NEW DEFECT RELATIONS FOR MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS ON Cⁿ

BY BERNARD SHIFFMAN

For meromorphic functions f and φ on the complex line \mathbb{C}^1 , one considers the counting function $N(r,\varphi,f)=\int_1^r n(t,\varphi,f)t^{-1}\,dt$, where $n(t,\varphi,f)$ denotes the number of solutions of the equation $f=\varphi$ (counting multiplicities) on the disk $\{|z|\leq t\}$. If $T(r,\varphi)=o(T(r,f))$, one defines the defect $\delta(\varphi,f)=\liminf[1-N(r,\varphi,f)/T(r,f)]$ and observes that $0\leq \delta(\varphi,f)\leq 1$ as in the case where $\varphi=$ constant. In 1929, R. Nevanlinna [4, p. 77] asked if the defect relation

$$(*) \qquad \qquad \sum_{j=1}^{q} \delta(\varphi_j, f) \le 2$$

is valid for distinct meromorphic functions φ_j with $T(r,\varphi_j)=o(T(r,f))$. The case where the φ_j are constant is Nevanlinna's fundamental defect relation [4]. (If q=3, then (*) follows immediately from the Nevanlinna defect relation.) In 1939, J. Dufresnoy [3] showed that $\sum \delta(\varphi_j,f) \leq d+2$ if f is transcendental and the φ_j are distinct polynomials of degree $\leq d$. In 1964, C.-T. Chuang [2] gave a general Second Main Theorem which yields (*) for the case where f is holomorphic (or more generally when $\delta(\infty,f)=1$) and which generalizes the defect relation of Dufresnoy [3]. However, this question of Nevanlinna remains unanswered today even for polynomial φ_j , despite Nevanlinna's assertion [4, p. 77] that (*) "follows easily" for this case. If f is a meromorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n , then a special case of a theorem of W. Stoll [7] (see also Vitter [8]) yields (*) for constant φ_j as in the classical Nevanlinna theory. (In fact, the results of Chuang [2] generalize easily to \mathbb{C}^n .) In this note we announce a new defect relation of the form (*) for meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 2$.

If f and φ are distinct meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^n , we let $D(\varphi, f)$ denote the divisor on \mathbb{C}^n given by the solution (with multiplicities) to the equation $f = \varphi$. We write $N(r, \varphi, f) = N(r, D(\varphi, f))$, where N(r, D) denotes the counting function for D as given in [1 or 7]. We easily obtain the *First Main Theorem*,

$$N(r,\varphi,f) + m(r,\varphi,f) = T(r,f) + T(r,\varphi) + c,$$

where the proximity term $m(r, \varphi, f) \ge 0$. Our main result is the following

SECOND MAIN THEOREM. Let f, $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_q$ be distinct meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^n $(q \ge n-1)$ such that

(i)
$$rank(\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_q)=n-1$$
,

Received by the editors April 2, 1982.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32A22.

(ii) $\operatorname{rank}(f, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) = n$.

$$|| (q-2)T(r,f) \le \sum_{j=1}^{q} N(r,\varphi_j,f) - N(r,R(f,\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_{n-1}))$$

$$+ O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q} T(r,\varphi_j) + \log rT(r,f)\right).$$

Here "rank" means the maximal rank of the derivative matrix, and R stands for the ramification divisor (given by the Jacobian determinant). The symbol || means that the inequality is valid for all r > 0 outside a set of finite Lebesgue measure. (If f is of finite order and the φ_j are rational, then the inequality of the theorem is valid for all r > 0.)

As in the classical theory, we let $\overline{N}(r,\varphi,f)$ denote the counting function obtained by reducing all multiplicities to 1. The Second Main Theorem can be restated as follows:

COROLLARY 1. Let $f, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_q$ be distinct meromorphic functions such that

$$rank(f, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_q) = rank(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_q) + 1.$$

Then

$$|| \qquad (q-2)T(r,f) \leq \sum_{j=1}^q \overline{N}(r,arphi_j,f) + O\!\!\left(\sum_{j=1}^q T(r,arphi_j) + \log r T(r,f)
ight)\!.$$

For example, if $\varphi_j = \varphi_j(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1})$ and $\partial f/\partial z_n \not\equiv 0$, then $f, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_q$ satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 1. More generally, we can let $\varphi_j = \varphi_j(g_1, \ldots, g_p)$ where $p \leq n-1$ and the g_k are meromorphic functions on \mathbb{C}^n such that $\operatorname{rank}(f, g_1, \ldots, g_p) = p+1$.

If $T(r,\varphi) = o(T(r,f))$, then we define the defect $\delta(\varphi,f)$ as in the one variable case above, and we similarly let $\Theta(\varphi,f) = \liminf[1-\overline{N}(r,\varphi,f)/T(r,f)]$. As in the classical case, we have $0 \le \delta(\varphi,f) \le \Theta(\varphi,f) \le 1$. We now state our general defect relation, which follows immediately from Corollary 1.

COROLLARY 2 (DEFECT RELATION). Let $f, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_q$ be as in Corollary 1. If $T(r, \varphi_j) = o(T(r, f))$ for $1 \le j \le q$, then

$$\sum \delta(\varphi_j, f) \le \sum \Theta(\varphi_j, f) \le 2.$$

The proof of our Second Main Theorem uses the methods of [1 and 5] and the essential estimate given in the lemma below. We let

$$\omega = (\sqrt{-1}/2\pi)\partial\overline{\partial}\log(|w^0|^2 + |w^1|^2)$$

denote the Fubini-Study 2-form on CP¹, and we let

$$\rho(a,b) = \frac{|a^1b^0 - a^0b^1|}{(|a^0|^2 + |a^1|^2)^{1/2}(|b^0|^2 + |b^1|^2)^{1/2}}$$

denote the chordal distance on CP¹. We consider the function

$$\gamma = \rho^{-2} (4q - 2\log \rho)^{-2}$$
 on $\mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$

(γ blows up along the diagonal). Let $f, \varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_q$ be as in the Second Main Theorem, and assume $q \ge n+2$. We regard f, φ_j as meromorphic maps into \mathbb{CP}^1 . Let

$$S = \operatorname{supp} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{q} D(\varphi_j, f) + R(f, \varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}) \right].$$

We define the volume form Ψ on $\mathbb{C}^n - S$ (which is a variant of the volume form given by Carlson and Griffiths [1]) by

$$\Psi = \left[\prod_{j=1}^{q} \gamma(\varphi_j, f) \right] f^* \omega \wedge \varphi_1^* \omega \wedge \dots \wedge \varphi_{n-1}^* \omega.$$

Recall that the Ricci form Ric Ψ is given by Ric $\Psi = (\sqrt{-1}/2\pi)\partial \overline{\partial} \log h$ where $\Psi = h(\sqrt{-1} dz_1 \wedge d\overline{z}_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\sqrt{-1} dz_n \wedge d\overline{z}_n)$. We let

$$\theta = \operatorname{Ric} \Psi + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \varphi_1^* \omega \text{ on } \mathbf{C}^n - S.$$

LEMMA. θ is positive and $\theta^n > \lambda^{2q-2}\Psi$ on $\mathbb{C}^n - S$, where

$$\lambda = \min_{j \neq k} \rho(\varphi_j, \varphi_k).$$

The positivity of θ is easy to verify without any condition on the rank of the φ_j . However the volume-form inequality of the lemma is not true in general if $\operatorname{rank}(\varphi_1,\ldots,\varphi_q)=n$. Details will appear in [6].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author would like to thank W. Hayman for informative discussions concerning the defect relation (*).

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Carlson and P. Griffiths, A defect relation for equidimensional holomorphic mappings between algebraic varieties, Ann. of Math. (2) 95 (1972), 557-584.
- C.-T. Chuang, Une généralisation d'une inégalité de Nevanlinna, Sci. Sinica 13 (1964), 887–895.
- J. Dufresnoy, Sur les valeurs exceptionnelles des fonctions méromorphes voisines d'une fonction méromorphe donnée, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 208 (1939), 255-257.
- R. Nevanlinna, Le théorème de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1929; reprinted by Chelsea, New York, 1974.
- B. Shiffman, Nevanlinna defect relations for singular divisors, Invent. Math. 31 (1975), 155-182.
- A general Second Main Theorem for meromorphic functions on Cⁿ, Amer. J. Math. (to appear).
- W. Stoll, Die beiden Hauptsätze der Wertverteilungstheorie bei Funktionen mehrerer komplexen Veränderlichen. (I), (II), Acta Math. 90 (1953), 1–115; 92 (1954), 55–169.
- A. Vitter, The lemma of the logarithmic derivative in several complex variables, Duke Math. J. 44 (1977), 89-104.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21218