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## § 1. Introduction

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field and $X$ an irreducible complete non-singular algebraic curve over $k$. We denote by $\pi_{1}(X)$ the algebraic fundamental group of $X$ (see [3, Exp. V]). The group $\pi_{1}(X)$ may be canonically identified with the Galois group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k(X)^{\mathrm{ur}} / k(X)\right)$, where $k(X)$ is the function field of $X$ over $k$ and $k(X)^{\mathrm{ur}}$ is the maximum unramified extension of $k(X)$. When char $k=0$, it is a classical fact that the structure of $\pi_{1}(X)$ is determined by the genus $g$ of $X$. Namely $\pi_{1}(X)$ is isomorphic to $\hat{\Gamma}_{g}$, the pro-finite completion of the fundamental group $\Gamma_{g}$ of a Riemann surface of genus $g$;

$$
\Gamma_{g}=\left\langle a_{1}, \cdots, a_{g}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{g} \mid a_{1} b_{1} a_{1}^{-1} b_{1}^{-1} \cdots a_{g} b_{g} a_{g}^{-1} b_{g}^{-1}=1\right\rangle .
$$

However when char $k>0$, the group $\pi_{1}(X)$ has not been determined yet. In particular, we do not know the set of all finite quotient groups of $\pi_{1}(X)$. (We know that there exists a surjective homomorphism $\hat{\Gamma}_{g} \rightarrow \pi_{1}(X)$ (see Grothendieck [3, Exp. X]), but to determine its kernel is a difficult open problem.)

In the previous paper [4], the author considered a finite étale Galois covering $Y \rightarrow X$ and determined the action of $G=\operatorname{Gal}(Y \mid X)$ on the space of holomorphic differentials on $Y$. As its consequence the following Theorem A was obtained ([4, Theorem 5]). Here the integer $t(G)$ is defined as the minimum number of generators of the $k[G]$-module $I_{G}=\left\{\sum_{\sigma \in G} a_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma \mid\right.$ $\left.\sum_{\sigma \in G} a_{\sigma}=0\right\}$, the augmentation ideal of the group algebra $k[G]$.

Theorem A. If a finite group $G$ is a quotient of the pro-finite group $\pi_{1}(X)$, then we have $t(G) \leqq g$ ( $g$ is the genus of $X$ ).

When char $k=0, t(G)=1$ holds for every $G$, and Theorem A becomes trivial. In this paper we shall discuss some consequences of Theorem A, assuming char $k>0$. In Section 2, we first give some general properties of $t(G)$ and then we computc $t(G)$ when $G$ has a normal Sylow $p$-subgroup ( $p=$ char $k$ ). In Section 3, we give a result which is proved by combining Theorem A and the results of Section 2 (Proposition 5). By use of Proposition 5 we can find examples of finite groups which can not be quotients of $\pi_{1}(X)$. But Proposition 5 itself has another proof which does not depend on Theorem A. (It is also given in Section 3.) Accordingly we have, for the present, no examples which show definitely that Theorem A gives a new restriction on the structure of $\pi_{1}(X)$.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Professor Y. Tsushima and late Professor T. Miyata for their kind advice.

## § 2. Some properties of $\boldsymbol{t}(\boldsymbol{G})$

Hereafter we assume that $p=$ char $k$ is positive. For a finite group $G$, $t(G)$ denotes, as before, the minimum number of generators of the augmentation ideal of $k[G]$. In this section we give elementary properties and a method for computation of $t(G)$.

The following two Propositions are derived easily from the definition of $t(G)$. Here, for a finite group $G, d(G)$ is the minimum number of generators of $G$.

Proposition 1. (i) We have $t(G) \leqq d(G)$.
(ii) If $G$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group, $t(G)=1$.
(iii) If $G$ is a p-group, $t(G)=d(G)$.

Proof. From the equality $\sigma \tau-1=\sigma(\tau-1)+(\sigma-1)$ for $\sigma, \tau \in G$, we easily obtain (i). If $G$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group, $k[G]$ is semi-simple and hence $k[G]=$ $k \oplus I_{G}$ holds. Therefore we have $t(G)=1$ because there exists the projection $k[G] \rightarrow I_{G}$. When $G$ is a $p$-group, $I_{G}$ is the Jacobson radical of $k[G]$ ( $[2,(5.24)])$. Hence we have $t(G)=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(I_{G} / I_{G}^{2}\right)$ in view of Nakayama's lemma. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(I_{G} / I_{G}^{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{F_{p}}\left(G /[G, G] G^{p}\right)=d(G)$, we obtain (iii) ( $[G, G] G^{p}$ is the Frattini subgroup of $G$ ).

Proposition 2. (i) If $\bar{G}$ is a quotient group of $G$, then $t(\bar{G}) \leqq t(G)$.
(ii) If $G^{\prime}$ is a subgroup of $G$, then $t\left(G^{\prime}\right)-1 \leqq\left(G: G^{\prime}\right)(t(G)-1)$.

Proof. The surjective homomorphism $G \rightarrow \bar{G}$ induces a surjective homomorphism $k[G] \rightarrow k[\bar{G}]$. Hence (i) is immediate. Putting $t=t(G)$, we have a surjective $k[G]$-homomorphism $\varphi: k[G]^{t} \rightarrow I_{G}$. For a $k[G]-$ module $M$, denote by $\left.M\right|_{G^{\prime}}$ the module $M$ regarded as a $k\left[G^{\prime}\right]$-module. Then we have $\left.k[G]^{t}\right|_{G^{\prime}} \simeq k\left[G^{\prime}\right]^{t m}$ and $\left.I_{G}\right|_{G^{\prime}} \simeq k\left[G^{\prime}\right]^{m-1} \oplus I_{G^{\prime}}$, where $m=$
$\left(G: G^{\prime}\right)$. (The latter isomorphism follows from Schanuel's lemma [2, (2.24)].) Hence ${ }^{\prime} \varphi$ induces a surjective $k\left[G^{\prime}\right]$-homomorphism $k\left[G^{\prime}\right]^{t m} \rightarrow$ $k\left[G^{\prime}\right]^{m-1} \oplus I_{G^{\prime}}$. Therefore we obtain $t\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqq t m-m+1$ i.e. $t\left(G^{\prime}\right)-1 \leqq$ $\left(G: G^{\prime}\right)(t(G)-1)$.

Next we show a method for computing the number $t(G)$. First we introduce some notations. Let $V_{0}, \cdots, V_{n}$ be the isomorphism classes of all irreducible $k[G]$-modules ( $V_{0}$ is the trivial module). Denote by $J=J_{G}$ and $I=I_{G}$ the Jacobson radical and the augmentation ideal of $k[G]$, respectively. We define the integers $a_{i}(i=0, \cdots, n)$ by the following decomposition as $k[G]$-module;

$$
I / J I \simeq \oplus_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} \cdot V_{i}
$$

Putting $f_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} V_{i}$, we have the decomposition $k[G] / J \simeq \underset{i=0}{\oplus} f_{i} \cdot V_{i}$. Hence by Nakayama's lemma we easily obtain

$$
t(G)=\max \left\{\left.-\left[-\frac{a_{i}}{f_{i}}\right] \right\rvert\, i=0, \cdots, n\right\}
$$

where $[x]$ denotes the largest integer not exceeding $x$. (Hence $m=-[-x]$ is the smallest integer satisfying $m \geqq x$.) To calculate $a_{i}$, consider the projective $k[G]$-module $U$ which satisfies $U / J U \simeq V_{0}$. (For the existence of $U$, see e.g. [2, §6].) Then we have the following

Proposition 3. (i) Define the integers $s_{i}(i=0, \cdots, n)$ by the decomposition

$$
J U / J^{2} U \simeq \oplus_{i=0}^{n} s_{i} \cdot V_{i}
$$

Then $a_{0}=s_{0}$ and $a_{i}=s_{i}+f_{i}$ for $i \geqq 1$.
(ii) We have $s_{0}=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(I / I^{2}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{F_{p}}\left(G /[G, G] G^{p}\right)$, where $G /[G, G] G^{p}$ is the maximum elementary p-abelian quotient of $G$.

Proof. We have a decomposition $k[G]=U \oplus W$, where $W$ is the projective $k[G]$-module satisfying $W / J W \simeq \underset{i=1}{\oplus} f_{i} \cdot V_{i}$. Hence $I=J U \oplus W$ holds. Consequently $I / J I=\left(J U / J^{2} U\right) \oplus(W / J W) \simeq\left(J U / J^{2} U\right) \oplus\left(\oplus_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \cdot V_{i}\right)$, which proves (i). From $I W=W$, we obtain $I^{2}=I J U \oplus W$. Therefore $I / I^{2}=J U / I J U=s_{0} \cdot V_{0}$, i.e. $s_{0}=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(I / I^{2}\right)$. The latter equality in (ii) is easily obtained by considering the map $\varphi: G \rightarrow I / I^{2}$ which is defined by $\varphi(\sigma)=\sigma-1\left(\bmod I^{2}\right)$ for $\sigma \in G$.

As a consequence of Proposition 3 we obtain

Corollary. $\quad t(G)=\max \left\{s_{0},-\left[-\frac{s_{i}}{f_{i}}\right]+1(i \geqq 1)\right\}$.
Remarks. (1) From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow J U \rightarrow U \rightarrow V_{0} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $s_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} \operatorname{Ext}_{k[G]}^{1}\left(V_{G}, V_{i}\right)(i=0, \cdots, n)$.
(2) Following the argument of [1], we see that $t\left(G^{m}\right)=t(G)$ holds if $s_{0}=0$ for $G$, while we have $t\left(G^{m}\right)=m \cdot t(G)$ for $m \geqq t(G)$ if $s_{0} \geqq 1\left(G^{m}\right.$ is the direct product of $m$ copies of $G$ ).

Assume that a finite group $G$ has a normal Sylow $p$-subgroup. In that case we can express $t(G)$ more explicitly than in Corollary to Proposition 3. Hereafter we shall give the result. In the above situation $G$ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product $H \cdot S$, where $S$ is a $p$-group and $H$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group acting on $S$. Let $V_{0}, \cdots, V_{n}$ be the isomorphism classes of all irreducible $k[H]$-modules ( $V_{0}$ is the trivial module). Recalling $p=$ char $k$, we see that $S$ acts trivially on irreducible $k[G]$-modules. Let $N=[S, S] S^{p}$ be the Frattini subgroup of $S$, and put $P=S / N$. Then $P$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group and $G$ (hence $H$ ) acts on $P$ through conjugation. (Since $N$ is a characteristic subgroup of $S$, it is a normal subgroup of G.) The integers $m_{i}(i=0, \cdots, n)$ are defined by the following isomorphism of $k[H]$-modules;

$$
P \otimes_{F_{p}} k \simeq \oplus_{i=0}^{n} m_{i} \cdot V_{i} .
$$

With notations as above, we have
Proposition 4. Put $f_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} V_{i}$. Then

$$
t(G)=\max \left\{\left.-\left[-\frac{m_{i}}{f_{i}}\right]+1-\delta_{i} \right\rvert\, i=0, \cdots, n\right\}
$$

where $\delta_{i}=0$ for $i \geqq 1$ and $\delta_{0}=1$.
Proof. We use the symbols $J, U$ and $s_{i}$ in the same sense as above. Let $\varphi: k[G] \rightarrow k[H]$ be the surjective homomorphism induced by the natural projection $G \rightarrow H=G / S$. Then $J=\operatorname{Ker} \varphi$. Further we have $U=$ $k c+J U$, where $c=\sum_{\tau \in H} \tau \in k[G]$. Using this equation we obtain $J U=J c$ because $J$ is nilpotent. Let $I_{S}$ be the augmentation ideal of $k[S] ; I_{S}=$ $J \cap k[S]$. Then by using the semi-direct product decomposition $G=H \cdot S$, we easily get $J^{l} c=I_{S}^{l} c$ for every natural number $l$. Therefore we obtain $J U / J^{2} U \simeq I_{S} / I_{S}^{2}$ as $k[G]$-modules ( $G$ acts on $S$ through conjugation; $S$ is acting trivially on both sides). Since $I_{S} / I_{S}^{2} \simeq P \otimes_{F_{p}} k$, the above isomorphism shows the equality $s_{i}=m_{i}$ for $i=0, \cdots, n$. Hence, by Corollary to Proposition 3, we obtain Proposition 4.

## §3. A consequence of Theorem A

Apply Theorem A when $G$ is a finite $p$-group ( $p=\operatorname{char} k$ ). Then we obtain the classical inequality $\gamma \leqq g$, where $\gamma$ is the $p$-rank of $X$ (cf. Proposition 1 (iii)). Hence Theorem A is surely a non-trivial assertion when char $k>0$. However, for a general finite group $G$, it is not easy to determine the number $t(G)$, and so we do not know exactly to what extent Theorem A gives restriction on the set of finite quotient groups of $\pi_{1}(X)$.

In the following situation we can describe a consequence of Theorem A in a "down-to-earth" form: Let $G^{\prime}$ be a subgroup of a finite group $G$ and let $K$ be a normal subgroup of $G^{\prime}$ for which the quotient $G^{\prime} / K$ has a normal Sylow $p$-subgroup ( $p=$ char $k$ ). Then $G^{\prime} / K$ is isomorphic to a semi-direct product $H \cdot S$, where $S$ is a $p$-group and $H$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group acting on $S$. For $H$ and $S$ above, let $P, V_{i}$ and $m_{i}(i=0, \cdots, n)$ be the same as defined before Proposition 4. Then as a consequence of Theorem A we obtain the following

Proposition 5. Let the situation be as above. If $G$ is a quotient of $\pi_{1}(X)$, then

$$
m_{i} \leqq\left(G: G^{\prime}\right)(g-1) \operatorname{dim}_{k} V_{i}+\delta_{i}
$$

holds for each $i=0, \cdots, n$, where $\delta_{i}=0$ for $i \geqq 1$ and $\delta_{0}=1$.
Proof. Put $f_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} V_{i}$. Then applying Proposition 4 to $G^{\prime} / K=$ $H \cdot S$, we obtain $m_{i} \leqq\left(t\left(G^{\prime} / K\right)-1\right) f_{i}+\delta_{i}$ for each $i=0, \cdots, n$. Proposition 2 shows $t\left(G^{\prime} \mid K\right)-1 \leqq t\left(G^{\prime}\right)-1 \leqq\left(G: G^{\prime}\right)(t(G)-1)$. Further $t(G) \leqq g$ holds by Theorem A. Thus the proof of Proposition 5 is completed.

Finally we shall give a different proof of Proposition 5, which does not use Theorem A: Take a finite étale Galois covering $Y \rightarrow X$ satisfying $G=\operatorname{Gal}(Y \mid X)$, and let $Y \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be the covering corresponding to $G^{\prime}$, i.e. $G^{\prime}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(Y / X^{\prime}\right)$. Denoting by $g^{\prime}$ the genus of $X^{\prime}$, we have $g^{\prime}-1=\left(G: G^{\prime}\right)$ $(g-1)$ by the Riemann-Hurwitz formula. So we should prove $m_{i} \leqq$ $\left(g^{\prime}-1\right) f_{i}+\delta_{i} \quad\left(f_{i}=\operatorname{dim}_{k} V_{i}\right)$. Since $H \cdot P=H \cdot S / N \quad\left(N=[S, S] S^{p}\right)$ is a quotient of $G^{\prime}$, we have a covering $Z \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{Gal}\left(Z / X^{\prime}\right)=H \cdot P$. Let $f: W \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ be the covering corresponding to $P(P=\mathrm{Gal}(Z / W))$. The group $H=\operatorname{Gal}\left(W / X^{\prime}\right)$ acts naturally on the cohomology group $H^{1}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)$. Since $P=\operatorname{Gal}(Z / W), \quad P^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(P, \boldsymbol{F}_{p}\right) \quad$ is an $\boldsymbol{F}_{p}[H]$-submodule of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\text {cont }}\left(\pi_{1}(W), \boldsymbol{F}_{p}\right)=H^{1}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)^{F}$, where $F$ is the $p$-th power Frobenius map and $H^{1}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)^{F}=\left\{\xi \in H^{1}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right) \mid F(\xi)=\xi\right\}$. Therefore $\left(P \otimes_{F_{p}} k\right)^{*}$ $=P^{*} \otimes_{\boldsymbol{F}_{p}} k$ is a $k[H]$-submodule of $H^{1}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)$ because $H^{1}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)^{F}$
$\otimes_{F_{p}} k$ is naturally contained in $H^{1}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)$. On the other hand, $H$ acts naturally on the locally free sheaf $\mathscr{F}=f_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\right)$ on $X^{\prime}$. For $i=0, \cdots, n$, let $\mathscr{F}\left(V_{i}\right)$ be the isotypical part of $\mathscr{F}$ with respect to the irreducible $k[H]$ module $V_{i}$. Since $H$ is a $p^{\prime}$-group, we have a direct sum decomposition $\mathscr{F}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathscr{F}\left(V_{i}\right)$ and rank $\mathscr{F}\left(V_{i}\right)=f_{i}^{2}$. As is easily verified, the $V_{i}$-isotypical part of $H^{1}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)=H^{1}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{F}\right)$ coincides with $H^{1}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{F}\left(V_{i}\right)\right)$. Recalling that $\left(P \otimes_{F_{p}} k\right)^{*}$ is a $k[H]$-submodule of $H^{1}\left(W, \mathcal{O}_{W}\right)$, we see that the inequality $m_{i} f_{i} \leqq \operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{1}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{F}\left(V_{i}^{*}\right)\right)$ holds for each $i=0, \cdots, n$, where $V_{i}^{*}$ is the dual module of $V_{i}$. Since $\operatorname{deg} \mathscr{F}\left(V_{i}^{*}\right)=0$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{F}\left(V_{i}^{*}\right)\right)=$ $\delta_{i}$, the Riemann-Roch theorem shows $\operatorname{dim}_{k} H^{1}\left(X^{\prime}, \mathscr{F}\left(V_{i}^{*}\right)\right)=\left(g^{\prime}-1\right) f_{i}^{2}+\delta_{i}$. Consequently we obtain $m_{i} \leqq\left(g^{\prime}-1\right) f_{i}+\delta_{i}$, which completes the proof of Proposition 5.
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