

ON THE LIMITING DISTRIBUTION OF THE NUMBER OF COINCIDENCES CONCERNING TELEPHONE TRAFFIC

BY L. TAKÁCS

Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest

1. Introduction. Let us consider a telephone exchange. Suppose that the subscribers make calls at the instants $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n, \dots$, where $\tau_n - \tau_{n-1}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots; \tau_0 = 0$) are identically distributed independent positive random variables with the distribution function $F(x)$. Put $\varphi(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dF(x)$, $\alpha = \int_0^\infty x dF(x)$ and $\sigma^2 = \int_0^\infty (x - \alpha)^2 dF(x)$.

Suppose that there is an infinite number of fully available channels and that each call gives rise to a connection (conversation) on one of the free channels. Denote by χ_n the duration of the holding time beginning in the instant τ_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). It is assumed that χ_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are identically distributed mutually independent positive random variables, which are independent also of the random variables τ_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Suppose that $\mathbf{P}\{\chi_n \leq x\} = 1 - e^{-\mu x}$, if $x \geq 0$.

We say that the system is in state E_k ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) if k channels are busy.

In what follows we shall deal with the determination of the distribution of the number of transitions $E_k \rightarrow E_{k+1}$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) occurring in the time interval $(0, t]$ and the corresponding asymptotic distribution as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

The above problem was solved earlier by the author [7] in the particular case when $\{\tau_n\}$ forms a Poisson process with density λ .

2. Notation. Denote by $\eta(t)$ the number of busy channels at the instant t and put

$$\mathbf{P}\{\eta(t) = k\} = P_k(t), \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Define the r -th binomial moment of $\eta(t)$ as follows:

$$B_r(t) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \binom{k}{r} P_k(t), \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

and put

$$\beta_r(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} B_r(t) dt, \quad (\Re(s) > 0).$$

Further denote by $\nu_i^{(k)}$ the number of transitions $E_k \rightarrow E_{k+1}$, ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), occurring in the time interval $(0, t]$. (We say that a transition $E_{-1} \rightarrow E_0$ takes place at $t = 0$.) Denote by $m_k(t)$ the expectation of the random variable $\nu_i^{(k)}$. ($m_{-1}(t) = 1$ if $t \geq 0$ and $m_{-1}(t) = 0$ if $t < 0$.)

Finally denote by $m(t)$ the expectation of the number of calls taking place in

Received June 20, 1958; revised October 24, 1958.

the time interval $(0, t]$. Then

$$m(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n(t),$$

where $F_n(t)$ denotes the n -th iterated convolution of $F(t)$ with itself. Clearly,

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} dm(t) = \frac{\varphi(s)}{1 - \varphi(s)}, \quad (\Re(s) > 0).$$

3. The solution of the problem. If specifically $\{\tau_n\}$ forms a Poisson process with density λ , then $\{\eta(t)\}$ is a Markov process. In other cases $\{\eta(t)\}$ ceases to be a Markov process, but the instants τ_n always form the Markov points (or regeneration points) of the process. Accordingly, for fixed k ($k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), the instants of the successive transitions $E_k \rightarrow E_{k+1}$ form a recurrent (or renewal) process.

Denote by $R_k(x)$ the distribution function of the distance between two consecutive transitions $E_k \rightarrow E_{k+1}$, and by $R_k^*(x)$ the distribution function of the distance between the first transition $E_k \rightarrow E_{k+1}$ and the zero point. Knowing $R_k(x)$ and $R_k^*(x)$, the distribution function of $\nu_t^{(k)}$ can be determined easily; namely, we have

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{P}\{\nu_t^{(k)} > n\} = R_k^* * R_k * \dots * R_k(t),$$

where the right hand side contains the n -th iterated convolution of $R_k(t)$.

Define

$$(2) \quad \rho_k = \int_0^{\infty} x dR_k(x)$$

and

$$(3) \quad \sigma_k^2 = \int_0^{\infty} (x - \rho_k)^2 dR_k(x).$$

If $\sigma_k^2 < \infty$, then we have

$$(4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{P}\left\{\frac{\nu_t^k - \rho_k t}{\sigma_k t^{1/2}} \leq x\right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-y^2/2} dy,$$

as it is well known in renewal theory. (Cf. W. Feller [1], W. L. Smith [4], and the author [5].)

If we consider other initial conditions than $\eta(0) = 0$, then we obtain similar results. In particular, the limiting distribution (4) is independent of the initial condition.

Thus, the problem is reduced to the determination of the distribution functions $R_k(x)$ and $R_k^*(x)$. We need some auxiliary theorems, which will be proved below.

4. The Palm functions. Hitherto we have not made any restrictions concerning the servicing of the calls. Now, following C. Palm [3], let us suppose that

the channels are numbered by $1, 2, \dots, r, \dots$, and that an incoming call realizes a connection through that idle channel which has the lowest serial number. This assumption does not restrict the generality since $\{\eta(t)\}$ is independent of the system of the handling of traffic. Now denote by $\tau_1^{(r)}, \tau_2^{(r)}, \dots, \tau_n^{(r)}, \dots$ the instants of the calls which find all channels busy in the group $(1, 2, \dots, r)$, leaving the other channels out of consideration. Obviously the time differences $\tau_{n+1}^{(r)} - \tau_n^{(r)}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) are identically distributed independent positive random variables. Let us denote by $G_r(x)$ their common distribution function. We shall prove the following

THEOREM 1. *Define*

$$(5) \quad \gamma_r(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dG_r(x), \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots);$$

then we have

$$(6) \quad \gamma_r(s) = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1 - \varphi(s + i\mu)}{\varphi(s + i\mu)}}{\sum_{j=0}^{r+1} \binom{r+1}{j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1 - \varphi(s + i\mu)}{\varphi(s + i\mu)}}$$

where the empty product is 1 and $G_0(x) \equiv F(x)$.

PROOF. C. Palm [3] has proved that the distribution functions $G_r(x)$ ($r = 1, 2, \dots$) satisfy the following system of integral equations:

$$(7) \quad G_r(x) = G_{r-1}(x) - \int_0^x (1 - e^{-\mu y})(1 - G_r(x - y)) dG_{r-1}(y), \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $G_0(x) \equiv F(x)$. This can be proved easily. Let us suppose that $\tau_n^{(r)} = \tau_m^{(r-1)}$ (where $\tau_m^{(0)} = \tau_m$). Then conditionally

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{\tau_{n+1}^{(r)} - \tau_n^{(r)} \leq x \mid \tau_{m+1}^{(r-1)} - \tau_m^{(r-1)} = y\} \\ = \begin{cases} e^{-\mu y} + (1 - e^{-\mu y})G_r(x - y), & \text{if } 0 \leq y \leq x, \\ 0 & \text{if } y > x, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and by the theorem of total probability we have

$$\mathbf{P}\{\tau_{n+1}^{(r)} - \tau_n^{(r)} \leq x\} = G_r(x) = \int_0^x [e^{-\mu y} + (1 - e^{-\mu y})G_r(x - y)] dG_{r-1}(y),$$

which proves (7).

Taking the Laplace-Stieltjes transform of (7) we obtain Palm's recurrence formula,

$$(8) \quad \gamma_r(s) = \frac{\gamma_{r-1}(s + \mu)}{1 - \gamma_{r-1}(s) + \gamma_{r-1}(s + \mu)}, \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $\gamma_0(s) = \varphi(s)$.

If we define

$$(9) \quad D_r(s) = \sum_{j=0}^r \binom{r}{j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1 - \varphi(s + i\mu)}{\varphi(s + i\mu)}, \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

then it is easy to see that

$$(10) \quad D_{r+1}(s) = D_r(s) + \frac{1 - \varphi(s)}{\varphi(s)} D_r(s + \mu), \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Further, a simple calculation shows that the function,

$$(11) \quad \gamma_r(s) = \frac{D_r(s)}{D_{r+1}(s)}, \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

satisfies (8) and $\gamma_0(s) = \varphi(s)$. This proves (6).

5. The binomial moments $B_r(t)$. We shall prove the following:

THEOREM 2. *The binomial moments $B_r(t)$, ($r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) exist for all $t \geq 0$, and we have*

$$(12) \quad \beta_r(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} B_r(t) dt = \frac{1}{s + r\mu} \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi(s + i\mu)}{1 - \varphi(s + i\mu)}$$

if $\Re(s) > 0$.

PROOF. Introduce the generating function

$$(13) \quad G(t, z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty P_k(t) z^k.$$

$G(t, z)$ satisfies the following integral equation:

$$(14) \quad G(t, z) = [1 - F(t)] + \int_0^t G(t - x, z) [1 - (1 - z)e^{-\mu(t-x)}] dF(x).$$

This can be proved as follows. Define

$$f(t, u) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq u, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\eta(t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f(t - \tau_n, \chi_n).$$

Now let us suppose conditionally that $\tau_1 = x$; then

$$\eta(t) = \begin{cases} f(t - x, \chi_1) + \bar{\eta}(t - x), & \text{if } x \leq t, \\ 0, & \text{if } x > t, \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{\eta}(t - x)$ is independent of $f(t - x, \chi_1)$ and has the same distribution as $\eta(t - x)$. Here the generating function of $f(t - x, \chi_1)$ is $[1 - e^{-\mu(t-x)} + ze^{-\mu(t-x)}]$, if $0 \leq x \leq t$, and the generating function of $\bar{\eta}(t - x)$ is $G(t - x, z)$, if $0 \leq x \leq t$.

Therefore, applying the theorem of total expectation for $G(t, z) = \mathbf{E}\{z^{n(t)}\}$, we obtain

$$G(t, z) = [1 - F(t)] + \int_0^t G(t - x, z)[1 - e^{-\mu(t-x)} + ze^{-\mu(t-x)}] dF(x),$$

which proves (14).

I am indebted to R. Syski for calling my attention to the possibility of the above proof. Applying the results of R. Fortet [2] or the author [6], R. Syski showed that $G(t, z)$ satisfies the following integral equation:

$$(15) \quad G(t, z) = 1 - (1 - z) \int_0^t G(t - x, z) e^{-\mu(t-x)} dm(x),$$

where $m(t)$ denotes the expected number of the calls occurring in the time interval $(0, t]$.

Since

$$(16) \quad B_r(t) = \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{d^r G(t, z)}{dz^r} \right)_{z=1}, \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

we obtain from (14) that

$$(17) \quad B_r(t) = \int_0^t B_r(t - x) dF(x) + \int_0^t B_{r-1}(t - x) e^{-\mu(t-x)} dF(x), \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots).$$

This is a linear integral equation of the Volterra type for the unknown $B_r(t)$. As is well known, the solution is

$$(18) \quad B_r(t) = \int_0^t B_{r-1}(t - x) e^{-\mu(t-x)} dm(x), \quad (r = 1, 2, \dots).$$

This can be obtained immediately from Syski's equation (15).

Taking the Laplace transform of (18), we obtain the following functional equation:

$$(19) \quad \beta_r(s) = \frac{\varphi(s)}{1 - \varphi(s)} \beta_{r-1}(s + \mu).$$

Since $B_0(t) \equiv 1$, consequently $\beta_0(s) = 1/s$, and applying repeatedly formula (19) we finally obtain

$$\beta_r(s) = \frac{1}{s + r\mu} \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi(s + i\mu)}{1 - \varphi(s + i\mu)},$$

as was to be proved.

It is to be remarked that there exists a constant C so that

$$(20) \quad B_r(t) < \frac{C^r}{r!}, \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots),$$

for all $t \geq 0$. This can be proved by virtue of (18).

Remark. Since

$$(21) \quad B_r(t) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \binom{k}{r} P_k(t)$$

and $B_r(t) < C^r / r!$, ($r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), we obtain easily that

$$(22) \quad P_k(t) = \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} B_r(t).$$

Hence, specifically,

$$(23) \quad \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} P_k(t) dt = \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} \frac{1}{s + r\mu} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi(s + i\mu)}{1 - \varphi(s + i\mu)}.$$

6. The transitions $E_k \rightarrow E_{k+1}$.

THEOREM 3. *If $m_k(t)$ denotes the expectation of the number of transitions $E_k \rightarrow E_{k+1}$ occurring in the time interval $(0, t]$, then we have*

$$(24) \quad \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} dm_k(t) = \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} \prod_{i=0}^r \frac{\varphi(s + i\mu)}{1 - \varphi(s + i\mu)}, \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

PROOF. Applying the theorem of total probability we can write

$$(25) \quad P_k(t) = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \binom{j}{k} \int_0^t e^{-k\mu(t-u)} [1 - e^{-\mu(t-u)}]^{j-k} [1 - F(t-u)] dm_{j-1}(u).$$

This follows from the fact that the event that there is a state E_k at the instant t can occur in several mutually exclusive ways: the last transition in the time interval $(0, t]$ is $E_{j-1} \rightarrow E_j$ ($j = k, k + 1, \dots$) and this transition takes place at the instant u ($0 \leq u \leq t$), and in the time interval $(u, t]$ there does not occur any new call, but $j - k$ conversations terminate.

Hence,

$$(26) \quad B_r(t) = \sum_{k=r}^{\infty} \binom{k}{r} P_k(t) = \sum_{j=r}^{\infty} \binom{j}{r} \int_0^t e^{-r\mu(t-u)} [1 - F(t-u)] dm_{j-1}(u),$$

where $\binom{k}{r} \binom{j}{k} = \binom{j}{r} \binom{j-r}{k-r}$ has been used. Forming the Laplace transform of (26), we have

$$\beta_r(s) = \frac{1 - \varphi(s + r\mu)}{s + r\mu} \sum_{j=r}^{\infty} \binom{j}{r} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} dm_{j-1}(t).$$

Now by the aid of (12) we obtain

$$\sum_{j=r}^{\infty} \binom{j}{r} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} dm_{j-1}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \varphi(s + r\mu)} \prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi(s + i\mu)}{1 - \varphi(s + i\mu)}.$$

Multiplying both sides of this formula by $(-1)^{r-l} \binom{r}{l}$ and summing over $r =$

$l, l + 1, \dots$ we obtain

$$(27) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-st} dm_{l-1}(t) = \sum_{r=l}^\infty (-1)^{r-l} \binom{r}{l} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{\varphi(s+i\mu)}{1-\varphi(s+i\mu)} + \prod_{i=0}^r \frac{\varphi(s+i\mu)}{1-\varphi(s+i\mu)} \right].$$

If we write $l = k + 1$, then

$$(28) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-st} dm_k(t) = \sum_{r=k}^\infty (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} \prod_{i=0}^r \frac{\varphi(s+i\mu)}{1-\varphi(s+i\mu)},$$

which was to be proved.

7. The distributions $R_k(x)$ and $R_k^*(x)$.

THEOREM 4. *We have*

$$(29) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dR_k^*(x) = \left[\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1-\varphi(s+i\mu)}{\varphi(s+i\mu)} \right]^{-1}$$

and

$$(30) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dR_k(x) = 1 - \left\{ \left[\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1-\varphi(s+i\mu)}{\varphi(s+i\mu)} \right] \cdot \left[\sum_{r=k}^\infty (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} \prod_{i=0}^r \frac{\varphi(s+i\mu)}{1-\varphi(s+i\mu)} \right] \right\}^{-1},$$

if $\Re(s) \geq 0$.

PROOF. Denote by $G_0(x), G_1(x), \dots, G_k(x)$ the distribution functions of the distances between the successive transitions $E_{-1} \rightarrow E_0, E_0 \rightarrow E_1, E_1 \rightarrow E_2, \dots, E_k \rightarrow E_{k+1}$, respectively. It is easy to see that the distribution functions $G_r(x)$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots, k$) are just Palm's distribution functions defined by (6). Now clearly

$$(31) \quad R_k^*(x) = G_0 * G_1 * \dots * G_k(x),$$

and thus,

$$(32) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dR_k^*(x) = \gamma_0(s)\gamma_1(s) \dots \gamma_k(s),$$

where $\gamma_r(s)$ ($r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) is defined by (6). This proves (29).

On the other hand, if

$$(33) \quad \Psi_k(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} dR_k(x),$$

then we have

$$(34) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-st} dm_k(t) = \frac{\gamma_0(s)\gamma_1(s) \dots \gamma_k(s)}{1 - \Psi_k(s)}.$$

For, as is well known in renewal theory, we have

$$m_k(t) = R_k^*(t) + R_k^* * R_k(t) + R_k^* * R_k * R_k(t) + \dots$$

Taking into consideration (6) and (24), we can determine $\Psi_k(s)$ from (34), and thus we get (30).

THEOREM 5. *We have*

$$(35) \quad \rho_k = \frac{\alpha}{\sum_{r=k}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} C_r}$$

and

$$(36) \quad \sigma_k^2 = 2\alpha\rho_k \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{j} C_{j-1} - \rho_k^2 \left[1 - \frac{\sigma^2 - \alpha^2}{\alpha^2} \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} C_r - \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} C_r \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\varphi'(i\mu)}{\varphi(i\mu)[1-\varphi(i\mu)]} \right],$$

where

$$(37) \quad C_r = \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1 - \varphi(i\mu)}{\varphi(i\mu)}, \quad (r = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

PROOF. Since

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{j} \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1 - \varphi(s + i\mu)}{\varphi(s + i\mu)} = 1 + s\alpha \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \binom{k+1}{j} C_{j-1} + o(s)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} \prod_{i=0}^r \frac{\varphi(s + i\mu)}{1 - \varphi(s + i\mu)} = \frac{1}{s\alpha} \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} C_r \\ & + \frac{\sigma^2 - \alpha^2}{2\alpha^2} \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} C_r + \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{r=k}^{\infty} (-1)^{r-k} \binom{r}{k} C_r \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\varphi'(i\mu)}{\varphi(i\mu)[1-\varphi(i\mu)]} + o(s), \end{aligned}$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$, we obtain easily that

$$\Psi_k(s) = 1 - \rho_k s + \frac{\sigma_k^2 + \rho_k^2}{2} s^2 + o(s^2),$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$, where ρ_k and σ_k^2 are defined by (35) and (36) respectively. This proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

[1] W. FELLER, "Fluctuation theory of recurrent events," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 67 (1949), pp. 98-119.
 [2] R. FORTET, "Random distributions with an application to telephone engineering," *Proceedings of the Third Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, Vol. II, University of California Press, 1956, pp. 81-88.

- [3] C. PALM, "Intensitätsschwankungen im Fernsprechverkehr," *Ericsson Technics* No. 44 (1943), pp. 1-189.
- [4] W. L. SMITH, "Regenerative stochastic processes," *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh. Sec. A.*, 232 (1955), pp. 6-31.
- [5] L. TAKÁCS, "On a probability problem arising in the theory of counters," *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, Vol. 52 (1956), pp. 488-498.
- [6] L. TAKÁCS, "On secondary stochastic processes generated by recurrent processes," *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, Vol. 7 (1956), pp. 17-29.
- [7] L. TAKÁCS, "On a coincidence problem concerning telephone-traffic," *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae*, Vol. 9 (1958), pp. 45-81.