

ORDERED PRIME DIVISORS OF A RANDOM INTEGER

BY STUART P. LLOYD

AT&T Bell Laboratories

Without using the prime number theorem, we obtain the asymptotics of the r th largest prime divisor of a harmonically distributed random positive integer N ; harmonic asymptotics are obtained from asymptotics of the zeta distribution via Tauberian methods. (Knuth and Trabb-Pardo need a strong form of the prime number theorem to obtain the distributions when N is uniformly distributed.) A trick brings in Poisson variates, and then we can use the methods developed for the fractional length of the r th longest cycle in a random permutation.

1. Introduction. The asymptotics of the r th largest prime divisor of a random positive integer give rise to a certain limiting distribution F_r , derived by Knuth and Trabb-Pardo in [7]. They observe that their F_r coincides with the limiting distribution of the fractional length of the r th longest cycle in a random permutation, obtained in [9]. They do not explain the coincidence. The present contribution consists of deriving the r th largest prime divisor distribution by a method paralleling that of [9]. No isomorphism of the problems is established; the termwise correspondence is not particularly close. The methods developed here are applicable to other problems involving divisors of a random integer.

2. Ordered prime divisors. We denote by $q_r(n)$ the r th largest prime divisor of a positive integer n . That is, n is the product $n = q_1(n)q_2(n) \cdots$ where $q_r(n)$ is a prime or 1 and is weakly decreasing in r , eventually to 1. It is convenient to have $q_r(n)$ defined for all $r = 1, 2, \dots$, as we have done. The quantities of direct concern are the $\eta_r(n)$ defined by

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta_r(n) &= \frac{\log q_r(n)}{\log n}, & n > 1, \\ &= \delta_{r1}, & n = 1. \end{aligned}$$

The following distributions of a random positive integer N are familiar. The uniform distribution is some weak limit of the finite approximations

$$P_{u,\nu}\{N = n\} = \begin{cases} 1/\nu, & 1 \leq n \leq \nu, \\ 0, & n > \nu. \end{cases}$$

The harmonic distribution has finite approximations

$$P_{h,\nu}\{N = n\} = \begin{cases} 1/a_\nu n & 1 \leq n \leq \nu, \\ 0, & n > \nu, \end{cases}$$

Received July 1982; revised April 1984.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary, 60B99; secondary, 10K20.

Key words and phrases. r th largest prime divisor.

where

$$a_\nu = \sum_1^\nu (1/n) = \log \nu + \gamma + O(1/\nu);$$

$\gamma = .577 \dots$ is Euler's constant.

It is shown in [7] that limiting distributions

$$(2) \quad \lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} P_{u,\nu} \{ \eta_r(N) \leq x \} = F_r(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1/r,$$

exist, and explicit forms for the F_r are given. In [9] the same functions F_r are obtained via their moments. Explicitly, let $E(x)$, $0 < x < \infty$, denote the exponential integral

$$E(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{e^{-y}}{y} dy, \quad 0 < x < \infty,$$

and let $\xi(t)$, $0 < t < \infty$, denote its functional inverse: $E(\xi(t)) = t$, $0 < t < \infty$. Then the moments of F_r are represented as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{r,m} &= \int_0^\infty \frac{[\xi(t)]^m}{m!} e^{-t} \frac{t^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} dt \\ &= \int_0^{1/r} x^m dF_r(x), \quad m \geq 0, \quad r \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

What we prove here, using the method of moments, is

THEOREM 1. *For the harmonic distribution of N , the asymptotic distribution*

$$\lim_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} P_{h,\nu} \{ \eta_r(N) \leq x \} = F_r(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1/r,$$

exists, $r = 1, 2, \dots$.

This is a weaker result than (2), but we obtain it without the prime number theorem, used in [7]. Getting uniform asymptotics from harmonic asymptotics is a delicate matter, requiring control of the error terms or Tauberian conditions; cf. [4]. Indeed, the prime number theorem can be given this setting [8]. (On the other hand, Billingsley does not need the prime number theorem to obtain the asymptotics of the ordered *distinct* prime divisors of uniformly distributed N [3].) The harmonic averages are a poor man's theory of a random positive integer; we let it go at that. Related problems are treated in [1], [5].

3. The zeta distribution. The following family of distributions of N is known by a variety of names; we call it the zeta distribution. Namely, for $s > 1$,

$$P_s \{ N = n \} = 1/(\zeta(s)n^s), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_1^\infty 1/n^s, \quad s > 1,$$

is the Riemann zeta function. As $s \downarrow 1$ the unnormalized probabilities $1/n^s$ tend

to the unnormalizable harmonic distribution $1/n$. It is well known that Tauberian methods will give harmonic asymptotics in terms of zeta asymptotics at $s = 1$ [4] [6, Volume II, Section XIII.5]. The following is sufficient for our purposes. Assume the Tauberian condition $f(n) \geq 0$, and suppose that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} E_s \{f(N)\} = A$ with $0 < A < \infty$. Then $\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} E_{h,v} \{f(N)\} = A$.

Let $p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, p_3 = 5, \dots$ be the enumeration of the successive primes. In several places it will simplify the formalism if we define also $p_0 = 1$; this is not regarded as a prime. We will also use the usual convention where p is a variable ranging over the set $\{p_\mu: \mu \geq 1\}$. Let

$$n = \prod_{\mu=1}^{\infty} [p_\mu]^{\alpha_\mu(n)}$$

be the prime factorization of n ; for given n , the $\{\alpha_\mu(n)\}$ are defined for all $\mu = 1, 2, \dots$, and are eventually 0. The Euler factorization

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(s)} = \prod_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_\mu^s}\right),$$

together with the prime factorization of N , gives

$$P_s \{\alpha_1(N) = a_1, \alpha_2(N) = a_2, \dots\} = \prod_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_\mu^s}\right) \left(\frac{1}{p_\mu^s}\right)^{a_\mu} \right]$$

for all sequences (a_1, a_2, \dots) of nonnegative integers eventually 0. But this is to say, the $\{\alpha_\mu(N)\}$ are mutually independent geometrically distributed random variables, the ratio parameter of $\alpha_\mu(N)$ being $1/p_\mu^s$. This independence often makes it possible to evaluate $E_s \{f(N)\}$ explicitly when $f(\cdot)$ is a multiplicative or additive number theoretic function; cf. [2, Chapter 11].

The following device enables us to approximate the geometrically distributed $\{\alpha_\mu(N)\}$ with Poisson variates. If a random nonnegative integer α is geometrically distributed, the generating function of its distribution is

$$E \{x^\alpha\} = \frac{1 - \rho}{1 - \rho x}, \quad |x| < 1/\rho,$$

where $0 \leq \rho < 1$ is the ratio parameter. The Poisson distribution of mean ρ has generating function $\exp[(x - 1)\rho]$, $|x| < \infty$.

LEMMA 1. *The quotient $[(1 - \rho)/(1 - \rho x)]/[e^{(x-1)\rho}]$ is the generating function of a probability distribution:*

$$\frac{(1 - \rho)e^{-(x-1)\rho}}{1 - \rho x} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \lambda_m(\rho)x^m, \quad |x| < 1/\rho,$$

where

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_m(\rho) &= (1 - \rho)e^\rho \rho^m \sigma(m), \\ \sigma(m) &= \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{(-1)^j}{j!}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Explicit calculation. A direct proof of $0 \leq \sigma(m) \leq 1$ is straightforward; alternatively, $1 - \sigma(m)$ is the matching probability of [6, Vol. I, page 101]. □

Lemma 1 is equivalent to the following: the above geometrically distributed α is equidistributed with $\alpha' + \alpha''$ where α' is Poisson with the same parameter as α , α'' has the distribution (3), and α' and α'' are independent. As will appear, the property that makes this useful is $P\{\alpha'' > 0\} = P\{\alpha'' \geq 2\} = O(\rho^2)$, $\rho \rightarrow 0$, stemming from $\sigma(1) = 0$.

Let us apply this to each $\alpha_\mu(N)$ of the zeta distribution. We extend the probability space, if necessary, to support independent random positive integers N' and N'' with the following distributions:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} P_s\{N' = n\} &= \frac{1}{v(s)n^s} \prod_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[\alpha_\mu(n)]!}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ P_s\{N'' = n\} &= \frac{v(s)}{\zeta(s)n^s} \prod_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \sigma(\alpha_\mu(n)), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$v(s) = \exp\left[\sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p_\mu^s}\right], \quad s > 1.$$

Then $N = N'N''$ has the zeta distribution, since $\alpha_\mu(N) = \alpha_\mu(N') + \alpha_\mu(N'')$ and $\{\alpha_\mu(N')\}$ are independent Poisson with the appropriate parameters and the independent $\{\alpha_\mu(N'')\}$ have the distribution (3).

The point is that N'' does not diverge as $s \downarrow 1$. The divergence of $N = N'N''$ is carried by the N' factor, and the Poisson $\{\alpha_\mu(N')\}$ are more tractable for our purposes than the geometrical $\{\alpha_\mu(N)\}$. More precisely, the N'' distribution has a limit at $s = 1$ which is a probability distribution. (In fact, this persists for $s > 1/2$, and $E_s\{(N'')^\epsilon\}$ exists for $s - \epsilon > 1/2$.)

LEMMA 2. *The abscissa of convergence of the Dirichlet series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \prod_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \sigma(\alpha_\mu(n)) \left(= \frac{\zeta(s)}{v(s)}, \quad \Re e(s) > 1, \right)$$

is $s = 1/2$.

PROOF. Möbius inversion [2, page 40] of

$$\begin{aligned} \log \zeta(s) &= \sum_p \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (1/mp^{ms}) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (1/m) \log v(ms), \quad \Re e(s) > 1, \end{aligned}$$

gives

$$\log v(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\mu(m)/m) \log \zeta(ms), \quad \Re e(s) > 1,$$

absolutely convergent in $\mathcal{R}e(s) > 1$. From this we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\zeta(s)}{v(s)} &= \prod_p \left[\frac{\exp(-1/p^s)}{1 - 1/p^s} \right] \\ &= \prod_{m=2}^{\infty} [\zeta(ms)]^{-\mu(m)/m}, \end{aligned}$$

and these are convergent in $\mathcal{R}e(s) > 1/2$, with divergence at $s = 1/2$. The proof is completed by appeal to the results of [2, Section 11.9]. \square

Later we will use

$$v(s) \sim c/(s - 1), \quad s \downarrow 1,$$

where $c \approx .729$ is the constant

$$c = \prod_p \left[e^{1/p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \right] = P_1 \{N'' = 1\}.$$

This follows from $\zeta(s) \sim 1/(s - 1)$ and $v(s)/\zeta(s) \rightarrow c$.

4. The Poisson process model for N' . With $s > 1$ fixed, we lay off the points $t_1(s) > t_2(s) > \dots \downarrow 0$ on the positive t -axis, where

$$t_\mu(s) = \sum_{\nu=\mu}^{\infty} (1/p_\nu^s), \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots,$$

noting that $t_1(s) = \log v(s) < \infty$. We define the μ th interval $I_\mu(s)$ to be $I_\mu(s) = (t_{\mu+1}(s), t_\mu(s)]$, $\mu = 1, 2, \dots$; the length of $I_\mu(s)$ is $1/p_\mu^s$. We define also $I_0(s) = (t_1(s), \infty)$.

Let a Poisson process take place on the t -axis, with jump rate unity. The number of jumps of the process occurring in $I_\mu(s)$ has the Poisson distribution of mean $1/p_\mu^s$, and the numbers in the various $I_\mu(s)$ are mutually independent. But this is the joint distribution of the $\{\alpha_\mu(N')\}$ for the N' distribution of (4). More completely, define $\Lambda_s(t)$, $0 < t < \infty$, by

$$\Lambda_s(t) = \log p_\mu, \quad t \in I_\mu(s), \quad \mu = 0, 1, \dots.$$

Let $0 < \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \leq \dots$ be the random locations of the successive jumps of the process on $0 < t < \infty$, counting to the right from the origin. The total number of jumps in $(0, t_1(s)]$ is finite w.p.1, so the terms in the series $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_s(\tau_r)$ are eventually 0 w.p.1. The series thus determines a random positive integer N' according to $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_s(\tau_r) = \log N'$ w.p.1. The distribution of this N' is the N' distribution of (4).

The usefulness of the method now becomes apparent: the r th term in the series is $\Lambda_s(\tau_r) = \log q_r(N')$, $r = 1, 2, \dots$. The density of τ_r is $e^{-t} t^{r-1}/(r - 1)!$, $0 < t < \infty$, as is well known. The distribution of $q_r(N')$ is thus

$$P_s \{q_r(N') = p_\mu\} = \int_{I_\mu(s)} e^{-t} \left[\frac{t^{r-1}}{(r - 1)!} \right] dt, \quad \mu = 0, 1, \dots.$$

The moments of $\log q_r(N')$ are then

$$E_s\{[\log q_r(N')]^m\} = \int_0^\infty [\Lambda_s(t)]^m e^{-t} \left[\frac{t^{r-1}}{(r-1)!} \right] dt, \quad m, r \geq 1.$$

Existence of the moments is assured by comparison with

$$E_s\{(\log N)^m\} = \frac{1}{\zeta(s)} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{(\log n)^m}{n^s}, \quad s > 1.$$

5. Proof of Theorem 1. Let us define $\xi_s(t) = (s-1)\Lambda_s(t)$, $0 < t < \infty$. We will prove $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \xi_s(t) = \xi(t)$, $0 < t < \infty$, where $\xi(t)$ is the function specified in Section 2. The asymptotics of $\xi(t)$ are [9]

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t) &\sim e^{-t-\gamma}, & t \rightarrow \infty, \\ &\sim \log(1/t), & t \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $Y(dx)$ be the discrete measure which assigns measure $1/p_\mu$ to the point $x = \log p_\mu$ for all $\mu = 1, 2, \dots$; the cumulative is

$$\begin{aligned} Y(x) &= \sum_p \{1/p : p \leq e^x\}, & -\infty < x < \infty, \\ &= 0, & -\infty < x < \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of this,

$$t_\mu(s) = \int_{\log p_\mu^-}^\infty e^{-(s-1)x} Y(dx), \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots$$

The asymptotics of $Y(x)$ are as follows [2, Section 4.8]. For a certain constant A ,

$$\begin{aligned} Y(x) &= \log x + A + Y_1(x), & \log 2 \leq x < \infty, \\ Y_1(x) &= O(1/x), & x \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

(The prime number theorem is equivalent to convergence of $\int^\infty x Y_1(dx)$, but we do not need this; cf. [8].) We find

$$\begin{aligned} t_\mu(s) &= \int_{\log p_\mu^-}^\infty e^{-(s-1)x} \left[\frac{dx}{x} + Y_1(dx) \right] \\ &= E((s-1)\log p_\mu) - \exp(-(s-1)\log p_\mu) Y_1(\log p_\mu^-) \\ &\quad + (s-1) \int_{\log p_\mu}^\infty e^{-(s-1)x} Y_1(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(s-1)\log p_\mu = \xi_s(t)$, $t \in I_\mu(s)$, this can be rewritten as

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} t_\mu(s) &= E(\xi_s(t)) + e^{-\xi_s(t)} O(1/\log p_\mu) \\ &\quad + (s-1)O(E(\xi_s(t))), \quad I_\mu(s) \ni t. \end{aligned}$$

Now fix $0 < t < \infty$ and let $s \downarrow 1$. The μ for which $I_\mu(s) \ni t$ increases to ∞ as $s \downarrow 1$,

and $t_\mu(s) \rightarrow t$. (The jumps in $\xi_s(t)$ tend to zero:

$$\begin{aligned} (s - 1)\log p_{\mu+1} - (s - 1)\log p_\mu &= (s - 1)O(1) \text{ by [2, Theorem 4.7]} \\ &\text{(or } = (s - 1)o(1) \text{ by the prime number theorem).} \end{aligned}$$

From (5) then follows $\lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \xi_s(t) = \xi(t)$, $0 < t < \infty$.

We want to show that the moments of $\xi_s(t)$ converge to the moments of $\xi(t)$ relative to the density of τ_r . Since pointwise convergence has just been shown, and since $0 \leq \log q_r(N') \leq \log N$, it will suffice to show that the family $\{(s - 1)\log N\}^m: 1 < s \leq s_0\}$ is uniformly integrable with respect to $E_s\{\cdot\}$, $1 < s \leq s_0$. (The corresponding step in [9] is easier.) This is a direct consequence of the following result.

LEMMA 3. *Let $0 < \theta_0 < \infty$ and $1 < s_0 < \infty$ be fixed. Then*

$$P_s\{(s - 1)\log N \geq \theta\} \leq Ae^{-\theta}, \quad 1 < s \leq s_0, \quad \theta_0 \leq \theta < \infty,$$

where $1 < A < \infty$ is independent of θ, s .

PROOF. Summation of

$$\frac{1}{n^s} \leq \int_{n-1}^n \frac{dx}{x^s}, \quad n > 1, s \geq 0,$$

gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \left\{ \frac{1}{n^s} : (s - 1)\log n \geq \theta \right\} &\leq \frac{1}{s - 1} [e^{\theta/(s-1)} - 1]^{-(s-1)} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\theta} B(\theta, s)}{s - 1}, \quad \theta > 0, s > 1, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B(\theta, s) = [1 - e^{-\theta/(s-1)}]^{-(s-1)}.$$

Since $B(\theta, s)$ is decreasing in θ and increasing in s , we can take $A = B(\theta_0, s_0)$. The inequality $(s - 1)\zeta(s) > 1, s > 1$, is elementary, and the lemma follows. \square

With uniform integrability thus established, we now have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \downarrow 1} E_s\{(s - 1)\log p_r(N')\}^m &= \lim_{s \downarrow 1} \int_0^\infty [\xi_s(t)]^m e^{-t} \left[\frac{t^{r-1}}{(r - 1)!} \right] dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty [\xi(t)]^m e^{-t} \left[\frac{t^{r-1}}{(r - 1)!} \right] dt \\ &= m!G_{r,m}, \quad m \geq 0, \quad r \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let us rewrite this in the equivalent form

$$\left(-\frac{d}{ds}\right)^m \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\eta_r(n)]^m}{n^s \prod_{\mu} [\alpha_{\mu}(n)]!} \sim \left(-\frac{d}{ds}\right)^m \left\{ \frac{cG_{r,m}}{s-1} \right\}, \quad s \downarrow 1,$$

with $\eta_r(n)$ defined in (1). We integrate m times on (s, ∞) , which is permissible, and divide by $v(s)$; we obtain the weaker result

$$\lim_{s \downarrow 1} E_s \{ [\eta_r(N')]^m \} = G_{r,m}, \quad m \geq 0, \quad r \geq 1.$$

We now argue that this implies

$$(6) \quad \lim_{s \downarrow 1} E_s \{ [\eta_r(N)]^m \} = G_{r,m}, \quad m \geq 0, \quad r \geq 1.$$

This follows from the fact that $\eta_r(N') \rightarrow \eta_r(N)$ in distribution: $q_r(N') = q_r(N)$ except on a set of small measure, and $\log N / \log N' \rightarrow 1$ in distribution. We omit the epsilonics. From (6) and the Tauberian theorem,

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} E_{h,v} \{ [\eta_r(N)]^m \} = G_{r,m}, \quad m \geq 0, \quad r \geq 1,$$

and application of the method of moments [6, Volume II, page 514] completes the proof. \square

Properties of the r th shortest cycle in a random permutation were investigated in [9] with the same machinery as for the r th longest cycle. The techniques of the present paper would not be very useful for treating the r th smallest prime divisor of random $N = N'N''$, since the small divisors of N' and N'' remain intertwined even when $N' \rightarrow \infty$.

REFERENCES

- [1] ALLADI, K. and ERDŐS, P. (1977). On an additive arithmetic function. *Pacific J. Math.* **71** 275–294.
- [2] APOSTOL, T. M. (1976). *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [3] BILLINGSLEY, P. (1972). On the distribution of large prime divisors. *Period. Math. Hungar.* **2** 283–289.
- [4] DIACONIS, P. (1977). Examples for the theory of infinite iteration of summability methods. *Canad. J. Math.* **29** 489–497.
- [5] DIACONIS, P. (1980). Average running time of the fast Fourier transform. *J. Algorithms* **1** 187–208.
- [6] FELLER, W. (1970, 1971). *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications*, I 3rd ed., II 2nd ed. Wiley, New York.
- [7] KNUTH, D. E. and TRABB-PARDO, L. (1976/77). Analysis of a simple factorization algorithm. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **3** 321–348.
- [8] NEWMAN, D. J. (1980). Simple analytic proof of the prime number theorem. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **87** 693–696.
- [9] SHEPP, L. A. and LLOYD, S. P. (1966). Ordered cycle lengths in a random permutation. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **121** 340–357.

AT & T BELL LABORATORIES
WHIPPANY, NEW JERSEY 07981