

ON SOME INEQUALITIES FOR THE APPROXIMATION NUMBERS IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

NICOLAE TIȚA* and MARIA TALPĂU DIMITRIU

Communicated by M. Mathieu

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we generalize some inequalities for the approximation numbers of an element in a normed (Banach) algebra X and, as an application, we present inequalities for the quasinorms of some ideals defined by means of the approximation numbers.

In particular, if $X = L(E)$ - the algebra of linear and bounded operators $T : E \rightarrow E$, where E is a Banach space, we obtain inequalities for certain quasinorms of operators.

1. INTRODUCTION AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a unital normed algebra and let $\|\cdot\|^* : X \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\|x\|^* = 0$ iff $x = 0$, $\|x + y\|^* \leq \|x\|^* + \|y\|^*$, and $\|xy\|^* \leq \min\{\|x\|^*, \|y\|^*\}$.

For an arbitrary $x \in X$, the sequence of the approximation numbers $(a_n(x))_n$ is defined as follows

$$a_n(x) = \inf \{ \|x - \bar{x}\| : \bar{x} \in X, \|\bar{x}\|^* < n \}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1.1)$$

and it is obvious that we have $\|x\| = a_1(x) \geq a_2(x) \geq \dots \geq 0$.

Let $X = L(E)$ be the algebra of all linear and bounded operators $T : E \rightarrow E$, where E is a Banach space. We denote $\|T\|^* = \text{rank}(T) = \dim(T(E))$, for $T \in L(E)$.

Copyright 2019 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.

Date: Received: Mar 5, 2018; Accepted: Apr. 19, 2018.

*Corresponding author .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A63; Secondary 47A58, 46H10.

Key words and phrases. Banach algebra, ideal, approximation number.

Remark 1.1. It is known that $\|aT\|^* = \|T\|^*$, where $a \neq 0$ is a scalar and $T \in L(E)$. The same result for ax , $x \in X$, using

$$\|ax\|^* = \|aex\|^* \leq \min \{\|ae\|^*, \|x\|^*\} \leq \|x\|^*$$

and

$$\|x\|^* = \left\| \frac{1}{a} e a x \right\|^* \leq \min \left\{ \left\| \frac{e}{a} \right\|^*, \|ax\|^* \right\} \leq \|ax\|^*,$$

where e is the unit of the algebra. (For normed (Banach) algebras it can see [9].)

Definition 1.2 ([1], [4], [3]). We denote by

$$K = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \cdots \geq x_n > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

An application $\Phi : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a symmetric norming function (also referred to as symmetric gauge function or Schatten function in the literature) if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\Phi(x) > 0$, for all $x \in K$, $x \neq 0$;
- (2) $\Phi(\lambda x) = \lambda \Phi(x)$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and all $x \in K$;
- (3) $\Phi(x + y) \leq \Phi(x) + \Phi(y)$, for all $x, y \in K$;
- (4) $\Phi(1, 0, \dots, 0) = 1$;
- (5) If $x, y \in K$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_i$, for all $k = 1, \dots, n$, then $\Phi(x) \leq \Phi(y)$.

Example 1.3. Some examples of symmetric norming functions are indicated below.

- (1) $\Phi_\infty(x) = x_1$, $\Phi_p(x) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, $p \geq 1$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$;
- (2) If Φ is a symmetric norming function and $p \geq 1$, then

$$\Phi_{(p)} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \Phi_{(p)}(x) = (\Phi(x^p))^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $x^p = (x_1^p, \dots, x_n^p)$, is also a symmetric norming function (see [3]).

In [7] it was shown that

- (a): $\sum_{n=1}^k a_n(x_1 + x_2) \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^k (a_n(x_1) + a_n(x_2))$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (b): $\sum_{n=1}^k a_n(x_1 x_2) \leq 2 \sum_{n=1}^k a_n(x_1) a_n(x_2)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq 2$, using mathematical induction it can be shown that

- (a'): $\sum_{n=1}^k a_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \leq 2^{r-1} \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^r a_n(x_i)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (b'): $\sum_{n=1}^k a_n \left(\prod_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \leq 2^{r-1} \sum_{n=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^r a_n(x_i)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The factor 2^{r-1} in the above inequalities is not the best, and it can be improved as follows.

Proposition 1.4. *The following inequalities are true:*

- (1) $\sum_{n=1}^k a_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \leq r \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^r a_n(x_i), k \in \mathbb{N};$
 (2) $\sum_{n=1}^k a_n \left(\prod_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \leq r \sum_{n=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^r a_n(x_i), k \in \mathbb{N}.$

Proof. (1) For $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily fixed, it follows from (1.1) that there exist $\bar{x}_i \in X$ such that $\|\bar{x}_i\|^* < n$ and $\|x_i - \bar{x}_i\| \leq a_n(x_i) + \frac{\varepsilon}{r}$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

Since

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{x}_i \right\|^* \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \|\bar{x}_i\|^* \leq r(n-1) < r(n-1) + 1,$$

we have

$$a_{nr-(r-1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r x_i - \sum_{i=1}^r \bar{x}_i \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \|x_i - \bar{x}_i\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^r a_n(x_i) + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrarily fixed, the above inequality implies

$$a_{nr-(r-1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^r a_n(x_i).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k a_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{j=(n-1)r+1}^{nr} a_j \left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \\ &\leq r \sum_{n=1}^k a_{nr-(r-1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \\ &\leq r \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^r a_n(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

- (2) For $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily fixed, from (1.1) it follows that there exist $\bar{x}_i \in X$ such that $\|\bar{x}_i\|^* < n$ and $\|x_i - \bar{x}_i\| \leq a_n(x_i) + \varepsilon$, for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^r (x_i - \bar{x}_i) &= \prod_{i=1}^r x_i - \left[\bar{x}_1 \prod_{i=2}^r (x_i - \bar{x}_i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} \bar{x}_i (x_{i+1} - \bar{x}_{i+1}) \cdots (x_r - \bar{x}_r) + \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i \right) \bar{x}_r \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \bar{x}_1 \prod_{i=2}^r (x_i - \bar{x}_i) + \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} \bar{x}_i (x_{i+1} - \bar{x}_{i+1}) \cdots (x_r - \bar{x}_r) + \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} x_i \right) \bar{x}_r \right\|^* \\ &< r(n-1) + 1, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$a_{nr-(r-1)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \leq \left\| \prod_{i=1}^r (x_i - \bar{x}_i) \right\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^r \|x_i - \bar{x}_i\| \leq \prod_{i=1}^r (a_n(x_i) + \varepsilon).$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrarily chosen, the above inequality implies

$$a_{nr-(r-1)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^r a_n(x_i).$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k a_n \left(\prod_{i=1}^r x_i \right) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{j=(n-1)r+1}^{nr} a_j \left(\prod_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \\ &\leq r \sum_{n=1}^k a_{nr-(r-1)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \\ &\leq r \sum_{n=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^r a_n(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

□

We remark that an inequality of type (1) is also true for the sequences of the errors in approximation spaces (see [2] for this notion).

The above raises the following problem.

Problem 1.5. Is r the best constant in the inequalities in Proposition 1.4?

Remark 1.6. In [6] it was shown that it may happen that

$$\sum_{n=1}^k a_n(S+T) \not\leq \sum_{n=1}^k (a_n(S) + a_n(T)),$$

for $S, T \in L(E)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is known (the Ky Fan inequality, see [1], [3], [5], [6]) that if in addition E is a separable Hilbert space and $S, T \in L(E)$ are compact operators then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^k a_n(S+T) \leq \sum_{n=1}^k (a_n(S) + a_n(T)).$$

Corollary 1.7. For $x_{ij} \in X$, $i = \overline{1, r}$, $j = \overline{1, s}$, $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^k a_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^s x_{ij} \right) \leq rs \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^s a_n(x_{ij}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k a_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^s x_{ij} \right) &\leq r \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^r a_n \left(\prod_{j=1}^s x_{ij} \right) \\ &\leq r \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^r \left(s \prod_{j=1}^s a_n(x_{ij}) \right) = rs \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=1}^s a_n(x_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

□

2. APPLICATIONS TO THE IDEALS $A_\Phi(X)$

For $x \in X$ and Φ a symmetric norming function, following [7], [8] we define

$$A_\Phi(X) = \{x \in X : \Phi(\{a_n(x)\}) < \infty\},$$

where

$$\Phi(\{a_n(x)\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x)) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi(a_1(x), \dots, a_n(x)).$$

We note that $A_\Phi(X)$ is a bilateral ideal in X and the application $x \mapsto \|x\|_\Phi = \Phi(\{a_n(x)\})$, $x \in X$, is a quasinorm on the vector space $A_\Phi(X)$.

Proposition 2.1. *We have the following:*

- (1) $\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right\|_\Phi \leq r \sum_{i=1}^r \|x_i\|_\Phi$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (2) $\left\| \prod_{i=1}^r x_i \right\|_{\Phi_{(p)}} \leq r \prod_{i=1}^r \|x_i\|_{\Phi_{(p_i)}}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\frac{1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{p_i}$.

Proof. The first statement follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right\|_\Phi &= \Phi \left(\left\{ a_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \right\} \right) \leq r \Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r a_n(x_i) \right\} \right) \\ &\leq r \sum_{i=1}^r \Phi(\{a_n(x_i)\}) = r \sum_{i=1}^r \|x_i\|_\Phi. \end{aligned}$$

For the second statement, we use the Hölder inequality for Φ (see [3]):

$$\Phi(\{x_i y_i\}) \leq \Phi_{(p)}(\{x_i\}) \Phi_{(q)}(\{y_i\}), \quad 1 = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q},$$

which can be generalized as follows (see [6]):

$$\Phi_{(p)} \left(\prod_{i=1}^r z_i \right) \leq \prod_{i=1}^r \Phi_{(p_i)}(z_i), \quad \frac{1}{p} = \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{p_i}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \prod_{i=1}^r x_i \right\|_{\Phi(p)} &= \Phi_{(p)} \left(\left\{ a_n \left(\prod_{i=1}^r x_i \right) \right\} \right) \leq r \Phi_{(p)} \left(\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^r a_n(x_i) \right\} \right) \\ &\leq r \prod_{i=1}^r \Phi_{(p_i)}(\{a_n(x_i)\}) = r \prod_{i=1}^r \|x_i\|_{\Phi(p_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

concluding the proof. \square

Remark 2.2. If we consider the functions $\Phi_{(p)}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, part (1) of the above proposition yields

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right\|_{\Phi(p)} \leq r \sum_{i=1}^r \|x_i\|_{\Phi(p)},$$

which is a Minkowski type inequality.

In the case of $0 < p < 1$, $\Phi_{(p)}$ is a quasinorm and in this case the Minkowski type inequality is

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right\|_{\Phi(p)} \leq r^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \sum_{i=1}^r \|x_i\|_{\Phi(p)}.$$

Remark 2.3. If $X = L(H)$, where H is a separable Hilbert space, then

$$A_{\Phi}(H) = \{T \in L(H) : \Phi(a_n(T)) < \infty\}$$

are the Schatten classes (see [1], [3], [4], [5]), and it is known that

$$\|S + T\|_{\Phi} \leq \|S\|_{\Phi} + \|T\|_{\Phi}.$$

Using mathematical induction it can be shown that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r T_i \right\|_{\Phi} \leq \sum_{i=1}^r \|T_i\|_{\Phi},$$

thus without the factor r in the right side.

3. THE CASE OF SOME SPECIAL OPERATORS

We consider $B : X \times X \longrightarrow X$ a bilinear and bounded operator, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} B(\lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2, y) &= \lambda_1 B(x_1, y) + \lambda_2 B(x_2, y), \\ B(x, \lambda_1 y_1 + \lambda_2 y_2) &= \lambda_1 B(x, y_1) + \lambda_2 B(x, y_2), \end{aligned}$$

where λ_1, λ_2 are scalars, and there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $x, y \in X$ we have $\|B(x, y)\| \leq M \cdot \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$.

In addition, we assume that $\|B(x, y)\|^* < n^2$ if $\|x\|^* < n$ and $\|y\|^* < n$.

Proposition 3.1. *For $r, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x_i, y_i \in X$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{n} a_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^r B(x_i, y_i) \right) \leq 3Mr \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{a_n(x_i) \|y_i\| + 2 \|x_i\| a_n(y_i)}{n}.$$

Proof. For $x, y \in X$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ exist $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in X$ such that $\|x\|^* < n$, $\|y\|^* < n$ and $\|x - \bar{x}\| \leq a_n(x) + \varepsilon$, $\|y - \bar{y}\| \leq a_n(y) + \varepsilon$.

Since $\|B(x, y)\|^* < n^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n^2}(B(x, y)) &\leq \|B(x, y) - B(\bar{x}, \bar{y})\| = \|B(x - \bar{x}, y) + B(\bar{x}, y - \bar{y})\| \\ &\leq M(\|x - \bar{x}\| \cdot \|y\| + \|\bar{x}\| \cdot \|y - \bar{y}\|) \\ &\leq M[(a_n(x) + \varepsilon) \cdot \|y\| + (\|\bar{x} - x\| + \|x\|) \cdot (a_n(y) + \varepsilon)] \\ &\leq M[(a_n(x) + \varepsilon) \cdot \|y\| + 2\|x\| \cdot (a_n(y) + \varepsilon)], \end{aligned}$$

and passing to the limit with $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we conclude

$$a_{n^2}(B(x, y)) \leq M[a_n(x)\|y\| + 2\|x\|a_n(y)].$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n(B(x, y))}{n} &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{(k+1)^2-1} \frac{a_n(B(x, y))}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{i=n^2}^{(n+1)^2-1} \frac{a_i(B(x, y))}{i} \\ &\leq 3 \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_{n^2}(B(x, y))}{n} \leq 3M \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{a_n(x)\|y\| + 2\|x\|a_n(y)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, since $\sum_{n=1}^k u_n \leq \sum_{n=1}^k v_n$ implies $\sum_{n=1}^k \frac{u_n}{n} \leq \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{v_n}{n}$, and using part (1) of Proposition 1.4 and the above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k \frac{1}{n} a_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^r B(x_i, y_i) \right) &\leq r \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{a_n(B(x_i, y_i))}{n} \\ &\leq 3Mr \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{a_n(x_i)\|y_i\| + 2\|x_i\|a_n(y_i)}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

□

As an application, we have the following.

Application 3.2. Let Φ be a symmetric norming function. We consider the ideal $A_{\bar{\Phi}}(X)$, where

$$\bar{\Phi}(\{a_n(x)\}) = \Phi \left(\left\{ \frac{a_n(x)}{n} \right\} \right), \quad x \in X.$$

For $x_i, y_i \in A_{\overline{\Phi}}(X)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and B a bilinear operator as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\Phi} \left(\left\{ a_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^r B(x_i, y_i) \right) \right\} \right) &= \Phi \left(\left\{ \frac{1}{n} a_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^r B(x_i, y_i) \right) \right\} \right) \\ &\leq 3Mr\Phi \left(\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{a_n(x_i) \|y_i\| + 2 \|x_i\| a_n(y_i)}{n} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq 3Mr \sum_{i=1}^r (\|x_i\|_{\overline{\Phi}} \cdot \|y_i\| + 2 \|x_i\| \cdot \|y_i\|_{\overline{\Phi}}), \\ &\leq 9Mr \sum_{i=1}^r \|x_i\|_{\overline{\Phi}} \cdot \|y_i\|_{\overline{\Phi}}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\sum_{i=1}^r B(x_i, y_i) \in A_{\overline{\Phi}}(X)$ and

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^r B(x_i, y_i) \right\|_{\overline{\Phi}} \leq 9Mr \sum_{i=1}^r \|x_i\|_{\overline{\Phi}} \cdot \|y_i\|_{\overline{\Phi}}.$$

Acknowledgments. The authors thank to the reviewers whose suggestions have improved the form of this paper.

REFERENCES

1. I. Gohberg, M. Kreĭn, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*, Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein. Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 18 American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I. 1969
2. J. Peetre, G. Sparr, *Interpolation of normed Abelian groups*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **12** (1972), 216–262.
3. N. Salinas, *Symmetric norm ideals and relative conjugate ideal*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **188** (1974), no. 2, 213–240.
4. R. Schatten, *Norm ideals of completely continuous operators*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. N. F., Heft 27 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg 1960
5. B. Simon, *Trace ideals and their applications*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 35. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1979.
6. N. Tia, *Operator Ideals generated by s-numbers (in Romanian)*, Editura Univ. Transilvania Brasov, 1998.
7. N. Tia, *A general view on approximation ideals*, Functional analysis and its applications, 295–300, North-Holland Math. Stud., 197, Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, 2004.
8. N. Tia, *Subclasses of compact operators in Banach spaces*, Proceedings of the Conference Classical and Functional Analysis, Bușteni, September 3th - 6th 2014 (2015), series 2-3, Transilvania University Press, 17-31.
9. K. Yosida, *Functional analysis*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen. 123. 3rd ed. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer-Verlag, 1971.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, TRANSILVANIA UNIVERSITY OF BRAȘOV,
EROILOR 29, 500 036 BRAȘOV, ROMANIA.

E-mail address: ttnc07@gmail.com

E-mail address: mdimitriu@unitbv.ro