

AN EXTENSION OF THE KAHANE-KHINCHINE INEQUALITY

DAVID C. ULLRICH

Let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_2, \dots$, denote the *Steinhaus variables*: independent identically distributed random variables, uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$.

THEOREM. *There exists $c > 0$ such that if x_1, \dots, x_N are elements of any (complex) Banach space B then*

$$(1) \quad \exp \mathbf{E} \log \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \omega_j} x_j \right\| \geq c \left\{ \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \omega_j} x_j \right\|^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

(Here “exp” is the exponential, and “E” denotes “expected value”).

The Kahane-Khinchine inequality (see [KH, Chapter 2, Theorem 4, or AG, p. 176] for the original proof; another argument due to C. Borell may be found in [BK or LT, Theorem 1.e.13]) states that

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \omega_j} x_j \right\|^p \right\}^{1/p} \geq c_p \left\{ \mathbf{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \omega_j} x_j \right\|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \quad (p > 0).$$

Recalling that in general $\{\mathbf{E}|f|^p\}^{1/p}$ decreases to $\exp \mathbf{E} \log |f|$ as p decreases to zero, one sees that (1) is a strictly stronger statement than (2); in fact (1) says simply that c_p may be taken bounded away from zero in (2). Note that the inequality obtained from (1) by replacing $e^{2\pi i \omega_j}$ with the j th Rademacher function r_j is false, even in the case $B = \mathbf{C}$: If $s_n = n^{-1/2}(r_1 + \dots + r_n)$ then $\exp \mathbf{E} \log |s_n| = 0$ for even values of n , although s_n is asymptotically normal. In other words: Suppose that X is a random variable; suppose even $|X| \leq 1$ a.s. Then to say $\exp \mathbf{E} \log |X| \geq c$ implies that the set where X is small must be small, while to say $\{\mathbf{E}|X|^p\}^{1/p} \geq c$ does not even preclude the possibility that X vanish on a set of positive measure!

In the case $B = \mathbf{C}$ inequality (1) is proved in [UK], and various applications are given. In particular one may use (1) to show that the zero set of a Bloch function may be strictly larger than is possible for a function in the “little-oh” Bloch space, answering a question of Ahern and Rudin [AR]; this fact then gives a result analogous to Theorem 6.1 of [AR], with VMOA and H^∞ replaced by BMOA and VMOA, respectively. Inequality (1) also allows one to construct new and improved Ryll-Wojtaszczyk polynomials [RW]: There exists a sequence P_1, P_2, \dots , of polynomials in \mathbf{C}^n such that P_j is homogeneous of degree j and satisfies $|P_j(z)| \leq 1$ ($z \in \mathbf{C}^n, |z| \leq 1$) while

$$(3) \quad \exp \int_S \log |P_j| d\sigma \geq c > 0.$$

Received by the editors June 24, 1987.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 60G50, 42C10.

Here σ is normalized Lebesgue measure on S , the unit sphere in \mathbf{C}^n . (Ryll and Wojtaszczyk give

$$(4) \quad \int_S |P_j|^2 d\sigma \geq c$$

in place of (3).)

Now let $\tilde{\omega}_1, \tilde{\omega}_2, \dots$, be a second sequence of Steinhaus variables, independent of the $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots$. It is not too difficult to see that our theorem implies

$$(5) \quad \exp \mathbb{E} \log \left\| \sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{2\pi i \omega_j} e^{2\pi i \tilde{\omega}_k} x_{j,k} \right\| \geq c \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{j,k=1}^N e^{2\pi i \omega_j} e^{2\pi i \tilde{\omega}_k} x_{j,k} \right\|^2 \right\}^{1/2}$$

for $x_{j,k} \in B$ ($1 \leq j, k \leq N$). (And similarly for n mutually independent sequences of Steinhaus variables, by induction.) The proof involves applying (1) in a certain space of square-integrable B -valued random variables; thus it would appear that even the special case of (5) corresponding to $B = \mathbf{C}$ does not follow directly from results in [UK], but rather constitutes an application of the present “vector-valued” inequality to the scalar-valued case.

We would like to give an idea of the proof of (1):

Suppose that $\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \omega_j} x_j \right\|^2 = 1$, and define

$$(6) \quad \Psi(\lambda) = P \left(\left\| \sum_{j=1}^N e^{2\pi i \omega_j} x_j \right\| < \lambda \right)$$

for $\lambda > 0$. We need only show that

$$(7) \quad \int_0^1 \Psi(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \leq c.$$

Take $\|x_1\| \geq \|x_j\|$ for all j . For $0 < \lambda < \|x_1\|/2$ the triangle inequality shows that

$$P(\|e^{2\pi i \omega_1} x_1 + y\| < \lambda) \leq c\lambda/\|x_1\|$$

for any $y \in B$; this gives

$$(8) \quad \int_0^{\|x_1\|/2} \Psi(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \leq c,$$

by independence. Since $\Psi(\lambda) \leq 1$, (8) leads to

$$(9) \quad \int_0^{K\|x_1\|} \Psi(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \leq c$$

for any fixed K . Inspired by Theorem 3 in Chapter 2 of [KH] (or see inequality 2.5 on p. 106 of [AG]) we were able to prove a sort of “concentration inequality”:

LEMMA. *If K is large enough then there exists $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that if $K\|x_1\| \leq \lambda \leq 1$ then $\Psi(\gamma\lambda) \leq \frac{1}{2}\Psi(\lambda)$.*

It is easy to see that the lemma implies

$$(10) \quad \int_{K\|x_1\|}^1 \Psi(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \leq c;$$

certainly (9) and (10) give (7). \square

Note that in the case $B = \mathbf{C}$ one may use the Fourier transform (the "method of characteristic functions") to establish (10) more simply; see [UK].

REFERENCES

[AG] A. Araujo and E. Gine, *The central limit theorem for real and Banach valued random variables*, Wiley & Sons, New York, 1980.

[AR] P. Ahern and W. Rudin, *Bloch functions, BMO, and boundary zeroes*, Indiana Math. J. **36** (1987), 131–148.

[BK] C. Borell, *A note on the integrability of Walsh polynomials* (unpublished).

[KH] J. P. Kahane, *Some random series of functions*, D. C. Heath & Co., Lexington, Mass., 1968.

[LT] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces*, vol. II, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.

[RW] J. Ryll and P. Wojtaszczyk, *On homogeneous polynomials on a complex ball*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **276** (1983), 107–116.

[UB] D. Ullrich, *An extension of the Kahane-Khinchine inequality in a Banach space* (to appear).

[UK] ———, *Khinchine's inequality and the zeroes of Bloch functions* (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74078