## REPRESENTATION THEOREMS FOR MULTIFUNCTIONS AND ANALYTIC SETS

BY ALEXANDER D. IOFFE

Communicated by Hans Weinberger, May 9, 1977

We denote by  $(T, \Sigma)$  a measurable space and by X, Y, Z metrizable topological spaces. A multifunction from T into X is a mapping  $t \longrightarrow M(t)$  which assigns a set  $M(t) \subset X$  (possibly empty) to each  $t \in T$ . The set

$$\operatorname{dom} M = \{t \in T | M(t) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is called the domain of M. The multifunction M is called  $\Sigma$ -measurable if

$$M^{-1}(C) = \{t \in T | M(t) \cap C \neq \emptyset\}$$

belongs to  $\Sigma$  whenever  $C \subseteq X$  is closed.

If  $(T, \Sigma)$ ,  $(T', \Sigma')$  are measurable spaces, then  $\Sigma \otimes \Sigma'$  denotes the product  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by rectangles  $E \times E'$ ,  $E \in \Sigma$ ,  $E' \in \Sigma'$ . By B(X) we denote the algebra of Borel subsets of X. A set  $E \subset T$  is called  $\Sigma$ -analytic if it can be represented as a result of the A-operation of Suslin applied to elements of  $\Sigma$ . An equivalent definition: E is  $\Sigma$ -analytic if there is a Polish space X and a set  $A \in \Sigma \otimes B(X)$  such that E is the projection of A on T (cf. [3]).

THEOREM 1. Let X be a Polish (resp. compact metrizable) space, and let M be a  $\Sigma$ -measurable multifunction from T into X such that the sets M(t) are closed. Then there exist a Polish (resp. compact metrizable) space Z and a mapping f(t, z):  $T \times Z \longrightarrow X$  such that

- (i) f is continuous in z and  $\Sigma$ -measurable in t;
- (ii) for all  $t \in \text{dom } M$ , one has M(t) = f(t, Z), the range of  $f(t, \cdot)$ .

If X is a separable Fréchet space and all sets M(t) are convex, then there is a pair (Z, f) satisfying (i), (ii) and such that Z is a closed convex subset in another separable Fréchet space Y, and for all  $t \in T$ , the mapping  $z \to f(t, z)$  is the restriction on Z of a linear nonexpansive mapping from Y into X.

Taking a dense countable set  $\{z_1, \ldots\}$  in Z, one gets a dense countable family of measurable selectors for M. The existence of such a family was established by Castaing [2]. In our case, however, this family is rather "well arranged". In fact, the method we have used to prove the theorem demands explicit construction of such a family (with the help of the selection theorem of Rokhlin [8] and

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 28A40; Secondary 49E10.

Kuratowski and Ryll-Nardzewski [6]), to define the space Z and the mapping f. The latter is carried out much in the same manner as in Ekeland's work [4], in which a similar result for continuous convex-and-compact-valued multifunctions was proved.

We shall say that the measurable space is *complete* if  $\Sigma$  contains all  $\Sigma$ -analytic subsets of T.

THEOREM 2. Assume that  $(T, \Sigma)$  is a complete measurable space and that X is a Lusin space. Let  $A \subset T \times X$  be  $\Sigma \otimes B(X)$ -analytic, and denote by E the projection of A on T. Then there are a Polish space Z and a mapping  $f \colon E \times Z \longrightarrow X$  which is  $\Sigma$ -measurable in t, continuous in z and such that A is the range of the mapping  $(t, z) \longrightarrow (t, f(t, z))$  from  $E \times Z$  into  $E \times X$ .

V. Levin has brought my attention to the fact that this theorem can be restated in a stronger form: it is possible to assume the measurable space complete but require instead that f be measurable with respect to the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\Sigma$ -analytic subsets of T, rather than with respect to  $\Sigma$ .

For any  $z \in Z$ , the mapping  $t \to f(t, z)$  is a measurable selector for A. Thus Theorem 2 implies a result on selectors which turns out more general than the corresponding results of Aumann [1] and Sainte-Beuve [9], because our assumptions on the measurable space are, in fact, weaker. (There is a complete measurable space whose algebra does not contain all universally measurable sets.) Note that selection theorems of such type (for analytic sets) go back to Lusin [7] and Yankov [10].

THEOREM 3. Let X be a compact metrizable space, and S be a Banach space of continuous mappings from X into  $R^n$  such that the imbedding  $i: S \longrightarrow C(X, R^n)$  is continuous. Assume also that either i(S) is  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $C(X, R^n)$  or the measurable space  $(T, \Sigma)$  is complete.

Let there be given a multifunction  $(t, x) \rightarrow Q(t, x)$  from  $T \times X$  into  $R^n$  such that

- (a) Q(t, x) is nonempty convex and compact for each t, x;
- (b) for all  $x \in X$ , the multifunction  $t \to Q(t, x)$  is measurable; for all  $t \in T$ , the multifunction  $x \to Q(t, x)$  is Hausdorff continuous;
- (c) for all t, x and all  $z \in Q(t, x)$ , there is a mapping  $h(\cdot) \in S$  such that h(x) = z and  $h(u) \in Q(t, u)$  for all  $u \in X$ .

Then there are a Polish space V and a mapping  $g: T \times X \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

- (i) g is measurable in t and continuous in (x, v);
- (ii)  $g(t, \cdot, v) \in S$  for any t, v;
- (iii) Q(t, x) = g(t, x, V) for all t, x.

It follows from the theorem that the differential inclusion  $\dot{x} \in Q(t, x)$  can be rewritten as an ordinary differential equation with control  $\dot{x} = g(t, x, v)$  in

A. D. IOFFE

such a way that g will preserve regularity properties of Q. For instance g can be taken Lipschitz in x if  $Q(t, \cdot)$  is Lipschitz, or  $C^1$  in x if for every t the multifunction  $Q(t, \cdot)$  admits a rich collection of  $C^1$ -selectors. The fact that such reduction is possible with g merely continuous in x was proved earlier by Ekeland and Valadier [5].

## REFERENCES

- 1. R. Aumann, Measurable utility and the measurable choice theorems, Proc. Coll. Int. CNRS, Aix-en-Provence, 1967, Editions du Centre Nat. Recherche Sci., Paris, 1969.
- 2. Ch. Castaing, Sur les multi-applications mesurables, Rev. Françoise Informat. Recherche Opérationnelle 1 (1967), 91-126.
  - 3. C. Dellacherie et P.-A. Meyer, Probabilitiés et potential, Hermann, Paris, 1975.
- 4. I. Ekeland, Paramétrisation en théorie de la commande, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 267 (1968), 98-100.
- 5. I. Ekeland and M. Valadier, Representations of set-valued mappings, J. Functional Analysis (to appear).
- 6. K. Kuratowski and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, A general theorem on selectors, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Math. Astronom. Phys. 13 (1965), 397-411.
- 7. N. N. Lusin, Sur la problème de J. Hadamard d'uniformisation des ensembles, Mathematica 4 (1930), 54-66.
- 8. V. A. Rokhlin, Selected topics from the theory of dynamical systems, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. (2) 49 (1966), 171-240.
- 9. M. F. Sainte-Beuve, Sur la généralisation d'un théorèm de section de von-Neumann-Aumann, Travaux Sem. d'Analyse Convexe, vol. 3, Univ. du Languedoc, 1973.
- 10. V. Yankov, On the uniformization of A-sets, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 30 (1941), 591-592. (Russian)
- 11. S. J. Leese, Multifunctions of Souslin type, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 11 (1974), 395-411.

PROFSOJUZNAJA 97-1-203, MOSCOW B-279, U.S.S.R.