## A CONVEXITY PROPERTY IN THE THEORY OF RANDOM VARIABLES DEFINED ON A FINITE MARKOV CHAIN

H. D. MILLER<sup>1</sup>

Statistical Laboratory, University of Cambridge

1. Summary. Let  $P=(p_{jk})$  be the transition matrix of an ergodic, finite Markov chain with no cyclically moving sub-classes. For each possible transition (j,k), let  $H_{jk}(x)$  be a distribution function admitting a moment generating function  $f_{jk}(t)$  in an interval surrounding t=0. The matrix  $P(t)=\{p_{jk}f_{jk}(t)\}$  is of interest in the study of the random variable  $S_n=X_1+\cdots+X_n$ , where  $X_m$  has the distribution  $H_{jk}(x)$  if the mth transition takes the chain from state j to state k. The matrix P(t) is non-negative and therefore possesses a maximal positive eigenvalue  $\alpha_1(t)$ , which is shown to be a convex function of t. As an application of the convexity property, we obtain an asymptotic expression for the probability of tail values of the sum  $S_n$ , in the case where the  $X_m$  are integral random variables.

The results are related to those of Blackwell and Hodges [1], whose methods are followed closely in Section 5, and Volkov [4], [5], who treats in detail the case of integer-valued functions of the state of the chain, i.e., the case  $f_{jk}(t) = \exp(\beta_k t)$  ( $\beta_k$  integral).

**2.** Introduction and notation. Let  $k_m(m=0,1,2,\ldots)$  be the state at time m of a finite N-state ergodic Markov chain with no cyclically moving subclasses and with transition matrix  $P=(p_{jk})$ , where  $p_{jk}=\Pr\left(k_m=k\mid k_{m-1}=j\right)$ ,  $j,k=1,\cdots,N$ . The distribution of  $k_0$  is unspecified, since we shall mostly deal with probabilities conditional on  $k_0$ . It follows that P is a non-negative, primitive and irreducible matrix. Let  $H_{jk}(x)$  be a distribution function associated with the transition (j,k)  $(p_{jk}\neq 0)$  and let  $f_{jk}(t)$  be the corresponding moment generating function, i.e.,

$$f_{jk}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{tx} dH_{jk}(x).$$

We shall suppose that each  $f_{jk}(t)$  is analytic in a strip which strictly contains the imaginary axis of the complex t-plane. There will therefore be a maximal strip

(2.1) 
$$u_0 < \text{Re}(t) < u_0' \quad (-\infty \le u_0 < 0 < u_0' \le \infty),$$

in which all the  $f_{jk}(t)$  are analytic.

Let  $X_m$ ,  $m=1, 2, \cdots$ , be a random variable having the distribution  $H_{jk}(x)$  if  $k_{m-1}=j$  and  $k_m=k$ , i.e., if the *m*th transition is (j,k), and let

Received October 18, 1960; revised February 20, 1961.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Birkbeck College, London

 $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ . Let P(t) be the matrix  $\{p_{jk}f_{jk}(t)\}$  and let

$$(2.2) {P(t)}^n = {p_{jk}^{(n)} f_{jk}^{(n)}(t)},$$

where  $P^n = \{p_{jk}^{(n)}\}$ . Then  $f_{jk}^{(n)}(t)$  is the moment generating function of  $S_n$  conditional on the *n*-stage transition from state j at time 0 to state k at time n. Thus

$$f_{jk}^{(n)}(t) = E\{\exp(tS_n) \mid k_0 = j, k_n = k\}.$$

For real t, the matrix P(t) is non-negative and therefore it has a maximal positive eigenvalue, the Perron root, which we denote by  $\alpha_1(t)$ . Thus  $\alpha_1(0) = 1$ , and, for real t,  $\alpha_1(t)$  has the properties (i)  $\alpha_1(t) > 0$ , (ii)  $\alpha_1(t) > |\alpha_j(t)|$ , where  $\alpha_j(t)$ ,  $j = 2, 3, \dots, N$ , are the remaining eigenvalues of P(t).

We shall say that f(t) is a degenerate moment generating function if it is of the form  $e^{\beta t}$  ( $\beta$  real) and we shall say that P(t) is degenerate if it is of the form

(2.4) 
$$P(t) = e^{\beta t} D(t) P\{D(t)\}^{-1},$$

where D(t) is a diagonal matrix of degenerate moment generating functions. If P(t) is degenerate, then the sum  $S_n$  is also degenerate in the sense that given  $k_0 = j$ ,  $k_n = k$ ,  $S_n$  is deterministic and of the form  $S_n = n\beta + \beta_j - \beta_k$ , where  $D(t) = \text{diag} \{\exp(\beta_j t)\}.$ 

Let  $(p_k)$ ,  $k=1, \dots, N$ , be the unique ergodic distribution associated with P. Then, if  $k_0$  has the distribution  $(p_k)$  and if we take expectation unconditional on  $k_1$ , it is easy to show that  $E(X_1) = \alpha'_1(0)$ . Thus  $\alpha'_1(0)$  is a measure of the ultimate drift of  $S_n$ .

- **3.** Some properties of non-negative square matrices. For the sake of clarity we quote the following properties of non-negative square matrices from the paper of Debreu and Herstein [3].
- (a) Let  $A \ge 0$  be an irreducible (indecomposable) square matrix, and let  $\alpha_1$  be its maximal positive eigenvalue. Then  $\alpha_1$  is a simple root of the equation  $|\alpha I A| = 0$ , and there exist strictly positive left and right eigenvectors corresponding to  $\alpha_1$ . If  $\sigma$  is any other eigenvalue of A, then  $|\sigma| \le \alpha_1$ , and if  $|\sigma| < \alpha_1$  then A is said to be primitive.
- (b) A finite stochastic matrix is the transition matrix of a Markov chain which is ergodic and without cyclically moving sub-classes if and only if it is primitive and irreducible.
- (c) Let  $B=(b_{jk})$  be a square matrix with complex elements, and let  $B^*=(|b_{jk}|)$ . If  $\beta$  is any eigenvalue of B, if  $A\ (\geq 0)$  is irreducible, and if  $B^* \leq A$  then  $|\beta| \leq \alpha_1$ . Moreover  $|\beta| = \alpha_1$  and  $B^* \leq A$  together imply that  $B^* = A$ ; if  $\beta = \alpha_1 e^{i\phi}$ , then  $B = e^{i\phi} D^{-1} A D$  where  $D^* = I$ .

In addition we state the following lemma which we need in Sections 4 and 5. It is an immediate consequence of the left and right eigenvector relations.

Lemma 3.1. Let A be a non-negative, primitive and irreducible matrix of order  $N \times N$ . Let  $\alpha_1$  be its maximal positive eigenvalue with corresponding left and right positive eigenvectors  $y = (y_j)$  and  $x = (x_j)$  respectively, such that yx = 1. Let

 $X = \operatorname{diag}(x_j)$ . Then the matrix  $\alpha_1^{-1}X^{-1}AX$  is a primitive, irreducible, stochastic matrix with limiting probability vector  $(x_jy_j)$ .

**4.** The properties of  $\alpha_1(t)$ . Let t = u + iv (u, v real). Then for t lying in the strip (2.1), the  $f_{jk}(t)$  and P(t) satisfy the following conditions:

(4.1) (i) 
$$f_{jk}(u) > 0$$
, (ii)  $|f_{jk}(t)| \le f_{jk}(u)$ , (iii)  $f_{jk}(0) = 1$ ,  
(iv)  $P(u) \ge 0$ , (v)  $\{P(t)\}^* \le P(u)$ ,

where, in (v), we use the notation of Section 3(c).

THEOREM 1.

- (a) The function  $\alpha_1(t)$  is regular at each point t = u of the real axis in the strip (2.1).
- (b) An eigenvalue of P(t) is of the form  $e^{\beta t}$  ( $\beta$  real) if and only if P(t) is degenerate, i.e., of the form (2.4).
  - (c) In the strip (2.1) we have

$$\alpha_1(u) \geq |\alpha_j(t)| \quad (j=2,3,\cdots,N; t=u+iv)$$

Proof.

- (a) Since for each real t,  $\alpha_1(t)$  is a simple root of the determinantal equation  $|\alpha I P(t)| = 0$ , and since  $|\alpha I P(t)|$  is an analytic function of the two complex variables  $\alpha$  and t, the result follows from the implicit function theorem for analytic functions (Bochner and Martin [2], p. 39).
- (b) If P(t) is of the form (2.4) then clearly  $\alpha_1(t) = e^{\beta t}$ . If  $e^{\beta t}$  is an eigenvalue of P(t), then we put t = iv (v real), and it follows from (4.1) (v) and Section 3(c) that  $P(iv) = e^{i\beta v}D(v)P\{D(v)\}^{-1}$ , where  $\{D(v)\}^* = I$ . Thus  $|f_{jk}^{(n)}(iv)| = 1$  for each j, k and n for which  $p_{jk}^{(n)} > 0$ , and since  $f_{jk}^{(n)}(iv)$  is a characteristic function, we must have  $D(v) = \text{diag } \{\exp(i\beta_j v)\}$  ( $\beta_j$  real  $j = 1, \dots, N$ ). Hence P(t) is degenerate.
  - (c) The inequalities follow from (4.1) (v) and Section 3(c).

THEOREM 2. If P(t) is not degenerate, then  $\alpha_1(t)$  (t real) is a strictly convex function of t.

Proof. We have the factorization

$$(4.2) \quad |\alpha I - P(t)| = \alpha^{N} \{1 - \alpha^{-1} \alpha_{1}(t)\} \{1 - \alpha^{-1} \alpha_{2}(t)\} \cdots \{1 - \alpha^{-1} \alpha_{N}(t)\}$$

and we consider the t-roots of the equation

$$|\alpha I - P(t)| = 0.$$

If  $|\alpha| > \alpha_1(u)$  (t = u + iv) it follows from (4.2) and Theorem 1(c) that  $|\alpha I - P(t)| \neq 0$ . Thus there can be no t-roots of (4.3) in any part of the t-plane for which  $|\alpha| > \alpha_1(u)$ .

Now suppose  $\alpha_1(u)$  is a concave function of u in some interval (u', u''). (The argument will be simpler to follow with the aid of a diagram of the u,  $\alpha_1(u)$  plane). We may choose real numbers a and b so that the linear function a + bu

satisfies

$$(4.4) a + bu > \alpha_1(u) (u' < u < u''),$$

i.e., the line a + bu lies above the curve  $\alpha_1(u)$  in the interval (u', u''). In (4.2) let  $\alpha = a + bt$ . Since

$$|a + bt| = \{(a + bu)^2 + b^2v^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \ge a + bu > \alpha_1(u) \qquad (u' < u < u''),$$

there are no roots of the equation

$$|(a+bt)I - P(t)| = 0$$

in the strip of the t-plane u' < u < u''. But the t-roots of (4.5) are continuous functions of a, and we may choose values of a and b so that the line a + bu cuts the curve  $\alpha_1(u)$  in two points, thus producing two roots of (4.5) in the strip (u', u''). Thus for a suitable b, there is a value of a, say a', such that for a > a' there are no roots of (4.5) in the strip (u', u''), while for a < a' there are two roots. This contradicts the continuity of the t-roots of (4.5) and therefore  $\alpha_1(u)$  cannot be concave in any interval.

Further,  $\alpha_1(u)$  cannot be a linear function. For if  $\alpha_1(u) = 1 + cu$   $(c \neq 0)$ , say, we can choose a real number  $\beta$  so that the function  $e^{\beta u}(1 + cu)$  is concave near the point u = 0. But  $e^{\beta t}\alpha_1(t)$  is the maximal eigenvalue of the matrix  $e^{\beta t}P(t)$ , which is of the same type as P(t), and which cannot therefore have a concave maximal eigenvalue.

It follows that  $\alpha_1(u)$  is strictly convex.

We may specialize our results to integral random variables. To this end, let  $\phi_{jk}(z)$  be a probability generating function associated with the transition (j, k) and suppose that there is an annulus  $r_0 < |z| < r'_0$   $(0 \le r_0 < 1 < r'_0 \le \infty)$  in which all the  $\phi_{jk}(z)$  have convergent Laurent series. Let Q(z) denote the matrix  $\{p_{jk}\phi_{jk}(z)\}$  and we suppose that Q(z) is not of the degenerate form

$$(4.6) Q(z) = z^{\beta} Z P Z^{-1},$$

where  $\beta$  is an integer and Z is a diagonal matrix of integral powers of z. For real and positive z let  $a_1(z)$  be the maximal positive eigenvalue of Q(z). If we set  $z = e^t$ , then, by Theorem 2,  $a_1(e^t)$  is a strictly convex function of t (t real) and therefore  $a_1(z)$ , though not necessarily convex, has the property of not having a local maximum for real positive z. This generalizes the result of Volkov [4] who demonstrated this property in the special case where  $\phi_{jk}(z) = z^{\alpha_k}$ .

We return to the matrix P(t) as defined in Section 2. The convexity property of  $\alpha_1(u)$  (t = u + iv) raises the question of whether  $\alpha_1(u)$  attains its unique minimum at a finite value of u. The answer is clearly affirmative if  $\alpha_1'(0) = 0$ . If  $\alpha_1'(0) < 0$  say, then either  $\alpha_1(u)$  continues to decrease as u increases or it reaches a minimum and then starts increasing. We distinguish between the cases where the strip (2.1) includes the entire right half-plane ( $u_0' = \infty$ ) and where it is bounded to the right ( $u_0' < \infty$ ). Modifications for the left half plane will be obvious (i.e., for the case where  $\alpha_1'(0) > 0$ ).

Theorem 3. Let t = u + iv and suppose that P(t) is not degenerate:

(a) Suppose that  $\alpha_1(u)$  is defined for all u > 0 (i.e.,  $u'_0 = \infty$ ). Then a necessary and sufficient condition for  $\alpha_1(u)$  to be uniformly bounded (and so monotonic decreasing) for all u > 0 is that there exists a diagonal matrix D(t) of degenerate moment generating functions such that each element of the matrix

(4.7) 
$$Q(t) = \{D(t)\}^{-1}P(t)D(t)$$

is of the form  $p_{jk}q_{jk}(t)$ ,  $q_{jk}(t)$  being the moment generating function of a non-positive random variable. In the case of integral random variables, each element of D(t) and each  $q_{jk}(t)$  will be the moment generating function of an integral random variable.

- (b) Let  $\alpha_1'(0) < 0$  and suppose  $u_0' < \infty$ . Then  $\alpha_1(u)$  attains its unique stationary minimum at a finite positive value of u if one of the following conditions is satisfied:
- (i) There exists a number  $u_1$  (0 <  $u_1$  <  $u_0'$ ) such that for each j, k for which  $f_{jk}(t)$  is defined,  $f'_{jk}(u_1) \ge 0$ .
  - (ii) For some  $j, k, f_{jk}(u) \to \infty$  as  $u \to u'_0 .$  Proof.

(a) If (4.7) is satisfied, then P(t) and Q(t) have the same eigenvalues. Since each element of Q(t) is non-increasing for t > 0, it follows from Section 3(c) that  $\alpha_1(t)$  is non-increasing for t > 0 and therefore bounded for all t > 0.

Conversely, if  $\alpha_1(u)$  is bounded for u > 0, we note that for each j, k for which  $p_{jk} > 0$ , and for some finite, real  $\beta_{jk}$ ,  $\Pr(X > \beta_{jk}) = 0$ , where X is a random variable with moment generating function  $f_{jk}(t)$ . For if not, then we can find n and j such that  $\Pr(S_n > 0 | k_0 = j, k_n = j) > 0$ , which implies that  $f_{jj}^{(n)}(u) \to \infty$  as  $u \to \infty$ . But this contradicts the boundedness of  $\alpha_1(u)$  since  $\{\alpha_1(u)\}^n \ge p_{jj}^{(n)}(u)$ . Thus for each  $j,k,f_{jk}(t)$  represents a random variable which is bounded above and we may write

$$(4.8) f_{jk}(t) = \exp(\beta_{jk}t)g_{jk}(t),$$

where  $\beta_{ik}$  is real for each j, k and

$$(4.9) g_{jk}(t) = o(e^{\epsilon t}) (t \to +\infty)$$

for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $\{x_j(t)\}$  be a right eigenvector of P(t) corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\alpha_1(t)$ . We can choose  $x_j(t)$  to be the co-factor of, say, the element in position (1,j) of the matrix  $[\alpha_1(t)I - P(t)]$   $(j=1, \dots, N)$ . Thus, for each j,  $x_j(t)$  is expressible as a sum of products of the elements of  $[\alpha_1(t)I - P(t)]$ . Hence from (4.8), (4.9) and the boundedness of  $\alpha_1(t)$  (t>0), it follows that there is a finite real number  $\beta_j$  such that

(4.10) 
$$x_j(t) = y_j(t) \exp(\beta_j t)$$
  $(j = 1, \dots, N),$ 

where for each j and every  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$(4.11) y_j(t) = o(e^{\epsilon t}) (t \to +\infty).$$

Let  $T(t) = \text{diag}\{x_i(t)\}$ . Then the matrix

$$(4.12) {r_{jk}(t)} = [\alpha_1(t)]^{-1} [T(t)]^{-1} P(t) T(t)$$

is a stochastic transition matrix for each real t by Lemma 3.1 and hence for all real t we have  $0 \le r_{jk}(t) \le 1$ . From (4.12) we have for each j, k

$$p_{jk}f_{jk}(t)x_k(t) = x_j(t)\alpha_1(t)r_{jk}(t),$$

and from the relations (4.8) to (4.11) it follows that  $\beta_{jk} + \beta_k \leq \beta_j$  for each j, k for which  $p_{jk} > 0$ . The result now follows by taking  $D(t) = \text{diag} \{ \exp (\beta_j t) \}$ .

In the case where each  $f_{jk}(t)$  is the moment generating function of an integral random variable, each  $\beta_{jk}$  and  $\beta_j$  will be an integer.

(b) In (i), we have  $\alpha_1(u_1) \geq 0$  since  $\alpha_1(u)$  is a nondecreasing function of each of the elements, and thus  $\alpha_1(u)$  must attain its minimum in the interval  $0 < u \leq u_1$ . In (ii) suppose that for some fixed  $j, k, f_{jk}(u) \to \infty$  as  $u \to u'_0 - 1$ . We choose n so that  $P^n > 0$  and since  $u'_0 < \infty$ , we can find C > 0 such that  $f_{kj}^{(n)}(u) \geq C$  as  $u \to u'_0 - 1$ . Then we have

$$\{\alpha_1(u)\}^{n+1} \ge f_{jj}^{(n+1)}(u) \ge p_{jk}p_{kj}^{(n)}f_{jk}(u)f_{kj}^{(n)}(u)$$

$$\geq Cp_{jk}p_{kj}^{(n)}f_{jk}(u) \to \infty \quad \text{as } u \to u_0'-.$$

Thus  $\alpha_1(u) \to \infty$  as  $u \to u_0'$ — and the result follows.

We now explore further the properties of  $\alpha_1(t)$  in the case of integral random variables. We first state a well known result concerning characteristic functions of integral random variables.

LEMMA 4.1. Let  $f(iv) = E(e^{ivX})$  (v real) where X is a non-degenerate integral random variable. Then  $|f(iv_1)| = 1$  for some  $v_1$  ( $v_1 \neq 0$ ,  $-\pi \leq v_1 \leq \pi$ ) if and only if  $v_1/2\pi$  is a rational number, say  $v_1 = 2\pi p/q$  (g.c.d. [p, q] = 1, q > 1), and f(iv) is of the form  $e^{imv}g(iv)$ , where m is an integer and g(iv) is a characteristic function of period  $2\pi/q$  in v, or equivalently if and only if X only takes values of the form m + nq ( $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots; m, q$  integral, q > 1)

In the following theorem we prove a corresponding result for the functions  $\alpha_j(iv)$  and it is sufficient to suppose that each  $f_{jk}(t)$  exists only on the imaginary axis.

Theorem 4. Let t=iv (v real) and suppose that each of the functions  $f_{jk}(iv)$  is a characteristic function of an integral random variable. Let  $\alpha_j(iv)$  ( $j=1,\dots,N$ ) be the eigenvalues of P(iv) where  $\alpha_1(0)=1$ . Then there exists a number  $v_1\neq 0$  ( $-\pi \leq v_1 \leq \pi$ ) satisfying  $\alpha_j(iv_1)=1$  for some j if and only if  $v_1/2\pi$  is a rational number, say  $v_1=2\pi p/q$  (g.c.d. [p,q]=1,q>1), and P(iv) is of the form

(4.13) 
$$P(\dot{w}) = e^{imv} D(\dot{w}) Q(\dot{w}) \{D(\dot{w})\}^{-1},$$

where

- (i)  $Q(iv) = \{p_{jk}g_{jk}(iv)\}$ , each  $g_{jk}$  being a characteristic function of period  $2\pi/q$  in v, possibly  $g_{jk}(iv) \equiv 1$ ;
  - (ii)  $D(iv) = \text{diag} \{\exp(im_j v)\} (m_1, \dots, m_N \text{ integral});$
  - (iii) m is integral.

PROOF. If P(iv) is of the form (4.13) then we may take  $v_1 = 2\pi/q$  and then  $\exp(imv_1)$  will be an eigenvalue of  $P(iv_1)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $e^{i\sigma}$  is an eigenvalue of  $P(iv_1)$   $(v_1 \neq 0, -\pi \leq v_1 \leq \pi)$ . Since  $\{P(iv_1)\}^* \leq P$  it follows from Section 3(c) that

$$(4.14) P(iv_1) = e^{i\sigma} DPD^{-1},$$

where  $D^* = I$ , and hence that

(4.15) 
$$|f_{jk}^{(n)}(iv_1)| = 1$$
, each  $j, k$  and  $n$  such that  $p_{jk}^{(n)} > 0$ .

If  $f_{jk}^{(n)}(iv)$  is degenerate for each j, k and n for which  $p_{jk}^{(n)} > 0$ , then  $[\{P(iv)\}^n]^* = P^n$  for all v and n and thus  $|\alpha_1(iv)| = 1$  (all v). Hence, again by Section 3(c)  $P(iv) = \alpha_1(iv)D(v)P\{D(v)\}^{-1}$  where  $D(v) = \text{diag}\{d_j(v)\}$  ( $|d_j(v)| = 1$   $j = 1, \dots, N$ ). For each j, k and all sufficiently large n, therefore,

$$\{a_1(iv)\}^n d_j(v)\{d_k(v)\}^{-1}$$

is a degenerate characteristic function, so that  $\alpha_1(iv)$  must be of the form  $e^{i\beta v}$  ( $\beta$  real and constant). It follows from Theorem 1(b) that P(iv) is of the form (4.13) with  $Q(iv) \equiv P$ .

Otherwise, for some j, k and n,  $f_{jk}^{(n)}(iv)$  is not degenerate and hence  $v_1 = 2\pi p/q$  (g.c.d. [p, q] = 1, q > 1) by Lemma 4.1. In virtue of (4.15) we may write

(4.16) 
$$f_{jk}^{(n)}(iv) = \exp(im_{jk}^{(n)}v)g_{jk}(iv),$$
 each  $j, k, n$  such that  $p_{jk}^{(n)} > 0$ 

where  $g_{jk}^{(n)}(iv)$  is a characteristic function of period  $2\pi/q$  and  $m_{jk}^{(n)}$  an integer. In (4.14) let  $D = \text{diag} \{\exp(i\beta_j)\}$  ( $\beta_j \text{ real}, j = 1, \dots, N$ ). Then (4.16) (with  $v = v_1 = 2\pi p/q$ ) implies that

(4.17) 
$$2\pi p m_{jk}^{(n)}/q = n\sigma + \beta_j - \beta_k + 2N_{jk}^{(n)}\pi, \qquad N_{jk}^{(n)} \text{ integral,}$$

for each j, k and n such that  $p_{jk}^{(n)} > 0$ . By evaluating (4.17) at n and n+1 (where n is such that  $P^n > 0$ ) we obtain

$$\sigma = 2\pi pm/q + 2M\pi$$
, m, M integral,

and (4.17) for j, h and k, h gives the result

$$(4.18) \beta_i - \beta_k = (m_{ih}^{(n)} - m_{kh}^{(n)}) 2\pi p/q - 2(N_{ih}^{(n)} - N_{kh}^{(n)})\pi$$

Since the left hand side of (4.18) is independent of h we may take

$$\exp \{i(\beta_j - \beta_k)\} = \exp \{i(m_j - m_k)2\pi p/q\}, \qquad m_1, \dots, m_N \text{ integral.}$$

Now from (4.17) we see that (writing  $m_{ik} = m_{ik}^{(1)}$ )

$$m_{jk}2\pi p/q = (m + m_j - m_k)2\pi p/q + 2N'_{jk}\pi,$$
  $N'_{jk}$  integral.

Hence  $m_{jk} = m + m_j - m_k + N'_{jk}q/p$ . Thus  $N'_{jk}q/p$  must be an integer and since g.c.d. (p, q) = 1 we must have  $m_{jk} = m + m_j - m_k + qM_{jk}$ ,  $M_{jk}$  integral. From (4.16) with n = 1 it follows that P(iv) is of the form (4.13).

If f(iv) is the characteristic function of an integral random variable, we may

say that f(iv) is expressed in its lowest terms if  $f(iv) = e^{imv}g(iv)$ , where g(iv) has minimal period  $2\pi/q$  (q integral,  $q \ge 1$ ) and m is an integer satisfying  $0 \le m < q$ . Analogously, in the matrix case we may say that P(iv) is expressed in its lowest terms if it is written in the form (4.13) where Q(iv) has minimal period  $2\pi/q$  ( $q \ge 1$ ) and  $0 \le m < q$ . Hence an alternative statement of Theorem 4 is

Theorem 4'. If P(iv) is expressed in its lowest terms in the form (4.13), then  $|\alpha_j(iv)| < 1 \ (0 < |v| \le \pi; j = 1, \dots, N)$  if and only if q = 1.

5. The probability of tail values of the sums  $S_n$ . We use the notation and definitions of Section 2 and we suppose that each  $f_{jk}(t)$  is an analytic moment generating function of an integral random variable. We suppose also that P(iv), when expressed in its lowest terms, satisfies the conditions of Theorem 4', i.e., if Q(iv) has minimal period  $2\pi/q$  (q integral,  $q \ge 1$ ) where

(5.1) 
$$P(t) = e^{mt} [\operatorname{diag} \{ \exp(m_i t) \}] Q(t) [\operatorname{diag} \{ \exp(m_i t) \}]^{-1},$$

 $m, m_1, \dots, m_N$  integral, then q = 1. If P(iv) does not satisfy these conditions, i.e., if q > 1, then we write  $Q_1(iv) = Q(iv/q)$ . Now  $Q_1(iv)$  has minimal period  $2\pi$ , and it would be sufficient to study  $Q_1$  instead of Q. Hence it is clearly no loss of generality to suppose that q = 1. Accordingly, we summarize our assumptions concerning P(t) as follows:

- (i) P(iv) has minimal period  $2\pi$  in v,
- (5.2) (ii) P(t) is not reducible to the form (5.1) with q > 1,
  - (iii) P(t) is not degenerate.

If a is any real number, then  $e^{-at}\alpha_1(t)$  is the maximal positive eigenvalue of the matrix  $e^{-at}P(t)$  and is therefore a strictly convex function for real t. We choose a so that the matrix  $e^{-at}P(t)$  satisfies one of the conditions of Theorem 3 and also so that  $a > \alpha'_1(0)$ , thus ensuring that  $e^{-at}\alpha_1(t)$  attains its unique minimum at a real, positive, finite value of t. Let

$$m(a) = \inf_{t>0} e^{-at} \alpha_1(t)$$

and let  $t^*(a)$  satisfy  $m(a) = \exp\{at^*(a)\}\alpha_1(t^*(a))$ . Since  $\alpha_1'(0) < a$  we have  $t^*(a) > 0$  and 0 < m(a) < 1. For brevity we write  $t^* = t^*(a)$ . We now define the matrices

$$\Phi_n(a) = \{ p_{jk}^{(n)} \Pr (S_n = na \mid k_0 = j, k_n = k) \}$$

and

$$\Pi_n(a) = \{ p_{jk}^{(n)} \Pr (S_n \ge na \mid k_0 = j, k_n = k) \},$$

and our task will be to obtain asymptotic expressions for these as  $n \to \infty$ . We shall follow closely the methods used by Blackwell and Hodges [1].

The matrix  $e^{-at^*}P(t^*)$  is non-negative, irreducible and primitive, so that it has positive right and left eigenvectors  $x^* = (x_j^*)$ ,  $y^* = (y_j^*)$  respectively such

that  $y^*x^* = 1$ . Let

$$r_{jk} = e^{-at^*} \{m(a)\}^{-1} x_k^* (x_j^*)^{-1} p_{jk} f_{jk} (t^*).$$

Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $R = (r_{jk})$  is the transition matrix of an ergodic Markov chain with no cyclically moving sub-classes. Let  $K_n$  denote the state at time n in a realization of this chain  $(n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots)$ . Let

(5.3) 
$$R(t) = \{r_{jk}f_{jk}(t+t^*)/f_{jk}(t^*)\}$$
 i.e., 
$$R(t) = \{m(a)\}^{-1}e^{-at^*}D^{-1}P(t+t^*)D,$$

where  $D = \text{diag }(x_j^*)$ . For each j, k for which  $p_{jk} > 0$ ,  $f_{jk}(t + t^*)/f_{jk}(t^*)$  is the moment generating function of an integral random variable. We define a sequence of random variables  $Y_1$ ,  $Y_2$ ,  $\cdots$  associated with the Markov chain  $K_0$ ,  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $\cdots$  in such a way that  $Y_n$  has the moment generating function  $f_{jk}(t + t^*)/f_{jk}(t^*)$  if  $K_{n-1} = j$  and  $K_n = k$ . Thus  $\dot{Y}_1$ ,  $\dot{Y}_2$ ,  $\cdots$  are associated with R(t) in the same way as  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $\cdots$  are associated with P(t).

with R(t) in the same way as  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$ ,  $\cdots$  are associated with P(t). Let  $R^n = (r_{jk}^{(n)})$  and  $T_n = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n$ . If we raise each side of (5.3) to the power n and equate coefficients of  $e^{nat}$  (assuming na to be an integer) we obtain the relation

(5.4) 
$$p_{jk}^{(n)} \Pr (S_n = na \mid k_0 = j, k_n = k) \\ = \{m(a)\}^n x_j^* (x_k^*)^{-1} r_{jk}^{(n)} \Pr (T_n = na \mid K_0 = j, K_n = k)$$

which corresponds to Theorem 1 of Blackwell and Hodges. Further, for any integer s, we have

(5.5) 
$$p_{jk}^{(n)} \Pr (S_n = na + s \mid k_0 = j, k_n = k) \\ = \{m(a)\}^n x_j^* (x_k^*)^{-1} e^{-st^*} r_{jk}^{(n)} \Pr (T_n = na + s \mid K_0 = j, K_n = k).$$

Let  $\beta_1(t) = \alpha_1(t+t^*)/\alpha_1(t^*)$ ,  $\beta_2(t)$ ,  $\cdots$   $\beta_N(t)$  be the eigenvalues of R(t). Since  $\beta_1'(0) = a$ , the asymptotic expectation of the increment  $T_n - T_{n-1}$  is a, whereas that of  $S_n - S_{n-1}$  is  $\alpha_1'(0)$ . Thus we have achieved a shift of expectation similar to that of Blackwell and Hodges and others mentioned in [1].

For each j, k the possible values of  $Y_1$  are identical to those of  $X_1$  and so  $|\beta_1(iv)| < 1$  ( $0 < |v| \le \pi$ ) by Theorem 4'. Since  $\beta_1(0) = 1$  and  $[R(iv)]^* \le R$ , it follows from Section 3(c) that  $|\beta_j(iv)| < 1$  ( $j = 2, 3, \dots, N; -\pi \le v \le \pi$ ) and hence by continuity that there exists a number  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta < 1$ ) such that  $|\beta_j(iv)| \le \eta$  ( $j = 2, 3, \dots, N; -\pi \le v \le \pi$ ). Let  $x(t) = \{x_j(t)\}$  and  $y(t) = \{y_j(t)\}$  be respectively right and left eigenvectors of R(t) corresponding to the root  $\beta_1(t)$ , chosen so that  $x_j(0) = 1, j = 1, \dots, N$  and

$$\sum_{j=1}^N x_j(t)y_j(t) = 1.$$

It follows from the Jordan canonical form for R(t) that

$$\{R(iv)\}^n = x(iv)y(iv)\{\beta_1(iv)\}^n + O(\eta^n).$$

Let  $\sigma^2 = \beta_1''(0) - a^2 (= \dot{\alpha}_1''(t^*)/\alpha_1(t^*) - a^2)$  and we have

Theorem 5. Provided that na is a possible value of  $S_n$  the following asymptotic matrix relations hold as  $n \to \infty$ 

(i) 
$$\Phi_n(a) = \{m(a)\}^n \{\sigma(2\pi n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\}^{-1} x^* y^* \{I + O(n^{-1})\}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{(i)} & \Phi_n(a) = \{m(a)\}^n \{\sigma(2\pi n)^{\frac{1}{2}}\}^{-1} x^* y^* \{I + O(n^{-1})\};\\ \text{(ii)} & \Pi_n(a) = \{m(a)\}^n [\sigma(2\pi n)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - e^{-t^*})]^{-1} x^* y^* \{I + O(n^{-1})\}. \end{array}$$

PROOF. It follows from (5.6) and the theory of Fourier series that

$$r_{jk}^{(n)} \Pr (T_n = na \mid K_0 = j, K_n = k)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ianv} \{\beta_1(iv)\}^n x_j(iv) y_k(iv) \ dv + O(\eta^n)$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} B_n(v) \ dv + O(\eta^n), \text{ say.}$$

Since  $\beta_1'(0) = a$  and  $\beta_1''(0) - a^2 = \sigma^2$ , we may choose  $v_0$  (>0) so that

$$|e^{-iav}\beta_1(iv)| \le 1 - \sigma^2 v^2/3 \qquad (|v| \le v_0).$$

We break up the range of integration in (5.7) into the ranges  $|v| \leq n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log n$ ,  $n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \log n < |v| \le v_0$ , and  $v_0 < |v| \le \pi$ . In the first of these ranges we have the expansions

$$\log \left[ \left\{ e^{-iav} \beta_1(iv) \right\}^n \right] = -n \sigma^2 v^2 / 2 + n \sum_{r=3}^{\infty} b_r v^r$$

and

$$x_j(iv)y_k(iv) = x_k^* y_k^* + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} c_r v^r$$
  $(c_r = c_r(j, k), r = 1, 2 \cdots)$ 

since, in the latter expansion, y(0)x(0) = 1 and therefore, by Lemma 3.1,  $y_k(0) = x_k^* y_k^*$ . Thus on setting  $w = n^{\frac{1}{2}} \sigma v$ , the integrand in (5.7) may be written, for  $|w| \leq \sigma \log n$ ,

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}w^2}[x_k^*y_k^* + wC_1(w^2)n^{-\frac{1}{2}} + C_2(w^2)n^{-1} + o(n^{-1})]$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are polynomials in  $w^2$ , depending on j and k but not on n. Using the result that

$$\int_{-\sigma \log n}^{\sigma \log n} t^p e^{-\frac{1}{2}t^2} dt = 2^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} \Gamma\{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)\} + o(n^{-2})$$

when p is even and vanishes when p is odd, we have

$$(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{-n^{-\frac{1}{2}\log n}}^{n^{-\frac{1}{2}\log n}} B_n(v) \ dv = (2\pi n\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_k^* y_k^* \{1 + O(n^{-1})\}.$$

In virtue of (5.8) we have

$$\int_{n^{-\frac{1}{2}\log n < |v| \le v_0}} B_n(v) \ dv = 0 \left( \int_{n^{-\frac{1}{2}\log n}}^{\infty} \exp(-\frac{1}{3} n\sigma^2 v^2) \ dv \right)$$

which is  $o(n^{-K})$  for all K. In the range  $v_0 < |v| \le \pi, |\beta_1(iv)| \le \rho$ , say,  $(0 < \rho < 1)$  and so

$$\int_{v_0 < |v| \le \pi} B_n(v) \ dv = O(\rho^n).$$

Combining these we find finally

$$r_{jk}^{(n)} \Pr (T_n = na | K_0 = j, K_n = k) = (2\pi n\sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} x_k^* y_k^* \{1 + O(n^{-1})\}$$

and the result (i) now follows from (5.4). The result (ii) follows from (5.5) by summing with respect to s ( $s = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ ).

**6.** Acknowledgments. I should like to thank Dr. P. Whittle for helpful suggestions and to acknowledge a grant from the South African Council for Scientific and Industrial Research.

## REFERENCES

- [1] DAVID BLACKWELL AND J. L. HODGES, Jr., "The probability in the extreme tail of a convolution," Ann. Math. Stat., Vol. 30 (1959), pp. 1113-1120.
- [2] SALOMON BOCHNER AND WILLIAM TED MARTIN, Several Complex Variables, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1948.
- [3] GERARD DEBREU AND I. N. HERSTEIN, "Non-negative square matrices," Econometrica, Vol. 21 (1953), pp. 597-607.
- [4] I. S. Volkov, "On the distribution of sums of random variables defined on a homogeneous Markov chain with a finite number of states," Teor. Veroyat. i ee Prim., Vol. 3 (1958), pp. 413-429 (in Russian).
- [5] I. S. Volkov, "On probabilities for extreme values of sums of random variables defined on a homogeneous Markov chain with a finite number of states", Teor. Veroyat. i ee Prim., Vol. 5 (1960), pp. 338-352 (in Russian).