

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 10

No. 3

2017

ALI HYDER

**CONFORMALLY EUCLIDEAN METRICS ON $\mathbb{R}^n$
WITH ARBITRARY TOTAL Q -CURVATURE**

CONFORMALLY EUCLIDEAN METRICS ON $\mathbb{R}^n$ WITH ARBITRARY TOTAL Q -CURVATURE

ALI HYDER

We study the existence of solution to the problem

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2}u = Qe^{nu} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \kappa := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Qe^{nu} dx < \infty,$$

where $Q \geq 0$, $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$ and $n \geq 3$. Using ODE techniques, Martinazzi (for $n = 6$) and Huang and Ye (for $n = 4m + 2$) proved the existence of a solution to the above problem with $Q \equiv \text{constant} > 0$ and for every $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$. We extend these results in every dimension $n \geq 5$, thus completely answering the problem opened by Martinazzi. Our approach also extends to the case in which Q is nonconstant, and under some decay assumptions on Q we can also treat the cases $n = 3$ and $n = 4$.

1. Introduction

For a function $Q \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we consider the problem

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2}u = Qe^{nu} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \kappa := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Qe^{nu} dx < \infty, \quad (1)$$

where for n odd the nonlocal operator $(-\Delta)^{n/2}$ is defined on page 639.

Geometrically if u is a smooth solution of (1) then the conformal metric $g_u := e^{2u}|dx|^2$ (here $|dx|^2$ is the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$) has the Q -curvature Q , at least when $n \geq 2$. Moreover, the total Q -curvature of the metric g_u is κ .

Solutions to (1) have been classified in terms of their asymptotic behavior at infinity. More precisely we have the following:

Theorem A [Chen and Li 1991; Da Lio et al. 2015; Lin 1998; Martinazzi 2009a; Jin et al. 2015; Hyder 2015; Xu 2005]. *Let $n \geq 1$. Let u be a solution of*

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2}u = (n-1)!e^{nu} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \kappa := (n-1)! \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{nu} dx < \infty. \quad (2)$$

Then

$$u(x) = \frac{(n-1)!}{\gamma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log\left(\frac{|y|}{|x-y|}\right) e^{nu(y)} dy + P(x) = -\frac{2\kappa}{\Lambda_1} \log|x| + P(x) + o(\log|x|) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

Hyder is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, project no. PP00P2-144669.

MSC2010: 35G20, 35R11, 53A30.

Keywords: Q -curvature, nonlocal equation, conformal geometry.

where $\gamma_n := \frac{1}{2}(n-1)!|S^n|$, $\Lambda_1 := 2\gamma_n$, $o(\log|x|)/\log|x| \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, P is a polynomial of degree at most $n-1$ and P is bounded from above. If $n \in \{3, 4\}$ then $\kappa \in (0, \Lambda_1]$ and $\kappa = \Lambda_1$ if and only if u is a spherical solution, that is,

$$u(x) = u_{\lambda, x_0}(x) := \log \frac{2\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2|x - x_0|^2} \quad (4)$$

for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$. Moreover u is spherical if and only if P is constant (which is always the case when $n \in \{1, 2\}$).

Chang and Chen [2001] showed the existence of nonspherical solutions to (2) in even dimension $n \geq 4$ for every $\kappa \in (0, \Lambda_1)$.

A partial converse to Theorem A has been proven in dimension 4 by Wei and Ye [2008] and extended by Hyder and Martinazzi [2015] for $n \geq 4$ even and Hyder [2016] for $n \geq 3$.

Theorem B [Wei and Ye 2008; Hyder and Martinazzi 2015; Hyder 2016]. *Let $n \geq 3$. Then for every $\kappa \in (0, \Lambda_1)$ and for every polynomial P with*

$$\deg(P) \leq n-1 \quad \text{and} \quad P(x) \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} -\infty,$$

there exists a solution u to (2) having the asymptotic behavior given by (3).

Although the assumption $\kappa \in (0, \Lambda_1]$ is a necessary condition for the existence of a solution to (2) for $n = 3, 4$, it is possible to have a solution for $\kappa > \Lambda_1$ arbitrarily large in higher dimension, as shown by Martinazzi [2013] for $n = 6$. Huang and Ye [2015] extended Martinazzi's result in arbitrary even dimension n of the form $n = 4m + 2$ for some $m \geq 1$, proving that for every $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$ there exists a solution to (2). The case $n = 4m$ remained open.

The ideas in [Martinazzi 2013; Huang and Ye 2015] are based upon ODE theory. One considers only radial solutions so that the equation in (2) becomes an ODE, and the result is obtained by choosing suitable initial conditions and letting one of the parameters go to $+\infty$ (or $-\infty$). However, this technique does not work if the dimension n is a multiple of 4, and things get even worse in odd dimension since $(-\Delta)^{n/2}$ is nonlocal and ODE techniques cannot be used.

In this paper we extend the works of [Martinazzi 2013; Huang and Ye 2015] and completely solve the cases left open; namely we prove that when $n \geq 5$, problem (2) has a solution for every $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$. In fact we do not need to assume that Q is constant, but only that it is radially symmetric with growth at infinity suitably controlled, or not even radially symmetric. Moreover, we are able to prescribe the asymptotic behavior of the solution u , as in (3), up to a polynomial of degree 4 which cannot be prescribed and in particular cannot be required to vanish when $\kappa \geq \Lambda_1$. This in turn, together with Theorem A, is consistent with the requirement $n \geq 5$, because only when $n \geq 5$ does the asymptotic expansion of u at infinity admit polynomials of degree 4.

We prove the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $n \geq 5$ be an integer. Let P be a polynomial on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with degree at most $n-1$. Let $Q \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $Q(0) > 0$, $Q \geq 0$, Qe^{nP} is radially symmetric and*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} Q(x)e^{nP(x)} < \infty.$$

Then for every $\kappa > 0$ there exists a solution u to (1) such that

$$u(x) = -\frac{2\kappa}{\Lambda_1} \log |x| + P(x) + c_1|x|^2 - c_2|x|^4 + C + o(1) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty$$

for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, there exists a radially symmetric function v on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a constant c_v such that

$$v(x) = -\frac{2\kappa}{\Lambda_1} \log |x| + \frac{1}{2n} \Delta v(0)(|x|^4 - |x|^2) + o(1) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$u = P + v + c_v - |x|^4, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Taking $Q = (n-1)!$ and $P = 0$ in Theorem 1.1 one has the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. Let $n \geq 5$ and $\kappa \in (0, \infty)$. Then there exists a radially symmetric solution u to (2) such that

$$u(x) = -\frac{2\kappa}{\Lambda_1} \log |x| + c_1|x|^2 - c_2|x|^4 + C + o(1) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty$$

for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $C \in \mathbb{R}$.

Notice the polynomial part of the solution u in Theorem 1.1 is not exactly the prescribed polynomial P (compare to [Wei and Ye 2008; Hyder and Martinazzi 2015; Hyder 2016]). In general, without perturbing the polynomial part, it is not possible to find a solution for $\kappa \geq \Lambda_1$. For example, if P is nonincreasing and nonconstant then there is no solution u to (2) with $\kappa \geq \Lambda_1$ such that u has the asymptotic behavior (3) (see Lemma 3.6 below). This justifies the term $c_1|x|^2$ in Theorem 1.1. Then the additional term $-c_2|x|^4$ is also necessary to avoid that $u(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}c_1|x|^2$ for x large, which would contrast with the condition $\kappa < \infty$, at least if Q does not decay fast enough at infinity. In the latter case, the term $-c_2|x|^4$ can be avoided, and one obtains an existence result also in dimensions 3 and 4.

Theorem 1.3. Let $n \geq 3$. Let $Q \in C_{\text{rad}}^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $Q \geq 0$, $Q(0) > 0$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q(x) e^{\lambda|x|^2} dx < \infty \quad \text{for every } \lambda > 0, \quad \int_{B_1(x)} \frac{Q(y)}{|x-y|^{n-1}} dy \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Then for every $\kappa > 0$ there exists a radially symmetric solution u to (1).

The decay assumption on Q in Theorem 1.3 is sharp in the sense that if $Qe^{\lambda|x|^2} \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\lambda > 0$, then problem (1) might not have a solution for every $\kappa > 0$. For instance, if $Q = e^{-\lambda|x|^2}$ for some $\lambda > 0$, then (1) with $n = 3, 4$ and $\kappa > \Lambda_1$ has no solution (see Lemma 3.5 below).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the Schauder fixed point theorem, and the main difficulty is to show that the “approximate solutions” are precompact (see in particular Lemma 2.2). We will do that using blow up analysis (see for instance [Adimurthi et al. 2006; Martinazzi 2009b; Robert 2006]). In general, if $\kappa \geq \Lambda_1$ one can expect blow up, but we will construct our approximate solutions carefully in a way that this does not happen. For instance in [Wei and Ye 2008; Hyder and Martinazzi 2015] one looks for solutions of the form $u = P + v + c_v$, where v satisfies the integral equation

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) Q(y) e^{nP(y)} e^{n(v(y)+c_v)} dy,$$

and c_v is a constant such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q e^{n(P+v+c_v)} dx = \kappa.$$

With such a choice we would not be able to rule out blow up. Instead, by looking for solutions of the form

$$u = P + v + P_v + c_v,$$

where a posteriori $P_v = -|x|^4$, v satisfies

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) Q(y) e^{n(P(y)+P_v(y)+v(y)+c_v)} dy + \frac{1}{2n}(|x|^2 - |x|^4)|\Delta v(0)|, \quad (5)$$

and c_v is again a normalization constant, one can prove that the integral equation (5) enjoys sufficient compactness, essentially due to the term $\frac{1}{2n}|x|^2|\Delta v(0)|$ on the right-hand side. Indeed a sequence of (approximate) solutions v_k blowing up (for simplicity) at the origin, up to rescaling, leads to a sequence (η_k) of functions satisfying, for every $R > 0$,

$$\int_{B_R} |\Delta \eta_k - c_k| dx \leq C R^{n-2} + o(1) R^{n+2}, \quad o(1) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad c_k > 0,$$

and converging to η_∞ , solving (for simplicity here we ignore some cases)

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2} \eta_\infty = e^{n\eta_\infty} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{n\eta_\infty} dx < \infty,$$

and

$$\int_{B_R} |\Delta \eta_\infty - c_\infty| dx \leq C R^{n-2}, \quad c_\infty \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

where $c_\infty = 0$ corresponds to $\Delta \eta_\infty(0) = 0$ (see Subcase 1.1 in [Lemma 2.2](#) with $x_k = 0$).

The estimate on $\|\Delta \eta_\infty\|_{L^1(B_R)}$ in (6) shows that the polynomial part P_∞ of η_∞ , as in (3), has degree at most 2, and hence $\Delta P_\infty \leq 0$ as P_∞ is bounded from above. Therefore, $c_\infty = 0 = \Delta P_\infty$, P_∞ is constant, and in particular η_∞ is a spherical solution by [Theorem A](#), that is, $\eta_\infty = u_{\lambda, x_0}$ for some $\lambda > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where u_{λ, x_0} is given by (4). This leads to a contradiction as $\Delta \eta_\infty(0) = 0$ and $\Delta u_{\lambda, x_0} < 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In this work we focus only on the case $Q \geq 0$ because the negative case is relatively well understood. For instance by a simple application of maximum principle, one can show that problem (1) has no solution with $Q \equiv \text{constant} < 0$, $n = 2$ and $\kappa > -\infty$, but when Q is nonconstant, solutions do exist, as shown by Chanillo and Kiessling [2000] under suitable assumptions. Martinazzi [2008] proved that in higher even dimension $n = 2m \geq 4$, problem (1) with $Q \equiv \text{constant} < 0$ has solutions for some κ , and it has been shown in [Hyder and Martinazzi 2015] that actually for every $\kappa \in (-\infty, 0)$ and Q a negative constant, (1) has a solution. The same result has been recently extended to odd dimension $n \geq 3$ in [Hyder 2016].

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We consider the space

$$X := \{v \in C^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) : v \text{ is radially symmetric, } \|v\|_X < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|v\|_X := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} (1 + |x|)^{|\alpha|-4} |D^\alpha v(x)| + \sum_{3 < |\alpha| \leq n-1} |D^\alpha v(x)| \right).$$

For $v \in X$ we set

$$A_v := \max \left\{ 0, \sup_{|x| \geq 10} \frac{v(x) - v(0)}{|x|^4} \right\}, \quad P_v(x) := -|x|^4 - A_v |x|^4.$$

Then

$$v(x) + P_v(x) \leq v(0) - |x|^4 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 10.$$

Let c_v be the constant determined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K e^{n(v+c_v)} dx = \kappa, \quad K := Q e^{nP} e^{nP_v},$$

where the functions Q and P satisfy the hypotheses in [Theorem 1.1](#). Since $Q > 0$ in a neighborhood of the origin, by a dilation argument we can assume that $Q > 0$ on B_3 . More precisely, if u is a solution to (1) then for any $\lambda > 0$, we know $u_\lambda(x) := u(\lambda x) + \log \lambda$ is also a solution to (1) with Q replaced by Q_λ , where $Q_\lambda(x) := Q(\lambda x)$. Now for a suitable choice of $\lambda > 0$, one has $Q_\lambda > 0$ on B_3 .

The function $u = P + P_v + v + c_v$ satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2} u = Q e^{nu}, \quad \kappa = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q e^{nu} dx$$

if and only if v satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2} v = K e^{n(v+c_v)}.$$

For odd integer n , the operator $(-\Delta)^{n/2}$ is defined as follows:

Definition. Let n be an odd integer. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We say that u is a solution of

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2} u = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

if $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{n-1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Delta^{(n-1)/2} u \in L_{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for every test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta)^{(n-1)/2} u (-\Delta)^{1/2} \varphi dx = \langle f, \varphi \rangle.$$

Here $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the Schwartz space and the space $L_s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by

$$L_s(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|u\|_{L_s(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|}{1 + |x|^{n+2s}} dx < \infty \right\}, \quad s > 0.$$

For more details on the fractional Laplacian we refer the reader to [\[Di Nezza et al. 2012\]](#).

We define an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ given by $T(v) = \bar{v}$, where

$$\bar{v}(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) K(y) e^{n(v(y)+c_v)} dy + \frac{1}{2n} (|x|^2 - |x|^4) |\Delta v(0)|.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let v solve $tT(v) = v$ for some $0 < t \leq 1$. Then*

$$v(x) = \frac{t}{\gamma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) K(y) e^{n(v(y)+c_v)} dy + \frac{t}{2n} (|x|^2 - |x|^4) |\Delta v(0)|, \quad (7)$$

$\Delta v(0) < 0$, and $v(x) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover,

$$\sup_{x \in B_1^c} v(x) = v(1) = \inf_{x \in B_1} v(x),$$

and in particular $A_v = 0$.

Proof. Since v satisfies $tT(v) = v$, equation (7) follows from the definition of T . Differentiating under the integral sign and observing that $\Delta \log(1/|\cdot - y|) < 0$, from (7) one gets

$$\Delta v(x) < \frac{t}{2n} |\Delta v(0)| \Delta(|x|^2 - |x|^4), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (8)$$

Taking $x = 0$ in (8) we obtain $\Delta v(0) < t|\Delta v(0)|$, which implies that $\Delta v(0) < 0$. Notice that the function

$$w(x) := v(x) + \frac{t}{2n} |\Delta v(0)| (|x|^4 - |x|^2)$$

is monotone decreasing as $\Delta w < 0$. This follows from (8) and the integral representation of radially symmetric functions given by

$$f(\xi) - f(\bar{\xi}) = \int_{\bar{\xi}}^{\xi} \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}} \int_{B_r} \Delta f(x) dx dr, \quad 0 \leq \bar{\xi} < \xi, \quad \omega_{n-1} := |S^{n-1}|. \quad (9)$$

The monotonicity of w implies that $\sup_{x \in B_1^c} v(x) = v(1) = \inf_{x \in B_1} v(x)$, and hence $A_v = 0$. Finally, together with $|\Delta v(0)| > 0$, we conclude that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x) = -\infty$ as $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} w(x) \leq w(1)$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(v, t) \in X \times (0, 1]$ satisfy $v = tT(v)$. Then there exists $C > 0$ (independent of v and t) such that*

$$\sup_{B_{1/8}} w \leq C, \quad w := v + c_v + \frac{1}{n} \log t.$$

Proof. Let us assume by contradiction that the conclusion of the lemma is false. Then there exists a sequence $w_k = v_k + c_{v_k} + \frac{1}{n} \log t_k$ such that $\max_{\bar{B}_{1/8}} w_k =: w_k(\theta_k) \rightarrow \infty$.

If θ_k is a point of local maxima of w_k , we set $x_k = \theta_k$. Otherwise, we can choose $x_k \in B_{1/4} \setminus B_{1/8}$ such that x_k is a point of local maxima of w_k and $w_k(x_k) \geq w_k(x)$ for every $x \in B_{|x_k|}$. This follows from the fact that

$$\inf_{B_{1/4} \setminus B_{1/8}} w_k \not\rightarrow \infty,$$

which is a consequence of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K e^{nw_k} dx = t_k \kappa \leq \kappa, \quad K > 0 \text{ on } B_3.$$

We set $\mu_k := e^{-w_k(x_k)}$. We distinguish the following cases.

Case 1: Up to a subsequence, $t_k \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| \rightarrow c_0 \in [0, \infty)$.

We set

$$\eta_k(x) := v_k(x_k + \mu_k x) - v_k(x_k) = w_k(x_k + \mu_k x) - w_k(x_k).$$

Notice that by (7) we have, for some dimensional constant C_1 ,

$$\Delta \eta_k(x) = \mu_k^2 \Delta v_k(x_k + \mu_k x) = C_1 \frac{\mu_k^2}{\gamma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{K(y) e^{n w_k(y)}}{|x_k + \mu_k x - y|^2} dy + t_k \mu_k^2 \left(1 - \frac{4(n+2)}{2n} |x_k + \mu_k x|^2 \right) |\Delta v_k(0)|,$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_R} \left| \Delta \eta_k(x) - t_k \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| \left(1 - \frac{2(n+2)}{n} |x_k|^2 \right) \right| dx \\ \leq \frac{C_1}{\gamma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(y) e^{n w_k(y)} \int_{B_R} \frac{\mu_k^2 dx}{|x_k + \mu_k x - y|^2} dy + C t_k \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| \int_{B_R} (\mu_k |x_k \cdot x| + \mu_k^2 |x|^2) dx \\ \leq \frac{C_1}{\gamma_n} t_k \kappa \int_{B_R} \frac{1}{|x|^2} dx + C t_k \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| \int_{B_R} (\mu_k |x| + \mu_k^2 |x|^2) dx \\ \leq C \kappa t_k R^{n-2} + C t_k \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| (\mu_k R^{n+1} + \mu_k^2 R^{n+2}). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

The function η_k satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2} \eta_k(x) = K(x_k + \mu_k x) e^{n \eta_k(x)} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \eta_k(0) = 0.$$

Moreover, $\eta_k \leq C(R)$ on B_R . This follows easily if $|x_k| \leq \frac{1}{9}$, as in that case $\eta_k \leq 0$ on B_R for $k \geq k_0(R)$. On the other hand, for $\frac{1}{9} < |x_k| \leq \frac{1}{4}$ one can use Lemma 2.4 (below). Therefore, by Lemma A.3 (and Lemmas 2.6, 2.7 if n is odd), up to a subsequence, $\eta_k \rightarrow \eta$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where η satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2} \eta = K(x_\infty) e^{n \eta} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad K(x_\infty) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{n \eta} dx \leq t_\infty \kappa < \infty, \quad K(x_\infty) > 0,$$

where (up to a subsequence) $t_k \rightarrow t_\infty$ and $x_k \rightarrow x_\infty$. Notice that $t_\infty \in (0, 1]$, $x_\infty \in \bar{B}_{1/4}$ and for every $R > 0$, by (10)

$$\int_{B_R} |\Delta \eta - c_0 c_1| dx \leq C R^{n-2}, \quad c_1 =: 1 - \frac{2(n+2)}{n} |x_\infty|^2 > 0. \quad (11)$$

Hence by Theorem A we have

$$\eta(x) = P_0(x) - \alpha \log |x| + o(\log |x|) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

where P_0 is a polynomial of degree at most $n-1$, P_0 is bounded from above and α is a positive constant. In fact, by (11)

$$\int_{B_R} |\Delta P_0(x) - c_0 c_1| dx \leq C R^{n-2} \quad \text{for every } R > 0.$$

Since $c_0, c_1 \geq 0$, it follows that P_0 is a constant. This implies that η is a spherical solution and in particular $\Delta \eta < 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, and therefore, again by (11), we have $c_0 = 0$.

We consider the following subcases.

Subcase 1.1: There exists $M > 0$ such that $|x_k|/\mu_k \leq M$.

We set $y_k := -x_k/\mu_k$. Then (up to a subsequence) $y_k \rightarrow y_\infty \in B_{M+1}$. Therefore,

$$\Delta \eta(y_\infty) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta \eta_k(y_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_k^2 \Delta v_k(0) = \frac{c_0}{t_\infty} = 0,$$

a contradiction as $\Delta \eta < 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Subcase 1.2: Up to a subsequence, $|x_k|/\mu_k \rightarrow \infty$.

For any $N \in \mathbb{N}$ we can choose $\xi_{1,k}, \dots, \xi_{N,k} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|\xi_{i,k}| = |x_k|$ for all $i = 1, \dots, N$ and the balls $B_{2\mu_k}(\xi_{i,k})$ are disjoint for k large enough. Since the v_k are radially symmetric, the functions $\eta_{i,k} := v_k(\xi_{i,k} + \mu_k x) - v_k(\xi_{i,k}) \rightarrow \eta_i = \eta$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_1} e^{n(v_k + c_{v_k})} dx \geq N \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_{\mu_k}(\xi_{1,k})} e^{n(v_k + c_{v_k})} dx = N \frac{1}{t_\infty} \int_{B_1} e^{n\eta} dx.$$

This contradicts the fact that

$$\int_{B_1} K e^{n(v_k + c_{v_k})} dx \leq \kappa, \quad K > 0 \text{ on } B_3.$$

Case 2: Up to a subsequence, $t_k \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| \rightarrow \infty$.

We choose $\rho_k > 0$ such that $t_k \rho_k^2 \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| = 1$. We set

$$\psi_k(x) = v_k(x_k + \rho_k \mu_k x) - v_k(x_k).$$

Then one can get (similar to (10))

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_R} \left| \Delta \psi_k(x) - \left(1 - \frac{2(n+2)}{n} |x_k|^2 \right) \right| dx \\ & \leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(y) e^{nw_k(y)} \int_{B_R} \frac{\rho_k^2 \mu_k^2}{|x_k + \mu_k \rho_k x - y|^2} dx dy + C_2 \mu_k \rho_k \int_{B_R} (|x| + \mu_k \rho_k |x|^2) dx \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0, \end{aligned}$$

thanks to Lemma 2.5 (below). Moreover, together with Lemma 2.4, ψ_k satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2} \psi_k = o(1) \quad \text{in } B_R, \quad \psi_k(0) = 0, \quad \psi_k \leq C(R) \text{ on } B_R.$$

Hence, by Lemma A.3 (and Lemma 2.6 if n is odd), up to a subsequence, $\psi_k \rightarrow \psi$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then ψ must satisfy

$$\int_{B_1} |\Delta \psi - c_0| dx = 0, \quad c_0 := 1 - \frac{2(n+2)}{n} |x_\infty|^2 > 0,$$

where (up to a subsequence) $x_k \rightarrow x_\infty$. This shows that $\Delta \psi(0) = c_0 > 0$, which is a contradiction as

$$\Delta \psi(0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \Delta \psi_k(0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_k^2 \mu_k^2 \Delta v_k(x_k) \leq 0.$$

Here, $\Delta v_k(x_k) \leq 0$ follows from the fact that x_k is a point of local maxima of v_k . □

A consequence of the local uniform upper bounds of w are the following global uniform upper bounds:

Lemma 2.3. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $(v, t) \in X \times (0, 1]$ with $v = tT(v)$ we have $|\Delta v(0)| \leq C$ and*

$$v(x) + c_v + \frac{1}{n} \log t \leq C \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\sup_{B_{1/8}} w := \sup_{B_{1/8}} \left(v + c_v + \frac{1}{n} \log t \right) \leq C.$$

Differentiating under the integral sign from (7), and recalling that $\Delta v(0) < 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta v(0)| &\leq C \int_{B_{1/8}} \frac{1}{|y|^2} K(y) e^{nw(y)} dy + C \int_{B_{1/8}^c} \frac{1}{|y|^2} K(y) e^{nw(y)} dy \\ &\leq C \sup_{B_{1/8}} K \int_{B_{1/8}} \frac{1}{|y|^2} dy + C \int_{B_{1/8}^c} K e^{nw} dy \leq C(\kappa, K). \end{aligned}$$

By (8) we get

$$\Delta v(x) \leq t |\Delta v(0)| \leq C, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and hence, together with (9)

$$v(x) = v(0) + \int_0^{|x|} \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}} \int_{B_r} \Delta v(y) dy dr \leq v(0) + C|x|^2 \leq C + v(0), \quad x \in B_2.$$

The lemma follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $v \in X$ be a solution of $v = tT(v)$ for some $0 < t \leq 1$. Then $A_v = 0$ and $|\Delta v(0)| \leq C$, thanks to Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3. Hence, for $0 \leq |\beta| \leq n-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |D^\beta v(x)| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| D^\beta \log \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) \right| K(y) e^{n(v(y)+c_v+(1/n)\log t)} dy + C |D^\beta (|x|^2 - |x|^4)| \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| D^\beta \log \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|} \right) \right| e^{-|y|^4} dy + C |D^\beta (|x|^2 - |x|^4)|, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we have used that

$$v(x) + c_v + \frac{1}{n} \log t \leq C, \quad C \text{ is independent of } v \text{ and } t,$$

which follows from Lemma 2.3. Now as in Lemma 2.8 one can show that

$$\|v\|_X \leq M,$$

and therefore, by Lemma A.1, the operator T has a fixed point (say) v . Then

$$u = P + v + c_v - |x|^4$$

is a solution to the problem (1) and u has the asymptotic behavior given by

$$u(x) = P(x) - \frac{2\kappa}{\Lambda_1} \log |x| + \frac{1}{2n} \Delta v(0) (|x|^4 - |x|^2) - |x|^4 + c_v + o(1) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad \square$$

Now we give a proof of the technical lemmas used in the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $(v_k, t_k) \in X \times (0, 1]$ satisfy (7) or (14) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_k \in B_1 \setminus B_\varepsilon$ be a point of maxima of v_k on $\bar{B}_{|x_k|}$ and $v'_k(x_k) = 0$. Then*

$$v_k(x_k + x) - v_k(x_k) \leq C(n, \varepsilon) |x|^2 t_k |\Delta v_k(0)|, \quad x \in B_1.$$

Proof. If $|x_k + x| \leq |x_k|$ then $v_k(x_k + x) - v_k(x_k) \leq 0$ as $v_k(x_k) \geq v_k(y)$ for every $y \in B_{|x_k|}$. For $|x_k| < |x_k + x|$, setting $a = a(k, x) := x_k + x$, and together with (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(x_k + x) - v_k(x_k) &= \int_{|x_k|}^{|a|} \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}} \int_{B_r \setminus B_{|x_k|}} \Delta v_k(x) dx dr \\ &\leq \int_{|x_k|}^{|a|} \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1} r^{n-1}} \int_{B_{|a|} \setminus B_{|x_k|}} t_k |\Delta v_k(0)| dx d\rho \\ &\leq C(n) t_k |\Delta v_k(0)| (|B_{|a|}| - |B_{|x_k|}|) \left(\frac{1}{|x_k|^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{|a|^{n-2}} \right) \\ &\leq C(n, \varepsilon) t_k |x|^2 |\Delta v_k(0)|, \end{aligned}$$

where in the first equality we have used that

$$0 = v'_k(x_k) = \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1} |x_k|^{n-1}} \int_{B_{|x_k|}} \Delta v_k dx.$$

Hence we have the lemma. □

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(v_k, t_k) \in X \times (0, 1]$ satisfy (7) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_k \in B_1$ be a point of maxima of v_k on $\bar{B}_{|x_k|}$ and $v'_k(x_k) = 0$. We set $w_k = v_k + c_{v_k} + \frac{1}{n} \log t_k$ and $\mu_k = e^{-w_k(x_k)}$. Let $\rho_k > 0$ be such that $t_k \rho_k^2 \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| \leq C$ and $\rho_k \mu_k \rightarrow 0$. Then for any $R_0 > 0$,*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(y) e^{n w_k(y)} \int_{B_{R_0}} \frac{\rho_k^2 \mu_k^2}{|x_k + \rho_k \mu_k x - y|^2} dx dy =: \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_k = 0.$$

Proof. In order to prove the lemma we fix $R > 0$ (large). We split B_{R_0} into

$$A_1(R, y) := \{x \in B_{R_0} : |x_k + \rho_k \mu_k x - y| > R \rho_k \mu_k\}, \quad A_2(R, y) := B_{R_0} \setminus A_1(R, y).$$

Then we can write $I_k = I_{1,k} + I_{2,k}$, where

$$I_{i,k} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(y) e^{n w_k(y)} \int_{A_i(R, y)} \frac{\rho_k^2 \mu_k^2}{|x_k + \rho_k \mu_k x - y|^2} dx dy, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Changing the variable $y \mapsto x_k + \rho_k \mu_k y$ and by Fubini's theorem, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,k} &= \rho_k^n \int_{B_{R_0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x_k + \rho_k \mu_k y) e^{n \eta_k(y)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^2} \chi_{|x-y| \leq R} dy dx \\ &\leq \rho_k^n \int_{B_{R_0}} \int_{B_{R+R_0}} K(x_k + \rho_k \mu_k y) e^{n \eta_k(y)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^2} dy dx \\ &\leq C(n, \varepsilon) (\sup_{B_{R+R_0+1}} K e^{n \eta_k}) (R + R_0)^n R_0^{n-2} \rho_k^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_k(y) := w_k(x_k + \rho_k \mu_k y) - w_k(x_k)$. If $x_k \rightarrow 0$ then $\eta_k \leq 0$ on B_{R+R_0+1} for k large. Otherwise, for k large, $\rho_k \mu_k y \in B_1$ for every $y \in B_{R+R_0+1}$ and hence, by Lemma 2.4

$$\eta_k(y) = v_k(x_k + \rho_k \mu_k y) - v_k(x_k) \leq C |\rho_k \mu_k y|^2 t_k |\Delta v_k(0)| \leq C(R, R_0).$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_{2,k} = 0.$$

Using the definition of c_v we bound

$$I_{1,k} \leq \frac{|B_{R_0}|}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(y) e^{nw_k(y)} dy \leq C(n, \kappa, R_0) \frac{1}{R^2}.$$

Since $R > 0$ is arbitrary, we conclude the lemma. $\square$

We need the following two lemmas only for n odd.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $n \geq 5$. Let v be given by (7). For any $r > 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we set*

$$w(x) = v(rx + \xi), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then there exists $C > 0$ (independent of v, t, r, ξ) such that for every multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| = n - 1$ we have $\|D^\alpha w\|_{L_{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq Ct(1 + r^4|\Delta v(0)|)$. Moreover, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ (independent of r, ξ and t) such that

$$\int_{B_R^c} \frac{|D^\alpha w(x)|}{1 + |x|^{n+1}} dx < \varepsilon t(1 + r^4|\Delta v(0)|), \quad |\alpha| = n - 1.$$

Proof. Differentiating under the integral sign we obtain

$$|D^\alpha w(x)| \leq Ct \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{r^{n-1}}{|rx + \xi - y|^{n-1}} f(y) dy + Ctr^4|\Delta v(0)|, \quad f(y) := K(y)e^{n(v(y)+c_v)}.$$

If $n > 5$ then the above inequality is true without the term $Ctr^4|\Delta v(0)|$. Using a change of variable $y \mapsto \xi + ry$, we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|D^\alpha w(x)|}{1 + |x|^{n+1}} dx \leq Ctr^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\xi + ry) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{n-1}} \frac{1}{1 + |x|^{n+1}} dx dy + Ctr^4|\Delta v(0)| \int_{\Omega} \frac{dx}{1 + |x|^{n+1}}.$$

The lemma follows by taking $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$ or B_R^c . $\square$

Lemma 2.7. *Let $\eta_k \rightarrow \eta$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We assume that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{B_R^c} \frac{|\Delta^{(n-1)/2} \eta_k(x)|}{1 + |x|^{n+1}} dx < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (12)$$

We further assume that

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2} \eta_k = K(x_k + \mu_k x) e^{n\eta_k} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |K(x_k + \mu_k x)| e^{n\eta_k(x)} dx \leq C,$$

where $x_k \rightarrow x_\infty$, $\mu_k \rightarrow 0$, K is a continuous function and $K(x_\infty) > 0$. Then $e^{n\eta} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and η satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2} \eta = K(x_\infty) e^{n\eta} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. First notice that $\Delta^{(n-1)/2}\eta_k \rightarrow \Delta^{(n-1)/2}\eta$ in $L_{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, thanks to (12) and the convergence $\eta_k \rightarrow \eta$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We claim that η satisfies $(-\Delta)^{n/2}\eta = K(x_\infty)e^{n\eta}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ in the sense of distribution.

In order to prove the claim we let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x_k + \mu_k x) e^{n\eta_k(x)} \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x_\infty) e^{n\eta(x)} \varphi(x) dx,$$

and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta)^{(n-1)/2} \eta_k (-\Delta)^{1/2} \varphi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (-\Delta)^{(n-1)/2} \eta (-\Delta)^{1/2} \varphi dx.$$

We conclude the claim.

To complete the lemma first notice that $e^{n\eta} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which follows from the fact that for any $R > 0$

$$\int_{B_R} e^{n\eta} dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} e^{n\eta_k} dx = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R} \frac{K(x_k + \mu_k x)}{K(x_\infty)} e^{n\eta_k(x)} dx \leq \frac{C}{K(x_\infty)}.$$

We fix a function $\psi \in C_c^\infty(B_2)$ such that $\psi = 1$ on B_1 . For $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we set $\varphi_k(x) = \varphi(x)\psi(x/k)$. The lemma follows by taking $k \rightarrow \infty$, thanks to the previous claim. $\square$

Lemma 2.8. *The operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ is compact.*

Proof. Let v_k be a bounded sequence in X . Then (up to a subsequence) $\{v_k(0)\}$, $\{\Delta v_k(0)\}$, $\{A_{v_k}\}$ and $\{c_{v_k}\}$ are convergent sequences. Therefore, $|\Delta v_k(0)|(|x|^2 - |x|^4)$ converges to some function in X . To conclude the lemma, it is sufficient to show that up to a subsequence $\{f_k\}$ converges in X , where f_k is defined by

$$f_k(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) Q(y) e^{nP(y)} e^{nP_{v_k}(y)} e^{n(v_k(y)+c_{v_k})} dy.$$

Differentiating under the integral sign, for $0 < |\beta| \leq n-1$, one gets

$$|D^\beta f_k(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{|\beta|}} Q(y) e^{nP(y)} e^{nP_{v_k}(y)} e^{n(v_k(y)+c_{v_k})} dy \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{|\beta|}} e^{-|y|^4} dy \leq C,$$

where the second inequality follows from the uniform bounds

$$|v_k(0)| \leq C, \quad |c_{v_k}| \leq C, \quad Qe^{nP} \leq C, \quad \text{and} \quad v_k(x) + P_{v_k}(x) \leq v_k(0) - |x|^4. \quad (13)$$

Indeed, for $0 < |\beta| \leq n-1$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup_k \sup_{x \in B_R^n} |D^\beta f_k(x)| = 0,$$

and for every $0 < s < 1$ we have $\|D^{n-1} f_k\|_{C^{0,s}(B_R)} \leq C(R, s)$. Finally, using (13) we have the bound

$$|f_k(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\log|x-y|| e^{-|y|^4} dy \leq C \log(2+|x|).$$

Thus, by Ascoli's theorem, up to a subsequence, $f_k \rightarrow f$ in $C_{\text{loc}}^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $f \in C^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the global uniform estimates of f_k and $D^\beta f_k$ would imply that $f_k \rightarrow f$ in X . $\square$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We consider the space

$$X := \{v \in C^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) : v \text{ is radially symmetric, } \|v\|_X < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|v\|_X := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} (1 + |x|)^{|\alpha|-2} |D^\alpha v(x)| + \sum_{1 < |\alpha| \leq n-1} |D^\alpha v(x)| \right).$$

For $v \in X$, let c_v be the constant determined by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q e^{n(v+c_v)} dy = \kappa,$$

where Q satisfies the hypothesis in Theorem 1.3. Again by a dilation argument we can assume $Q > 0$ on B_3 .

We define an operator $T : X \rightarrow X$ given by $T(v) = \bar{v}$, where

$$\bar{v}(x) = \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) Q(y) e^{n(v(y)+c_v)} dy + \frac{1}{2n} |\Delta v(0)| |x|^2.$$

As in Lemma 2.8 one can show that the operator T is compact.

The proofs of the following two lemmas are similar to those of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.5 respectively.

Lemma 3.1. *Let v solve $tT(v) = v$ for some $0 < t \leq 1$. Then $\Delta v(0) < 0$, and*

$$v(x) = \frac{t}{\gamma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right) Q(y) e^{n(v(y)+c_v)} dy + \frac{t}{2n} |\Delta v(0)| |x|^2. \quad (14)$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(v_k, t_k) \in X \times (0, 1]$ satisfy (14) for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x_k \in B_1$ be a point of maxima of v_k on $\bar{B}_{|x_k|}$ and $v'_k(x_k) = 0$. We set $w_k = v_k + c_{v_k} + \frac{1}{n} \log t_k$ and $\mu_k = e^{-w_k(x_k)}$. Let $\rho_k > 0$ be such that $\rho_k^2 t_k \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| \leq C$ and $\rho_k \mu_k \rightarrow 0$. Then for any $R_0 > 0$*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q(y) e^{n w_k(y)} \int_{B_{R_0}} \frac{\rho_k^2 \mu_k^2}{|x_k + \rho_k \mu_k x - y|^2} dx dy = 0.$$

Now we prove similar local uniform upper bounds to those in Lemma 2.2.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(v, t) \in X \times (0, 1]$ satisfy (14). Then there exists $C > 0$ (independent of v and t) such that*

$$\sup_{B_{1/8}} w \leq C, \quad w := v + c_v + \frac{1}{n} \log t.$$

Proof. The proof is very similar to that of Lemma 2.2. Here we briefly sketch it.

We assume by contradiction that the conclusion of the lemma is false. Then there exists a sequence of (v_k, t_k) and a sequence of points x_k in $B_{1/4}$ such that

$$w_k(x_k) \rightarrow \infty, \quad w_k \leq w_k(x_k) \text{ on } B_{|x_k|}, \quad x_k \text{ is a point of local maxima of } v_k.$$

We set $\mu_k := e^{-w_k(x_k)}$ and we distinguish following cases.

Case 1: Up to a subsequence, $t_k \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| \rightarrow c_0 \in [0, \infty)$.

We set $\eta_k(x) := v_k(x_k + \mu_k x) - v_k(x_k)$. Then we have

$$\int_{B_R} |\Delta \eta_k - t_k \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| | dx \leq C t_k R^{n-2}.$$

Now one can proceed exactly as in Case 1 in [Lemma 2.2](#).

Case 2: Up to a subsequence, $t_k \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| \rightarrow \infty$.

We set $\psi_k(x) = v_k(x_k + \rho_k \mu_k x) - v_k(x_k)$, where ρ_k is determined by $t_k \rho_k^2 \mu_k^2 |\Delta v_k(0)| = 1$. Then by [Lemma 3.2](#)

$$\int_{B_R} |\Delta \psi_k - 1| dx = o(1) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similar to Case 2 in [Lemma 2.2](#) one can get a contradiction. □

With the help of [Lemma 3.3](#) we prove:

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a constant $M > 0$ such that for all $(v, t) \in X \times (0, 1]$ satisfying (14) we have $\|v\| \leq M$.*

Proof. Let $(v, t) \in X \times (0, 1]$ satisfy (14). We set $w := v + c_v + \frac{1}{n} \log t$.

First we show that $|\Delta v(0)| \leq C$ for some $C > 0$ independent of v and t . Indeed, differentiating under the integral sign, from (14), and together with [Lemma 3.3](#), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta v(0)|(1+t) &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|y|^2} Q(y) e^{nw(y)} dy \\ &= C \int_{B_{1/8}} \frac{1}{|y|^2} Q(y) e^{nw(y)} dy + C \int_{B_{1/8}^c} \frac{1}{|y|^2} Q(y) e^{nw(y)} dy \\ &\leq C \int_{B_{1/8}} \frac{1}{|y|^2} Q(y) dy + C\kappa \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|\Delta v(0)| \leq C$.

We define a function $\xi(x) := v(x) - (t/2n) |\Delta v(0)| |x|^2$. Then ξ is monotone decreasing on $(0, \infty)$, which follows from the fact that $\Delta \xi \leq 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} w(x) &= \xi(x) + c_v + \frac{1}{n} \log t + \frac{t}{2n} |\Delta v(0)| |x|^2 \\ &\leq \xi\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) + c_v + \frac{1}{n} \log t + \frac{t}{2n} |\Delta v(0)| |x|^2 \\ &\leq w\left(\frac{1}{8}\right) + \frac{t}{2n} |\Delta v(0)| |x|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $w(x) \leq \lambda(1 + |x|^2)$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ for some $\lambda > 0$ independent of v and t . Using this in (14) one can show

$$|v(x)| \leq C \log(2 + |x|) + C|x|^2,$$

and differentiating under the integral sign, from (14)

$$|D^\beta v(x)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{|\beta|}} Q(y) e^{\lambda(1+|y|^2)} dy + C |D^\beta |x|^2|, \quad 0 < |\beta| \leq n-1.$$

The lemma follows easily. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By the Schauder fixed point theorem (see Lemma A.1), the operator T has a fixed point, thanks to Lemma 3.4. Let v be a fixed point of T . Then $u = v + c_v$ is a solution of (1). $\square$

Now we prove the nonexistence results stated in the Introduction.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $n \in \{3, 4\}$. If $Q(x) = e^{-\lambda|x|^2}$ for some $\lambda > 0$ then there is no solution to (1) with $\kappa > \Lambda_1$. If $Q \in C_{\text{rad}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is of the form $Q = e^\xi$ and it satisfies*

$$Q' \leq 0, \quad |x \cdot \nabla Q(x)| \leq C, \quad \frac{\xi(x)}{|x|^2} \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

then there is no radially symmetric solution to (1) with $\kappa > \Lambda_1$.

Proof. First we consider the case when $Q = e^{-\lambda|x|^2}$. Let u be a solution to (1) with $Q = e^{-\lambda|x|^2}$. Then the function $w(x) := u - (\lambda/n)|x|^2$ satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^{n/2} w = e^{nw}, \quad \kappa = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q e^{nu} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{nw} dx < \infty.$$

It follows from [Lin 1998; Jin et al. 2015] that $\kappa \leq \Lambda_1$.

In order to prove the lemma for $Q = e^\xi$, we assume by contradiction that there is a solution u to (1) with $\kappa > \Lambda_1$. We set

$$v(x) := \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \log\left(\frac{|y|}{|x-y|}\right) Q(y) e^{nu(y)} dy, \quad h := u - v.$$

Then $v(x) = -(2\kappa/\Lambda_1) \log|x| + o(\log|x|)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Notice that h is radially symmetric and $(-\Delta)^{n/2} h = 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, $h(x) = c_1 + c_2|x|^2$ for some $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. This follows easily if $n = 4$. For $n = 3$, first notice that $\Delta h \in L_{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Hence, by [Jin et al. 2015, Lemma 15] $\Delta h \equiv \text{constant}$. Now radial symmetry of h implies that $h(x) = c_1 + c_2|x|^2$.

From a Pohozaev-type identity in [Xu 2005, Theorem 2.1], we get

$$\frac{\kappa}{\gamma_n} \left(\frac{\kappa}{\gamma_n} - 2 \right) = \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (x \cdot \nabla K(x)) e^{nv(x)} dx, \quad K := Q e^{nh}. \quad (15)$$

Since $\kappa > \Lambda_1 = 2\gamma_n$, from (15) we deduce that $x \cdot \nabla K(x) > 0$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Using that $Q e^{nu} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that $\xi(x) = o(|x|^2)$ at infinity, one has $c_2 \leq 0$. Therefore, $x \cdot \nabla K(x) \leq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, a contradiction. $\square$

The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of Lemma 3.5.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\kappa \geq \Lambda_1$. Let P be a nonconstant and nonincreasing radially symmetric polynomial of degree at most $n - 1$. Then there is no solution u to (2) (with $n \geq 3$) such that u has the asymptotic behavior given by*

$$u(x) = -\frac{2\kappa}{\Lambda_1} \log|x| + P(x) + o(\log|x|) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Appendix

Lemma A.1 [Gilbarg and Trudinger 1998, Theorem 11.3]. *Let T be a compact mapping of a Banach space X into itself, and suppose that there exists a constant M such that*

$$\|x\|_X < M$$

for all $x \in X$ and $t \in (0, 1]$ satisfying $tTx = x$. Then T has a fixed point.

The following identity (16) is due to Pizzetti [1909]. Simple proofs of (16) and (17) can be found in Lemma 3 and Proposition 4, respectively, of [Martinazzi 2009a].

Lemma A.2 [Pizzetti 1909; Martinazzi 2009a]. *Let $\Delta^m h = 0$ in $B_{4R} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For any $x \in B_R$ and $0 < r < R - |x|$ we have*

$$\frac{1}{|B_r|} \int_{B_r(x)} h(z) dz = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i r^{2i} \Delta^i h(x), \quad (16)$$

where

$$c_0 = 1, \quad c_i = c(i, n) > 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

Moreover, for every $k \geq 0$ there exists $C = C(k, R) > 0$ such that

$$\|h\|_{C^k(B_R)} \leq C \|h\|_{L^1(B_{4R})}. \quad (17)$$

Lemma A.3. *Let $R > 0$ and $B_R \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $u_k \in C^{n-1, \alpha}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ be such that*

$$u_k(0) = 0, \quad \|u_k^+\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \leq C, \quad \|(-\Delta)^{n/2} u_k\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \leq C, \quad \int_{B_R} |\Delta u_k| dx \leq C.$$

If n is an odd integer, we also assume that $\|\Delta^{(n-1)/2} u_k\|_{L^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C$. Then (up to a subsequence) $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $C^{n-1}(B_{R/8})$.

Proof. First we prove the lemma for n even.

We write $u_k = w_k + h_k$, where

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{n/2} w_k = (-\Delta)^{n/2} u_k & \text{in } B_R, \\ \Delta^j w_k = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(n-2). \end{cases}$$

Then by standard elliptic estimates, the w_k are uniformly bounded in $C^{n-1, \beta}(B_R)$. Therefore,

$$|h_k(0)| \leq C, \quad \|h_k^+\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \leq C, \quad \int_{B_R} |\Delta h_k| dx \leq C.$$

Since the h_k are $\frac{n}{2}$ -harmonic, the Δh_k are $(\frac{n}{2}-1)$ -harmonic in B_R , and by (17) we obtain

$$\|\Delta h_k\|_{C^n(B_{R/4})} \leq C \|\Delta h_k\|_{L^1(B_R)} \leq C.$$

Using the identity (16) we have the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R(0)} h_k^-(z) dz &= \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R(0)} h_k^+(z) dz - \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R(0)} h_k(z) dz \\ &= \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R(0)} h_k^+(z) dz - h_k(0) - \sum_{i=1}^{n/2-1} c_i R^{2i} \Delta^i h_k(0) \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\int_{B_R} |h_k(z)| dz = \int_{B_R} h_k^+(z) dz + \int_{B_R} h_k^-(z) dz \leq C.$$

Again by (17) we obtain

$$\|h_k\|_{C^n(B_{R/4})} \leq C \|h_k\|_{L^1(B_R)} \leq C.$$

Thus, the u_k are uniformly bounded in $C^{n-1,\beta}(B_{R/4})$ and (up to a subsequence) $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $C^{n-1}(B_{R/4})$ for some $u \in C^{n-1}(B_{R/4})$.

It remains to prove the lemma for n odd.

If n is odd then $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)$ is an integer. We split $\Delta^{(n-1)/2} u_k = w_k + h_k$, where

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{1/2} w_k = (-\Delta)^{1/2} \Delta^{(n-1)/2} u_k & \text{in } B_R, \\ w_k = 0 & \text{in } B_R^c. \end{cases}$$

Then by Lemmas A.4 and A.5 one has $\|\Delta^{(n-1)/2} u_k\|_{C^{1/2}(B_{R/2})} \leq C$. Now one can proceed as in the case of even integer. $\square$

Lemma A.4 [Jin et al. 2015, Proposition 22]. *Let $u \in L_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ and $(-\Delta)^\sigma u = 0$ in B_{2R} . Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|\nabla^k u\|_{C^0(B_R)} \leq C(n, \sigma, k) \frac{1}{R^k} \left(R^{2\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2R}} \frac{|u(x)|}{|x|^{n+2\sigma}} dx + \frac{\|u\|_{L^1(B_{2R})}}{R^n} \right),$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and k is a nonnegative integer.

Lemma A.5 [Ros-Oton and Serra 2014, Proposition 1.1]. *Let $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. Let u be a solution of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\sigma u = f & \text{in } B_R, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } B_R^c. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\|u\|_{C^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(R, \sigma) \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_R)}.$$

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my advisor Prof. Luca Martinazzi for suggesting the problem and for many stimulating conversations. I would also like to thank Prof. Dong Ye for thoroughly reading the preprint and for many valuable suggestions.

References

- [Adimurthi et al. 2006] Adimurthi, F. Robert, and M. Struwe, “Concentration phenomena for Liouville’s equation in dimension four”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **8**:2 (2006), 171–180. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chang and Chen 2001] S.-Y. A. Chang and W. Chen, “A note on a class of higher order conformally covariant equations”, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems* **7**:2 (2001), 275–281. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chanillo and Kiessling 2000] S. Chanillo and M. Kiessling, “Surfaces with prescribed Gauss curvature”, *Duke Math. J.* **105**:2 (2000), 309–353. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Chen and Li 1991] W. X. Chen and C. Li, “Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations”, *Duke Math. J.* **63**:3 (1991), 615–622. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Da Lio et al. 2015] F. Da Lio, L. Martinazzi, and T. Rivière, “Blow-up analysis of a nonlocal Liouville-type equation”, *Anal. PDE* **8**:7 (2015), 1757–1805. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Di Nezza et al. 2012] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci, “Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces”, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **136**:5 (2012), 521–573. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Gilbarg and Trudinger 1998] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, revised 2nd ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **224**, Springer, Berlin, 1998. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Huang and Ye 2015] X. Huang and D. Ye, “Conformal metrics in $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ with constant Q -curvature and arbitrary volume”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **54**:4 (2015), 3373–3384. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hyder 2015] A. Hyder, “Structure of conformal metrics on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with constant Q -curvature”, preprint, 2015. [arXiv](#)
- [Hyder 2016] A. Hyder, “Existence of entire solutions to a fractional Liouville equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$ ”, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* **27**:1 (2016), 1–14. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Hyder and Martinazzi 2015] A. Hyder and L. Martinazzi, “Conformal metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ with constant Q -curvature, prescribed volume and asymptotic behavior”, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **35**:1 (2015), 283–299. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Jin et al. 2015] T. Jin, A. Maalaoui, L. Martinazzi, and J. Xiong, “Existence and asymptotics for solutions of a non-local Q -curvature equation in dimension three”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **52**:3–4 (2015), 469–488. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Lin 1998] C.-S. Lin, “A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$ ”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **73**:2 (1998), 206–231. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Martinazzi 2008] L. Martinazzi, “Conformal metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ with constant Q -curvature”, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* **19**:4 (2008), 279–292. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Martinazzi 2009a] L. Martinazzi, “Classification of solutions to the higher order Liouville’s equation on $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ ”, *Math. Z.* **263**:2 (2009), 307–329. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Martinazzi 2009b] L. Martinazzi, “Concentration-compactness phenomena in the higher order Liouville’s equation”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **256**:11 (2009), 3743–3771. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Martinazzi 2013] L. Martinazzi, “Conformal metrics on $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$ with constant Q -curvature and large volume”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **30**:6 (2013), 969–982. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Pizzetti 1909] P. Pizzetti, “Sulla media dei valori che una funzione dei punti dello spazio assume alla superficie di una sfera”, *Rend. Lincei* **18** (1909), 182–185. [JFM](#)
- [Robert 2006] F. Robert, “Concentration phenomena for a fourth-order equation with exponential growth: the radial case”, *J. Differential Equations* **231**:1 (2006), 135–164. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Ros-Oton and Serra 2014] X. Ros-Oton and J. Serra, “The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary”, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **101**:3 (2014), 275–302. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Wei and Ye 2008] J. Wei and D. Ye, “Nonradial solutions for a conformally invariant fourth order equation in $\mathbb{R}^4$ ”, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **32**:3 (2008), 373–386. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)
- [Xu 2005] X. Xu, “Uniqueness and non-existence theorems for conformally invariant equations”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **222**:1 (2005), 1–28. [MR](#) [Zbl](#)

Received 5 Aug 2016. Revised 8 Nov 2016. Accepted 22 Jan 2017.

ALI HYDER: ali.hyder@unibas.ch

Departement Mathematik und Informatik, Universität Basel, CH-4051 Basel, Switzerland

Analysis & PDE

msp.org/apde

EDITORS

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Patrick Gérard

patrick.gerard@math.u-psud.fr

Université Paris Sud XI

Orsay, France

BOARD OF EDITORS

Nicolas Burq	Université Paris-Sud 11, France nicolas.burq@math.u-psud.fr	Werner Müller	Universität Bonn, Germany mueller@math.uni-bonn.de
Massimiliano Berti	Scuola Intern. Sup. di Studi Avanzati, Italy berti@sissa.it	Gilles Pisier	Texas A&M University, and Paris 6 pisier@math.tamu.edu
Sun-Yung Alice Chang	Princeton University, USA chang@math.princeton.edu	Tristan Rivière	ETH, Switzerland riviere@math.ethz.ch
Michael Christ	University of California, Berkeley, USA mchrist@math.berkeley.edu	Igor Rodnianski	Princeton University, USA irod@math.princeton.edu
Charles Fefferman	Princeton University, USA cf@math.princeton.edu	Wilhelm Schlag	University of Chicago, USA schlag@math.uchicago.edu
Ursula Hamenstaedt	Universität Bonn, Germany ursula@math.uni-bonn.de	Sylvia Serfaty	New York University, USA serfaty@cims.nyu.edu
Vaughan Jones	U.C. Berkeley & Vanderbilt University vaughan.f.jones@vanderbilt.edu	Yum-Tong Siu	Harvard University, USA siu@math.harvard.edu
Vadim Kaloshin	University of Maryland, USA vadim.kaloshin@gmail.com	Terence Tao	University of California, Los Angeles, USA tao@math.ucla.edu
Herbert Koch	Universität Bonn, Germany koch@math.uni-bonn.de	Michael E. Taylor	Univ. of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, USA met@math.unc.edu
Izabella Laba	University of British Columbia, Canada ilaba@math.ubc.ca	Gunther Uhlmann	University of Washington, USA gunther@math.washington.edu
Gilles Lebeau	Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis, France lebeau@unice.fr	András Vasy	Stanford University, USA andras@math.stanford.edu
Richard B. Melrose	Massachusetts Inst. of Tech., USA rbb@math.mit.edu	Dan Virgil Voiculescu	University of California, Berkeley, USA dvv@math.berkeley.edu
Frank Merle	Université de Cergy-Pontoise, France Frank.Merle@u-cergy.fr	Steven Zelditch	Northwestern University, USA zelditch@math.northwestern.edu
William Minicozzi II	Johns Hopkins University, USA minicozz@math.jhu.edu	Maciej Zworski	University of California, Berkeley, USA zworski@math.berkeley.edu
Clément Mouhot	Cambridge University, UK c.mouhot@dpmmms.cam.ac.uk		

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/apde for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2017 is US \$265/year for the electronic version, and \$470/year (+\$55, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Analysis & PDE (ISSN 1948-206X electronic, 2157-5045 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published continuously online. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices.

APDE peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2017 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

ANALYSIS & PDE

Volume 10 No. 3 2017

The weak- A_∞ property of harmonic and p -harmonic measures implies uniform rectifiability	513
STEVE HOFMANN, PHI LE, JOSÉ MARÍA MARTELL and KAJ NYSTRÖM	
The one-phase problem for harmonic measure in two-sided NTA domains	559
JONAS AZZAM, MIHALIS MOURGOLOU and XAVIER TOLSA	
Focusing quantum many-body dynamics, II: The rigorous derivation of the 1D focusing cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equation from 3D	589
XUWEN CHEN and JUSTIN HOLMER	
Conformally Euclidean metrics on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with arbitrary total Q -curvature	635
ALI HYDER	
Boundary estimates in elliptic homogenization	653
ZHONGWEI SHEN	
Convex integration for the Monge–Ampère equation in two dimensions	695
MARTA LEWICKA and MOHAMMAD REZA PAKZAD	
Kinetic formulation of vortex vector fields	729
PIERRE BOCHARD and RADU IGNAT	