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The following is a summary of a paper to appear shortly. It is a part of a Ph.D Thesis submitted to the Senate of the Hebrew University of Jerusalem. It was carried out under the supervision of Professor E. Shamir.

1. We shall deal with the problem of the existence of a solution to the classical variational problem, in parametric form.
2. Let $D$ be a compact region in the $x-y$ plane. (In the following a "compact region" will mean a compact set, such that each two of its points could be joined by a polygon lying entirely (with possible exception of its endpoints) in the interior of the set.
3. Let $f(x, y, p, q)$ be defined and have continuous partial derivatives to the second order for every $(x, y)$ in $D$ and every $p, q$. Also let $f(x, y, p, q)$ be positive homogeneous of the first order in $p, q$ and assume that

$$
p^{2}+q^{2} \neq 0 \Rightarrow f(x, y, p, q)>0 .
$$

4. Let $P, Q$ be given points in the $x-y$ plane, and denote by $S$ the family of all curves $(x(t), y(t))$ in $D$ which join $P$ and $Q$, and such that $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are absolutely-continuous and satisfy $\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2} \neq 0$ almost everywhere.
5. For each $(x, y) \in D$ we denote by $K(x, y)$ the cone in $p, q, f$ space consisting of the points $(p, q, f)$ for which $f(x, y, p, q)=f$.
6. We shall denote by $\operatorname{In}(x, y)$ the "Indicatrix," that is the set of points in the $p-q$ plane for which $f(x, y, p, q) \equiv 1$.
7. We denote also by $\operatorname{In}^{*}(x, y)$ the convex-hull of $\operatorname{In}(x, y)$ (in the $p-q$ plane) and we define

$$
\operatorname{In} * *(x, y) \equiv_{\operatorname{def}} \operatorname{In}(x, y) \cap \operatorname{In}^{*}(x, y) .
$$

8. We shall denote by $H(x, y)$ the following set:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H(x, y) \equiv & \equiv_{\mathrm{def}}\left(A \left\lvert\, \sin A=\frac{q}{\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}\right. ; \cos A=\frac{p}{\left(p^{2}+q^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} ;\right. \\
& \left.(p, q) \in \operatorname{In}^{* *}(x, y)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall visualize $H(x, y)$ as a subset of angles formed, with the $p$-axis, by rays (in the $p-q$ plane) which emanate from the origin $p=q=0$ and cut the indicatrix by points which belong to $\operatorname{In}^{* *}(x, y)$.
9. For each "angle" $A$ (not necessarily in $H(x, y)$ ) and each point $(x, y) \in D$ we shall denote by $D_{(x, y)}(A)$ the ordered pair (of "generalized momenta")

$$
D_{(x, y)}(A) \equiv_{\operatorname{def}}\left(f_{p}(x, y, \cos A, \sin A), f_{q}(x, y, \cos A, \sin A)\right) ;
$$

we shall call $D_{(x, y)}(A)$ "the direction of $K(x, y)$ along $A$."
10. Let $(x, y) \in D$. We shall call $A$ a "branch" of $H(x, y)$ if $A \in H(x, y)$ and if there exists $A_{1} \neq A$ such that

$$
A_{1} \in H(x, y), \quad D_{(x, y)}\left(A_{1}\right)=D_{(x, y)}(A)
$$

It is clear that in this case $A_{1}$ is also a branch of $H(x, y)$ and we shall say that " $A$ and $A_{1}$ are conjugate to one another in $H(x, y)$." Clearly conjugacy is an equivalence-relation among branches in $H(x, y)$, and we shall call the classes generated by its partition "conjugacy-classes."
11. For each $(x, y) \in D$ and each $A_{1}, A_{2}$ we shall define

$$
\begin{aligned}
R\left(x, y, A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \equiv \equiv_{\text {def }} & -\cos A_{1} f_{x}\left(x, y, \cos A_{2}, \sin A_{2}\right) \\
& -\sin A_{1} f_{y}\left(x, y, \cos A_{2}, \sin A_{2}\right) \\
& +\cos A_{2} f_{x}\left(x, y, \cos A_{1}, \sin A_{1}\right) \\
& +\sin A_{2} f_{y}\left(x, y, \cos A_{1}, \sin A_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(which is the "Caratheodory function").
We shall also define the following partial ordering:

$$
A_{1} \prec_{(x, y)} A_{2} \Leftrightarrow R\left(x, y, A_{1}, A_{2}\right)<0
$$

12. Let $(x, y) \in D$. We shall say that "there exists a strong variational orientation in $(x, y)$ " if the following condition is fulfilled:

Let $M$ be any conjugacy class $\subseteq H(x, y)$; then
(a) $A_{1}, A_{2} \in M \Rightarrow R\left(x, y, A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \neq 0$,
(b) $\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3} \in M ; A_{1} \prec_{(x, y)} A_{2} ; A_{2} \prec_{(x, y)} A_{3}\right) \Rightarrow\left(A_{1} \prec_{(x, y)} A_{3}\right)$.
(That is, $<_{(x, y)}$ is an order-relation within each conjugacy-class.)
Furthermore, we shall say that "there exists a strong variational orientation in $(x, y)$ " even in the case that $H(x, y)$ does not contain branches.
13. Let us now assume the following:
(a) The distance between $P \equiv\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ and $Q \equiv\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ is sufficiently small relative to the distance of either $P$ or $Q$ from the boundary of $D$.
(b) For each $(x, y) \in D$, each of the conjugacy-classes $\subseteq H(x, y)$ consists of at most a finite number of branches.
(c) There is a strong variational orientation in each $(x, y) \in D$.

Remark. Assumptions (a), (b) are temporary; assumption (c) replaces "the convexity assumption." It will probably be weakened in the future.
14. Theorem. Under the above mentioned assumptions, there exists in $S$ a curve $(x(t), y(t)) \equiv C$ for which $J$ attains its absolute minimum, where $J$ is the functional defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(C) & \equiv_{\text {def }} \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{1}} f(x(t), y(t), \dot{x}(t), \dot{y}(t)) d t \\
\left(x\left(t_{0}\right), y\left(t_{0}\right)\right) & \equiv P, \quad\left(x\left(t_{1}\right), y\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \equiv Q
\end{aligned}
$$

(and the minimum is relative to the "comparison-curves" in $S$ ).
15. The proof is quite complicated. A sketch of it runs as follows:
(a) For each natural number $n$, construct an $n$-sided polygon $\mathrm{Pol}^{(n)}$ (joining $P$ and $Q$ ) which minimizes $J$ (relative to other $n$-sided polygons with the same endpoints). It is easily seen that if $J_{0}$ is the lower bound of $J$ on $S$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} J\left(\mathrm{Pol}^{(n)}\right)=J_{0}
$$

(b) Let $\left(x^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right), y^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right)\right)$ be the parametric representation of $\mathrm{Pol}^{(n)}$, where for each $n$ we choose the parameter $s^{*}$ to be $s / L$, where $s$ is the lengthparameter of $\mathrm{Pol}^{(n)}$ and $L$ is its total length.
(c) Introduce the following notation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right) & \equiv \operatorname{def}\left(\left(\frac{d x^{(n)}\left(s^{*}+0\right)}{d s^{*}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d y^{(n)}\left(s^{*}+0\right)}{d s^{*}}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\sin A^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right) & \equiv \equiv_{\operatorname{def}} \frac{d x^{(n)}\left(s^{*}+0\right)}{d s^{*}} / R^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right), \\
\cos A^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right) & \equiv \equiv_{\operatorname{def}} \frac{d y^{(n)}\left(s^{*}+0\right)}{d s^{*}} / R^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right), \\
f_{p}^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right) & \equiv f_{p}\left(x^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right), y^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right), \cos A^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right), \sin A^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right)\right), \\
f_{q}^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right) & \equiv f_{q}\left(x^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right), y^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right), \cos A^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right), \sin A^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we prove the following:
(d) $\left\{f_{p}^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right)\right\}_{(n=1,2, \ldots)} ;\left\{f_{q}^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right)\right\}_{(n=1,2, \ldots)}$ are bounded and have uni-formly-bounded variation on [ $0 \leqq s^{*} \leqq 1$; then, using both the Ascoli and Helly's principles of choice, we prove further
(e) There exists a sequence $\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, \ldots\right\}$ such that $\left\{x^{\left(n_{j}\right)}\left(s^{*}\right)\right\}_{(j=1,2, \ldots)}$ and $\left\{y^{\left(n_{j}\right)}\left(s^{*}\right)\right\}_{(j=1,2, \ldots)}$ converge uniformly to $x\left(s^{*}\right)$ and $y\left(s^{*}\right)$ respectively, and the "generalized momenta" $\left\{f_{p}^{\left(n_{j}\right)}\left(s^{*}\right)\right\}_{(j=1,2, \ldots .)},\left\{f_{q}^{\left(n_{j}\right)}\left(s^{*}\right)\right\}_{(j=1,2, \ldots)}$ converge pointwise to $f_{p}\left(s^{*}\right)$ and $f_{q}\left(s^{*}\right)$ respectively, where $x\left(s^{*}\right), y\left(s^{*}\right), f_{p}\left(s^{*}\right)$,
$f_{q}\left(s^{*}\right)$ are also of B.V., and $x\left(s^{*}\right), y\left(s^{*}\right)$ are continuous with respect to $s^{*}$. Then we prove the following:
(f) For each $s_{0}^{*} \in[0,1]$,

$$
\lim _{s^{*} \rightarrow s_{i} ; j \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{dis}\left(A^{\left(n_{j}\right)}\left(s^{*}\right), H\left(x\left(s_{0}^{*}\right), y\left(s_{0}^{*}\right)\right)\right)=0
$$

(where "dis" denotes the natural distance-function).
(g) It follows that as $j \rightarrow \infty$ and as $s^{*} \rightarrow s_{0}^{*}$, either $A^{(n)}\left(s^{*}\right)$ approach a certain limit in $H\left(x\left(s_{0}^{*}\right), y\left(s_{0}^{*}\right)\right)$, or there are finitely-many accumulation points, which belong to the same conjugacy-class of $H\left(x\left(s_{0}^{*}\right), y\left(s_{0}^{*}\right)\right)$.
(h) For any $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in D$ and any $A_{1}, A_{2}$, let $R\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}\right)<0$. Then we prove the following:

Let $A B C D$ be a "small" parallelogram in the $x-y$ plane "near" $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$, and suppose that $A B$ and $C D$ form an angle $A_{1}$ with the $x$-axis, while $B C, D A$ form an angle $A_{2}$ with the $x$-axis. Then

$$
J(A B)+J(B C)<J(A D)+J(D C) .
$$

(i) By adding the condition of "strong variational orientation" it can be shown, using real-function theory and measure-theoretic considerations, that a subsequence $\left\{\operatorname{Pol}^{\left(n_{j i j}\right)}\right\}_{(i=1,2, \ldots)}$ ) could be extracted such that the possibility of more than one accumulation point for $\left\{A^{\left(n_{j i}\right)}\left(S^{*}\right)\right\}_{(i=1,2, \ldots)}$ is excluded almost-everywhere.
By using elementary arguments it now follows that $\left(x\left(s^{*}\right), y\left(s^{*}\right)\right.$ ) satisfies the conclusions of our theorem.
16. By using this theorem, we show furthermore how one can derive conclusions of the following types:
(a) The existence of solutions to variational problems without assuming 13(a), but using an additional natural assumption concerning the behavior of the problem considered on the boundary of the domain $D$.
(b) The existence of solutions to variational problems, with possible weakening of condition 12 (b).
(c) The existence of solutions to variational problems defined over 2-dimensional manifolds.
No efforts have been made to achieve the most general results. It is hoped that in the near future we shall be able to arrive at theorems that will be more close to necessary and sufficient conditions, and to treat more general types of variational problems.
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