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## §1. Introduction and Notation

The purpose of this note is to give an alternative and easier proof of a recent result by K. Hicks [6, Theorem 1.1], which was on the Loewy and socle structure of the projective indecomposable modules in the principal 3-block of the projective special unitary group $\operatorname{PSU}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)=$ $\mathrm{U}_{3}(q)$ for a power $q$ of a prime satisfying $q \equiv 2$ or $5(\bmod 9)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3. In her paper K. Hicks used so-called Auslander-Reiten theory on representations of artin algebras (see [1]). Actually, in her paper [6], the key tool was a result, which was due to K. Erdmann [4] and S. Kawata [8] on Auslander-Reiten quivers of type $A_{\infty}$ for group algebras of finite groups. On the other hand, our proof does not need the Auslander-Reiten theory (except a result due to P . Webb [15]) but just well-known results on modular representation theory of finite groups.

We use the following notation and terminology. Throughout this paper, $k$ is always an algebraically closed field of characterictic $p>$ 0 , and $G$ is always a finite group. For an element $g \in G$ we denote by $|g|$ the order of $g$. For a power $q$ of a prime, $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is the field of $q$ elements, and we use the notation $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(q), \mathrm{SL}_{n}(q), \mathrm{PGL}_{n}(q), \mathrm{PGU}_{n}(q)$, $\operatorname{PSU}_{n}(q)$ for a positive integer $n$ in a standard fashion (see [7]). We denote by $C_{n}$ the cyclic group of order $n$ for a positive integer $n$. Let $A$ be a finite-dimensional $k$-algebra. Then, $A^{\times}$denotes the set of all units (invertible elements) in $A$, and $J(A)$ denotes the Jacobson radical of $A$. In this paper modules mean always finitely generated right modules, unless stated otherwise. Let $M$ be an $A$-module. We denote by $\operatorname{Soc}(M)$ and $P(M)$ the socle of $M$ and the projective cover of $M$, respectively.

[^0]Let $J=J(k G)$. Then, we write $j(M)$ for the Loewy length of $M$, that is, $j(M)$ is the least positive integer $j$ such that $M \cdot J^{j}=0$. Then, for each $i=1, \cdots, j(M)$, we can define the $i$-th Loewy layer $L_{i}(M)$ and $i$-th socle $\operatorname{Soc}_{i}(M)$ of $M$, namely, $L_{i}(M)=M \cdot J^{i-1} / M \cdot J^{i}$ and the $i$-th socle of $M$ is defined inductively by $\operatorname{Soc}_{0}(M)=M$ and $\operatorname{Soc}_{i}(M) / \operatorname{Soc}_{i-1}(M)$ $=\operatorname{Soc}\left(M / \operatorname{Soc}_{i-1}(M)\right)$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, j(M)$. Let $M^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(M, k)$ be the dual of $M$, which can be considered as a right $k G$-module as well via $(\phi \cdot g)(m)=\phi\left(m g^{-1}\right)$ for any $m \in M, g \in G$ and $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(M, k)$. Then, $M^{*}$ is called the ( $k$-)dual of $M$. We say that $M$ is self-dual if $M \cong M^{*}$ as right $k G$-modules.

From now on, let assume that $A$ is a block ideal of the group algebra $k G$. Then, we write $\operatorname{Irr}(A)$ and $\operatorname{IBr}(A)$ respectively for the set of all irreducible ordinary characters of $G$ in $A$ and the set of all irreducible Brauer characters of $G$ in $A$ (note that sometimes we mean by $\operatorname{IBr}(A)$ the set of all non-isomorphic simple $k G$-modules in $A$ ). We write $k(A)$ and $\ell(A)$ respectively for the numbers of all elements in the sets $\operatorname{Irr}(A)$ and $\operatorname{IBr}(A)$. For simple $k G$-modules $S$ and $T, c(S, T)=c_{S, T}$ denotes the Cartan invariant with respect to $S$ and $T$. We denote by $k_{G}$ the trivial $k G$-module. For other notation and terminology we follow the books of Landrock [12] and Nagao-Tsushima [13].

## §1. $\quad \mathbf{P S U}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)$

In this section we give some remarks on $\operatorname{PSU}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)$. First of all, we can define the 3 -dimensional special unitary group $\mathrm{SU}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)$ over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ of $q^{2}$ elements for a power $q$ of a prime such that

$$
\mathrm{SU}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)=\left\{X \in \mathrm{SL}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right) \mid X \cdot^{t} \bar{X}=I_{3}\right\}
$$

where $I_{3}$ is the unit matrix of size $3 \times 3,{ }^{t} Y$ is the transposed matrix of a matrix $Y$ and $\bar{Y}$ is the image of a matrix $Y$ by the Frobenius map $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$ with $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{q}$, namely, $\bar{Y}=\left(y_{i j}{ }^{q}\right)_{i, j}$ if $Y=\left(y_{i j}\right)_{i, j}$ and $y_{i j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$, since there exists a normal orthogonal basis with respect to $f$, where $f$ is a non-degenerate Hermite form over a 3 -dimensional $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2-}}$ vector space which defines $\mathrm{SU}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)$ (see [7, II 10.4 Satz]). Throughout this paper, we assume that a power $q$ of a prime satisfies a condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \equiv 2 \text { or } 5(\bmod 9) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \times$ is a cyclic group of order $q^{2}-1$, let $\sigma$ be a generator of it, namely, $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}} \times=\langle\sigma\rangle$ and we fix $\sigma$. Then, let
$\omega=\sigma^{\left(q^{2}-1\right) / 3}$ and we fix $\omega$ (note that $q^{2}-1$ is divisible by 3 from (2.1)). Now, we can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\operatorname{PSU}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)=\mathrm{SU}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right) / Z \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z$ is the center of $\mathrm{SU}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)$ and $Z=\left\{\omega^{i} \cdot I_{3} \in \mathrm{SL}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right) \mid i=0,1,2\right\}$ so that $Z \cong C_{3}$. Throughout this paper we write elements of $G$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)$ just in forms of $(3 \times 3)$-matrices. Let

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0  \tag{2.3}\\
0 & \omega & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \omega
\end{array}\right) \quad \in \mathrm{PGL}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)
$$

Then, $\beta \in \widetilde{G}-G$ and $|\beta|=3$ where $\widetilde{G}=\operatorname{PGU}_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)$. As in [14], let

$$
\begin{equation*}
s t^{\prime}=(q-1)\left(q^{2}-q+1\right) / 3 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notation. In the rest of this paper, we assume that $k$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 3 and that $q$ is a power of a prime satisfying (2.1), and we use the notation $G, \widetilde{G}, \beta$ and $s t^{\prime}$ as in (2.2)-(2.4).

## §2. Decomposition matrix and Cartan matrix for $G$

In this section we list the decomposition matrix and the Cartan matrix for $G$ for a prime 3 . Here we use the notation $k, G, \widetilde{G}, \beta$ and $s t^{\prime}$ as in $\S 2$. We denote by $A$ the principal block of $k G$.
(3.1) Lemma. (i) The decomposition matrix and the Cartan ma-
trix of the principal block $A$ of $G$ for a prime 3 are

|  | $S(0)$ | $S(1)$ | $S(2)$ | $S(3)$ | $S$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\chi_{1}$ | 1 | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
| $\chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(1)}$ | $\cdot$ | 1 | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
| $\chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(2)}$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 1 | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
| $\chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(3)}$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 1 | $\cdot$ |
| $\chi_{q^{2}-q}$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | 1 |
| $\chi_{q^{3}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |


|  | $P(0)$ | $P(1)$ | $P(2)$ | $P(3)$ | $P(S)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S(0)$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| $S(1)$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| $S(2)$ | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| $S(3)$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| $S$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 |

where $S(0)=k_{G}$, the subindices of $\chi$ 's above mean the degrees, $S(0)$, $S(1), S(2), S(3)$ and $S$ are all simple $k G$-modules in $A$, and $P(i)=$ $P(S(i))$ for $i=0,1,2,3$.
(ii) All simple $k G$-modules in $A$ are self-dual. and the element $\beta \in \widetilde{G}$ of order 3 acts on $\operatorname{Irr}(A)=\left\{\chi_{1}, \chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(1)}, \chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(2)}, \chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(3)}, \chi_{q^{2}-q}, \chi_{q^{3}}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{1}^{\beta}=\chi_{1} \\
\left(\chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(1)}\right)^{\beta}=\chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(2)}, \quad\left(\chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(2)}\right)^{\beta}=\chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(3)}, \quad\left(\chi_{s t^{\prime}}\right)^{\beta}=\chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(1)}, \\
\left(\chi_{q^{2}-q}\right)^{\beta}=\chi_{q^{2}-q}, \quad\left(\chi_{q^{3}}\right)^{\beta}=\chi_{q^{3}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. (i) The assertion is obtained by the result of Geck [5, pp.571-573, Theorem 4.5], and a standard argument (see [3, Lemmas 66.1 and $64.3(1)]$ ).
(ii) We get the self-dualities by (3.1), (i) and [5, Table 3.1, p.569]. It follows from [14, Table 2, p.492], [5, p.569, p.571] and [9, Tafel I, p.141] that $\left(\chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(i)}\right)^{\beta}=\chi_{s t^{\prime}}^{(i+1)}$ for $i=0,1,2$, where the index $i$ is considered modulo 3. The rest in (ii) is easy.
Q.E.D.

Notation. In the rest of this paper, we use the notation $\chi_{i}, \chi_{i}^{(j)}$, $k_{G}, S(i), S$ as in (3.1).

## §3. Projectives in the principal 3-block of $G$

In this section we investigate the Loewy and socle series of projective indecomposable $k G$-modules in the principal block $A$ of $k G$. We use the notation $S(0)=k_{G}, S(1), S(2), S(3)$ and $S$ which means all nonisomorphic simple $k G$-modules in the principal block $A$ of $k G$ as in (3.1).
(4.1) Theorem. The Loewy and socle series of the projective indecomposable $k G$-modules are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(i) \\
& S \\
& S \quad S(0) \quad S(1) \quad S(2) \quad S(3) \\
& P(S(i))=\begin{array}{cc}
S(j) & S\left(k^{\prime}\right) \\
S & S(\ell) \\
S(i)
\end{array} \quad P(S)=\begin{array}{ccc} 
& S(0) & S \\
S(1) & S(2) & S(3) \\
S
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{i, j, k^{\prime}, \ell\right\}=\{0,1,2,3\}$ and $S(0)=k_{G}$.
Proof. Let $J=J(k G)$ and $A=B_{0}(k G)$, the principal block of $k G$. Let $S(0)=k_{G}, S(4)=S$ and $P(i)=P(S(i))$ for each $i=0,1,2,3,4$. We write $c(i, j)$ for $c(S(i), S(j))$ for each $i, j$. By (3.1)(i), we know that $k(A)-\ell(A)=1$. Hence it follows from a result of Brandt [2, Theorem $B]$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(i), S(i))=0 \quad \text { for all } i=0,1,2,3,4 \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

We get from (3.1)(ii) that $S(0)$ and $S(1)$ are both self-dual and that $c(0,1)=1$. Hence, if $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(0), S(1)) \neq 0$, then the self-duality implies that $S(1)$ is a direct summand of the heart $H(P(0))=P(0) \cdot J / \operatorname{Soc}(P(0))$ of $P(0)$, which means that $H(P(0))$ is decomposable by the Cartan matrix in (3.1)(i), contradicting a result of Webb [15, Theorem E].

Therefore, $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(0), S(1))=0$. Hence, by using the automorphism $\beta$ of $k G$ in (3.1)(ii), we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(0), S(i))=0$ for all $i=$ $1,2,3$.

Similarly, if we assume that $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left[\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(0), S(4))\right]=2$, then it follows from the self-duality and the Cartan matrix for $A$ in (3.1)(i) that the heart $H(P(0))$ is decomposable, contradicting [15, Theorem E].

Therefore, the self-duality says that $P(0) / P(0) \cdot J^{2}$ and $\operatorname{Soc}_{2}(P(0))$ are both uniserial with

$$
L_{2}(P(0)) \cong S(4) \cong \operatorname{Soc}_{2}(P(0)) / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}(P(0))
$$

Hence, by the Cartan matrix in (3.1)(i), there left only $S(1), S(2), S(3)$ with multiplicity one in the composition factors of $P(0)$, respectively,
whose positions in the Loewy series of $P(0)$ are not determined. So, the automorphism $\beta$ in (3.1)(ii) implies that $S(1) \bigoplus S(2) \bigoplus S(3) \hookrightarrow$ $L_{3}(P(0))$, completing the Loewy structure of $P(0)$. Hence, by the selfdualities, we get that the Loewy and socle series of $P(0)$ has the form

$P(0)=S(1)$|  | $S(0)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $S(4)$ |  |
|  | $S(2)$ |
|  | $S(4)$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Now, it follows from a result of Landrock [11, Theorem E] and (1) that $S(0) \hookrightarrow L_{3}(P(i))$ for all $i=1,2,3, S(0) \hookrightarrow L_{2}(P(4))$ and $S(0) \hookrightarrow$ $L_{4}(P(4))$. Moreover, (1) implies that $S(4) \hookrightarrow L_{2}(P(i))$ for $i=1,2,3$ and $S(4) \hookrightarrow L_{3}(P(4))$.

Next, we want to claim that there exists some $i \geqslant 4$ such that $S(4) \hookrightarrow L_{i}(P(1)), S(4) \hookrightarrow L_{i}(P(2))$ and $S(4) \hookrightarrow L_{i}(P(3))$. By (1), $P(1)$ has a uniserial submodule $U$ with $L_{1}(U) \cong S(0), L_{2}(U) \cong S(4)$ and $L_{3}(U)=U J^{2} \cong S(1)$. On the other hand, $c(1,0)=1$ from (3.1)(i). Moreover, we have already got $S(0) \hookrightarrow L_{3}(P(1))$. Therefore, by [10, (1.1)Lemma], $S(4) \hookrightarrow L_{i}(P(1))$ for some $i \geqslant 4$. Thus, this holds for $P(2)$ and $P(3)$ as well by using the automorphism $\beta$ in (3.1)(ii).

Therefore, we know so far the Loewy series of $P(1), \cdots, P(4)$ have at least the following form.

| $S(j)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $S(4) \cdots$ | $S(4)$ |
| $S(0) \cdots$ | $S(1) S(2) S(3) \cdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $P(4)=$ |
| $S(4) \cdots$ | $S(0) \cdots$ |
| $\vdots$ |  |
| $S(j)$ | $\vdots$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

for $j=1,2,3$.
Assume that $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(1), S(2)) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(1), S(3)) \neq 0$. Let $H=P(1) \cdot J / \operatorname{Soc}(P(1))$ be the heart of $P(1)$. Since $c(1,2)=c(1,3)=1$ by (3.2)(i), the assumption and the self-duality of $S(0), \cdots, S(4)$ in (3.1)(ii) imply that $S(2)$ and $S(3)$ are both direct summands of $H$. Hence, it follows from (2) and the Cartan matrix for $A$ in (3.1)(i) that
the Loewy and socle series of $P(1)$ have the form

$$
P(1)=S(0) \quad \begin{array}{cc}
S(1) & \\
S(4) & \\
& S(2) \\
& S(4) \\
& S(1)
\end{array}
$$

Thus, again (1.3) shows that $S(1) \hookrightarrow L_{4}(P(4))$, so that $S(i) \hookrightarrow L_{4}(P(4))$ for all $i=1,2,3$ by using $\beta$. Hence $P(4)$ has Loewy series

\[

\]

and there left only two $S(4)$ 's form the Cartan matrix in (3.1)(i). Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(4), S(4))=0$ by (0), the only possibility for the Loewy series of $P(4)$ is that

$$
P(4)=
$$

Now, from the Loewy structure of $P(1)$ above, we know, by using the automorphism $\beta$ again, that $P(4)$ has uniserial submodules $U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}$ of composition length 4 such that

$$
U_{1}=\begin{aligned}
& S(1) \\
& S(4) \\
& S(0) \\
& S(4)
\end{aligned} \quad U_{2}=\begin{gathered}
S(2) \\
S(4) \\
S(0) \\
S(4)
\end{gathered} \quad U_{3}=\begin{aligned}
& S(3) \\
& S(4) \\
& S(0) \\
& S(4)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we can consider a submodule $X$ of $P(4)$ defined by $X=U_{1}+$ $U_{2}+U_{3}$. By (1), we have $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left[\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(0), S(4))\right]=1$, which means that the multiplicity of $S(0)$ in $\operatorname{Soc}_{2}(X) / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}(X)$ is at most one. Hence, $\operatorname{Soc}_{2}(X) / \operatorname{Soc}_{1}(X) \cong S(0)$. Thus, since $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left[\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(4), S(0))\right]=1$, we get that the multiplicity of $S(4)$ in $\operatorname{Soc}_{3}(X) / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}(X)$ is at most one Therefore, $\operatorname{Soc}_{3}(X) / \operatorname{Soc}_{2}(X) \cong S(4)$. Hence, $X$ has Loewy and socle
structure

$$
X=\begin{array}{lll} 
& S(1) & S(2) \\
& S(4) & \\
& S(0) & \\
& & \\
& & \\
& &
\end{array}
$$

So that, by (1.1) again, we know that the $S(1)$ in $L_{1}(X)$ comes from that in $L_{2}(P(4))$. Similar thing holds for $S(2)$ and $S(3)$ as well. Namely, it follows that $P(4) / X$ has Loewy series

$$
P(4) / X=\quad{ }^{\quad} \begin{gathered}
S(4) \\
S(0) \\
S(1) \\
S(2) \\
S(4) \\
S(3)
\end{gathered}
$$

This shows $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left[\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(0), S(4))\right] \geqslant 2$, contradicting (1).
Next, assume that $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(1), S(2)) \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(1), S(3))$ $=0$. Then, by applying $\beta^{2}$ to $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(1), S(2))$, we get that $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(3), S(1)) \neq 0$, so that it follows $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(1), S(3)) \neq 0$ by the self-dualities, a contradiction. Similarly, we get a contradiction in the case that $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(1), S(2))=0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(1), S(3)) \neq 0$ by using $\beta^{2}$ in (3.2)(ii).

Therefore, it holds that $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(1), S(2))=\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(1), S(3))=0$. Then, (2) and the Cartan matrix in (3.1)(i) imply that $L_{2}(P(1)) \cong S(4)$, so that $P(1)$ has Loewy series of the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
S(1) \\
S(4) \\
\\
S(0) \cdots  \tag{3}\\
\vdots \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
S(4) \cdots \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\end{gather*} \quad \text { and there left } S(2), S(3)
$$

Next, we want to claim $L_{3}(P(1)) \nsubseteq S(0)$. Assume $L_{3}(P(1)) \cong$ $S(0)$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(0), S(2))=\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(0), S(3))=0$ by (1), it follows from (3) that $L_{4}(P(1)) \cong S(4)$, which implies from (3) that $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(2), S(1)) \neq 0$, so that $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(1), S(2)) \neq 0$ by the selfdualities. This is a contradiction. Thus, $L_{3}(P(1)) \not \neq S(0)$.

Suppose that $L_{3}(P(1)) \cong S(0) \bigoplus S(2)$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(3), S(1))=0$
by the self-dualities, we get by (3) that $P(1)$ has Loewy series of the form

$$
P(1)=\begin{gathered}
S(1) \\
S(4) \\
S(0) \\
S(3) \\
S(4) \\
S(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $V=\left[P(1) \cdot J^{3}\right]^{*}$. Then, by the self-dualities, $V$ is a uniserial $k G$ module of composition length three with $L_{1}(V) \cong S(1), L_{2}(V) \cong S(4)$, $L_{3}(V)=V J^{2} \cong S(3)$, which means that $S(3) \hookrightarrow L_{3}(P(1))$, contradicting the Loewy structure of $P(1)$ above. Hence, $L_{3}(P(1)) \nsubseteq S(0) \bigoplus S(2)$.

Similarly, we obtain that $L_{3}(P(1)) \not \approx S(0) \bigoplus S(3)$. Therefore, it follows that $L_{3}(P(1)) \cong S(0) \bigoplus S(2) \bigoplus S(3)$ by (3), so that we completely know the Loewy structure of $P(1)$. Thus, we get the Loewy and socle structure of $P(1), P(2)$ and $P(3)$ as in the statement by making use of $\beta$. Hence, again by (1.3) and the Cartan matrix in (3.1)(i), P(4) has Loewy series of the form

$$
P(4)=
$$

and there left only two $S(4)$ 's. Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{k G}^{1}(S(4), S(4))=0$ by (0), we finally get the complete Loewy series of $P(4)$ as in the statement. This finishes the proof of the theorem.
Q.E.D.
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