## ABELIAN GROUPS THAT ARE DIRECT SUMMANDS OF EVERY CONTAINING ABELIAN GROUP<sup>1</sup>

## REINHOLD BAER

It is a well known theorem that an abelian group G satisfying G = nG for every positive integer n is a direct summand of every abelian group H which contains G as a subgroup. It is the object of this note to generalize this theorem to abelian groups admitting a ring of operators, and to show that the corresponding conditions are not only sufficient but are at the same time necessary. Finally we show that every abelian group admitting a ring of operators may be imbedded in a group with the above mentioned properties; and it is possible to choose this "completion" of the given group in such a way that it is isomorphic to a subgroup of every other completion.

Our investigation is concerned with abelian groups admitting a ring of operators. A ring R is an abelian group with regard to addition, its multiplication is associative, and the two operations are connected by the distributive laws. As the multiplication in R need not be commutative, we ought to distinguish left-, right- and two-sided ideals. Since, however, only left-ideals will occur in the future, we may use the term "ideals" without fear of confusion. Thus an ideal in R is a non-vacuous set M of elements in R with the property:

If m', m'' are elements in M, and if r', r'' are elements in R, then  $r'm' \pm r''m''$  is an element in M.

An abelian group G whose composition is written as addition is said to admit the elements in the ring R as operators (or shorter: G is an abelian group over R), if with every element r in R and g in G is connected their uniquely determined product rg so that this product is an element in G and so that this multiplication satisfies the associative and distributive laws. If G is an abelian group over R, then its subgroups M are characterized by the same property as the ideals M in R.

We assume finally the existence of an element 1 in R so that g = 1g for every g in G and  $r \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot r = r$  for every r in R.

If x is any element in the abelian group G over R, then its order N(x) consists of all the elements r in R which satisfy rx = 0. One verifies that every order N(x) is an ideal in R, and that N(x) = R if, and only if, x = 0.

If M is an ideal in R, and if x is an element in G, then a subgroup

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Presented to the Society, February 24, 1940.

of G is formed by the elements mx for m in M; and this subgroup may be denoted by Mx. (It is a subgroup of the cyclic group generated by x.) The correspondence between the element m in M and the element mx in Mx is a special case of the homomorphisms of M into G. Here a homomorphism  $\phi$  of the ideal M in R into the abelian group G over R is defined as a single-valued function  $m^{\phi}$  of the elements m in M with values in G which satisfies

$$(r'm' \pm r''m'')^{\phi} = r'(m'^{\phi}) \pm r''(m''^{\phi})$$

for m', m'' in M and r', r'' in R.

We are now ready to state and prove our main result.2

THEOREM 1. The following two properties of an abelian group G over the ring R are each a consequence of the other.

- (a) If G is a subgroup of the abelian group H over R, then G is a direct summand of H.
- (b) To every ideal M in R and to every homomorphism  $\phi$  of M into G there exists some element v in G so that  $m^{\phi} = mv$  for every m in M.

PROOF. Assume first that (a) is satisfied by G. If M is an ideal in R, and if  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of M into G, then there exists one and essentially only one group H over R which is generated in adjoining to G an element h, subject to the relations

$$mh = m^{\phi}$$
 for every  $m$  in  $M$ .

It is a consequence of (a) that H is the direct sum of G and of a suitable subgroup K of H so that every element in H may be represented in one and only one way in the form: g+k for g in G and k in K. This applies in particular to the element h so that h=v+w for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The following is a remark by the referee: "It is perhaps of some interest to observe that Theorem 1 contains a generalization of the theorem that every representation of a semisimple algebra is fully reducible. Indeed, how does one characterize those rings R such that *every* abelian group G admitting R as an operator ring has the property (a)? The answer is that every left-ideal in R must be generated by an idempotent element, and this is equivalent to saying that R is semisimple (both chain conditions and no radical).

<sup>&</sup>quot;The sufficiency of this condition is proved by showing that G has the property (b). Let  $m \to m^{\phi}$  be any homomorphism of a left-ideal M of R into G. Since M = Re with  $e^2 = e$ , then me = m for every m in M, and if we take  $v = e^{\phi}$  we have  $m^{\phi} = (me)^{\phi} = me^{\phi} = mv$ .

<sup>&</sup>quot;The necessity is proved by observing that every left-ideal M of R is itself an abelian group over R, and is a subgroup of R. Hence to each M there exists a complementary left-ideal N. From 1=e+e' with unique e in M, e' in N, one concludes in the usual way that  $e^2=e$  and M=Re."

uniquely determined elements v and w in G and K respectively. Then  $m^{\phi} = mh = mv + mw$  is for every element m in M an element in G, and so is mv and  $m^{\phi} - mv = mw$ . Since mw is an element in K, it follows that mw = 0 or  $m^{\phi} = mv$  for every m in M; and this shows that (b) is a consequence of (a).

Assume now conversely that (b) is satisfied by the abelian group G over R, and that G is a subgroup of the abelian group H over R. Then there exists a greatest subgroup K of H whose meet with G is 0. The subgroup S of H which is generated by G and K is their direct sum; and hence it suffices to prove that H = S = G + K.

If  $S \neq H$ , then there exists in H an element w that is not contained in S. The coset W = S + w is an element in the quotient-group H/S and its order N(W) is an ideal in R. If m is any element in N(W), then mw is an element in S; and it follows from the construction of S that there exist uniquely determined elements g(m) and k(m) in G and K respectively so that

$$mw = g(m) + k(m)$$
 for  $m$  in  $N(W)$ .

Thus a homomorphism of N(W) into G is defined in mapping the element m in N(W) upon the element g(m) in G. There exists therefore by condition (b) an element v in G so that mv = g(m) for every m in N(W). The element w' = w - v consequently satisfies

$$S + w' = S + w = W$$

and

$$mw' = k(m)$$

for every element m in N(W). Since w is not an element in S, neither is w'. Since K is a greatest subgroup of H whose meet with G is 0, and since w' is not an element in S and therefore not in K, adjoining w' to K generates a subgroup whose meet with G is different from O. Hence there exists an element k in K, and an element r in R so that

$$k + rw' = g \neq 0$$

is an element in G. Since rw' = g - k is an element in S, it follows that r is an element in N(W) so that g = k + k(r) is an element in the meet of G and K. This contradicts, however, the construction of K and the choice of g. Our hypothesis  $S \neq H$  has thus led us to a contradiction; and this completes the proof.

If M is an ideal in the ring R, and if G is an abelian group over R, then G is termed M-complete, if there exists to every homomorphism  $\phi$  of M into G an element v in G so that  $m^{\phi} = mv$  for every m in M. In

this terminology, condition (b) of Theorem 1 states that G is M-complete for every ideal M in R. It is our object to characterize the M-complete groups, provided M is a principal ideal. For this end we need several notations.

If M is an ideal in R, then denote by  $G_M$  the set of all the elements g in G which satisfy mg = 0 for every m in M. Note that  $G_M$  need not be a subgroup of the abelian group G over R, though it is closed with regard to addition and subtraction.

If p is any element in R, then pG consists of all the elements pg for g in G. Note again that pG need not be a subgroup of the abelian group G over R.

The principal ideal in R, generated by the element p in R, consists of all the elements rp for r in R and may therefore be denoted by Rp; and N(p) consists of all the elements r in R so that rp = 0. N(p) is clearly an ideal in R.

Theorem 2. The abelian group G over R is Rp-complete if and only if  $G_{N(p)} \leq pG$ .

PROOF. Suppose first that G is Rp-complete. If x is an element in  $G_{N(p)}$ , then r'p=r''p implies r'x=r''x, since the first equation is equivalent to the fact that r'-r'' is an element in N(p). Thus a homomorphism of Rp into G is defined in mapping rp upon rx. Since G is Rp-complete, there exists an element v in G so that rpv=rx for every r in R. This implies in particular that pv=x, that is, our condition is necessary.

Suppose conversely that our condition be satisfied by G. If  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of Rp into G, then  $p^{\phi}$  is an element in  $G_{N(p)}$ , since we have  $r(p^{\phi}) = (rp)^{\phi} = 0$  for elements r in N(p). Hence there exists an element q in G so that  $p^{\phi} = pq$ ; and clearly  $(rp)^{\phi} = r(p^{\phi}) = r(pq) = (rp)q$  for every r in R so that G is Rp-complete.

COROLLARY 1. If p is an element in R so that N(p) = 0, then G = pG is a necessary and sufficient condition for Rp-completeness of the abelian group G over R.

This is a consequence of Theorem 2, since  $G_0 = G$ .

COROLLARY 2. If N(p) = 0 for every element  $p \neq 0$  in the ring R, and if every ideal in R is a principal ideal Rp, then G = pG for every  $p \neq 0$  in R is a necessary and sufficient condition for the abelian group G over R to be a direct summand of every abelian group H over R which contains G as a subgroup.

This is an obvious consequence of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1.

If, in particular, R consists of the rational integers, then the hypotheses of Corollary 2 are satisfied. In this case the sufficiency of the condition of the Corollary 2 has been known for a long time.<sup>3</sup>

An abelian group G over the ring R is termed *complete*, if it is M-complete for every ideal M in R. Thus the complete groups are just the groups satisfying the properties (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. Every abelian group over the ring R is a subgroup of a complete abelian group over the ring R.

PROOF. If G is an abelian group over the ring R, M an ideal in R, and  $\phi$  a homomorphism of M into G, then there exists an abelian group H over R which contains G as a subgroup and which contains an element x so that  $mx = m^{\phi}$  for every m in M.

By repetition of the construction of the preceding paragraph one may show that if G is an abelian group over the ring R, then there exists an abelian group G' over the ring R which contains G as a subgroup and which satisfies the following condition:

(3.1) If M is an ideal in R, and if  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of M into G, then there exists an element v in G' so that  $mv = m^{\phi}$  for every m in M.

Denote now by  $\lambda$  an ordinal number which is a limit-ordinal and whose cardinal number is greater than the number of elements in R. Then it follows from the second paragraph of the proof that there exists for every ordinal  $\nu$  with  $0 \le \nu \le \lambda$  an abelian group  $G_{\nu}$  over R with the following properties:

- (i)  $G_0 = G$ ;
- (ii)  $G_{\nu} \leq G_{\mu}$  for  $\nu < \mu$ ;
- (iii)  $G_{\nu}$  is for limit-ordinals  $\nu$  the set of all the elements contained in groups  $G_{\mu}$  for  $\mu < \nu$ ;
  - (iv)  $G_{\nu}$  and  $G_{\nu+1}$  satisfy condition (3.1).

Suppose now that M is an ideal in R and that  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of M into  $H = G_{\lambda}$ . Then there exists an ordinal  $\sigma < \lambda$  so that  $G_{\sigma}$  contains all the elements  $m^{\phi}$ ; and there exists therefore an element v in  $G_{\sigma+1}$  so that  $mv = m^{\phi}$  for every m. H is therefore complete.

Theorem 4. To every subgroup G of the complete abelian group K over the ring R there exists a complete subgroup  $G^*$  of K which contains G as a subgroup and which satisfies the following condition:

(E) Every isomorphism of G upon a subgroup of a complete abelian group H over R is induced by an isomorphism of  $G^*$  upon a subgroup of H.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For a comparable proof see Annals of Mathematics, (2), vol. 37 (1936), pp. 766–767, (1; 1).

PROOF. If T is an abelian group over the ring R, if M is an ideal in R, then the homomorphism  $\phi$  of M into T is termed reducible in T, if there exists an ideal M' in R and a homomorphism  $\phi'$  of M' into T so that M < M' and so that  $\phi$  and  $\phi'$  coincide on M. If  $\phi$  is not reducible, then it is irreducible in T.

(4.1) The abelian group T over the ring R is complete, if there exists to every ideal M in R and to every irreducible homomorphism  $\phi$  of M into T an element v in T so that  $mv = m^{\phi}$  for every m in M.

To prove this statement let J be an ideal in R and  $\gamma$  a homomorphism of J into T. Then there exists a greatest ideal M in R so that  $J \leq M$  and so that  $\gamma$  is induced in J by a homomorphism  $\phi$  of M into T. It is clear that  $\phi$  is irreducible in T. Hence there exists an element v in T so that  $mv = m^{\phi}$  for every m in M. This implies however that  $nv = n^{\phi} = n^{\gamma}$  for every n in J, that is, T is complete.

It is a consequence of (4.1) and of the completeness of K that there exists an ascending chain of subgroups  $G_{\nu}$  for  $0 \le \nu \le \lambda$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $G = G_0$ ;
- (ii)  $G_{\nu} \leq K$  for  $\nu \leq \lambda$ ;
- (iii)  $G_{\nu+1}$  is generated by adjoining to  $G_{\nu}$  an element  $g_{\nu}$  with the following properties:
- (iii') The homomorphism of  $N(G_{\nu}+g_{\nu})$  into  $G_{\nu}$  which is defined by mapping the element m in  $N(G_{\nu}+g_{\nu})$  upon the element  $mg_{\nu}$  in  $G_{\nu}$  is irreducible in  $G_{\nu}$ .
- (iii'')<sup>4</sup>  $G_{\nu}$  does not contain any element x so that  $mx = mg_{\nu}$  for every m in  $N(G_{\nu} + g_{\nu})$ .
- (iv)  $G_{\nu}$  is for limit-ordinals  $\nu$  the set of all the elements contained in groups  $G_{\mu}$  for  $\mu < \nu$ .
  - (v)  $G_{\lambda} = G^*$  is complete.

We are now going to prove that this subgroup  $G^*$  of K satisfies condition (E). Thus assume that  $\rho$  is an isomorphism of G upon the subgroup  $G' = G^{\rho}$  of the complete group H. We are going to construct subgroups  $G'_{\nu}$  of H and isomorphisms  $\rho_{\nu}$  of  $G_{\nu}$  upon  $G'_{\nu}$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $G' = G'_0$ ,  $\rho = \rho_0$ ;
- (2)  $G_{\nu}' \leq G_{\mu}'$  for  $\nu \leq \mu$ ;
- (3)  $\rho_{\nu}$  and  $\rho_{\mu}$  coincide on  $G_{\nu}$  for  $\nu \leq \mu$ .

In order to prove the possibility of this construction it suffices to show the existence of  $G'_{\nu+1}$ ,  $\rho_{\nu+1}$  under the hypothesis of the existence of  $G'_{\nu}$ ,  $\rho_{\nu}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This condition (iii'') is not really needed for the proof, though it is convenient for the construction of the chain  $G_{\nu}$ .

A homomorphism irreducible in  $G'_{\nu}$  of  $M = N(G_{\nu} + g_{\nu})$  into  $G'_{\nu}$  is defined by mapping the element m in M upon the element  $m^{\phi} = (mg_{\nu})^{\rho_{\nu}}$ . Since H is complete, there exists an element h in H so that  $m^{\phi} = mh$  for every m in M. If  $M' = N(G'_{\nu} + h)$ , then it is clear that  $M \leq M'$ . If m is in M', then mh is an element in  $G'_{\nu}$ . Thus a homomorphism  $\gamma$  of M' into  $G_{\nu}$  is defined by mapping the element m in M' upon the element  $m^{\gamma} = (mh)^{\rho_{\nu}^{-1}}$ . If, in particular, m is an element in M, then  $m^{\gamma} = mg_{\nu}$ ; and it follows from (iii') that M = M'. Suppose now that g' is an element in  $G'_{\nu}$  and u an element in R so that g' + uh = 0. Then u is an element in M = M' and it follows from the above considerations that  $-g' = uh = u^{\gamma \rho_{\nu}} = (ug_{\nu})^{\rho_{\nu}}$ . Hence there exists one and only one isomorphism  $\rho_{\nu+1}$  of  $G_{\nu+1}$  upon the group  $G'_{\nu+1}$ , generated by  $G'_{\nu}$  and h, which isomorphism induces  $\rho_{\nu}$  in  $G_{\nu}$  and maps  $g_{\nu}$  upon h.

Thus there exists finally an isomorphism  $\rho_{\lambda}$  of  $G^* = G_{\lambda}$  upon  $G_{\lambda}'$  which induces  $\rho$  in G; and this completes the proof.

COROLLARY. Assume that K is a smallest complete abelian group over the ring R containing the subgroups  $G_i$ . Then  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are isomorphic if, and only if, there exists an automorphism of K mapping  $G_1$  upon  $G_2$ .

This is an obvious consequence of Theorem 4. It should be noted, however, that the complete group  $G^*$ , satisfying (E) and containing G, whose existence is assured by Theorems 3 and 4, is only "essentially smallest," but need not be "actually smallest."

University of Illinois