

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((Z_1)_{0,2})^i &= (Z_1 \otimes E + E \otimes Z_1)^i \\
 &= Z_1 \otimes E + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} C_{i,j} Z_1^{i-j} \otimes Z_1^j + E \otimes Z_1^i \\
 (4)_i &= \begin{pmatrix} Z_1^i & & & & \\ & Z_1^i & & & \\ & & \cdot & & \\ & & & \cdot & \\ & * & & & Z_1^i \\ & & & & & Z_1^i \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}$$

($C_{i,j}$ being the binomial coefficients), and therefore $m \leq l \leq 2m$. We may write

$$(5) \quad r(x) = r_1x + \dots + r_lx^l, \quad r_i \in K, \quad i = 1, \dots, l,$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (Z'_1)_{0,2} &= Z'_1 \oplus Z'_1 = Z'_1 \otimes E + E \otimes Z'_1 \\
 &= T^{-1}Z'T \otimes T^{-1}ET + T^{-1}ET \otimes T^{-1}Z'T \\
 (6) \quad &= (T^{-1} \otimes T^{-1})(Z' \otimes E + E \otimes Z')(T \otimes T) \\
 &= (T \otimes T)^{-1}Z'_{0,2}(T \otimes T) = (T \otimes T)^{-1}r(Z_{0,2})(T \otimes T) \\
 &= r((T \otimes T)^{-1}Z_{0,2}(T \otimes T)) = r((Z_1)_{0,2}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently the same relations originally assumed for Z and Z' now hold for Z_1 and Z'_1 . For simplicity in notations, we shall now just consider Z and Z' for Z_1 and Z'_1 in the related formulas (1)_i, (3), (4)_i, (6).

Now, on the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z'_{0,2} &= Z' \oplus Z' = Z' \otimes E + E \otimes Z' = q(Z) \otimes E + E \otimes q(Z) \\
 &= E \otimes q(Z) + (q_1Z + \dots + q_mZ^m) \otimes E \\
 &= E \otimes q(Z) + Z \otimes q_1E + \dots + Z^m \otimes q_mE \\
 (7) \quad &= \begin{pmatrix} q(Z) & & & & \\ q_1z_1E & q(Z) & & & \\ & q_2z_2E & q(Z) & & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \\ & * & & & q(Z) \\ & & & & q_1z_{n-1}E & q(Z) \end{pmatrix},
 \end{aligned}$$

while, on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z'_{0,2} &= r(Z_{0,2}) = r_1 Z_{0,2} + r_2 (Z_{0,2})^2 + \dots + r_l (Z_{0,2})^l \\
 &= r_1 (Z \oplus Z) + r_2 (Z \oplus Z)^2 + \dots + r_l (Z \oplus Z)^l \\
 &= r_1 (Z \otimes E + E \otimes Z) + r_2 (Z^2 \otimes E + 2Z \otimes Z + E \otimes Z^2) \\
 &\quad + \dots + r_l \left(Z^l \otimes E + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} Z^{l-i} \otimes Z^i + E \otimes Z^l \right) \\
 &= E \otimes (r_1 Z + r_2 Z^2 + \dots + r_l Z^l) \\
 &\quad + Z \otimes (r_1 E + 2r_2 Z + \dots + lr_l Z^{l-1}) \\
 &\quad + \dots + Z^l \otimes r_l E \\
 (8) \quad &= E \otimes r(Z) + Z \otimes r'(Z) + Z^2 \otimes (1/2)r''(Z) \\
 &\quad + \dots + Z^l \otimes (1/l!)r^{(l)}(Z) \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} r(Z) & & & & & \\ z_1 r'(Z) & r(Z) & & & & \\ & z_2 r'(Z) & r(Z) & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ * & & & \ddots & r(Z) & \\ & & & & z_{n-1} r'(Z) & r(Z) \end{pmatrix},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $r'(x), r''(x), \dots, r^{(l)}(x)$ are the successive derivatives of $r(x)$.

In (7) and (8), comparing the terms (which are (n, n) matrices) on the main diagonal and on the first parallel just below, we obtain

$$(9) \quad q(Z) = r(Z),$$

$$(10) \quad q_1 z_i E = z_i r'(Z); \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

(9) gives

$$q_1 Z + \dots + q_m Z^m = r_1 Z + \dots + r_l Z^l, \quad l \geq m,$$

and hence

$$(11) \quad q_j = r_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

(10) gives

$$q_1 z_i E = z_i (r_1 E + 2r_2 Z + \dots + lr_l Z^{l-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

by (11),

$$z_i (2q_2 E + \dots + mq_m Z^{m-1} + \dots) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

as not all z_i (for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$) are zero, hence

$$2q_2 E + \dots + mq_m Z^{m-1} + \dots = 0,$$

and consequently

$$(12) \quad 2q_2 = \dots = mq_m = 0.$$

Now K is of characteristic 0; we can therefore conclude

$$(13) \quad q_2 = \dots = q_m = 0.$$

It then follows that

$$(14) \quad Z' = q_1Z = tZ$$

with $q_1 = t \in K$, as is to be proved.

Let us now suppose that K is of prime characteristic, say p . If $p > m$, which is certainly the case if $p \geq n$, then we can still infer (13) from (12) and hence still have (14) as before. For the cases $p \leq m$, from (12) we can only infer that

$$(15) \quad q_i = 0, \quad 2 \leq i \leq m, \quad p \nmid i,$$

and hence we can only conclude that Z' is necessarily of the form

$$(16) \quad Z' = q_1Z + q_pZ^p + q_{2p}Z^{2p} + \dots + q_{m'p}Z^{m'p},$$

where $m' = [m/p]$, the largest integer not greater than m/p . In fact, the conclusions (13) and (14) are then no longer true in general.

We shall first prove that $Z' = Z^\alpha$ with $\alpha = p^a$ (a being any non-negative integer) is a replica of Z . This follows from the facts:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad Z'_{r,s} &= (Z^\alpha)_{r,s} = \underbrace{(Z^\alpha) \oplus \dots \oplus (Z^\alpha)}_r \oplus \underbrace{(Z^\alpha) \oplus \dots \oplus (Z^\alpha)}_s \\
 &= (-{}^tZ^\alpha) \oplus \dots \oplus (-{}^tZ^\alpha) \oplus Z^\alpha \oplus \dots \oplus Z^\alpha \\
 &= -({}^tZ^\alpha) \otimes \dots \otimes E \otimes E \otimes \dots \otimes E - \dots \\
 &\quad - E \otimes \dots \otimes ({}^tZ^\alpha) \otimes E \otimes \dots \otimes E \\
 &\quad + E \otimes \dots \otimes E \otimes Z^\alpha \otimes \dots \otimes E + \dots \\
 &\quad + E \otimes \dots \otimes E \otimes E \otimes \dots \otimes Z^\alpha \\
 &= (-{}^tZ \otimes \dots \otimes E \otimes E \otimes \dots \otimes E - \dots \\
 &\quad - E \otimes \dots \otimes {}^tZ \otimes E \otimes \dots \otimes E \\
 &\quad + E \otimes \dots \otimes E \otimes Z \otimes \dots \otimes E + \dots \\
 &\quad + E \otimes \dots \otimes E \otimes E \otimes \dots \otimes Z)^\alpha \\
 &= (Z_{r,s})^\alpha,
 \end{aligned}$$

because $(-1)^\alpha = \pm 1 \equiv -1 \pmod p$ for $p=2$ and $(-1)^\alpha = -1$ for $p \neq 2$.

We shall now prove that conversely if $Z' = q(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_0} q_i Z^i$ with $q_i \in K$ is a replica of Z , then we have

$$(18) \quad Z' = q(Z) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_0} q_{i(j)} Z^{i(j)}, \quad i(j) = p^j, \quad i(m_0) \leq m < i(m_0 + 1).$$

We shall show more strongly that only then $Z'_{1,1} = s(Z_{1,1})$, where $s(x)$ is a polynomial with coefficients in K and without the constant term.

We can assume that Z is of the form (1); because as before,

$$(Z'_{1,1}) = (T^{-1}Z'T)_{1,1} = ({}^tT^{-1} \otimes T)^{-1}Z'_{1,1}({}^tT^{-1} \otimes T),$$

hence $Z'_{1,1} = r(Z_{1,1})$ implies also

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} (Z'_{1,1})_{1,1} &= ({}^tT^{-1} \otimes T)^{-1}s(Z_{1,1})({}^tT^{-1} \otimes T) \\ &= s(({}^tT^{-1} \otimes T)^{-1}Z_{1,1}({}^tT^{-1} \otimes T)) = s((Z_{1,1})_{1,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any positive integer i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have

$$(20)_i \quad \begin{aligned} (Z')^i &= (-{}^tZ)^i = (-1)^i {}^tZ^i \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \cdots & 0 & z_{i,1} & & & \\ & \ddots & & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & & z_{i,i} & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & & z_{i,n-i} \\ & & & & & & & 0 \\ & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{array} \right]^i, \end{aligned}$$

$z_{i,j} = (-1)^i z_j \cdots z_{i+j-1}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n - i,$

and further

$$(21)_i \quad \begin{aligned} (Z_{1,1})^i &= (Z' \oplus Z)^i = (Z' \otimes E + E \otimes Z)^i = (-{}^tZ \otimes E + E \otimes Z)^i \\ &= (-1)^i {}^tZ^i \otimes E + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (-1)^{i-j} C_{i,j} Z^{i-j} \otimes Z^j + E \otimes Z^i \\ &= \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} Z & -z_1 E & & & & & \\ & Z & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & Z & & \\ & & & & & -z_{n-1} E & \\ & & & & & & Z \end{array} \right]^i = \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} Z^i & & & & & & \\ & Z^i & & * & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & Z^i & & \\ & & & & & & Z^i \end{array} \right], \end{aligned}$$

hence it follows as before that the least nonnegative integer k such that $(Z_{1,1})^{k+1} = 0$ satisfies $m \leq k \leq 2m$. We can therefore write

$$\begin{aligned}
 (22) \quad s(x) &= s_1x + \cdots + s_kx^k && (s_i \in K) \\
 &= (s_1x + \cdots + s_mx^m) + (s_{m+1}x^{m+1} + \cdots + s_kx^k) \\
 &= s'(x) + s''(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (23) \quad Z'_{1,1} &= Z' \oplus Z = (-{}^tZ') \oplus Z = -{}^tZ' \otimes E + E \otimes Z' \\
 &= -q({}^tZ) \otimes E + E \otimes q(Z) \\
 &= E \otimes q(Z) + \sum_{j=1}^m {}^tZ^j \otimes (-q_jE),
 \end{aligned}$$

while, on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (24) \quad Z'_{1,1} &= \sum_{i=1}^k s_i(Z_{1,1})^i = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i(-{}^tZ \otimes E + E \otimes Z)^i \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^k s_i \sum_{j=\max(0, i-m)}^{\min(i, m)} C_{i,j}(-{}^tZ)^j \otimes Z^{i-j} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^m {}^tZ^j \otimes (-1)^j \left(\sum_{i=\max(1, j)}^{\min(k, m+j)} s_i C_{i,j} Z^{i-j} \right) \\
 &= E \otimes \sum_{i=1}^m s_i Z_i + \sum_{j=1}^m {}^tZ^j \otimes (-1)^j \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\min(k, m+j)} s_i C_{i,j} Z^{i-j} \right) \\
 &= E \otimes s'(Z) + \sum_{j=1}^m {}^tZ^j \otimes s_j(Z),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $s_j(x)$ are polynomials with coefficients in K for $j=1, \dots, m$ (observe $\max(0, i-m) \leq \min(i, k-m) \leq \min(i, m)$). Writing the matrix $Z'_{1,1}$ as given by (23) and (24) in the form of two compound (n, n) matrices whose elements are again (n, n) matrices and comparing the terms on their main diagonals and on their m first parallels above the main diagonals, we can then conclude that first

$$(25) \quad q(Z) = \sum_{i=1}^m q_i Z^i = \sum_{i=1}^m s_i Z^i = s(Z),$$

$$(26) \quad q_i = s_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m;$$

and that also

$$z_{j,h}(-q_jE) = z_{j,h} s_j(Z), \quad j = 1, \dots, m, h = 1, \dots, n-j,$$

as $Z'^j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, so for each j we have at least one h , say $h(j)$ (=one of $1, \dots, n-j$), such that $z_{j,h(j)} \neq 0$, then $z_{j,h(j)} = \pm 1$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 -q_j E &= s_j(Z) = (-1)^j \sum_{i=j}^{\min(k, m+j)} s_i C_{i,j} Z^{i-j} \\
 &= (-1)^j s_j E + (-1)^j \sum_{i=j+1}^{\min(k, m+j)} s_i C_{i,j} Z^{i-j}, \\
 (27) \quad 0 &= (1 + (-1)^j) s_j E + (-1)^j \sum_{i=j+1}^{\min(k, m+j)} s_i C_{i,j} Z^{i-j},
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(28) \quad (1 + (-1)^j) s_j = 0, \quad s_i C_{i,j} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m; \\
 i = j + 1, \dots, \min(k, m + j)^6$$

(observe $\min(k, m+j) \geq m$), in particular

$$(29) \quad s_i C_{i,j} = 0, \quad i = 2, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, i - 1.$$

Now it is easily seen that if $i = p^{i'}$ with $p \nmid i'$, then $p \nmid C_{i,i/i'}$,⁷ hence it follows immediately that if $i' \neq 1$, namely, $i \neq p^j$ for $j = 1, \dots, m_0$ with $p^{m_0} \leq m < p^{m_0+1}$, then $q_i = s_i = 0$ for $i = 2, \dots, m$, as was to be proved.

Summarizing our results for fields K of characteristic $p \neq 0$, we have the following theorems:

(C) *If Z and Z' are two nilpotent matrices over a field K of characteristic $p \neq 0$, and if $q(x)$, $r(x)$ and $s(x)$ are three polynomials with coefficients in K and without constant terms such that $Z' = q(Z)$, $Z'_{0,2} = r(Z_{0,2})$ and $Z'_{1,1} = s(Z_{1,1})$, then we have*

$$(30) \quad Z' = q(Z) = \sum_{j=0}^{m_0} t_j Z^{i(j)}, \quad i(j) = p^j, \quad t_j \in K.$$

(D) *If Z is a nilpotent matrix over a field K of characteristic $p \neq 0$, the only replicas Z' of Z are the matrices (30).*

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

⁶ If $k = m$, then for $j = m$, there is no $i, j+1 \leq i \leq \min(k, m+j)$.

⁷ Consider $p^i = p^i \cdot \dots \cdot 1$ and $i \cdot \dots \cdot (i - p^i + 1) = (p^i + p^i(i' - 1)) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 + p^i(i' - 1))$. As $p^v \mid u$ (namely $p^v \mid u$ and $p^{v+1} \nmid u$) for $u = p^i, \dots, 1$ implies $p^v \mid (u + p^i(i' - 1))$ because $v \leq j$, so $p \nmid C_{i,i/i'}$.