div}(-\Delta)^{-1} \operatorname{grad}$ is the set $\sigma_{p}(T)=\left\{\lambda_{n}, \tilde{\lambda}_{n}, 1 ; n \in \boldsymbol{N}^{*}\right\}$ where
$\lambda_{n}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2 a b(n+1)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{n}}{(a+b)^{2 n+2}-(a-b)^{2 n+2}} \quad$ and $\quad \tilde{\lambda}_{n}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 a b(n+1)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{n}}{(a+b)^{2 n+2}-(a-b)^{2 n+2}}$.
1 is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity. If $a \neq b, \lambda_{n}$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}_{n}$ are eigenvalues of multiplicity 1 , they converge to $1 / 2$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\sigma(T)=\sigma_{p}(T) \cup 1 / 2$. If $a=b, \lambda_{n}=\widetilde{\lambda}_{n}=1 / 2$ is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity and $\sigma(T)=\sigma_{p}(T)=$ $\{1 / 2,1\}$.

Proof.- We are going to search harmonic polynomials of degree $n$ such that they are eigenvectors of $T$. We shall write $\partial_{x}$ for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $\partial_{y}$ for $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$.

Let $u_{n}=\rho^{n} \cos (n \theta)$ be the harmonic homogeneous polynomial of degree $n=$ $2 m$ (even) :
$u_{n}=x^{n}-\binom{n}{2} x^{n-2} y^{2}+\binom{n}{4} x^{n-4} y^{4}+\ldots+(-1)^{m-1}\left(\begin{array}{c}n \\ n \\ -2\end{array}\right) x^{2} y^{n-2}+(-1)^{m} y^{n}$.
Let us calculate $T u_{n}=-\partial_{x}(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x} u_{n}-\partial_{y}(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{y} u_{n}$. The first step is to obtain $(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{x} u_{n}$. For this, we search a polynomial of the form

$$
\alpha_{0} x^{n-1}+\alpha_{2} x^{n-3} y^{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-4} x^{3} y^{n-4}+\alpha_{n-2} x y^{n-2}+P_{n-3}(x, y),
$$

where $P_{n-3}(x, y)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-3$, such that
$-\Delta\left[\left(\alpha_{0} x^{n-1}+\alpha_{2} x^{n-3} y^{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-2} x y^{n-2}+P_{n-3}(x, y)\right)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)\right]=\partial_{x} u_{n}$.
We develop this expression and identifying the coefficients of the terms of degree $n-1$, we obtain the following system of $m$ linear equations in $m$ unknowns :

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(\frac{(n+1) n}{a^{2}}+\frac{2}{b^{2}}\right) \alpha_{0}-\frac{2}{a^{2}} \alpha_{2}=n, \\
-\frac{(n-j+1)(n-j)}{b^{2}} \alpha_{j-2}-\left(\frac{(n-j+1)(n-j)}{a^{2}}+\frac{(j+2)(j+1)}{b^{2}}\right) \alpha_{j}- \\
-\frac{(j+2)(j+1)}{a^{2}} \alpha_{j+2}=(-1)^{\frac{j}{2}} n\binom{n-1}{j}, \quad j=2,4, \ldots, n-4, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
-\frac{6}{b^{2}} \alpha_{n-4}-\left(\frac{6}{a^{2}}+\frac{n(n-1)}{b^{2}}\right) \alpha_{n-2}=(-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} n(n-1),
$$

and the equation :
$-\Delta\left[-\left(\alpha_{0} x^{n-1}+\alpha_{2} x^{n-3} y^{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-2} x y^{n-2}\right)+P_{n-3}(x, y)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)\right]=0$.
We solve the system (2) by successive elimination of unknowns $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{4}, \ldots$ and we obtain
$\alpha_{n-2}=\frac{(-1)^{m} b^{2}\left[n\binom{n+1}{0} a^{n+1}+(n-2)\binom{n+1}{2} a^{n-1} b^{2}+\ldots+2\binom{n+\frac{1}{2}}{n-2} a^{3} b^{n-2}\right]}{2\left[\binom{n+1}{0} a^{n+1}+\binom{n+1}{2} a^{n-1} b^{2}+\ldots+\binom{n+1}{n-2} a^{3} b^{n-2}+\binom{n+1}{n} a b^{n}\right]}$,
that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{n-2}=(-1)^{m-1} b^{2}\left[\frac{1}{2}-\frac{a(n+1)\left((a+b)^{n}+(a-b)^{n}\right)}{2\left((a+b)^{n+1}+(a-b)^{n+1}\right)}\right] . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we easily compute $\alpha_{n-4}, \ldots \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{0}$.
Similarly, to calculate $(-\Delta)^{-1} \partial_{y} u_{n}$ we search a polynomial of the form

$$
\beta_{0} y^{n-1}+\beta_{2} y^{n-3} x^{2}+\ldots+\beta_{n-4} y^{3} x^{n-4}+\beta_{n-2} y x^{n-2}+Q_{n-3}(x, y),
$$

where $Q_{n-3}(x, y)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-3$, such that $-\Delta\left[\left(\beta_{0} y^{n-1}+\beta_{2} y^{n-3} x^{2}+\ldots+\beta_{n-2} y x^{n-2}\right)+Q_{n-3}(x, y)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)\right]=\partial_{y} u_{n}$.

As previously, we obtain the system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(\frac{2}{a^{2}}+\frac{(n+1) n}{b^{2}}\right) \beta_{0}-\frac{2}{b^{2}} \beta_{2}=(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} n, \\
-\frac{(n-j+1)(n-j)}{a^{2}} \beta_{j-2}-\left(\frac{(j+2)(j+1)}{a^{2}}+\frac{(n-j+1)(n-j)}{b^{2}}\right) \beta_{j}- \\
-\frac{(j+2)(j+1)}{b^{2}} \beta_{j+2}=(-1)^{\frac{n-j}{2}} n\binom{n-1}{j}, \quad j=2,4, \ldots, n-4,  \tag{5}\\
-\frac{6}{a^{2}} \beta_{n-4}-\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{a^{2}}+\frac{6}{b^{2}}\right) \beta_{n-2}=-n(n-1),
\end{gather*}
$$

and the equation :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta\left[-\left(\beta_{0} y^{n-1}+\beta_{2} y^{n-3} x^{2}+\ldots+\beta_{n-2} y x^{n-2}\right)+Q_{n-3}(x, y)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)\right]=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We solve the system (5) by successive elimination of unknowns $\beta_{n-2}, \beta_{n-4}, \beta_{n-6}, \ldots$ and we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}=\frac{(-1)^{m-1} a b^{2}}{2} \frac{(a+b)^{n}-(a-b)^{n}}{(a+b)^{n+1}-(a-b)^{n+1}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we easily compute $\beta_{2}, \ldots, \beta_{n-4}, \beta_{n-2}$.
Now we are going to determine the polynomials $P_{n-3}(x, y)$ and $Q_{n-3}(x, y)$ such that they verify the equations (3) and (6). For this, we get $P_{n-3}(x, y)$ of the form

$$
P_{n-3}(x, y)=\gamma_{0} x^{n-3}+\gamma_{2} x^{n-5} y^{2}+\ldots+\gamma_{n-6} x^{3} y^{n-6}+\gamma_{n-4} x y^{n-4}+P_{n-5}(x, y),
$$

with $P_{n-5}(x, y)$ polynomial of degree $n-5$. Since the polynomial
$\left(\alpha_{0} x^{n-1}+\alpha_{2} x^{n-3} y^{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-4} x^{3} y^{n-4}+\alpha_{n-2} x y^{n-2}\right)-P_{n-3}(x, y)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)$
must be harmonic (equation (3)), we get $P_{n-3}(x, y)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha_{0} x^{n-1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-2} x y^{n-2}-\left(\gamma_{0} x^{n-3}+\ldots+\gamma_{n-4} x y^{n-4}+P_{n-5}(x, y)\right)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)= \\
=\sigma_{n-1} u_{n-1}+\sigma_{n-3} u_{n-3}+\ldots+\sigma_{3} u_{3}+\sigma_{1} u_{1} \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $u_{j}$ is the harmonic homogenous polynomial defined by $\rho^{j} \cos (j \rho)$ ( $j$ odd) and $\sigma_{j} \in \mathbf{R}$.

Identifying the coefficients of the terms of degree $n-1$, we obtain the system :

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{1}{a^{2}} \gamma_{0}+\alpha_{0}=\sigma_{n-1}, \\
-\frac{1}{b^{2}} \gamma_{j-2}-\frac{1}{a^{2}} \gamma_{j}+\alpha_{j}=(-1)^{\frac{j}{2}}\binom{n-1}{j} \sigma_{n-1}, \quad j=2,4, \ldots, n-4,  \tag{9}\\
-\frac{1}{b^{2}} \gamma_{n-4}+\alpha_{n-2}=(-1)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \sigma_{n-1} .
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to solve this system and we have $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{n-4}$ and $\sigma_{n-1}$ (the values $\alpha_{j}$ are given by system (2)).

To calculate $P_{n-5}(x, y)$ we write

$$
P_{n-5}(x, y)=\eta_{0} x^{n-5}+\eta_{2} x^{n-7} y^{2}+\ldots+\eta_{n-8} x^{3} y^{n-8}+\gamma_{n-6} x y^{n-6}+P_{n-7}(x, y)
$$

with $P_{n-7}(x, y)$ polynomial of degree $n-7$.
Introducing this expression in (8) and identifying the coefficients of the terms of degree $n-3$, we obtain a system similar to (9). Solving this system we obtain $\eta_{0}, \eta_{2}, \ldots, \eta_{n-6}$ and $\sigma_{n-3}$.

To calculate $P_{n-7}(x, y)$ we proceed similarly and so on. Thus, we can consider that $\sigma_{n-1}, \sigma_{n-3}, \ldots, \sigma_{1}$ and $P_{n-3}(x, y)$ are calculated.

Proceeding as previously, we obtain $Q_{n-3}(x, y)$ and $\tau_{n-1}, \tau_{n-3}, \ldots, \tau_{1} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that
$\left(\beta_{0} y^{n-1}+\ldots+\beta_{n-2} y x^{n-2}\right)-Q_{n-3}(x, y)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)=\tau_{n-1} v_{n-1}+\tau_{n-3} v_{n-3}+\ldots+\tau_{1} v_{1}$,
where $v_{j}$ is the harmonic homogeneous polynomial defined by par $\rho^{j} \sin (j \theta)$ with $j$ odd.

Let us return to $T u_{n}$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
T u_{n}=-\sum_{j=0,2,4, \ldots, n}\left(\frac{n+1-j}{b^{2}} \alpha_{j-2}+\frac{n+1-j}{a^{2}} \alpha_{j}+\frac{j+1}{a^{2}} \beta_{n-j-2}+\frac{j+1}{b^{2}} \beta_{n-j}\right) x^{n-j} y^{j}- \\
-\partial_{x}\left[-\left(\alpha_{0} x^{n-1}+\alpha_{2} x^{n-3} y^{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-2} x y^{n-2}\right)+P_{n-3}(x, y)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)\right]- \\
-\partial_{y}\left[-\left(\beta_{0} y^{n-1}+\beta_{2} y^{n-3} x^{2}+\ldots+\beta_{n-2} y x^{n-2}\right)+Q_{n-3}(x, y)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now, we are going to prove that there exits $\lambda_{n} \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T u_{n}=\lambda_{n} u_{n}-\partial_{x}\left[-\left(\alpha_{0} x^{n-1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-2} x y^{n-2}\right)+P_{n-3}(x, y)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)\right]- \\
& -\partial_{y}\left[-\left(\beta_{0} y^{n-1}+\beta_{2} y^{n-3} x^{2}+\ldots+\beta_{n-2} y x^{n-2}\right)+Q_{n-3}(x, y)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For this, we must find $\lambda_{n}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{n+1}{a^{2}} \alpha_{0}-\frac{1}{a^{2}} \beta_{n-2}=\lambda_{n}, \\
-(n+1-j)\left(\frac{\alpha_{j-2}}{b^{2}}+\frac{\alpha_{j}}{a^{2}}\right)-(j+1)\left(\frac{\beta_{n-j-2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{\beta_{n-j}}{b^{2}}\right)=(-1)^{\frac{j}{2}} \lambda_{n}\binom{n}{j},  \tag{10}\\
j=2,4, \ldots, n-2 \\
-\frac{1}{b^{2}} \alpha_{n-2}-\frac{n+1}{b^{2}} \beta_{0}=(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \lambda_{n}
\end{gather*}
$$

Introducing $\alpha_{n-2}$ and $\beta_{0}$ given by (4) and (7) in the last equation of system (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2 a b(n+1)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{n}}{(a+b)^{2 n+2}-(a-b)^{2 n+2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show that this $\lambda_{n}$ verifies the others equations of system (10), we add the last equation of (2) multiplied by par $\frac{1}{2}$ and the first equation of (5) multiplied by $\frac{n-1}{2}$. Thanks to the last equation of (10), we find the next to last equation of system (10). Repeating this procedure, we show that this $\lambda_{n}$ verify all equations of system (10).

On the other hand, for the harmonic homogeneous polynomials $u_{k}=\rho^{k} \cos (k \theta)$ and $v_{k}=\rho^{k} \sin (k \theta), k \geq 1$, we have $\partial_{x} u_{k}=k u_{k-1}$ and $\partial_{y} v_{k}=k u_{k-1}$, therefore

$$
\partial_{x}\left[\left(\alpha_{0} x^{n-1}+\alpha_{2} x^{n-3} y^{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{n-2} x y^{n-2}\right)-P_{n-3}(x, y)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)\right]+
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\partial_{y}\left[\left(\beta_{0} y^{n-1}+\beta_{2} y^{n-3} x^{2}+\ldots+\beta_{n-2} y x^{n-2}\right)-Q_{n-3}(x, y)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}-1\right)\right]= \\
= & \partial_{x}\left(\sigma_{n-1} u_{n-1}+\sigma_{n-3} u_{n-3}+\ldots+\sigma_{1} u_{1}\right)+\partial_{y}\left(\tau_{n-1} v_{n-1}+\tau_{n-3} v_{n-3}+\ldots+\tau_{1} v_{1}\right)= \\
= & (n-1) \sigma_{n-1} u_{n-2}+(n-3) \sigma_{n-3} u_{n-4}+\ldots+3 \sigma_{3} u_{2}+\sigma_{1}+(n-1) \tau_{n-1} u_{n-2}+\ldots+3 \tau_{3} u_{2}+\tau_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,
$T u_{n}=\lambda_{n} u_{n}+(n-1)\left(\sigma_{n-1}+\tau_{n-1}\right) u_{n-2}+(n-3)\left(\sigma_{n-3}+\tau_{n-3}\right) u_{n-4}+\ldots+3\left(\sigma_{3}+\tau_{3}\right) u_{2}+\sigma_{1}+\tau_{1}$.
Obsiously, we have a similar expresion for $u_{n-2}=\rho^{n-2} \cos ((n-2) \theta)$ :

$$
T u_{n-2}=\lambda_{n-2} u_{n-2}+(n-3)\left(\mu_{n-3}+\nu_{n-3}\right) u_{n-4}+\ldots+3\left(\mu_{3}+\nu_{3}\right) u_{2}+\mu_{1}+\nu_{1} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(u_{n}+\frac{(n-1)\left(\sigma_{n-1}+\tau_{n-1}\right)}{\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n-2}} u_{n-2}\right)=\lambda_{n} u_{n}+ \\
& \quad+\lambda_{n} \frac{(n-1)\left(\sigma_{n-1}+\tau_{n-1}\right)}{\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n-2}} u_{n-2}+\omega_{n-4} u_{n-4}+\ldots+\omega_{2} u_{2}+\omega_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
T u_{n-4}=\lambda_{n-4} u_{n-4}+(n-5)\left(\rho_{n-5}+\delta_{n-5}\right) u_{n-6}+\ldots+3\left(\rho_{3}+\delta_{3}\right) u_{2}+\rho_{1}+\delta_{1}
$$

thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(u_{n}+\frac{(n-1)\left(\sigma_{n-1}+\tau_{n-1}\right)}{\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n-2}} u_{n-2}+\frac{\omega_{n-4}}{\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n-4}} u_{n-4}\right)=\lambda_{n} u_{n}+ \\
& \quad+\lambda_{n} \frac{(n-1)\left(\sigma_{n-1}+\tau_{n-1}\right)}{\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n-2}} u_{n-2}+\lambda_{n} \frac{\omega_{n-4}}{\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{n-4}} u_{n-4}+\varepsilon_{n-6} u_{n-6}+\ldots+\varepsilon_{2} u_{2}+\varepsilon_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, repeating this procedure, we show that $\lambda_{n}$ is an eigenvalue of $T$.
If we take $v_{n}=\rho^{n} \sin (n \theta) \quad(n=2 m)$ and we repeat the same reasoning, we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\lambda}_{n}=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2 a b(n+1)\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)^{n}}{(a+b)^{2 n+2}-(a-b)^{2 n+2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an eigenvalue of $T$ of multiplicity 1 .
Similarly, if $n=2 m-1$, taking $u_{n}=\rho^{n} \cos (n \theta)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.v_{n}=\rho^{n} \sin (n \theta)\right)$ we show that $\widetilde{\lambda}_{n}$ (resp. $\lambda_{n}$ ) is an eigenvalue of $T$ de multiplicity 1 .

Finally, since $T u-u$ is harmonic $\forall u \in L^{2}(\Omega) / \mathbf{R}$, the orthogonal in $L^{2}(\Omega) / \mathbf{R}$ of the space of harmonic functions is included in the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda=1$. On the other hand ([3]), the family of harmonic polynomials is a basis (in $L^{2}(\Omega) / \mathbf{R}$ ) of the subspace of harmonic functions. Thus $\lambda_{n}, \widetilde{\lambda}_{n}$ with $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and 1 are the only eigenvalues of $T$ and the corresponding eigenvectors form a basis of $L^{2}(\Omega) / \mathbf{R}$. Consequently $([1])$, like the limit of $\lambda_{n}$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{n}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, is $1 / 2$, the spectrum of $T$ is the set $\sigma(T)=\sigma_{p}(T) \cup 1 / 2$.

In the particular case where $b=a$, all eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}$ and $\widetilde{\lambda}_{n}$ condense in $1 / 2$ and the spectrum of $T$ only contain the eigenvalues of infinite multiplicity 1 and $1 / 2$ ([4]).

If $b<a,\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ is an increasing sequence and the eigenvalue $\frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}+b^{2}}$ is the smallest spectral value of $T$. Thus, $\frac{a^{2}+b^{2}}{b^{2}}$ is the best constant in the inequality (1).

Remark 1. In dimension 3, if $\Omega$ is the ellipsoid

$$
\Omega=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{3} ; \frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{b^{2}}+\frac{z^{2}}{c^{2}}<1\right\} \quad \text { with } \quad c \leq b \leq a
$$

this problem is more complicated since an nth degree harmonic polynomial $u_{n}$ contains $2 n+1$ arbitrary constants and is a linear combination of $2 n+1$ linearly independent harmonic polynomials. In this case, we obtain systems that cannot be solved explicitly. However, we conjecture that the eigenvalue $\frac{b^{2} c^{2}}{a^{2} b^{2}+a^{2} c^{2}+b^{2} c^{2}}$ corresponding to the eigenvector $u(x, y, z)=x$ is the smallest spectral value of $T$ and thus, $\frac{a^{2} b^{2}+a^{2} c^{2}+b^{2} c^{2}}{b^{2} c^{2}}$ is the best constant in the Poincaré type inequality (1).

In the particular case where $\Omega$ is the sphere

$$
\Omega=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{3} ; x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}<1\right\},
$$

each harmonic homogeneous polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$ is an eigenvector of $T$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\frac{n}{2 n+1}$. The point spectrum is $\sigma_{p}(T)=\left\{\frac{n}{2 n+1}, 1\right.$; $\left.n \in \mathbf{N}^{*}\right\}$, where 1 is an eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity, $\frac{n}{2 n+1}$ has finite multiplicity $(=2 n+1)$, and $\sigma(T)=\sigma_{p}(T) \cup\{1 / 2\}$. Consequently, 3 is the best constant in the Poincaré type inequality (1) ([4]).

Remark 2. We note that the operator $T=-\operatorname{div}(-\Delta)^{-1}$ grad appears in the static elasticity theory and that the best constant in the Poincaré inequality (1) is used in constructing and substantiating algorithms for solving equations like the Stokes and the Navier-Stokes equations ([2]).
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