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I. Introduction. In this paper we bring the following two results:

Suppose that $F(z)=b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+, \cdots$ is a B.E. function (i.e. $F(z)$ is regular in the unit circle, $F(z) F(\zeta) \neq 1$ for any $|z|,|\zeta|<1$ and $F(0)=0)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|b_{k}\right|^{2} \leqq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result contains, of course, the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}\right| \leqq 1, \quad n=1,2, \cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was conjectured by Rogosinsky [8] and was solved about ten years later by Lebedev and Milin [5].

The second result deals with univalent B.E. function $F(z)$ $=b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$. For such function we have the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}\right| \leqq e^{-c / 2}(n-1)^{-1 / 2}, \quad n=2,3, \cdots, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is Euler constant.
This result is sharp in order of magnitude and the constant cannot be improved to be better than $e^{-1 / 2}$.
II. The results of Lebedev and Milin. Lebedev and Milin found [6], [7] some important results concerning coefficients of exponential functions which we quote here.

Lemma 1. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, \cdots$ be an infinite sequence of arbitrary complex numbers such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|A_{k}\right|^{2}<\infty$. Then for $\exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k} z^{k}$ $=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} D_{k} z^{k}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|D_{k}\right|^{2} \leqq \exp \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|A_{k}\right|^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality only in the case $A_{k}=\rho^{k} \eta^{k} / k, k=1,2, \cdots$ where $0 \leqq \rho<1$ $|\eta|=1$.
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Lemma 2. Let $\left\{A_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{D_{k}\right\}$ be defined as in Lemma 1 (without the limitation $\left.\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|A_{k}\right|^{2}<\infty\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{n}\right|^{2} \leqq \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\left|A_{k}\right|^{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{n} 1 / k\right), \quad n=1,2, \cdots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality only in the case $A_{k}=\eta^{k} / k$ for $k=1,2, \cdots, n$ and $|\eta|=1$.
III. Schiffer-Garabedian inequalities. We quote here a theorem of Garabedian and Schiffer [1]:

Lemma 3. Suppose that $F(z)$ is a univalent B.E. function. Then we have for

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log \frac{F(z)-F(\zeta)}{(z-\zeta)[1-F(z) F(\zeta)]}=\sum_{n, m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n m} z^{n} \zeta^{m}  \tag{6}\\
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{n, m=0}^{N} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{m} \gamma_{n m}\right\} \leqq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{2}}{n} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots, \lambda_{N}$ is a finite sequence of complex constants with $\lambda_{0}$ real.

This remarkable result was proved first in [1] by variational methods. Later the result was proved in [3] by area methods. We note that in [1] the result was formulated in a different manner.
IV. Coefficients of B.E. functions. From Lemma 3 we deduce immediately the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\gamma_{k 0}\right|^{2} \leqq \log \frac{1}{\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Indeed from Lemma 3 we have

$$
\lambda_{0}^{2} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\log F^{\prime}(0)\right\}+2 \lambda_{0} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda_{n} \gamma_{n 0}\right\} \leqq 2 \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\left|\lambda_{n}\right|^{2}}{n}
$$

By substitution $\lambda_{0}=2, \lambda_{n}=n \bar{\gamma}_{n 0}$ we get (8).)
We are now in a position to prove
Theorem 1. Let $F(z)=b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be a B.E. function; then (1) follows.

Proof. By substituting $\zeta=0$ in (6) we have
(9) $\log \frac{F(z)}{z}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n 0} z^{n}, \frac{F(z)}{z F^{\prime}(0)}=\exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{0 k} z^{k}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{k}}{F^{\prime}(0)} z^{k-1}$.

By Lemma 1 and (8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}}{\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}} \leqq \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\left|\gamma_{0 k}\right|^{2}\right) \leqq \frac{1}{\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

So our theorem follows for univalent B.E. function. The result is generalized to the general class by the principle of subordination [2, pp. 424-425], [9].

Remark 1. The result is sharp for the B.E. function $F(z)=z^{n}, n$ $=1,2, \cdots$ and also for Jenkin's functions [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\frac{\left(1-r^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} z}{1+i r z}, \quad 0 \leqq r<1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2. Jenkin's result [4]

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z) \leqq|z| /\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows easily from Theorem 1.
Theorem 2. Let $F(z)=b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be a univalent B.E. function. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|b_{n}\right|<e^{-c / 2}(n-1)^{-1 / 2}, \quad n=2,3, \cdots \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is Euler constant.
Proof. By Lemma 2 and (8), (9) we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}} \leqq \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k\left|\gamma_{0 k}\right|^{2}-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 1 / k\right) \leqq \frac{\exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 1 / k\right)}{\left|F^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}}  \tag{14}\\
n=2,3, \cdots
\end{array}
$$

So $\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}<e^{-c}(n-1)^{-1}$ which is another form of our theorem. For Jenkin's functions (11) we have $\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}=\left(1-r^{2}\right) r^{2(n-1)}$. If $1-r^{2}$ $=1 /(n-1)$ we have

$$
\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{n-1}\right)^{n-1} \sim \frac{1}{e(n-1)}
$$

So the order of magnitude is the best possible and the argument for the constant also follows.
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