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#### Abstract

We consider minimal closed hypersurfaces $M^{4} \subset \mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ with constant scalar curvature. We prove that, if $M^{4}$ is additionally a Willmore hypersurface, then it is isoparametric. This gives a positive answer to the question made by Chern about the pinching of the scalar curvature for closed minimal Willmore hypersurfaces in dimension 4.
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1. Introduction. S. S. Chern proposed the following question (see [7] and [8]): Let $M^{n} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1)$ be an $n$-dimensional closed minimally immersed hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1)(n \geq 2)$ with constant scalar curvature. Let $A$ be the set of possible values for the (constant) scalar curvature of $M^{n}$. Question: Is $A$ a discrete set of real numbers?

First non-trivial case is $n=3$. This case has been completely solved combining results from [2] and [6] in the more general context of local constant mean curvature. The answer is: for fixed $H$ (constant mean curvature), $A$ is finite.

For $n \geq 4$ the problem remains open. In this note we study the subclass of closed minimal Willmore hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ with constant scalar curvature. Precisely, we prove the following:

THEOREM 1. Let $M^{4} \subset \mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ be a closed minimal Willmore hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ with constant scalar curvature, then $M^{4}$ is isoparametric.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1 is the following corollary which gives the possible values for squared length of the second fundamental form of closed minimal Willmore hypersurface with constant scalar curvature in $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$.

Corollary 1. Let $M^{4} \subset \mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ be a closed minimal Willmore hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ with constant scalar curvature. If $S$ denotes the squared norm of the second fundamental form, then $S=0,4$ or 12 .

Remark 1. In dimension $n=2$, the minimality implies the Willmore condition, in other words, minimal surfaces are examples of Willmore surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{3}(1)$. In dimension $n=3$, it was proved in [3] that every closed minimally immersed hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^{4}(1)$ with identically zero Gau $\beta$-Kronecker curvature and nowhere zero second fundamental form is the boundary of a tube of a minimally immersed 2-dimensional surface in $\mathbb{S}^{4}(1)$, whose geodesic radius is $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and whose second fundamental form in

[^0]each normal direction is never zero. This means, by taking a non-isoparametric surface (close to the veronese surface), one can build a non-isoparametric minimal Willmore hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^{4}(1)$. This shows that the condition $S \equiv$ const. is essential to proving that in dimension $n=4$, minimal Willmore hypersurfaces are isoparametric in $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$.
2. Preliminaries. Let $M^{4}$ be a 4-dimensional hypersurface in a unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$. We choose a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{5}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$, so that restricted to $M^{4}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{4}$ are tangent to $M^{4}$. Let $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{5}$ denote the dual co-frame field in $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$. We use the following convention for the indices: $A, B, C, D$ range from 1 to 5 and $i, j, k$ range from 1 to 4 . The structure equations of $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ as a hypersurface of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{6}$, are given by
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega_{A} & =-\sum_{B} \omega_{A B} \wedge \omega_{B}, \omega_{A B}+\omega_{B A}=0 \\
d \omega_{A B} & =-\sum_{C} \omega_{A C} \wedge \omega_{C B}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{C, D} \bar{R}_{A B C D} \omega_{C} \wedge \omega_{D}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

where $\bar{R}$ is the Riemannian curvature tensor

$$
\bar{R}_{A B C D}=\delta_{A C} \delta_{B D}-\delta_{A D} \delta_{B C}
$$

The contractions $\bar{R}_{A C}=\sum_{B} \bar{R}_{A B C B}$ and $\bar{R}=\sum_{A, B} \bar{R}_{A B A B}$ are the Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature of $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$, respectively. Next, we restrict all the tensors to $M^{4}$. First of all, $\omega_{5}=0$ on $M^{4}$, then $\sum_{i} \omega_{5 i} \wedge \omega_{i}=d \omega_{5}=0$. By Cartan's lemma, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{5 i}=\sum_{j} h_{i j} \omega_{i}, \quad h_{i j}=h_{j i} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $h=\sum_{i, j} h_{i j} \omega_{i} \omega_{j}$ denotes the second fundamental form of $M^{4}$ and the principal curvatures $\lambda_{i}$ are the eigenvalues of the matrix $\left(h_{i j}\right)$. Furthermore, the mean curvature is given by $H=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i} h_{i i}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}$ and $K=\operatorname{det}\left(h_{i j}\right)=\prod_{i} \lambda_{i}$ is the Gauß-Kronecker curvature. On $M^{4}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega_{i} & =-\sum_{j} \omega_{i j} \wedge \omega_{j}, \quad \omega_{i j}+\omega_{j i}=0 \\
d \omega_{i j} & =-\sum_{k} \omega_{i k} \wedge \omega_{k j}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, l} R_{i j k l} \omega_{k} \wedge \omega_{l}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R$ is the Riemannian curvature tensor on $M^{4}$ with components satisfying

$$
0=R_{i j k l}+R_{i j l k}
$$

These structure equations imply the following integrability condition (Gauß equation):

$$
R_{i j k l}=\left(\delta_{i k} \delta_{j l}-\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}\right)+\left(h_{i k} h_{j l}-h_{i l} h_{j k}\right)
$$

For the scalar curvature we have

$$
\kappa=12+16 H^{2}-S
$$

where $S=\sum_{i, j} h_{i j}^{2}$ is the square norm of $h$.
From now on we will consider minimal hypersurfaces, that is the mean curvature $H$ is identically zero on $M^{4}$. In this situation, its Ricci curvature and scalar curvature are given by, respectively,

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{i j}=3 \delta_{i j}-\sum_{k} h_{i k} h_{j k}  \tag{2.2}\\
\kappa=12-S \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (2.3) that $\kappa$ is constant if and only if $S$ is constant. The covariant derivative $\nabla h$ with components $h_{i j k}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k} h_{i j k} \omega_{k}=d h_{i j}+\sum_{k} h_{j k} \omega_{i k}+\sum_{k} h_{i k} \omega_{j k} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the exterior derivative of (2.2) together with the structure equations yields the following Codazzi equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j k}=h_{i k j}=h_{j i k} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any fixed point on $M^{4}$, we can choose a local orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{4}\right\}$, such that

$$
h_{i j}=\lambda_{i} \delta_{i j} .
$$

We define the symmetric functions $f_{3}$ and $f_{4}$ on $M^{4}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{3}:=\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j} h_{j k} h_{k i}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{3}, \quad f_{4}:=\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j} h_{j k} h_{k l} h_{l i}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{4} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and additionally

$$
\begin{equation*}
A:=\sum_{i, j, k} \lambda_{i}^{2} h_{i j k}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad B:=\sum_{i, j, k} \lambda_{i} \lambda_{j} h_{i j k}^{2} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following formulas are taken from Peng and Terng [14] (see also [15]):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S & =\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}+(4-S) S \\
\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i, j} h_{i j}\left(f_{3}\right)_{i j} & =S f_{4}-f_{3}^{2}-S^{2}+2 B-A+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, j, k} h_{i k} h_{j k} S_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, if $S$ and $f_{3}$ are assumed to be constant, using the equations above, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}=(S-4) S \\
A-2 B=S f_{4}-f_{3}^{2}-S^{2} . \tag{2.9}
\end{array}
$$

Because $h_{i j k}$ is totally symmetric, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+2 B=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{i, j, k}\left(\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}+\lambda_{k}\right)^{2} h_{i j k}^{2} \geq 0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Willmore hypersurfaces of spheres. Willmore hypersurfaces in spheres are known to be the critical points of the variational problem of the following Willmore functional (see [9]):

$$
\int_{M}\left(S-n H^{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \nu
$$

H. Li computed the Euler-Lagrange equation for the Willmore functional. He obtained the following characterization of Willmore hypersurfaces (see [9]).

ThEOREM 2. Let $M^{n} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1)$ be an $n$-dimensional compact hypersurface in an $(n+1)$-dimensional unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1)$. Then $M^{n}$ is a Willmore hypersurface if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & -\rho^{n-2}\left(2 H S-n H^{3}-\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j} h_{j k} h_{k i}\right)+(n-1) \Delta\left(\rho^{n-2} H\right) \\
& -\sum_{i, j}\left(\rho^{n-2}\right)_{i j}\left(n H \delta_{i j}-h_{i j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho^{2}=S-n H^{2}$, $\Delta$ is the Laplacian and $(.)_{i j}$ is the covariant derivative with respect to the induced connection.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 2 is the following characterization of Willmore hypersurfaces of spheres with constant mean curvature and constant scalar curvature:

Corollary 2. Let $M^{n} \subset \mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1)$ be an $n$-dimensional compact hypersurface with constant mean curvature and constant scalar curvature in an $(n+1)$-dimensional unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1)$. Then $M^{n}$ is a Willmore hypersurface if and only if

$$
f_{3}=\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j} h_{j k} h_{k i}=2 H S-4 H^{3}
$$

In particular, the Willmore condition for minimal hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature is equivalent to the condition $f_{3} \equiv 0$.

In dimension $n=4$, we have the following examples:
Example 1. The totally geodesic great sphere $\mathbb{S}^{4}(1) \subset \mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ is a minimal Willmore hypersurface with $S=0$;

Example 2. The Clifford torus $W_{2,2}=\mathbb{S}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)$ is the only closed minimal Willmore hypersurface which is isoparametric in $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ with two distinct principal curvature;

Example 3. (Cartan's minimal hypersurface of $\left.\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)\right)$.
Let $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}^{3}=\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3}:\|z\|=1\right\}$ and consider the real function $F: \mathbb{S}^{5}(1) \longrightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
F(z)=\left(\|x\|^{2}-\|y\|^{2}\right)^{2}+4<x, y>^{2}, \quad \text { for } \quad z=x+i y
$$

Then for every $t, 0<t<\frac{\pi}{4}$, the level hypersurface of $F$ given by

$$
M_{t}^{4}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{S}^{5}(1): \quad F(z)=\cos ^{2}(2 t)\right\}=F^{-1}\left(\cos ^{2}(2 t)\right)
$$

is an isoparametric hypersurface with principal curvatures

$$
\lambda_{1}=\frac{1+\sin (2 t)}{\cos (2 t)}, \quad \lambda_{2}=\frac{-1+\sin (2 t)}{\cos (2 t)}, \quad \lambda_{3}=\tan (t) \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda_{4}=-\cot (t)
$$

The hypersurfaces $M_{t}^{4}$ constitute the Cartan family of isoparametric hypersurfaces with four distinct principal curvatures. Among these isoparametric hypersurfaces, only the minimal one, $M_{\frac{\pi}{8}}^{4}$ (Cartan's minimal hypersurface), is a Willmore hypersurface. Its principal curvatures are

$$
1+\sqrt{2}, \quad 1-\sqrt{2}, \quad-1+\sqrt{2} \quad \text { and } \quad-1-\sqrt{2} .
$$

Note that isoparametric hypersurfaces with four distinct principal curvatures in $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ and $\mathbb{S}^{9}(1)$ were constructed by $E$. Cartan [5], with the property that all the principal curvatures have the same multiplicity. Such hypersurfaces are homogeneous and do exist only in $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ and $\mathbb{S}^{9}(1)$. Nomizu (see[12] and [13] for details) generalized Cartan's construction to higher odd dimension.
4. Proof of Theorem 1. Obviously, if $S=0$ (trivial case), then $M^{4}$ is the totally geodesic great sphere $\mathbb{S}^{4}(1)$. Suppose from now on that $S>0$. Because the hypersurface is assumed to be minimal and by the Willmore condition $f_{3}=0$, the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $\left(h_{i j}\right)$ corresponding to the second fundamental form is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\lambda)=\lambda^{4}-\frac{S}{2} \lambda^{2}+K \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that this fourth order polynomial $p(\lambda)$ has real roots (principal curvatures of $M^{4}$ ) if and only if $S^{2} \geq 16 K$ everywhere and $M^{4}$ has non-negative Gauß-Kronecker curvature function, i.e, $K \geq 0$.

REmaRk 2. To get the condition $S^{2} \geq 16 K$ under Willmore condition for minimal hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{4}(1)$ with constant scalar curvature, one can use Lagrange multipliers method to minimize the functional $f_{4}=\frac{S^{2}}{2}-4 K$ under $H=0, S^{2} \equiv$ const. and $f_{3}=0$.

Renumbering the vector fields $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$ if necessary, we may assume that the pincipal curvatures satisfy $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq 0 \leq \lambda_{3} \leq \lambda_{4}$. More precisely we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{4}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S+\sqrt{S^{2}-16 K}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=-\lambda_{1} \quad \text { and } \\
\lambda_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S-\sqrt{S^{2}-16 K}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}=-\lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is clear that $\lambda_{i}(p)=\lambda_{j}(p)$ for arbitrary $1 \leq i<j \leq 4$ at some point $p \in M^{4}$ if and only if at that point $p$ one has $K(p)=0$ or $\frac{S^{2}}{16}$.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we have to distinguish the following cases:
(i) there exists a point $p \in M^{4}$ such that $K(p)=\frac{S^{2}}{16}$;
(ii) $0 \leq K<\frac{S^{2}}{16}$ everywhere on $M^{4}$.

The following result will play a crucial role in the proof of our main result.
Theorem 3. Let $M^{4} \subset \mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ be a closed minimal Willmore hypersurface with constant scalar curvature. If there exists a point $p$ of $M^{4}$ such that $K(p)=\frac{S^{2}}{16}>0$, where $K$ denotes the Gauß-Kronecker curvature function and $S$ the squared length of the second fundamental form, then $M^{4}$ is isoparametric with two distinct principal curvatures; in this case, $M^{4}$ is the Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that at a point $p \in M^{4}$ we have $K(p)=\frac{S^{2}}{16}>0$. At such a point $p$ the principal curvatures are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=\lambda_{4}=\frac{\sqrt{S}}{2}>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Codazzi equations (see integrability conditions from section 2), we obtain the following at $p$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{123}=h_{124}=h_{134}=h_{234}=h_{112}=h_{221}=h_{334}=h_{443}=0 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M^{4}$ is minimal and has constant scalar curvature, we have for $1 \leq k \leq 4$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} h_{i i k}=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} h_{i i k}=0 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i i i}=0 \quad \text { for all } \quad i \quad \text { at } p \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another consequence of the Willmore condition for minimal hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature, i.e., $f_{3}=0$, is that $f_{4}=\frac{S^{2}}{2}-4 K$. Therefore, inserting this expression of $f_{4}$ into the equation (2.9) with $f_{3}=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
A-2 B=\frac{S^{2}}{4}(S-4) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of (4.3), the only eventual non-zero $h_{i j k}$ are $h_{113}, h_{114}, h_{223}, h_{224}, h_{331}, h_{332}$, $h_{441}$ and $h_{442}$, and we use (4.2) to get

$$
3(A+2 B)=\sum_{i, j, k}\left(\lambda_{i}+\lambda_{j}+\lambda_{k}\right)^{2} h_{i j k}^{2}=\frac{S}{4} \sum_{i j k} h_{i j k}^{2}
$$

Therefore, by (2.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
3(A+2 B)=\frac{S^{2}}{4}(S-4) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the equations (4.6) and (4.7), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+4 B=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we use again (4.2), (4.3) and (4.5) to compute the expressions of $A$ and $B$ at $p$ explicitly. We get the following:

$$
A+4 B=-\frac{S}{4} \sum_{i, j} h_{i i j}^{2}
$$

So by (4.8), we conclude that $h_{i j k}=0$, for all $i, j, k$. Thus $0=\sum_{i, j, k} h_{i j k}^{2}+S(S-4)$, i.e., $S=4$.

In this case, by applying a result of Chern, do Carmo and Kobayashi (see Theorem 2 , [8]), we infer that $M^{4}$ is isometric to the Clifford torus $\mathbb{S}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right)$.

Now we consider the case $K<\frac{S^{2}}{16}$ everywhere on $M^{4}$ and prove
Theorem 4. Let $M^{4} \subset \mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ be a closed minimal Willmore hypersurface with constant scalar curvature. If $K<\frac{S^{2}}{16}$ everywhere on $M^{4}$, then $M^{4}$ is isoparametric with four distinct principal curvatures; in this case, $M^{4}$ is the Cartan minimal hypersurface as described in Example 3.

Proof. If $0 \leq S \leq 4$, our result follows immediately using a result of Chern, do Carmo and Kobayashi $[8]$. Assume now that $S>4$. In this case we want to prove that $S=12$, i.e., $\kappa=0$. Suppose that $S \neq 12$, i.e., $|\kappa|>0$.
Choose $p \in M^{4}$ such that $C_{1}=K(p)=\max K$. If $K(p)=0$ then $K$ vanishes identically on $M^{4}$. Consequently, the characteristic polynomial (4.1) has constant coefficients, i.e., the hypersurface $M^{4}$ is isoparametric. Since $S>0, M^{4}$ then is an isoparametric hypersurface of $\mathbb{S}^{5}(1)$ with three distinct principal curvatures. This is a contradiction as it is well known from Cartan's classification result [4] that isoparametric hypersurfaces of $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}(1)$ with three distinct principal curvatures do exist only if $n=3,6,12,24$. This proves that the open subset of $M^{4}$ defined by

$$
X:=K^{-1}\left(0, \frac{S^{2}}{16}\right)
$$

is non-empty. We say that the pair $(U, \omega)$ is admissible if
(i) $U$ is an open subset of $X$,
(ii) $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}, \omega_{4}\right)$ is a smooth orthonormal co-frame field on $U$,
(iii) $\omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{3} \wedge \omega_{4}=$ vol,
(iv) $h=\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} \omega_{i} \omega_{i}$.

From [1], we know that there is one and only one 3 -form $\psi$ on $X$ such that if $(U, \omega)$ is admissible, then such a 3 -form $\psi$ is given on $U$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi= & \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{34}+\omega_{3} \wedge \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{24}+\omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{4} \wedge \omega_{23}+\omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{3} \wedge \omega_{14} \\
& +\omega_{4} \wedge \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{13}+\omega_{3} \wedge \omega_{4} \wedge \omega_{12} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define $D:=\prod_{1 \leq i<j \leq 4}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}\right)$ and $q(w, x, y, z):=\frac{1}{4}\left((w-x)^{2}(w-y)(w-z)\right)^{-1}$.
Lemma 1. Denote by $K_{i}$ the ith component of the covariant derivative $d K$ with respect to the co-frame field $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}, \omega_{4}\right)$, i.e., $d K=\sum_{i=1}^{4} K_{i} \omega_{i}$. Then on $X$ we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
d K \wedge \psi= & -4\left(\left(q\left(\lambda_{4}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)+q\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}\right)+q\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)\right) K_{1}^{2}\right. \\
& +\left(q\left(\lambda_{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}\right)+q\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}\right)+q\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)\right) K_{2}^{2}  \tag{4.9}\\
& +\left(q\left(\lambda_{4}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)+q\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}\right)+q\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}\right)\right) K_{3}^{2} \\
& \left.+\left(q\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)+q\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}\right)+q\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)\right) K_{4}^{2}\right) \text { vol. }
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Differentiating our curvature conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}+\lambda_{4}=0 \\
& \lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}+\lambda_{4}^{2}=S=\text { const } \\
& \lambda_{1}^{3}+\lambda_{2}^{3}+\lambda_{3}^{3}+\lambda_{4}^{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

with respect to the direction field $e_{1}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=h_{111}+h_{221}+h_{331}+h_{441} \\
& 0=\lambda_{1} h_{111}+\lambda_{2} h_{221}+\lambda_{3} h_{331}+\lambda_{4} h_{441} \\
& 0=\lambda_{1}^{2} h_{111}+\lambda_{2}^{2} h_{221}+\lambda_{3}^{2} h_{331}+\lambda_{4}^{2} h_{441}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the four principal curvatures are distinct at every point, we can express $h_{i i 1}$, $i=2,3,4$, in terms of $h_{111}$ :

$$
h_{i i 1}=-\frac{\prod_{j \neq i, 1}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{1}\right)}{\prod_{j \neq i, 1}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}\right)} h_{111} .
$$

This implies

$$
K_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{K}{\lambda_{i}} h_{i i 1}=-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{4}\right) h_{111}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i i 1}=\frac{K_{1}}{\prod_{j \neq i}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}\right)} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=2,3$ or 4 .
Using the equation (2.4), we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1 j}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{1}}\left(\sum_{k} h_{1 j k} \omega_{k}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute $d K \wedge \psi=\left(\sum_{i} K_{i} \omega_{i}\right) \wedge \psi$, we just need to compute $\omega_{1} \wedge \psi$; the other terms can be determined by analogy. Using the equations (4.10) and (4.11), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{1} \wedge \psi & =\omega_{1} \wedge\left(\omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{3} \wedge \omega_{14}+\omega_{4} \wedge \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{13}+\omega_{3} \wedge \omega_{4} \wedge \omega_{12}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{i \neq 1} \frac{h_{i i 1}}{\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{1}}\right) \mathrm{vol} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i \neq 1} \frac{K_{1}}{\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{1}\right) \prod_{j \neq i}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{i}\right)}\right) \operatorname{vol} \\
& =-4 K_{1}\left(q\left(\lambda_{4}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)+q\left(\lambda_{3}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{4}\right)+q\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right)\right) \mathrm{vol}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2. The exterior differential $d \psi$ of the form $\psi$ on $X$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \psi=\left(\frac{1}{D^{2}}\left(S^{2}-16 K\right)|\nabla K|^{2}+\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \text { vol. } \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \psi & =d\left(\omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{34}\right)+\ldots \\
& =d \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{34}-\omega_{1} \wedge d \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{34}+\omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2} \wedge d \omega_{34}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

From the structure equations, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega_{1}= & -\left(\omega_{12} \wedge \omega_{2}+\omega_{13} \wedge \omega_{3}+\omega_{14} \wedge \omega_{4}\right) \\
= & (\ldots) \wedge \omega_{2}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}}\left(h_{113} \omega_{1}+h_{134} \omega_{4}\right) \wedge \omega_{3} \\
& -\frac{1}{\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}}\left(h_{114} \omega_{1}+h_{134} \omega_{3}\right) \wedge \omega_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{34}= & -\frac{h_{113} h_{443}}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)} \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{3} \wedge \omega_{4} \\
& -\frac{h_{114} h_{334}}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)} \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{4} \wedge \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{3} \\
= & -\left(\frac{h_{113} h_{443}}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)}+\frac{h_{114} h_{334}}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)}\right) \text { vol. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the same way (interchanging the role of $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ ), we have

$$
\omega_{1} \wedge d \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{34}=\left(\frac{h_{223} h_{443}}{\left(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)}+\frac{h_{224} h_{334}}{\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)}\right) \text { vol. }
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \omega_{34}= & -\omega_{31} \wedge \omega_{14}-\omega_{32} \wedge \omega_{24}+R_{3434} \omega_{3} \wedge \omega_{4} \\
= & -\left(\frac{h_{331} h_{441}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)}+\frac{h_{332} h_{442}}{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}+1\right) \omega_{3} \wedge \omega_{4} \\
& +(\cdots) \wedge \omega_{1}+(\cdots) \wedge \omega_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{3} \wedge d \omega_{34}=( & \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}+1-\frac{h_{331} h_{441}}{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{1}\right)} \\
& \left.-\frac{h_{332} h_{442}}{\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)\left(\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{2}\right)}\right) \text { vol. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly one computes

$$
d\left(\omega_{3} \wedge \omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{24}\right), \quad d\left(\omega_{1} \wedge \omega_{4} \wedge \omega_{23}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad d\left(\omega_{2} \wedge \omega_{3} \wedge \omega_{14}\right)
$$

to get that

$$
d \psi=\left(\frac{1}{2} \kappa-\sum_{k=1}^{4} I_{k}\right) \mathrm{vol}
$$

where

$$
I_{k}=\sum_{k \neq i<j \neq k} \frac{h_{i i k} h_{j j k}}{\left(\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{i}\right)\left(\lambda_{k}-\lambda_{i}\right)} .
$$

Recall that the principal curvatures satisfy $\lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{4}$ and $\lambda_{2}=-\lambda_{3}$. Thus $S^{2}-16 K=$ $4\left(\lambda_{4}^{2}-\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}$ and $D=4 \lambda_{3} \lambda_{4}\left(\lambda_{4}^{2}-\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}$. Now using (4.10) to compute $I_{1}$, we get

$$
I_{1}=-\frac{1}{4 \lambda_{3}^{2} \lambda_{4}^{2}\left(\lambda_{4}^{2}-\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)^{2}} K_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{D^{2}}\left(S^{2}-16 K\right) K_{1}^{2} .
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
I_{i}=-\frac{1}{D^{2}}\left(S^{2}-16 K\right) K_{i}^{2}, \quad \text { for } \quad i=2,3,4 .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{4} I_{k}=\frac{1}{D^{2}}\left(S^{2}-16 K\right) \sum_{i=1}^{4} K_{i}^{2}=\frac{1}{D^{2}}\left(S^{2}-16 K\right)|\nabla K|^{2} .
$$

This establishes the formula (4.12).
Now we are in position to continue the proof of Theorem 4. From Sard's theorem, we can obtain $\varepsilon>0$ such that $C_{1}-\varepsilon$ is a regular value of $K$. Take $0<\varepsilon_{1}<\varepsilon$ sufficiently small such that $D(p) \neq 0$ for all $p \in W_{\varepsilon} \cup W_{\varepsilon_{1}}$, where $W_{\varepsilon}$ and $W_{\varepsilon_{1}}$ are compact subsets of $M^{4}$ defined by

$$
W_{\varepsilon}=K^{-1}\left[C_{1}-\varepsilon, C_{1}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad W_{\varepsilon_{1}}=K^{-1}\left[C_{1}-\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon\right), C_{1}-\varepsilon\right] .
$$

Now we consider a smooth function $\eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}:\left(-\infty, C_{1}+\varepsilon\right] \longrightarrow[0,1]$ with compact support such that
(i) $0 \leq \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}(t) \leq 1$ for all $t$,
(ii) $\eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}(t)=0$ if $t \leq C_{1}-\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon\right)$ and $\eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}(t)=1$ if $C_{1}-\varepsilon \leq t \leq C_{1}+\varepsilon$,
(iii) $\eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t$.

In fact the function $\eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}$ can be defined by $\eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}(t)=\xi\left(t-\left(C_{1}-\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon\right)\right)\right)$, where

$$
\xi(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, \quad \text { if } \quad t \leq 0 \\
\exp \left(\frac{-\varepsilon_{1}}{t} \exp \left(\frac{-\varepsilon_{1}}{\varepsilon_{1}-t}\right)\right) \text { if } \quad 0<t<\varepsilon_{1} \\
1 \quad \text { if } \quad \varepsilon_{1} \leq t \leq \varepsilon_{1}+2 \varepsilon
\end{array}\right.
$$

Applying Stoke's theorem to integrate

$$
d\left(\eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}(K) \psi\right)=\eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}(K) d \psi+\eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}(K) d K \wedge \psi
$$

on the closed hypersurface $M^{4}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\int_{W_{\varepsilon} \cup W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}(K) d \psi+\int_{W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}(K) d K \wedge \psi . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the numbers $C:=\max \frac{1}{D^{2}}\left(S^{2}-16 K\right)$ and $C^{\prime}:=\max _{1 \leq i \leq 4}\left|q_{i}\right|$ on $W_{\varepsilon} \cup W_{\varepsilon_{1}}$, where $q_{i}$ is the factor of $K_{i}^{2}$ in the expression (4.9) of $d K \wedge \psi$ (see Lemma 1). It follows that
$|d K \wedge \psi| \leq C^{\prime}|\nabla K|^{2}$ on $W_{\varepsilon} \cup W_{\varepsilon_{1}}$. The equation (4.13) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{W_{\varepsilon} \cup W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}(K) d \psi\right| & =\left|\int_{W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}(K) d K \wedge \psi\right| \\
& \leq \int_{W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}(K)|d K \wedge \psi| \mathrm{vol} \\
& =C^{\prime} \int_{W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}(K)|\nabla K| \mathrm{vol}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because of the expression (4.13) of $d \psi$ where $\kappa$ is constant, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{W_{\varepsilon} \cup W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}(K) d \psi\right|=\left|\int_{W_{\varepsilon} \cup W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}(K)\left(\frac{1}{D^{2}}\left(S^{2}-16 K\right)|\nabla K|^{2}+\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \operatorname{vol}\right| \\
& \left.\geq\left|\frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{W_{\varepsilon} \cup W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}(K) \operatorname{vol}\right|-\left.\left|\int_{W_{\varepsilon} \cup W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \frac{1}{D^{2}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}(K)\left(S^{2}-16 K\right)\right| \nabla K\right|^{2} \operatorname{vol} \right\rvert\, \\
& \geq \frac{|\kappa|}{2} \int_{W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \operatorname{vol}-C \int_{W_{\varepsilon} \cup W_{\varepsilon_{1}}}|\nabla K|^{2} \operatorname{vol} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This provides the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\kappa|}{2} \int_{W_{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{vol} \leq C \int_{W_{\varepsilon} \cup W_{\varepsilon_{1}}}|\nabla K|^{2} \operatorname{vol}+C^{\prime} \int_{W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}(K)|\nabla K|^{2} \text { vol. } \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result is well known from Analysis and Measure Theory (see for example the book [10], pp. 461):

Lemma 3. Let $\omega$ be a differential form on $M^{4}$ and $F \subset M^{4}$ a closed subset with zero measure. Then for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists an open subset $Z \subset M^{4}$ such that $F \subset Z$ and $\left|\int_{Z} \omega\right|<\varepsilon$.

From Lemma 3 and Sard's theorem we can obtain $0<\varepsilon_{2}<\varepsilon_{1}$, such that the number $t_{2}=C_{1}-\left(\varepsilon_{2}+\varepsilon\right)$ is a regular value of $K$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}(K)|\nabla K|^{2} \operatorname{vol}<\int_{Y_{2}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}(K)|\nabla K|^{2} \operatorname{vol}+\frac{1}{2} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{2}=K^{-1}\left[C_{1}-\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon\right), t_{2}\right]$.
Notice that $\lim _{\varepsilon_{1} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{2}} Y_{2}=K^{-1}\left(t_{2}\right):=X_{2}, \lim _{\varepsilon_{1} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{2}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}(K)=\eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{2}}^{\prime}(K)$ and $\lim _{\varepsilon_{1} \rightarrow \varepsilon_{2}} W_{\varepsilon_{1}}=$ $W_{\varepsilon_{2}}:=K^{-1}\left[t_{2}, C_{1}-\varepsilon\right]$. Moreover $\int_{X_{2}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{2}}^{\prime}(K)|\nabla K|^{2}$ vol $=0$, hence (4.15) yields

$$
\int_{W_{\varepsilon_{1}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}(K)|\nabla K|^{2} \operatorname{vol} \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

Therefore, we can define inductively a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right), 0<\varepsilon_{i}<\varepsilon_{i-1}$, such that the number $t_{i}=C_{1}-\left(\varepsilon_{i}+\varepsilon\right)$ is a regular value of $K$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{W_{\varepsilon_{i}}} \eta_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{i}}^{\prime}(K)|\nabla K|^{2} \operatorname{vol} \leq \frac{1}{i} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{\varepsilon_{i}}=K^{-1}\left[t_{i}, C_{1}-\varepsilon\right]$.
It follows from (4.14) and (4.16) that

$$
\frac{|\kappa|}{2} \int_{W_{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{vol} \leq C \int_{W_{\varepsilon} \cup W_{\varepsilon_{i}}}|\nabla K|^{2} \mathrm{vol}+\frac{1}{i} .
$$

And since $\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} W_{\varepsilon_{i}}=K^{-1}\left(C_{1}-\varepsilon\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\kappa|}{2} \int_{W_{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{vol} \leq C \int_{W_{\varepsilon}}|\nabla K|^{2} \mathrm{vol} \leq C \sup _{W_{\varepsilon}}|\nabla K|^{2} \int_{W_{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{vol} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\int_{W_{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{vol}>0$ and $\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{W_{\varepsilon}}|\nabla K|^{2}=0$, thus (4.17) implies that

$$
\frac{|\kappa|}{2} \leq \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{W_{\varepsilon}}|\nabla K|^{2}=0
$$

which contradicts our assumption that $\kappa \neq 0$. Hence $\kappa=0$ on $M^{4}$.
Now we want to prove that the Gauß-Kronecker curvature function $K$ is constant on $M^{4}$ to conclude that $M^{4}$ is an isoparametric hypersurface. The proof essentially follows the pattern of de Sousa ([16], [17]). We only stress the points which may lead to some differences. We proceed as above while proving that $\kappa$ is constant.

Given a small non-zero positive real number $\varepsilon$, we choose a smooth function $\eta_{\varepsilon}:\left(-\infty, C_{1}+\varepsilon\right] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support such that:
(i) $0 \leq \eta_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq 1$ for all $t$,
(ii) $\eta_{\varepsilon}(t)=0$ if $t \in\left(-\infty, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right]$,
(iii) $\eta_{\varepsilon}(t)=1$ if $t \in\left(\varepsilon, C_{1}+\varepsilon\right]$,
(iv) $\eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \varepsilon\right)$.

Althought there does not exist a unique extension of the form $\psi$ on $K^{-1}(0)$ because of $\eta_{\varepsilon}(K)=0$ on $K^{-1}(0)$, we may consider the 3 -form $\varphi=\eta_{\varepsilon}(K) \psi$ which is globally defined on $M^{4}$. Since $\kappa=0$, by Stoke's theorem and (4.12), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\int_{M^{4}} d \varphi=\int_{K^{-1}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, C_{1}+\varepsilon\right]} \eta_{\varepsilon}(K) d \psi+\int_{K^{-1}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \varepsilon\right]} \eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(K) d K \wedge \psi \\
& =\int_{K^{-1}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, C_{1}+\varepsilon\right]} \eta_{\varepsilon}(K) \frac{\left(S^{2}-16 K\right)}{D^{2}}|\nabla K|^{2} \mathrm{vol}+\int_{K^{-1}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \varepsilon\right]} \eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(K) d K \wedge \psi \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\alpha_{1}$ be a real number such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\left|Q_{1}\right|,\left|Q_{2}\right|,\left|Q_{3}\right|,\left|Q_{4}\right|\right\} \leq \alpha_{1} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{i}$ is the factor of $-4 K_{i}^{2}(1 \leq i \leq 4)$ in the equation (4.9).
It follows from (4.9), (4.18) and (4.19) for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{K^{-1}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, C_{1}+\varepsilon\right]} \eta_{\varepsilon}(K) \frac{\left(S^{2}-16 K\right)}{D^{2}}|\nabla K|^{2} \mathrm{vol} \\
& \leq \alpha_{1} \int_{K^{-1}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \varepsilon\right]} \eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(K)|\nabla K|^{2} \mathrm{vol} \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\xi:\left(-\infty, C_{1}+\varepsilon\right] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the smooth function given by $\xi(t):=\eta_{\varepsilon}(t)-1$. Notice that $\xi^{\prime}(t)=\eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(t)$. By applying Stoke's theorem to

$$
\operatorname{div}((\xi \circ K) \nabla K)=\eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(K)|\nabla K|^{2}+\xi(K) \Delta K
$$

we get

$$
0=\int_{M^{4}} \operatorname{div}((\xi \circ K) \nabla K) \operatorname{vol}=\int_{K^{-1}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \varepsilon\right]} \eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(K)|\nabla K|^{2} \operatorname{vol}+\int_{K^{-1}[0, \varepsilon]} \xi(K) \Delta K \mathrm{vol},
$$

which implies the following integral inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{K^{-1}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \varepsilon\right]} \eta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(K)|\nabla K|^{2} \mathrm{vol} \leq \int_{K^{-1}[0, \varepsilon]}|\Delta K| \mathrm{vol} . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the inequalities (4.20) and (4.21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \int_{K^{-1}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, C_{1}+\varepsilon\right]} \eta_{\varepsilon}(K) \frac{\left(S^{2}-16 K\right)}{D^{2}}|\nabla K|^{2} \mathrm{vol} \leq \alpha_{1} \int_{K^{-1}[0, \varepsilon]}|\Delta K| \mathrm{vol} . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma was proved in [2] for $n=3$ and still holds for $n>3$.
Lemma 4. Let $u: M^{4} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function and $m=\min _{M^{4}} u$. If $D_{\varepsilon}=$ $u^{-1}([m, m+\varepsilon])$, then

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{D_{\varepsilon}}|\Delta u| \text { vol }=0
$$

In particular,

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{K^{-1}[0, \varepsilon]}|\Delta u| \text { vol }=0
$$

Due to Lemma 4 and the integral inequality (4.22), we infer that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{K^{-1}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, C_{1}+\varepsilon\right]} \eta_{\varepsilon}(K) \frac{\left(S^{2}-16 K\right)}{D^{2}}|\nabla K|^{2} \mathrm{vol}=0 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \int_{K^{-1}\left[\varepsilon^{\prime}, C_{1}+\varepsilon\right]} \frac{\left(S^{2}-16 K\right)}{D^{2}}|\nabla K|^{2} \mathrm{vol} \leq \int_{K^{-1}\left[\varepsilon, C_{1}+\varepsilon\right]} \frac{\left(S^{2}-16 K\right)}{D^{2}}|\nabla K|^{2} \mathrm{vol} \\
& \leq \int_{K^{-1}\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{3}, C_{1}+\varepsilon\right]} \eta_{\varepsilon}(K) \frac{\left(S^{2}-16 K\right)}{D^{2}}|\nabla K|^{2} \mathrm{vol} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So (4.23) yields $|\nabla K| \equiv 0$ identically on $M^{4} \backslash K^{-1}(0)$. Since $\nabla K=0$ on $K^{-1}(0)$, we conclude that $K$ is a constant function on $M^{4}$. Therefore, $M^{4}$ is an isoparametric hypersurface. This completes the proof.

Our main result (Theorem 1) is proved combining Theorem 3 and Theorem 4.
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