ON NONINCREASE OF BROWNIAN MOTION¹

By Krzysztof Burdzy

University of Washington

A new proof of the nonincrease of Brownian paths is given.

David Aldous pointed out in his recent book [Aldous (1989) K7, K13] that none of the published proofs of the nonincrease of Brownian motion is totally satisfactory. The original proof of Dvoretzky, Erdös and Kakutani (1961) is hard; those of Adelman (1985), Karatzas and Shreve (1987) or Knight (1981) do not shed much light on the difference between points of increase and local maxima. Aldous' own argument is only a "Poisson clumping heuristic." This note is an attempt at the impossible, i.e., a proof which is at the same time rigorous, short, simple, elementary, and, last but not least, elucidates the difference between the points of increase and local maxima. I believe that the proof is new, although its ideas may be traced back to Adelman (1985), Aldous (1989) and Davis (1983).

Suppose that $\{B(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Brownian motion, B(0) = 0. Dvoretzky, Erdös and Kakutani (1961) showed that, with probability 1, there are no $t, \varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(s) \leq B(t)$ for $s \in (t - \varepsilon, t)$ and $B(u) \geq B(t)$ for $u \in (t, t + \varepsilon)$. We will prove that $P(A_0) = 0$, where

$$A_0 = \{ \exists \ t, u > 0 : t < u, B(t) \le 1, B(u) \ge B(t) + 2,$$
$$B(s) \le B(t) \text{ for } s \in [0, t), B(s) \ge B(t) \text{ for } s \in (t, u] \}.$$

Standard arguments show that the result of Dvoretzky, Erdös and Kakutani (1961) follows from $P(A_0) = 0$. Let us start with some inductive definitions. Fix some $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$.

$$\begin{split} &M_0=0, \qquad U_0=0, \\ &T_k=\inf\{t>U_k\colon B(t)=M_k-\varepsilon \text{ or } B(t)=M_k+2\}, \qquad k\geq 0, \\ &M_{k+1}=\max\{B(t)\colon t\in [0,T_k]\}, \qquad k\geq 0, \\ &U_{k+1}=\inf\{t>T_k\colon B(t)=M_{k+1}\}, \qquad k\geq 0, \\ &X_k=M_k-M_{k-1}, \qquad k\geq 1. \end{split}$$

Note that

(1)
$$P(X_k > x) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon/(x+\varepsilon) & \text{for } 0 < x < 2, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \ge 2, \end{cases}$$

Received April 1989.

¹Research supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-87-02620.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. 60J65, 60G17.

Key words and phrases. Brownian motion, path properties.

and, consequently,

(2)
$$EX_k = \varepsilon (\log(2+\varepsilon) - \log \varepsilon) \ge \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|.$$

If $X_{k+1} = 2$, then we may say that "an approximate point of increase" occurred at the level M_k . Let

$$A_c = \{\exists k : M_k \le 1, X_{k+1} = 2\}.$$

Since $A_0 \subset A_{\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$, it will suffice to show that $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} P(A_{\varepsilon}) = 0$. Let N be the largest number k such that $M_k \leq 1$ (possibly $N = \infty$).

$$\begin{split} P(A_{\varepsilon}) &= P(\exists \ k \colon M_k \leq 1, \ X_{k+1} = 2) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(M_k \leq 1, \ X_{k+1} = 2) \quad \text{(disjoint events)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(M_k \leq 1) P(X_{k+1} = 2) \quad \text{(independence)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(M_k \leq 1) \frac{\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon} \quad \text{[use (1)]} \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon} E(N+1). \end{split}$$

It remains to estimate E(N+1). We have $M_k = \sum_{j=1}^k X_j$, the random variables X_j are i.i.d. and positive. By Wald's identity, $EM_{N+1} = E(N+1)EX_1$ [see (4.3) and (4.12), Section 5.4 of Karlin and Taylor (1975)]. Thus, by (2),

$$E(N+1) = \frac{EM_{N+1}}{EX_1} \le \frac{3}{(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|)}.$$

Hence,

$$P(A_0) \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} P(A_\varepsilon) \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{2 + \varepsilon} \frac{3}{\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|} = 0$$

and the proof is complete.

Let us attempt to prove in a similar way that the local maxima do not exist. We will try to show that $P(\tilde{A_0}) = 0$, where

$$\tilde{A}_0 = \{ \exists t, u > 0 : t < u, B(t) \le 1, B(u) \le B(t) - 2, \\ B(s) \le B(t) \text{ for } s \in [0, u] \}.$$

Let
$$\tilde{M}_0 = 0$$
, $\tilde{U}_0 = 0$,

$$\tilde{T}_k = \inf\{t > \tilde{U}_k \colon B(t) = \tilde{M}_k + \varepsilon \text{ or } B(t) = \tilde{M}_k - 2\},$$

and define \tilde{M}_k , \tilde{U}_k , etc. in terms of \tilde{T}_k in the same way as M_k , U_k , etc. were defined relative to T_k .

The proof will fail because $E\tilde{X}_k \approx \varepsilon$, $E(\tilde{N}+1) \approx 1/\varepsilon$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} P(\tilde{A}_{\varepsilon}) \neq 0$.

If an "approximate point of increase" does not occur at the level M_k , then there is no such point between levels M_k and M_{k+1} , which are separated by a

980 K. BURDZY

relatively large distance $(EX_{k+1} \approx \varepsilon | \log \varepsilon|)$. In the case of maxima, the nonoccurrence of the analogous event at the level \tilde{M}_k does not preclude the occurrence of such an event any further than $\tilde{M}_k + \varepsilon$ [cf. Dvoretzky, Erdös and Kakutani (1961), Remark 8.2].

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to O. Adelman, J.-F. Le Gall and the referee for their useful comments.

REFERENCES

- ADELMAN, O. (1985). Brownian motion never increases: A new proof to a result of Dvoretzky, Erdös and Kakutani. *Israel J. Math.* **50** 189–192.
- Aldous, D. (1989). Probability Approximations via the Poisson Clumping Heuristic. Springer, New York.
- DAVIS, B. (1983). On Brownian slow points. Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 64 359-367.
- Dvoretzky, A., Erdős, P. and Kakutani, S. (1961). Nonincrease everywhere of the Brownian motion process. *Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Probab.* **2** 103–116. Univ. California Press.
- Karatzas, I. and Shreve, S. E. (1987). Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus. Springer, New York.
- KARLIN, S. and TAYLOR, H. M. (1975). A First Course in Stochastic Processes, 2nd ed. Academic, New York.
- KNIGHT, F. B. (1981). Essentials of Brownian Motion and Diffusion. Math. Surveys 18. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GN-50 UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98195