

ON THE PROPORTION OF OBSERVATIONS ABOVE SAMPLE MEANS IN A BIVARIATE NORMAL DISTRIBUTION¹

BY CHANDAN K. MUSTAFI

Rutgers—The State University

Let (x_i, y_i) ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be n independent observations from a bivariate normal distribution with

$$E(x_i) = E(y_i) = 0, \quad E(x_i^2) = E(y_i^2) = 1, \quad E(x_i y_i) = \rho, \quad |\rho| < 1.$$

Let \bar{x} and \bar{y} be the sample means of x and y respectively. Suppose

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} P_n &= \text{proportion of } x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \text{ above } \bar{x}, \\ Q_n &= \text{proportion of } y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \text{ above } \bar{y}. \end{aligned}$$

In this note, we shall derive the limiting bivariate distribution of (P_n, Q_n) . This will be a generalization of the result obtained by David [2]. An application of this result will also be pointed out.

THEOREM. *The limiting distribution of $(n^{\frac{1}{2}}(P_n - \frac{1}{2}), n^{\frac{1}{2}}(Q_n - \frac{1}{2}))$ is bivariate normal with means zero and dispersion matrix*

$$(2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} - (2\pi)^{-1} & (\arcsin \rho - \rho)(2\pi)^{-1} \\ (\arcsin \rho - \rho)(2\pi)^{-1} & \frac{1}{4} - (2\pi)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROOF. Let t_1 and t_2 be any two real numbers. Suppose,

$$\begin{aligned} p_1(n) &= \frac{1}{2} + n^{-\frac{1}{2}}t_1; & q_1(n) &= \frac{1}{2} - n^{-\frac{1}{2}}t_1; \\ p_2(n) &= \frac{1}{2} + n^{-\frac{1}{2}}t_2; & q_2(n) &= \frac{1}{2} - n^{-\frac{1}{2}}t_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let U_q and ξ_q be the sample and the population quantiles of order q for x . Let V_q and η_q be the corresponding expressions for y .

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} &P\{n^{\frac{1}{2}}(P_n - \frac{1}{2}) \leq t_1, n^{\frac{1}{2}}(Q_n - \frac{1}{2}) \leq t_2\} \\ &= P\{P_n \leq p_1(n), Q_n \leq p_2(n)\} = P\{U_{q_1(n)} \leq \bar{x}, V_{q_2(n)} \leq \bar{y}\} \\ &= P\{n^{\frac{1}{2}}(U_{q_1(n)} - \bar{x} - \xi_{q_1(n)}) \leq -n^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi_{q_1(n)}, \\ &\quad n^{\frac{1}{2}}(V_{q_2(n)} - \bar{y} - \eta_{q_2(n)}) \leq -n^{\frac{1}{2}}\eta_{q_2(n)}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let,

$$a_n = n^{\frac{1}{2}}(U_{q_1(n)} - \bar{x} - \xi_{q_1(n)}), \quad b_n = n^{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{x}, \quad c_n = n^{\frac{1}{2}}(V_{q_2(n)} - \bar{y} - \eta_{q_2(n)}), \quad d_n = n^{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{y}.$$

Then,

$$a_n + b_n = n^{\frac{1}{2}}(U_{q_1(n)} - \xi_{q_1(n)}), \quad c_n + d_n = n^{\frac{1}{2}}(V_{q_2(n)} - \eta_{q_2(n)}).$$

Received 11 October 1967.

¹ Research partially supported by Grant No. WP00457 of the Department of the Interior.

The vector $\mathbf{Z}_1 = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ is independent of the vector $\mathbf{Z}_2 = (x_1 - \bar{x}, \dots, x_n - \bar{x}, y_1 - \bar{y}, \dots, y_n - \bar{y})$. The vector (a_n, c_n) is a function of \mathbf{Z}_2 and the vector (b_n, d_n) is a function of \mathbf{Z}_1 . Hence, the vector (a_n, c_n) is independent of the vector (b_n, d_n) . Let $\alpha_n(s_1, s_2), \beta_n(s_1, s_2), \gamma_n(s_1, s_2)$ be the characteristic functions of $(a_n, c_n), (b_n, d_n)$ and $(a_n + b_n, c_n + d_n)$ respectively. Hence, ([1], P-285)

$$(4) \quad \gamma_n(s_1, s_2) = \alpha_n(s_1, s_2) \cdot \beta_n(s_1, s_2),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(s_1, s_2) = [\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(s_1, s_2)][\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n(s_1, s_2)].$$

The asymptotic bivariate distribution of $(a_n + b_n, c_n + d_n)$ can be obtained from a result of Siddiqui ([3], p. 148) if we consider the random variables $(U_{a_1(n)}, V_{a_2(n)})$ instead of (U_α, V_β) and specialize to the case of bivariate normal distributions (see also [4]). This leads to the fact that $(a_n + b_n, c_n + d_n)$ has a limiting bivariate normal distribution with means zero and dispersion matrix Σ , where

$$(5) \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \pi/2 & 2\pi F(0, 0) - \pi/2 \\ 2\pi F(0, 0) - \pi/2 & \pi/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $F(0, 0) = P\{x_i < 0, y_i < 0\}$. It follows ([1], P-290)

$$(6) \quad F(0, 0) = \frac{1}{4} + \arcsin \rho/2\pi.$$

Hence, from (5) and (6)

$$(7) \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \pi/2 & \arcsin \rho \\ \arcsin \rho & \pi/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathbf{S}' = (s_1, s_2)$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(s_1, s_2) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{S}'\Sigma\mathbf{S}}.$$

Also,

$$\beta_n(s_1, s_2) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{S}'\Phi\mathbf{S}},$$

where

$$(8) \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (4), (7) and (8), we obtain

$$(9) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(s_1, s_2) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{S}'(\Sigma - \Phi)\mathbf{S}}.$$

We now assert that the matrix $\Sigma - \Phi$ is positive definite for each $|\rho| < 1$. Let

$$C(\rho) = \arcsin \rho - \rho.$$

Then, $C'(\rho) = (1 - \rho^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1 > 0$.

Thus the function $C(\rho)$ is monotone increasing in ρ . Also, $C(-1) = -\pi/2 + 1$, $C(1) = \pi/2 - 1$. Therefore,

$$-\pi/2 + 1 < \arcsin \rho - \rho < \pi/2 - 1,$$

and hence

$$(\arcsin \rho - \rho)^2 < (\pi/2 - 1)^2.$$

This shows that the matrix $\Sigma - \Phi$ is positive definite.

From (9) it follows that (a_n, c_n) converges in law to (a, c) where (a, c) has a bivariate normal distribution with means 0 and dispersion matrix $\Sigma - \Phi$. Now, it can be proved that [2]

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-n^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_{q_1(n)}) = t_1(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-n^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta_{q_2(n)}) = t_2(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

From (3), (10) and the uniformity of convergence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{n^{\frac{1}{2}}(P_n - \frac{1}{2}) \leq t_1, n^{\frac{1}{2}}(Q_n - \frac{1}{2}) \leq t_2\} \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{a_n \leq -n^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_{q_1(n)}, c_n \leq -n^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta_{q_2(n)}\} = P\{a/(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq t_1, c/(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq t_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(n^{\frac{1}{2}}(P_n - \frac{1}{2}), n^{\frac{1}{2}}(Q_n - \frac{1}{2}))$ has a limiting bivariate normal distribution with means zero and dispersion matrix $(2\pi)^{-1}(\Sigma - \Phi)$ which is the same as (2). This completes the proof of the theorem.

It may be pointed out that the result derived above is independent of a change in location and scale of the variables. Thus, the theorem remains true if the random variables (x_i, y_i) have a bivariate normal distribution with arbitrary means and arbitrary non-singular covariance matrix.

We now use the theorem to prove the following corollary:

COROLLARY. *Let (x_i, y_i) ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) be independent observations from a bivariate normal distribution with arbitrary means and dispersion matrix. Let ρ be the correlation coefficient between x_i and y_i . Let*

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} w_1(n) &= \text{proportion of observations for which } x_i > \bar{x}, y_i > \bar{y}; \\ w_2(n) &= \text{proportion of observations for which } x_i > \bar{x}, y_i < \bar{y}; \\ w_3(n) &= \text{proportion of observations for which } x_i < \bar{x}, y_i < \bar{y}; \\ w_4(n) &= \text{proportion of observations for which } x_i < \bar{x}, y_i > \bar{y}; \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$w_1(n) + w_2(n) + w_3(n) + w_4(n) = 1.$$

Then,

(i) $n^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_1(n) - w_3(n))$ is asymptotically normal with mean 0 and variance

$$\frac{1}{2} + \pi^{-1}(\arcsin \rho - \rho - 1),$$

(ii) $n^{\frac{1}{2}}(w_2(n) - w_4(n))$ is asymptotically normal with mean 0 and variance

$$\frac{1}{2} - \pi^{-1}(\arcsin \rho - \rho + 1),$$

(iii) $w_1(n) - w_3(n)$ and $w_2(n) - w_4(n)$ are asymptotically independent.

PROOF. It follows from (1) and (11)

$$P_n = w_1(n) + w_2(n), \quad Q_n = w_1(n) + w_4(n).$$

Hence

$$(12) \quad w_1(n) - w_3(n) = P_n + Q_n - 1, \quad w_2(n) - w_4(n) = P_n - Q_n.$$

The proof of parts (i) and (ii) of the corollary is thus immediate from the theorem. Also, it follows from equations (2) and (12) that the asymptotic covariance between $w_1(n) - w_3(n)$ and $w_2(n) - w_4(n)$ is zero. This completes the proof of part (iii) of the corollary.

REFERENCES

- [1] CRÁMER, H. (1946). *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*. Princeton Univ. Press.
- [2] DAVID, H. T. (1962). The sample mean among the moderate order statistics. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **33** 1160-1166.
- [3] SIDDIQUI, M. M. (1960). Distribution of Quantiles in Samples from a Bivariate Population. *J. Res. N.B.S.B* **4B** (Math. and Math. Phys.) 145-160.
- [4] SRIVASTAVA, O. P., HARKNESS, W. L. and BARTOO, J. B. (1964). Asymptotic distribution of distances between order statistics from bivariate populations. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **35** 748-754.