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#### Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study properties of Besov-type spaces with variable smoothness and integrability. We show that these spaces are characterized by the $\varphi$-transforms in appropriate sequence spaces and we obtain atomic decompositions for these spaces.


## 1. Introduction

The most known general scales of function spaces are the scales of Besov spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and it is known that they cover many well-known classical function spaces such as Hölder-Zygmund spaces and Sobolev spaces, see Triebel's monographes [32] and [33] for the history of these function spaces. These spaces play an important role in Harmonic Analysis.

The theory of these spaces had a remarkable development in part due to its usefulness in applications. For instance, they appear in the study of partial differential equations.

In recent years, there has been growing interest in generalizing classical spaces such as Lebesgue, Sobolev spaces, Besov spaces and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces to the case with either variable integrability or variable smoothness. The motivation for the increasing interest in such spaces comes not only from theoretical purposes, but also from applications to fluid dynamics [24], image restoration and PDE with non-standard growth conditions.

Variable Besov-type spaces $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ have been introduced in [11], where their basic properties are given, such as the Sobolev type embeddings and that under some conditions these spaces are just the Besov spaces $B_{\infty, \infty}^{\alpha(\cdot)+n(1 / \tau(\cdot)-1 / p(\cdot))}$.
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For constant exponents, these spaces unify and generalize many classical function spaces including Besov spaces, Besov-Morrey spaces (see, for example, [42, Corollary 3.3]).

The main aim of this paper is to present another essential property of the Besov-type spaces with variable smoothness and integrability such as the $\varphi$ transforms characterization and the atomic decomposition.

The paper is organized as follows. First we give some preliminaries where we fix some notations and recall some basics facts on function spaces with variable integrability and we give some key technical lemmas needed in the proofs of the main statements. For making the presentation clearer, we give their proofs later in Section 5. We then define the Besov-type spaces $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ and $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) p(\cdot)}$ as follows. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the set of all dyadic cubes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For each cube $P \in \mathcal{Q}$, we denote by $\chi_{P}$ the characteristic function of $P$. Select a pair of Schwartz functions $\Phi$ and $\varphi$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F} \Phi \subset \overline{B(0,2)} \text { and }|\mathcal{F} \Phi(\xi)| \geq c \text { if }|\xi| \leq \frac{5}{3} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F} \varphi \subset \overline{B(0,2)} \backslash B(0,1 / 2) \text { and }|\mathcal{F} \varphi(\xi)| \geq c \text { if } \frac{3}{5} \leq|\xi| \leq \frac{5}{3} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$. Let $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}$ and $\Phi$ and $\varphi$ satisfy (1.1) and (1.2), respectively and we put $\varphi_{v}=2^{v n} \varphi\left(2^{v} \cdot\right)$. The Besov-type space $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) p(\cdot)}$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}}:=\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{|P|^{1 / p(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}<\infty,
$$

where $\varphi_{0}$ is replaced by $\Phi$. While the Besov-type space $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) \tau(\cdot)}$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}:=\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell^{q}(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}<\infty
$$

where $\varphi_{0}$ is replaced by $\Phi$, see Section 2 for the definition of $\mathcal{P}_{0}, \ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)$ and $v_{P}^{+}$. In this section several basic properties such as the $\varphi$-transform characterization are obtained. The main statements are formulated in Section 4, where we give the atomic decomposition of these function spaces. It is shown that the element $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in the space $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$ or $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) p(\cdot)}$ can be represented as

$$
f=\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{v, m} \varrho_{v, m}, \quad \text { converging in } \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

where $\varrho_{v, m}$ 's are the so-called atoms and the sequence complex numbers $\left\{\lambda_{v, m}\right\}$ belongs to an appropriate sequence space. Moreover, based on these sequence spaces equivalent quasi-norms for corresponding function spaces are derived. In
this section we also give some key technical lemmas needed in the proofs of the main statements.

## 2. Preliminaries

As usual, we denote by $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ the $n$-dimensional real Euclidean space, $\mathbb{N}$ the collection of all natural numbers and $\mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. The letter $\mathbb{Z}$ stands for the set of all integer numbers. The expression $f \lesssim g$ means that $f \leq c g$ for some independent constant $c$ (and non-negative functions $f$ and $g$ ), and $f \approx g$ means $f \lesssim g \lesssim f$. As usual for any $x \in \mathbb{R},[x]$ stands for the largest integer smaller than or equal to $x$.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $r>0$ we denote by $B(x, r)$ the open ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with center $x$ and radius $r$. By supp $f$ we denote the support of the function $f$, i.e., the closure of its non-zero set. If $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a measurable set, then $|E|$ stands for the (Lebesgue) measure of $E$ and $\chi_{E}$ denotes its characteristic function.

The symbol $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is used in place of the set of all Schwartz functions $\phi$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, i.e., $\phi$ is infinitely differentiable and

$$
\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M}}=\sup _{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n},|\gamma| \leq M} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\partial^{\gamma} \phi(x)\right|(1+|x|)^{n+M+|\gamma|}<\infty
$$

for all $M \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the dual space of all tempered distributions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We define the Fourier transform of a function $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by $\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi)=$ $(2 \pi)^{-n / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i x \cdot \xi} f(x) d x$. Its inverse is denoted by $\mathcal{F}^{-1} f$. Both $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ are extended to the dual Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in the usual way.

The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $\mathcal{M}$ is defined on $L_{\text {loc }}^{1}$ by

$$
\mathcal{M} f(x)=\sup _{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)}|f(y)| d y .
$$

For $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m=\left(m_{1}, \cdots, m_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, let $Q_{v, m}$ be the dyadic cube in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $Q_{v, m}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right): m_{i} \leq 2^{v} x_{i}<m_{i}+1, i=1,2, \cdots, n\right\}$. For the collection of all such cubes we use $\mathcal{Q}:=\left\{Q_{v, m}: v \in \mathbb{Z}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$. For each cube $Q$, we denote by $x_{Q_{v, m}}$ the lower left-corner $2^{-v} m$ of $Q=Q_{v, m}$, its side length by $l(Q)$ and for $r>0$, we denote by $r Q$ the cube concentric with $Q$ having the side length $r l(Q)$. Furthermore, we put $v_{Q}=-\log _{2} l(Q)$ and $v_{Q}^{+}=\max \left(v_{Q}, 0\right)$.

For $v \in \mathbb{Z}, \varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we set $\widetilde{\varphi}(x):=\overline{\varphi(-x)}, \varphi_{v}(x):=2^{v n} \varphi\left(2^{v} x\right)$, and

$$
\varphi_{v, m}(x):=2^{v n / 2} \varphi\left(2^{v} x-m\right)=\left|Q_{v, m}\right|^{1 / 2} \varphi_{v}\left(x-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right) \quad \text { if } \quad Q=Q_{v, m} .
$$

By $c$ we denote generic positive constants, which may have different values at different occurrences. Although the exact values of the constants are usually irrelevant for our purposes, sometimes we emphasize their dependence on certain parameters (e.g. $c(p)$ means that $c$ depends on $p$, etc.). Further notation will be properly introduced whenever needed.

The variable exponents that we consider are always measurable functions $p$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with range in $[c, \infty[$ for some $c>0$. We denote the set of such functions by
$\mathcal{P}_{0}$. The subset of variable exponents with range $[1, \infty[$ is denoted by $\mathcal{P}$. We use the standard notation $p^{-}:=\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}{\operatorname{ess-inf}} p(x), \quad p^{+}:=\underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}{\operatorname{ess}-\text { sup }} p(x)$.

The variable exponent modular is defined by $\varrho_{p(\cdot)}(f):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho_{p(x)}(|f(x)|) d x$, where $\rho_{p}(t)=t^{p}$. The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}$ consists of measurable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that $\varrho_{p(\cdot)}(\lambda f)<\infty$ for some $\lambda>0$. We define the Luxemburg (quasi)-norm on this space by the formula $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}:=\inf \{\lambda>0$ : $\left.\varrho_{p(\cdot)}\left(\frac{f}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1\right\}$. A useful property is that $\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq 1$ if and only if $\varrho_{p(\cdot)}(f) \leq 1$, see [6], Lemma 3.2.4.

Let $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{0}$. The mixed Lebesgue-sequence space $\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)$ is defined on sequences of $L^{p(\cdot)}$-functions by the modular

$$
\varrho_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}\left(\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right):=\sum_{v} \inf \left\{\lambda_{v}>0: \varrho_{p(\cdot)}\left(\frac{f_{v}}{\lambda_{v}^{1 / q(\cdot)}}\right) \leq 1\right\} .
$$

The (quasi)-norm is defined from this as usual:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}:=\inf \left\{\mu>0: \varrho_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{\mu}\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right) \leq 1\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $q^{+}<\infty$, then we can replace (2.1) by the simpler expression $\varrho_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot))}\right.}\left(\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right):=$ $\sum_{v}\left\|\left|f_{v}\right|^{q(\cdot)}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}}$. Furthermore, if $p$ and $q$ are constants, then $\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)=\ell^{q}\left(L^{p}\right)$. The case $p:=\infty$ can be included by replacing the last modular by

$$
\varrho_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{\infty}\right)}\left(\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right):=\sum_{v}\left\|\left|f_{v}\right|^{q(\cdot)}\right\|_{\infty} .
$$

It is known, cf. [1] and [16], that $\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)$ is a norm if $q(\cdot) \geq 1$ is constant almost everywhere (a.e.) on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $p(\cdot) \geq 1$, or if $\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{q(x)} \leq 1$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, or if $1 \leq q(x) \leq p(x)<\infty$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

We say that $g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is locally log-Hölder continuous, abbreviated $g \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{log}}$, if there exists $c_{\log }(g)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(x)-g(y)| \leq \frac{c_{\log }(g)}{\log (e+1 /|x-y|)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We say that $g$ satisfies the log-Hölder decay condition, if there exists $g_{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}$ and a constant $c_{\log }>0$ such that

$$
\left|g(x)-g_{\infty}\right| \leq \frac{c_{\log }}{\log (e+|x|)}
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We say that $g$ is globally-log-Hölder continuous, abbreviated $g \in C^{\mathrm{log}}$, if it is locally log-Hölder continuous and satisfies the log-Hölder decay condition. The constants $c_{\log }(g)$ and $c_{\log }$ are called the locally log-Hölder constant and the log-Hölder decay constant, respectively. We note that all functions $g \in$ $C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{log}}$ always belong to $L^{\infty}$.

We define the following class of variable exponents

$$
\mathcal{P}^{\log }:=\left\{p \in \mathcal{P}: \frac{1}{p} \text { is globally-log-Hölder continuous }\right\}
$$

were introduced in [7, Section 2]. We define $1 / p_{\infty}:=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} 1 / p(x)$ and we use the convention $\frac{1}{\infty}=0$. Note that although $\frac{1}{p}$ is bounded, the variable exponent $p$ itself can be unbounded. It was shown in [6, Theorem 4.3.8] that $\mathcal{M}: L^{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}$ is bounded if $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log }$ and $p^{-}>1$, see also [7], Theorem 1.2. Also if $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\text {log }}$, then the convolution with a radially decreasing $L^{1}$-function is bounded on $L^{p(\cdot)}$ : $\|\varphi * f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq c\|\varphi\|_{1}\|f\|_{p(\cdot)}$. We also refer to the papers [3] and [4], where various results on maximal function in variable Lebesgue spaces were obtained.

It is known that for $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\text {log }}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\chi_{B}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}\left\|\chi_{B}\right\|_{p^{\prime}(\cdot)} \approx|B| . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\chi_{B}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \approx|B|^{\frac{1}{p(x)}}, \quad x \in B \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for small balls $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}\left(|B| \leq 2^{n}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\chi_{B}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \approx|B|^{\frac{1}{p_{\infty}}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large balls $(|B| \geq 1)$, with constants only depending on the log-Hölder constant of $p$ (see, for example, [6, Section 4.5]). Here $p^{\prime}$ denotes the conjugate exponent of $p$ given by $1 / p(\cdot)+1 / p^{\prime}(\cdot)=1$. These properties are hold if $p \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$, since $\left\|\chi_{B}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}=\left\|\chi_{B}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / a}^{1 / a}$ and $\frac{p}{a} \in \mathcal{P}^{\log }$ if $p^{-} \geq a$.

Recall that $\eta_{v, m}(x):=2^{n v}\left(1+2^{v}|x|\right)^{-m}$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $m>0$. Note that $\eta_{v, m} \in L^{1}$ when $m>n$ and that $\left\|\eta_{v, m}\right\|_{1}=c_{m}$ is independent of $v$, where this type of function was introduced in [15] and [6].
2.1. Some technical lemmas. In this subsection we present some results which are useful for us. The following lemma is from [17, Lemma 19], see also [5, Lemma 6.1].

Lemma 2.1. Let $\alpha \in C_{\operatorname{loc}}^{\log }$ and let $R \geq c_{\log }(\alpha)$, where $c_{\log }(\alpha)$ is the constant from (2.2) for $\alpha$. Then

$$
2^{v \alpha(x)} \eta_{v, m+R}(x-y) \leq c 2^{v \alpha(y)} \eta_{v, m}(x-y)
$$

with $c>0$ independent of $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $v, m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.
The previous lemma allows us to treat the variable smoothness in many cases as if it were not variable at all, namely we can move the term inside the convolution as follows:

$$
2^{v \alpha(x)} \eta_{v, m+R} * f(x) \leq c \eta_{v, m} *\left(2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} f\right)(x)
$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $r, R, N>0, m>n$ and $\theta, \omega \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F} \omega \subset \overline{B(0,1)}$. Then there exists $c=c(r, m, n)>0$ such that for all $g \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad\left|\theta_{R} * \omega_{N} * g(x)\right| \leq c \max \left(1,\left(\frac{N}{R}\right)^{m}\right)\left(\eta_{N, m} *\left|\omega_{N} * g\right|^{r}(x)\right)^{1 / r}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \text { where } \theta_{R}(\cdot)=R^{n} \theta(R \cdot), \omega_{N}(\cdot)=N^{n} \omega(N \cdot) \text { and } \eta_{N, m}:=N^{n}(1+N|\cdot|)^{-m}
\end{align*}
$$

This lemma is a slight variant of [30, Chapter V, Theorem 5], see also [5, Lemma A.7]. For the convenience of the reader, we give the proof in the Appendix.

The following lemma is from [11, Lemma 2.11].

Lemma 2.3. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.
(i) For any cubes $P$ and $Q$, we have

$$
\frac{\left\|\chi_{P+k l(Q)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \leq c\left(1+\frac{l(Q)}{l(P)}|k|\right)^{c_{\log }\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)}
$$

with $c>0$ independent of $l(Q), l(P)$ and $k$.
(ii) For any cubes $P$ and $Q$, such that $P \subset Q$, we have

$$
C\left(\frac{|Q|}{|P|}\right)^{1 / \tau^{+}} \leq \frac{\left\|\chi_{Q}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \leq c\left(\frac{|Q|}{|P|}\right)^{1 / \tau^{-}}
$$

with $c, C>0$ are independent of $|Q|$ and $|P|$.
Let $L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}$ be the collection of functions $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{p(\cdot)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}}:=\sup \left\|\frac{f \chi_{P}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}<\infty, \quad p, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all dyadic cubes $P$ with $|P| \geq 1$. Also, the spaces $\widetilde{L^{p(\cdot)}}$ is defined to be the set of all function $f$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\overparen{L^{p(\cdot)}}}:=\sup \left\|f \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}<\infty, \quad p \in \mathcal{P}_{0}
$$

where the supremum is taken over all dyadic cubes $P$ with $|P|=1$. Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}} \leq\left. 1 \Leftrightarrow \sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q},|P| \geq 1}\| \| \frac{f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P} \|_{p(\cdot) / q(\cdot)} \leq 1 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\theta_{R}$ be as in Lemma 2.2.
Lemma 2.4. Let $R, N>0, \tau, p \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }, 0<r<p^{-}$and $\theta, \omega \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{F} \omega \subset \overline{B(0,1)}$.
(i) For any $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, any $m>2 n+c_{\log }\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) r$ and any dyadic cube $P$ with $|P| \geq 1$, we have

$$
\left\|\frac{\theta_{R} * \omega_{N} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \max \left(1,\left(\frac{N}{R}\right)^{m}\right) \max \left(1,(N l(P))^{(n-m) / r}\right)\left\|\omega_{N} * f\right\|_{L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}}
$$

such that the right-hand side is finite, where $c>0$ is independent of $R, N$ and $l(P)$.
(ii) For any $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, any $m>2 n$ and any dyadic cube $P$ with $|P|=1$, we have

$$
\left\|\left(\theta_{R} * \omega_{N} * f\right) \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \max \left(1,\left(\frac{N}{R}\right)^{m}\right) \max \left(1, N^{(n-m) / r}\right)\left\|\omega_{N} * f\right\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}}
$$

such that the right-hand side is finite, where $c>0$ is independent of $R$ and $N$.

The proof of this lemma is postponed to the Appendix. We introduce the abbreviations

$$
\left\|\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L_{p(\cdot)}^{p \cdot(\cdot)}\right.}:=\sup _{\{P \in \mathcal{Q},|P| \leq 1\}}\left\|\left(\frac{f_{v}}{|P|^{1 / p(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}
$$

and
where, $v_{P}=-\log _{2} l(P)$ and $v_{P}^{+}=\max \left(v_{P}, 0\right)$. The following lemma is the $\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L_{p(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}\right)\left(-\ell^{\tau(\cdot), q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)\right)$-version of Lemma 4.7 from Almeida and Hästö [1] (we use it, since the maximal operator is in general not bounded on $\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)$, see $[1$, Example 4.1]).
Lemma 2.5. Let $p \in \mathcal{P}^{\log }, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ with $0<q^{-} \leq q^{+}<\infty$ and $p^{-}>1$.
(i) For $m>2 n+c_{\log }(1 / \tau)+c_{\log }(1 / q)$, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(\eta_{v, m} * f_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell^{\tau}(\cdot), q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)} \leq c\left\|\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell^{\tau(\cdot)}, q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)} .
$$

(ii) For $m>2 n+c_{\log }(1 / p)+c_{\log }(1 / q)$, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(\eta_{v, m} * f_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L_{p(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}\right)} \leq c\left\|\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L_{p(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}\right)} .
$$

The proof (i) is given in [11, Lemma 2.12], their arguments are true to prove (ii) in view of the fact that $\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \approx|P|^{1 / p(\cdot)}$, since the supremum taken with respect to dyadic cubes with side length $\leq 1$.

The next three lemmas are from [5] where the first tells us that in most circumstances two convolutions are as good as one.

Lemma 2.6. For $v_{0}, v_{1} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $m>n$, we have

$$
\eta_{v_{0}, m} * \eta_{v_{1}, m} \approx \eta_{\min \left(v_{0}, v_{1}\right), m}
$$

with the constant depending only on $m$ and $n$.
Lemma 2.7. Let $v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $m>n$. Then for any $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $l(Q)=2^{-v}$, $y \in Q$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have

$$
\eta_{v, m} *\left(\frac{\chi_{Q}}{|Q|}\right)(x) \approx \eta_{v, m}(x-y)
$$

with the constant depending only on $m$ and $n$.
Lemma 2.8. Let $v, j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, r \in(0,1]$ and $m>\frac{n}{r}$. Then for any $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $l(Q)=2^{-v}$, we have

$$
\left(\eta_{j, m} * \eta_{v, m} * \chi_{Q}\right)^{r} \approx 2^{(v-j)^{+} n(1-r)} \eta_{j, m r} * \eta_{v, m r} * \chi_{Q}
$$

where the constant depends only on $m, n$ and $r$.
The next lemma is a Hardy-type inequality which is easy to prove.

Lemma 2.9. Let $0<a<1, J \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0<q \leq \infty$. Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{k}\right\}$ be a sequences of positive real numbers and denote $\delta_{k}=\sum_{j=J^{+}}^{k} a^{k-j} \varepsilon_{j}, k \geq J^{+}$. Then there exists constant $c>0$ depending only on $a$ and $q$ such that

$$
\left(\sum_{k=J^{+}}^{\infty} \delta_{k}^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \leq c\left(\sum_{k=J^{+}}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{k}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

Lemma 2.10. Let $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{log}}$ and $p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ with $0<q^{-} \leq q^{+}<\infty$. Let $\left\{f_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ be a sequence of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For all $v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, let $g_{v}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-|k-v| \delta} f_{k}(x)$. Then there exists a positive constant $c$, independent of $\left\{f_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(g_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\left.\ell^{\tau(\cdot)}\right), q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right) \leq c\left\|\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell^{\tau(\cdot)}, q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right), \quad \delta>\frac{n}{\tau^{-}} .
$$

and

$$
\left\|\left(g_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L_{p(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}\right)} \leq c\left\|\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L_{p(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}\right)}, \quad \delta>\frac{n}{p^{-}} .
$$

The proof of Lemma 2.10 is postponed to the Appendix.

$$
\text { 3. THE SPACES } \widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)} \text { and } B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}
$$

In this section we present the Fourier analytical definition of Besov-type spaces of variable smoothness and integrability and we prove the basic properties in analogy to the Besov-type spaces with fixed exponents. Select a pair of Schwartz functions $\Phi$ and $\varphi$ satisfy (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. It easy to see that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{\gamma} \varphi(x) d x=0$ for all multi-indices $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}$. For the convenience of the reader we repeat the definition of the spaces $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) p(\cdot)}$ and $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$.
Definition 3.1. Let $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}$ and $\Phi$ and $\varphi$ satisfy (1.1) and (1.2), respectively and we put $\varphi_{v}=2^{v n} \varphi\left(2^{v} \cdot\right)$.
(i) The Besov-type space $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(), p(\cdot)}$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}}:=\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{|P|^{1 / p(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell^{q}(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}<\infty, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi_{0}$ is replaced by $\Phi$.
(ii) The Besov-type space $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}:=\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell^{q} \cdot(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}<\infty
$$

where $\varphi_{0}$ is replaced by $\Phi$.
Using the system $\left\{\varphi_{v}\right\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ we can define the norm

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p, q}^{\alpha, \tau}}:=\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}} \frac{1}{|P|^{\tau}}\left(\sum_{v=v_{P}^{+}}^{\infty} 2^{v \alpha q}\left\|\left(\varphi_{v} * f\right) \chi_{P}\right\|_{p}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}
$$

for constants $\alpha$ and $p, q \in(0, \infty]$. The Besov-type space $B_{p, q}^{\alpha, \tau}$ consist of all distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for which $\|f\|_{B_{p, q}^{\alpha, \tau}}<\infty$. It is well-known that these spaces do not depend on the choice of the system $\left\{\varphi_{v}\right\}_{v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}}$ (up to equivalence of quasinorms). Further details on the classical theory of these spaces can be found in [8] and [42]; see also [10] for recent developments.

One recognizes immediately that if $\alpha, \tau, p$ and $q$ are constants, then $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) p(\cdot)}=$ $B_{p, q}^{\alpha, 1 / p}$ and $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) \tau(\cdot)}=B_{p, q}^{\alpha, \tau}$. When, $q:=\infty$ the Besov-type space $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), \infty}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}$ consist of all distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}, v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\left\|\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{|P|^{1 / p(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}<\infty
$$

and the Besov-type space $B_{p(\cdot), \infty}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$ consist of all distributions $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}, v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\left\|\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}<\infty
$$

Let $B_{J}$ be any ball of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with radius $2^{-J}, J \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the definition of the spaces $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$ and $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(), p(\cdot)}$ if we replace the dyadic cubes $P$ by the balls $B_{J}$, then we obtain equivalent quasi-norms. From these if we replace dyadic cubes $P$ in Definition 3.1 by arbitrary cubes $P$, we then obtain equivalent quasi-norms.

The spaces $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$, were introduced and studied in [11], where we proved that our spaces are well-defined, i.e., independent of the choice of the resolution of unity and we gave some properties of these function spaces, see Theorem 3.2, below. Moreover the Sobolev embeddings for these function spaces are obtained. While the first time we introduce the spaces $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) p(\cdot)}$ with the quasi-norm (3.1). Independently, Yang, Zhuo and Yuan, [41] studied the function spaces $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),(\cdot)}$ where several properties are obtained such as atomic decomposition and the boundedness of trace operator.

Moreover, the following remarkable features are given in [11] where these results with fixed exponents are given in [38] and [42].

Theorem 3.2. Let $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{log}}, p, p_{1}, p_{2}, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ and $0<q^{+}<\infty$.
(i) Let $\tau_{\infty} \in\left(0, p^{-}\right]$. If $(1 / \tau-1 / p)^{-}>0$ or $(1 / \tau-1 / p)^{-} \geq 0$ and $q:=\infty$, then $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(), \tau(\cdot)}=B_{\infty, \infty}^{\alpha(\cdot)+n(1 / \tau(\cdot)-1 / p(\cdot))}$, with equivalent norms.
(ii) If $\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)^{+} \leq 0$, then $B_{p_{2}(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)+n / \tau(\cdot)+n / p_{2}(\cdot)-n / p_{1}(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow B_{p_{1}(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) \cdot(\cdot)}$.
(iii) We have

$$
B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow B_{\infty, \infty}^{\alpha(\cdot)+n / \tau(\cdot)-n / p(\cdot)} .
$$

Here $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ is the Besov space of variable smoothness and integrability and it is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}:=\left\|\left(2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f\right)_{v \geq 0}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}<\infty
$$

which introduced and investigated in [1] and [17] for further results. Taking $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in(0, \infty]$ as constants we derive the spaces $B_{p(\cdot), q}^{\alpha}$ studied by Xu in [43] and [44]. We refer the reader to the recent paper [40] for further details, historical remarks and more references on embeddings of Besov-type spaces with fixed exponents.

Let $0<u \leq p<\infty$. The Morrey space $\mathcal{M}_{u}^{p}$ is defined to be the set of all $u$-locally Lebesgue-integrable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{u}^{p}}:=\sup _{B}|B|^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{u}}\left(\int_{B}|f(x)|^{u} d x\right)^{1 / u}<\infty
$$

where the supremum is taken over all balls $B$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The spaces $\mathcal{M}_{u}^{p}$ are quasiBanach spaces (Banach spaces for $u \geq 1$ ). They were introduced by Morrey in [21] and belong to the wider class of Morrey-Campanato spaces, cf. [23]. They can be considered as a complement to $L^{p}$ spaces. As a matter of fact, $\mathcal{M}_{p}^{p}=L^{p}$. One can easily see that $\mathcal{M}_{w}^{p} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{u}^{p}$ if $0<u \leq w<\infty$.

Definition 3.3. Let $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, 0<u \leq p<\infty$ and $0<q \leq \infty$. Let $\Phi$ and $\varphi$ satisfy (1.1) and (1.2), respectively and we put $\varphi_{v}=2^{v n} \varphi\left(2^{v}.\right)$. The Besov-Morrey space $\mathcal{N}_{p, q, u}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ is the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{N}_{p, q, u}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}:=\left(\sum_{v=0}^{\infty}\left\|2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{u}^{p}}^{q}\right)^{1 / q}<\infty
$$

where $\varphi_{0}$ is replaced by $\Phi$.
Besov-Morrey spaces with fixed exponents were introduced by Netrusov [22]. Kozono and Yamazaki [18] studied semilinear heat equations and Navier-Stokes equations with initial data belonging to Besov-Morrey spaces. The investigations were continued by Mazzucato [20], where one can find the wavelet decomposition of Besov-Morrey spaces. On the other hand, the Besov-Morrey space $\mathcal{N}_{p, q, u}^{\alpha}$ is a proper subspace of the space $B_{u, q}^{\alpha, \frac{1}{u}-\frac{1}{p}}$ with $u<p$, and $q<\infty$, see [29]. Further properties for these function spaces can be found in [26], [27] and [28].

Recently, Triebel in [35] further introduced and studied some local versions of these smoothness Morrey-type spaces and also considered their applications in heat equations and Navier-Stokes equations. More recent results can be found in [39], where they studied the relations between Triebel's local spaces and the Besov-type and Triebel-Lizorkin-type spaces and their associated uniform spaces.
D. Yang and W. Yuan introduced and investigated in [36] and [37] the homogeneous Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, which generalize the homogeneous Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

The Besov-Morrey spaces with variable exponents have been first introduced in [14], where are introduced equivalent quasi-norms of these new spaces, which are formulated in terms of Peetre's maximal functions. Also the authors obtain the atomic, molecular and wavelet decompositions of these new spaces.

In the next proposition we present the relations between variable Besov-Morrey spaces and variable Besov-type spaces, see [11].
Proposition 3.4. Let $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{log}}, 0<q<\infty$ and $0<p<u<\infty$.
(i) For $0<q<\infty$ we have the continuous embeddings

$$
\mathcal{N}_{u, q, p}^{\alpha(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow B_{p, q}^{\alpha(\cdot),\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{u}\right)^{-1}}
$$

(ii) We have

$$
\mathcal{N}_{u, \infty, p}^{\alpha(\cdot)}=B_{p, \infty}^{\alpha(\cdot),\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{u}\right)^{-1}}
$$

Sometimes it is of great service if one can restrict $\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}$ in the definition to a supremum taken with respect to dyadic cubes with side length $\leq 1$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\alpha \in C_{\operatorname{loc}}^{\log }$ and $p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ with $\tau_{\infty} \in\left(0, p^{-}\right]$and $0<q^{+}<\infty$.
(i) A tempered distribution $f$ belongs to $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}$ if and only if,

$$
\|f\|_{\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\# \#}:=\sup _{\{P \in \mathcal{Q},|P| \leq 1\}}\left\|\left(\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{|P|^{1 / p(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}<\infty
$$

Furthermore, the quasi-norms $\|f\|_{\tilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}}$ and $\|f\|_{\tilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}}^{\#}$ are equivalent.
(ii) A tempered distribution $f$ belongs to $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),(\cdot)}$ if and only if,

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{\#}:=\sup _{\{P \in \mathcal{Q},|P| \leq 1\}}\left\|\left(\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}<\infty .
$$

Furthermore, the quasi-norms $\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}$ and $\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}^{\#}$ are equivalent.
The proof is similar to that of [11]. We omit the details.
Remark 3.6. (i) We like to point out that this result with fixed exponents is given in [42, Lemma 2.2] with $1 / \tau$ in place of $\tau$.
(ii) Let $\alpha \in C_{\text {loc }}^{\log }, p, q, \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ and $0<q^{+}<\infty$. As in [11], we obtain $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), \infty}^{\alpha(, p(\cdot)}=$ $B_{\infty, \infty}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$. Also, $2^{v(\alpha(x)+n(1 / \tau(x)-1 / p(x)))}\left|\varphi_{v} * f(x)\right| \leq c\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{log}}$ and $p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$.
(iii) It is clear that if $\alpha$ and $p$ are constants, then $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), p(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) p(\cdot)}=F_{\infty, p}^{\alpha}$, see [13] for the properties of $F_{\infty, p}^{\alpha}$.
(iv) We can easily prove that if $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{log}}, p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ and $0<q^{+}<\infty$, then $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) p(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot) \cdot}^{\alpha(\cdot),}$
(v) In [11] the definition of Besov-type spaces $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$ is based on the technique of decomposition of unity.

Let $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{log}}, p, q \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ and $\alpha_{0}<\alpha^{-}$. We obtain

$$
\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), \infty}^{\alpha, p(\cdot)}=B_{\infty, \infty}^{\alpha_{0}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

Let $\alpha^{+}<\alpha_{1}$. We obtain

$$
\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow B_{\infty, \infty}^{\alpha_{1}}=\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), \infty}^{\alpha_{1}, p(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) p(\cdot)} .
$$

We use $A_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$ to denote either $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) p(\cdot)}$ or $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$.
Theorem 3.7. Let $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\log }$ and $p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ with $0<q^{+}<\infty$. Then

$$
\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \hookrightarrow A_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) \tau(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)
$$

Similar arguments of [11] can be used to prove the following Sobolev-type embeddings.

Theorem 3.8. Let $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1} \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\log }$ and $p_{0}, p_{1}, q \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ with $0<q^{+}<\infty$. If $\alpha_{0}>\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{0}(x)-\frac{n}{p_{0}(x)}=\alpha_{1}(x)-\frac{n}{p_{1}(x)}$ with $\left(\frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}}\right)^{-}<1$, then

$$
\widetilde{B}_{p_{0}(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha_{0}(\cdot), p(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{B}_{p_{1}(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha_{1}(\cdot), p(\cdot)} .
$$

Notice that the case of $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$ spaces is given in [11].
Let $\Phi$ and $\varphi$ satisfy, respectively (1.1) and (1.2). By [13, pp. 130-131], there exist functions $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying (1.1) and $\psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying (1.2) such that for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F} \widetilde{\Phi}(\xi) \mathcal{F} \Psi(\xi)+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F} \widetilde{\varphi}\left(2^{-j} \xi\right) \mathcal{F} \psi\left(2^{-j} \xi\right)=1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, we have the following identity for all $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; see $[13,(12.4)]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f & =\Psi * \widetilde{\Phi} * f+\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \psi_{v} * \widetilde{\varphi}_{v} * f \\
& =\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \widetilde{\Phi} * f(m) \Psi(\cdot-m)+\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} 2^{-v n} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \widetilde{\varphi}_{v} * f\left(2^{-v} m\right) \psi_{v}\left(\cdot-2^{-v} m\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that the $\varphi$-transform $S_{\varphi}$ is defined by setting $\left(S_{\varphi}\right)_{0, m}=\left\langle f, \Phi_{m}\right\rangle$ where $\Phi_{m}(x)=\Phi(x-m)$ and $\left(S_{\varphi}\right)_{v, m}=\left\langle f, \varphi_{v, m}\right\rangle$ where $\varphi_{v, m}(x)=2^{v n / 2} \varphi\left(2^{v} x-m\right)$ and $v \in \mathbb{N}$. The inverse $\varphi$-transform $T_{\psi}$ is defined by

$$
T_{\psi} \lambda=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{0, m} \Psi_{m}+\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{v, m} \psi_{v, m}
$$

where $\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{v, m} \in \mathbb{C}: v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$, see [13].
For any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$
\|f\|_{\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}}^{*}:=\sup _{\{P \in \mathcal{Q},|P| \leq 1\}}\left\|\left(\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{|P|^{1 / p(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}-\gamma}\right\|_{\ell^{q}(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}<\infty
$$

and

$$
\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),)}}^{*}:=\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}-\gamma}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}<\infty
$$

where $\varphi_{-\gamma}$ is replaced by $\Phi_{-\gamma}$.
Lemma 3.9. Let $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\log }, p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ and $0<q^{+}<\infty$. The quasi-norms $\|f\|_{A_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}^{*}$ and $\|f\|_{A_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau)}}$ are equivalent with equivalent constants depending on $\gamma$.
Proof. By similarity, we only consider $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),(\cdot)}$ and the case $\gamma>0$. First let us prove that $\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{*} \leq c\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}, \tau(\cdot)}$. By the scaling argument, it suffices to consider the case $\|f\|_{\left.B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}\right)}=1$ and show that the modular of $f$ on the left-hand side is bounded. In particular, we will show that

$$
\sum_{v=v_{P}^{+}-\gamma}^{\infty}\left\|\left|\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \leq c
$$

for any dyadic cube $P$. As in [42, Lemma 2.6], it suffices to prove that for all dyadic cube $P$ with $l(P) \geq 1$,

$$
I_{P}=\sum_{v=-\gamma}^{0}\left\|\left|\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \leq c
$$

and for all dyadic cube $P$ with $l(P)<1$,

$$
J_{P}=\sum_{v=v_{P}-\gamma}^{v_{P}-1}\left\|\left|\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \leq c
$$

The estimate of $I_{P}$, clearly follows from the inequality $\left\|\left|\frac{\varphi_{v} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \leq c$ for any $v=-\gamma, \cdots, 0$ and any dyadic cube $P$ with $l(P) \geq 1$. This claim can be reformulated as showing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\varphi_{v} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq c \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1.1) and (1.2), there exist $\omega_{v} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), v=-\gamma, \cdots,-1$ and $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\varphi_{v}=\omega_{v} * \Phi, \quad v=-\gamma, \cdots,-1 \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi=\varphi_{0}=\eta_{1} * \Phi+\eta_{2} * \varphi_{1}
$$

Hence $\varphi_{v} * f=\omega_{v} * \Phi * f$ for $v=-\gamma, \cdots,-1$ and $\varphi_{0} * f=\eta_{1} * \Phi * f+\eta_{2} * \varphi_{1} * f$. Applying Lemma 2.4, (2.7) and the fact that $\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}} \leq 1$ to estimate the left-hand side of (3.3) by

$$
C\|\Phi * f\|_{L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}}+C\left\|\varphi_{1} * f\right\|_{L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}} \leq c .
$$

To estimate $J_{P}$, denote by $P(\gamma)$ the dyadic cube containing $P$ with $l(P(\gamma))=$ $2^{\gamma} l(P)$. If $v_{P} \geq \gamma+1$, applying the fact that $v_{P(\gamma)}=v_{P}-\gamma, \frac{\left\|\chi_{P(\gamma)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \approx c$ (see

Lemma 2.3) and $P \subset P(\gamma)$, we then have

$$
J_{P} \lesssim \sum_{v=v_{P(\gamma)}}^{v_{P}-1}\left\|\left|\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P(\gamma)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P(\gamma)}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \leq c
$$

If $1 \leq v_{P} \leq \gamma$, we write $J_{P}=\sum_{v=v_{P}-\gamma}^{-1} \cdots+\sum_{v=0}^{v_{P}-1} \cdots=J_{P}^{1}+J_{P}^{2}$. Let $P\left(2^{v_{P}}\right)$ the dyadic cube containing $P$ with $l\left(P\left(2^{v_{P}}\right)\right)=2^{v_{P}} l(P)=1$, by the fact that $\frac{\left\|\chi_{P\left(2^{v_{P}}\right)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \lesssim 2^{n v_{P} / \tau^{-}} \leq 2^{n \gamma / \tau^{-}}$, see Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
J_{P}^{2} \lesssim \sum_{v=v_{P\left(2^{v_{P}}\right)}}^{v_{P}-1}\left\|\left|\frac{2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \varphi_{v} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P\left(2^{v_{P}}\right)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P\left(2^{v} P\right)}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \leq c
$$

By a similar argument to the estimate for $I_{P}$, we see that $J_{P}^{1} \leq c$.
For the converse estimate, it suffices to show that

$$
\left\|\left|\frac{\Phi * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \leq c
$$

for all $P \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $l(P) \geq 1$ and all $f \in B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) \tau(\cdot)}$ with $\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),(\cdot)}}^{*} \leq 1$. This claim can be reformulated as showing that $\left\|\frac{\Phi * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq c$. Using the fact that there exist $\rho_{v} \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), v=-\gamma, \cdots, 1$, such that $\Phi * f=\rho_{-\gamma} * \Phi_{-\gamma} * f+\sum_{v=1-\gamma}^{1} \rho_{v} * \varphi_{v} * f$, see [13, p. 130]. Applying Lemma 2.4 we obtain

$$
\left\|\rho_{-\gamma} * \Phi_{-\gamma} * f\right\|_{L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}} \lesssim\left\|\Phi_{-\gamma} * f\right\|_{L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}} \leq c
$$

and

$$
\left\|\rho_{v} * \varphi_{v} * f\right\|_{L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}} \lesssim\left\|\varphi_{v} * f\right\|_{L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}} \leq c, \quad v=1-\gamma, \cdots, 1
$$

by using (2.7) and the fact that $\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}^{*} \leq 1$. The proof is complete.
Definition 3.10. Let $p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}$ and let $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then for all complex valued sequences $\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{v, m} \in \mathbb{C}: v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$ we define

$$
\widetilde{b}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}:=\left\{\lambda:\|\lambda\|_{\tilde{b}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}}<\infty\right\},
$$

where

$$
\|\lambda\|_{\tilde{b}_{p(\cdot), q q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),(\cdot)}}:=\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \lambda_{v, m} \chi_{v, m}}{|P|^{1 / p(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}
$$

and

$$
b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}:=\left\{\lambda:\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}<\infty\right\}
$$

where

$$
\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}:=\sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \lambda_{v, m} \chi_{v, m}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)} .
$$

If we replace dyadic cubes $P$ by arbitrary balls $B_{J}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $J \in \mathbb{Z}$, we then obtain equivalent quasi-norms, where the supremum is taken over all $J \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all balls $B_{J}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In the definition of $\widetilde{b}_{p(\cdot), q, q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$ the supremum can be taken over all dyadic cube $P$, with $|P| \leq 1$. Similarly, we use $a_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),(\cdot)}$ to denote either $b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$ or $\widetilde{b}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}$. Let $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1} \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{log}}$ and $p_{0}, p_{1}, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ with $0<q^{+}<\infty$. If $\alpha_{0}>\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{0}(x)-\frac{n}{p_{0}(x)}=\alpha_{1}(x)-\frac{n}{p_{1}(x)}$ with $\left(\frac{p_{0}}{p_{1}}\right)^{-}<1$, then us in [11], we can prove the following Sobolev-type embeddings

$$
a_{p_{0}(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha_{0}(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow a_{p_{1}(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha_{1}(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)} .
$$

Lemma 3.11. Let $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\log }, p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }, 0<q^{+}<\infty, v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, $x \in Q_{v, m}$ and $\lambda \in a_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) \tau(\cdot)}$. Then there exists $c>0$ independent of $v$ and $m$ such that

$$
\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \leq c 2^{-v(\alpha(x)+n / 2)}\|\lambda\|_{\substack{\left.b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), ~}\right)}}\left\|\chi_{v, m}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}\left\|\chi_{v, m}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{-1}
$$

and

$$
\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \leq c 2^{-v(\alpha(x)+n / 2)}\|\lambda\|_{\widetilde{b}_{p}^{\alpha(\cdot),), p(\cdot)}} .
$$

Proof. By similarity, we only consider $\widetilde{b}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) p(\cdot)}$. Let $\lambda \in \widetilde{b}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) p(\cdot)}, v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and $x \in Q_{v, m}$, with $Q_{v, m} \in \mathcal{Q}$. Then $\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|^{p^{-}}=\left|Q_{v, m}\right|^{-1} \int_{Q_{v, m}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|^{p^{-}} \chi_{v, m}(y) d y$. Using the fact that $2^{v(\alpha(x)-\alpha(y))} \leq c$ for any $x, y \in Q_{v, m}$ and $\left|Q_{v, m}\right|^{1 / p(x)} \approx$ $\left\|\chi_{v, m}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}$, see (2.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2^{v(\alpha(x)+n / 2) p^{-}}}{\left|Q_{v, m}\right|^{p^{-} / p(x)}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|^{p^{-}} & \lesssim\left|Q_{v, m}\right|^{-1} \int_{Q_{v, m}} \frac{2^{v(\alpha(y)+n / 2) p^{-}}}{\left\|\chi_{v, m}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^{-}}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|^{p^{-}} \chi_{v, m}(y) d y \\
& \lesssim\left|Q_{v, m}\right|^{-1} \int_{Q_{v, m}} \frac{2^{v(\alpha(y)+n / 2) p^{-}}}{\left|Q_{v, m}\right|^{p^{-} / p(y)}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|^{p^{-}} \chi_{v, m}(y) d y
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Hölder's inequality to estimate this expression by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c\left|Q_{v, m}\right|^{-1}\left\|\frac{2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2) p^{-}}}{\left|Q_{v, m}\right|^{p^{-} / p(\cdot)}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|^{p^{-}} \chi_{v, m}\right\|_{p / p^{-}}\left\|\chi_{v, m}\right\|_{\left(p / p^{-}\right)^{\prime}} \\
\lesssim & \|\lambda\|_{\tilde{b}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha-(\cdot), p(\cdot)}}^{p^{-}}\left\|\chi_{v, m}\right\|_{p / p^{-}}^{-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used (2.3). Therefore for any $x \in Q_{v, m}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| & \lesssim 2^{-v(\alpha(x)+n / 2)}\left|Q_{v, m}\right|^{1 / p(x)}\|\lambda\|_{\tilde{b}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}}\left\|\chi_{v, m}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{-1} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-v(\alpha(x)+n / 2)}\|\lambda\|_{\tilde{b}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}},
\end{aligned}
$$

again by (2.4), which completes the proof.
Lemma 3.12. Let $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\log }, p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ and $\Psi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfy, respectively, (1.1) and (1.2). Then for all $\lambda \in a_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$

$$
T_{\psi} \lambda:=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{0, m} \Psi_{m}+\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{v, m} \psi_{v, m}
$$

converges in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$; moreover, $T_{\psi}: a_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is continuous.
Proof. By similarity, we only consider $b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$. Let $\lambda \in b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) \tau(\cdot)}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| & \lesssim \frac{2^{-v\left(\alpha^{-}+n / 2\right)}\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau()}}\left\|\chi_{v, m}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}{\left\|\chi_{v, m}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}} \\
& \lesssim 2^{v n\left(1 / p^{-}-1 / 2-\alpha^{-} / n-1 / \tau^{+}\right)}\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all dyadic cubes $Q_{v, m}$. Let $M>\max \left(n, n / p^{-}-\alpha^{-}-n / \tau^{+}-n\right)$. We see that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{0, m} \|\left\langle\Psi_{m}, \phi\right\rangle\right| & \lesssim\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau)}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \int|\Psi(x-m) \| \phi(x)| d x \\
& \lesssim\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),(\cdot)}}\|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{2 M}}\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}(1+|m|)^{-n-M}
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by [42, Lemma 2.4], we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|\left|\left\langle\psi_{v, m}, \phi\right\rangle\right| \\
\lesssim & \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+1}}\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+1}}\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}, \tau(\cdot)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{2^{-v n\left(\alpha^{-} / n+1 / \tau^{+}-1 / p^{-}+1+M / n\right)}}{\left(1+\left|2^{-v} m\right|\right)^{n+M}} \\
\lesssim & \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+1}}\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M+1}}\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}, \tau(\cdot)},
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
For a sequence $\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{v, m} \in \mathbb{C}: v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}, 0<r \leq \infty$ and a fixed $d>0$, set

$$
\lambda_{v, m, r, d}^{*}:=\left(\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{\left|\lambda_{v, h}\right|^{r}}{\left(1+2^{v}\left|2^{-v} h-2^{-v} m\right|\right)^{d}}\right)^{1 / r}
$$

and $\lambda_{r, d}^{*}:=\left\{\lambda_{v, m, r, d}^{*} \in \mathbb{C}: v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$.
Lemma 3.13. Let $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\log }, p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }, 0<q^{+}<\infty, 0<r<p^{-}$and $a=r \max \left(2 c_{\log }(q)+c_{\log }(\alpha), 2\left(\frac{1}{q^{-}}-\frac{1}{q^{+}}\right)+\alpha^{+}-\alpha^{-}\right)$. Then

$$
\left\|\lambda_{r, d}^{*}\right\|_{a_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}} \approx\|\lambda\|_{a_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot), ~(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}
$$

where

$$
d>\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
n+a+n / \tau^{-} & \text {if } a_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}=b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)} \\
n+a+c_{\log }(1 / p)+n / p^{-} & \text {if } a_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot), ~(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}=\tilde{b}_{p(\cdot), p(\cdot),(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The proof of this lemma is postponed to the Appendix.
Theorem 3.14. Let $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{log}}$, $p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ and $0<q^{+}<\infty$. Suppose that $\Phi$, $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying (1.1) and $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfy (1.2) such that (3.2) holds. The operators $S_{\varphi}: A_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)} \rightarrow a_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$ and $T_{\psi}: a_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)} \rightarrow A_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$ are bounded. Furthermore, $T_{\psi} \circ S_{\varphi}$ is the identity on $A_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$.

Proof. By similarity, we only consider $b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$ and $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$. For any $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ we put $\sup (f):=\left\{\sup _{v, m}(f): v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$ where

$$
\sup _{v, m}(f):=2^{-v n / 2} \sup _{y \in Q_{v, m}}\left|\widetilde{\varphi_{v}} * f(y)\right|
$$

if $v \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and

$$
\sup _{0, m}(f):=\sup _{y \in Q_{0, m}}|\widetilde{\Phi} * f(y)|
$$

if $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. For any $\gamma>0$, we define the sequence $\inf _{\gamma}(f):=\left\{\inf _{v, m, \gamma}(f): v \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\}$ by setting

$$
\inf _{v, m, \gamma}(f):=2^{-v n / 2} \sup _{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left\{\inf _{y \in Q_{v+\gamma, h}}\left|\widetilde{\varphi_{v}} * f(y)\right|: Q_{v+\gamma, h} \cap Q_{v, m} \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

if $v \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and

$$
\inf _{0, m, \gamma}(f):=\sup _{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left\{\inf _{y \in Q_{\gamma, h}}|\widetilde{\Phi} * f(y)|: Q_{\gamma, h} \cap Q_{0, m} \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

if $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$. Here $\widetilde{\varphi_{j}}(x):=2^{j n} \overline{\varphi\left(-2^{j} x\right)}$ and $\widetilde{\Phi}(x):=\overline{\Phi(-x)}$. As in Lemma A. 5 of [13] we obtain

$$
\left\|\inf _{\gamma}(f)\right\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),(\cdot)}} \lesssim\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}
$$

for any $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\log }, p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }, 0<q^{+}<\infty$ and $\gamma>0$ sufficiently large. Indeed, we have

$$
\left\|\inf _{\gamma}(f)\right\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}=c \sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \inf _{j-\gamma, m, \gamma}(f) \chi_{j-\gamma, m}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{j \geq v_{P}^{+}+\gamma}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)} .
$$

Define a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{i, k}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}$ by setting $\lambda_{i, k}:=2^{-i n / 2} \inf _{y \in Q_{i, k}}\left|\widetilde{\varphi_{i-\gamma}} * f(y)\right|$ and $\lambda_{0, k}:=\inf _{y \in Q_{\gamma, k}}|\widetilde{\Phi} * f(y)|$. We have

$$
\inf _{j-\gamma, m, \gamma}(f):=2^{\gamma n / 2} \sup _{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left\{\lambda_{j, h}: Q_{j, h} \cap Q_{j-\gamma, m} \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

and

$$
\inf _{0, m, \gamma}(f):=\sup _{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left\{\lambda_{0, h}: Q_{\gamma, h} \cap Q_{0, m} \neq \emptyset\right\}
$$

Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with $Q_{j, h} \cap Q_{j-\gamma, m} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\lambda_{j, h} \leq c 2^{\gamma d / r} \lambda_{j, k, r, d}^{*}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ with $Q_{j, k} \cap Q_{j-\gamma, m} \neq \emptyset$. Hence

$$
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \inf _{-\gamma, m, \gamma}(f) \chi_{j-\gamma, m} \lesssim \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{j, k, r, d}^{*} \chi_{j, k}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\inf _{\gamma}(f)\right\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}} \lesssim \sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \lambda_{j, k, r, d}^{*} \chi_{j, k}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{j \geq v_{P}^{+}+\gamma}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}
$$

Notice that $P=\cup_{m=1}^{2 \gamma n} P_{m}$, where $\left\{P_{m}\right\}_{m=1}^{2 \gamma n}$ are disjoint dyadic cubes with side length $l\left(P_{m}\right)=2^{-\left(v_{P}+\gamma\right)}$. Therefore, taking $0<s<\frac{1}{2} \min \left(p^{-}, q^{-}, 2\right)$ and applying Lemmas 2.3 and 3.13,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\inf _{\gamma}(f)\right\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),(\cdot)}}^{s} & \lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{2^{\gamma n}} \sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \lambda_{j, k, r, d}^{*} \chi_{j, k}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P_{m}}\right)_{j \geq\left(v_{P}+\gamma\right)^{+}}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}^{s} \\
& \lesssim \sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \lambda_{j, k} \chi_{j, k}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{j \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.9, we obtain

$$
\left\|\inf _{\gamma}(f)\right\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}} \leq c\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}}^{*} \leq c\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}} .
$$

Applying Lemma A. 4 of [13], see also Lemma 8.3 of [2], we obtain $\inf _{\gamma}(f)_{r, d}^{*} \approx$ $\sup (f)_{r, d}^{*}$. Hence for $\gamma>0$ sufficiently large we obtain by applying Lemma 3.13, $\left\|\inf _{\gamma}(f)_{r, d}^{*}\right\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}} \approx\left\|\inf _{\gamma}(f)\right\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}$ and $\left\|\sup (f)_{r, d}^{*}\right\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}} \approx\|\sup (f)\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}, \tau(\cdot)}$ for any $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\log }, p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$, with $0<q^{+}<\infty$. Therefore,

$$
\left\|\inf _{\gamma}(f)\right\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}, \tau(\cdot)} \approx\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}} \approx\|\sup (f)\|_{\left.b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}\right)}
$$

Use these estimates and repeating the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [13] or Theorem 2.1 in [42], and complete the proof of Theorem 3.14.

From Theorem 3.14, we obtain the next important property of spaces $A_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$.
Corollary 3.15. Let $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\mathrm{log}}, p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ and $0<q^{+}<\infty$, The definition of the spaces $A_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau()}$ is independent of the choices of $\Phi$ and $\varphi$.

## 4. Decomposition by atoms

In recent years, it turned out that atomic and sub-atomic, as well as wavelet decompositions of some function spaces are extremely useful in many aspects. This concerns, for instance, the investigation of (compact) embeddings between function spaces. But this applies equally to questions of mapping properties
of pseudo-differential operators and to trace problems, where arguments can be equivalently transferred to the sequence space, which is often more convenient to handle. The idea of atomic decompositions leads back to M. Frazier and B. Jawerth in their series of papers [12], [13], see also [34].

The main goal of this section is to prove an atomic decomposition result for $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$ and $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}$. Atoms are the building blocks for the atomic decomposition.

Definition 4.1. Let $K \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, L+1 \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and let $\gamma>1$. A $K$-times continuous differentiable function $a \in C^{K}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is called $[K, L]$-atom centered at $Q_{v, m}, v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$, if

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{supp} a \subseteq \gamma Q_{v, m}  \tag{4.1}\\
\left|\partial^{\beta} a(x)\right| \leq 2^{v(|\beta|+1 / 2)}, \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq|\beta| \leq K, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x^{\beta} a(x) d x=0, \quad \text { for } \quad 0 \leq|\beta| \leq L \text { and } v \geq 1 \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the atom $a$ located at $Q_{v, m}$, that means if it fulfills (4.1), then we will denote it by $a_{v, m}$. For $v=0$ or $L=-1$ there are no moment conditions (4.3) required.

For proving the decomposition by atoms we need the following lemma, see Frazier \& Jawerth [12, Lemma 3.3].

Lemma 4.2. Let $\Phi$ and $\varphi$ satisfy, respectively, (1.1) and (1.2) and let $\rho_{v, m}$ be an [K,L]-atom. Then

$$
\left|\varphi_{j} * \rho_{v, m}(x)\right| \leq c 2^{(v-j) K+v n / 2}\left(1+2^{v}\left|x-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right|\right)^{-M}
$$

if $v \leq j$, and

$$
\left|\varphi_{j} * \rho_{v, m}(x)\right| \leq c 2^{(j-v)(L+n+1)+v n / 2}\left(1+2^{j}\left|x-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right|\right)^{-M}
$$

if $v \geq j$, where $M$ is sufficiently large, $\varphi_{j}=2^{j n} \varphi\left(2^{j} \cdot\right)$ and $\varphi_{0}$ is replaced by $\Phi$.
Now we come to the atomic decomposition theorem.
Theorem 4.3. Let $\alpha \in C_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\log }$ and $p, q, \tau \in \mathcal{P}_{0}^{\log }$ with $0<q^{-} \leq q^{+}<\infty$. Let $0<p^{-} \leq p^{+} \leq \infty$ and let $K, L+1 \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \geq\left(\left[\alpha^{+}+n / \tau^{-}\right]+1\right)^{+} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively

$$
K \geq\left(\left[\alpha^{+}+n / p^{-}\right]+1\right)^{+}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \geq \max \left(-1,\left[n\left(\frac{1}{\min \left(1, p^{-}\right)}-1\right)-\alpha^{-}\right]\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ belongs to $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$, respectively to $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \cdot)}$, if and only if it can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{v, m} \varrho_{v, m}, \quad \text { converging in } \mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varrho_{v, m}$ are $[K, L]$-atoms and $\lambda=\left\{\lambda_{v, m} \in \mathbb{C}: v \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}\right\} \in b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$, respectively $\lambda \in \widetilde{b}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), p(\cdot)}$. Furthermore, $\inf \|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}$, respectively $\inf \|\lambda\|_{\tilde{b}_{p(\cdot), ~}^{\alpha(\cdot),(\cdot)},}$, where the infimum is taken over admissible representations (4.6), is an equivalent quasi-norm in $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$, respectively $\widetilde{B}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), ~}$.

The convergence in $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ can be obtained as a by-product of the proof using the same method as in [34, Corollary 13.9], so the convergence is postponed to the Appendix.

If $p, q, \tau$, and $\alpha$ are constants, then the restriction (4.4), and their counterparts, in the atomic decomposition theorem are $K \geq([\alpha+n / \tau]+1)^{+}$and $L \geq \max \left(-1,\left[n\left(\frac{1}{\min (1, p)}-1\right)-\alpha\right]\right)$, which are essentially the restrictions from the works of [10, Theorem 3.12], with $\frac{1}{\tau}$ in place of $\tau$.

Proof. By similarity, we only consider $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) \tau(\cdot)}$. The proof follows the ideas in [12, Theorem 6].

Step 1. Assume that $f \in B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$. Using the same of the arguments used in [9, Theorem 3] we obtain a sequence $\left\{\lambda_{v, m}\right\}$ and $\rho_{v, m}$ (atoms in the sense of Definition 4.1) such that $f=\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{v, m} \varrho_{v, m}$ and $\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}} \leq c\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),(\cdot)}}$.

Step 2. Assume that $f$ can be represented by (4.6), with $K$ and $L$ satisfying (4.4) and (4.5), respectively. We will show that $f \in B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot) \tau(\cdot)}$ and that for some $c>0,\|f\|_{B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}} \leq c\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}$. We write

$$
f=\sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{v, m} \rho_{v, m}=\sum_{v=0}^{j} \cdots+\sum_{v=j+1}^{\infty} \cdots
$$

Recalling the definition of $B_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}$ space, it suffices to estimate

$$
\left(\sum_{v=0}^{j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(\cdot)}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|\left|\varphi_{j} * \rho_{v, m}\right|\right)_{j \geq 0} \text { and }\left(\sum_{v=j}^{\infty} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(\cdot)}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|\left|\varphi_{j} * \rho_{v, m}\right|\right)_{j \geq 0}
$$

in $\ell^{\tau(\cdot), q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)$-norm. From Lemma 4.2, we have for any $M$ sufficiently large and any $v \leq j$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|\left|\varphi_{j} * \rho_{v, m}(x)\right| \\
\lesssim & 2^{(v-j)\left(K-\alpha^{+}\right)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{v(\alpha(x)+n / 2)}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|\left(1+2^{v}\left|x-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right|\right)^{-M} \\
= & 2^{(v-j)\left(K-\alpha^{+}\right)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{v(\alpha(x)-n / 2)}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \eta_{v, M}\left(x-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right) \\
\lesssim & 2^{(v-j)\left(K-\alpha^{+}\right)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{v(\alpha(x)+1 / 2)}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \eta_{v, M} * \chi_{v, m}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.7. Lemma 2.1 gives $2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \eta_{v, M} * \chi_{v, m} \lesssim \eta_{v, T} * 2^{v \alpha(\cdot)} \chi_{v, m}$, with $T=$ $M-c_{\log }(\alpha)$ and since $K>\alpha^{+}+n / \tau^{-}$we apply Lemma 2.10 to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\sum_{v=0}^{j} 2^{(v-j)\left(K-\alpha^{+}\right)} \eta_{v, T} *\left[2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \chi_{v, m}\right]\right)_{j}\right\|_{\ell^{\tau(\cdot), q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)} \\
\lesssim & \left\|\left(\eta_{v, T} *\left[2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \chi_{v, m}\right]\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell^{\tau(\cdot), q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}} \cdot
\end{aligned}
$$

The right-hand side can be rewritten us

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{\left(\eta_{v, T} *\left[2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \chi_{v, m}\right]\right)^{r}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot) / r\left(L^{p(\cdot) / r}\right)}^{1 / r} \\
\lesssim & \sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}}\left\|\left(\frac{\eta_{v, T r} *\left[2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2) r} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|^{r} \chi_{v, m}\right]}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot) / r\left(L^{p(\cdot) / r}\right)}^{1 / r}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.8, since $\eta_{v, T} \approx \eta_{v, T} * \eta_{v, T}$ and $0<r<\min \left(1, p^{-}\right)$. The application of Lemma 2.5 and the fact that $\left\|\left(g_{v}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot) / r\left(L^{p(\cdot) / r}\right)}^{1 / r}=\left\|\left(\left|g_{v}\right|^{1 / r}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}$ give that the last expression is bounded by $\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot),(\cdot)}}$. Now from Lemma 4.2, we
have for any $M$ sufficiently large and $v \geq j$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|\left|\varphi_{j} * \rho_{v, m}(x)\right| \\
\lesssim & 2^{(j-v)(L+1+n / 2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(\alpha(x)+n / 2)}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|\left(1+2^{j}\left|x-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right|\right)^{-M} \\
= & 2^{(j-v)(L+1+n / 2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(\alpha(x)-n / 2)}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \eta_{j, M}\left(x-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right) \\
\lesssim & 2^{(j-v)(L+1+n / 2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(\alpha(x)-n / 2)}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \eta_{j, M} * \eta_{v, M}\left(x-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows by Lemma 2.6 , since $\eta_{j, M}=\eta_{\min (v, j), M}$. Again by Lemma 2.7, we have

$$
\eta_{j, M} * \eta_{v, M}\left(x-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right) \lesssim 2^{v n} \eta_{j, M} * \eta_{v, M} * \chi_{v, m}(x)
$$

Therefore, $\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j \alpha(x)}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|\left|\varphi_{j} * \rho_{v, m}(x)\right|$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c 2^{(j-v)(L+1-n / 2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{j(\alpha(x)+n / 2)}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \eta_{j, M} * \eta_{v, M} * \chi_{v, m}(x) \\
\lesssim & 2^{(j-v)\left(L+1-\alpha^{-}\right)} \eta_{j, T} * \eta_{v, T} *\left[2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \chi_{v, m}\right](x),
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.1, with $T=M-c_{\log }(\alpha)$. Let $0<r<\min \left(1, p^{-}\right)$be a real number such that $L>n / r-1-\alpha^{-}-n$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{v=j}^{\infty} 2^{(j-v)\left(L+1-\alpha^{-}\right)} \eta_{j, T} * \eta_{v, T} *\left[2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \chi_{v, m}\right]\right)^{r} \\
\leq & \sum_{v=j}^{\infty} 2^{(j-v)\left(L+1-\alpha^{-}\right) r}\left(\eta_{j, T} * \eta_{v, T} *\left[2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \chi_{v, m}\right]\right)^{r} \\
\leq & \sum_{v=j}^{\infty} 2^{(j-v)\left(L-n / r+1-\alpha^{-}+n\right) r} \eta_{j, T r} * \eta_{v, T r} *\left[2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2) r} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|^{r} \chi_{v, m}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first estimate follows by the well-known inequality $\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}\right|\right)^{\sigma} \leq$ $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{j}\right|^{\sigma}$, with $\left\{a_{j}\right\}_{j} \subset \mathbb{C}, \sigma \in[0,1]$ and the second inequality is by Lemma 2.8. The application of Lemma 2.5 gives that

$$
\left\|\left(\sum_{v=j}^{\infty} 2^{(j-v)\left(L+1-\alpha^{-}\right)} \eta_{j, T} * \eta_{v, T} *\left[2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \chi_{v, m}\right]\right)_{j}\right\|_{\ell^{\tau}(\cdot), q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}
$$

is bounded by

where $H:=L-n / r+n+1-\alpha^{-}$. Observing that $H>0$, an application of Lemma 2.10 (this is possible, see the proof of this lemma) yields that the last expression is bounded by

where we used again Lemma 2.5 and hence the proof is complete.

## 5. Appendix

Here we present more technical proofs of the Lemmas.
Proof of Lemma 2.2. First let us prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\omega_{N} * g(x)\right| \leq c\left(\eta_{N, m} *\left|\omega_{N} * g\right|^{r}(x)\right)^{1 / r}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ independent of $g, N$ and $x$. Let $\phi$ be a function in $\mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying $\mathcal{F} \phi=1$ on $\overline{B(0,1)}$. Then $\omega_{N} * g=\phi_{N} * \omega_{N} * g$ and we can distinguish two cases as follows:

- $1 \leq r<\infty$ : observe that

$$
\left|\omega_{N} * g(x)\right| \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta_{N, m / r}(x-y)(1+N|x-y|)^{-m / r^{\prime}}\left|\omega_{N} * g(y)\right| d y
$$

where $r^{\prime}$ is the conjugate exponent of $r$ and we have used the fact that $|\phi(N x)| \leq$ $c(1+N|x|)^{-m}$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\omega_{N} * g(x)\right| & \leq c N^{n / r^{\prime}}\left(\eta_{N, m} *\left|\omega_{N} * g\right|^{r}(x)\right)^{1 / r}\left\|(1+N|\cdot|)^{-m / r^{\prime}}\right\|_{r^{\prime}} \\
& \leq c\left(\eta_{N, m} *\left|\omega_{N} * g\right|^{r}(x)\right)^{1 / r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $0<r<1$ : we put $g_{\omega, N, m}^{*}(x):=\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\omega_{N} * g(y)\right|}{1+N|y-x|)^{m}}$ and we have

$$
\left|\omega_{N} * g(z)\right| \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta_{N, m}(z-y)\left|\omega_{N} * g(y)\right| d y
$$

We use the estimate $(1+N|z-y|)^{-m} \leq(1+N|z-x|)^{m}(1+N|x-y|)^{-m}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{\omega, N, m}^{*}(x) & \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta_{N, m}(x-y)\left|\omega_{N} * g(y)\right|^{1-r}\left|\omega_{N} * g(y)\right|^{r} d y \\
& \leq c\left(g_{\omega, N, m}^{*}(x)\right)^{1-r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta_{N, m}(x-y)\left|\omega_{N} * g(y)\right|^{r} d y \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $g$ is a tembered distribution and $\omega \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),\left|\omega_{N} * g(y)\right|$ is dominated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left\|\omega_{N}(y-\cdot)\right\|_{\mathcal{S}_{M}} & =c N^{n} \sup _{\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n},|\gamma| \leq M} \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\partial^{\gamma} \omega(N(t-y))\right|(1+|t|)^{n+M+|\gamma|} \\
& \leq c \max \left(N^{M+n}, N^{-2 M}\right)(1+N|y|)^{n+2 M}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $M \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, with $C>0$ independent of $N$ and $y$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\omega, N, m}^{*}(x) & =\sup _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\omega_{N} * g(y)\right|}{(1+N|y-x|)^{m}} \\
& \leq \max \left(N^{M+n}, N^{-2 M}\right)(1+N|y-x|)^{-m}(1+N|y|)^{n+2 M} \\
& \leq C(N)(1+N|x|)^{n+2 M}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $m \geq n+2 M$ and hence $g_{\omega, N, m}^{*}(x)$ is finite (of course $\left.m \geq n+2 M\right)$. Now we use the idea of [25, Lemma 2.9]. Observe that the right-hand side of (5.1) decreases as $m$ increases. Therefore, we have (5.1) for all $m>n$ but with $c=c(g)$ depending on $g$. We can easily check that (5.1), with $c=c(g)$ imply that $g_{\omega, N, m}^{*}(x)<\infty$. We assume that the right-hand side of (5.1) is finite (otherwise, there is nothing to prove). Returning to (5.2) and having in mind that now $g_{\omega, N, m}^{*}(x)<\infty$, we end up with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(g_{\omega, N, m}^{*}(x)\right)^{r} \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta_{N, m}(x-y)\left|\omega_{N} * g(y)\right|^{r} d y \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $m>n$ and $c$ independent of $g, N$ and $x$, which completes the proof of (5.1). Now observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\theta_{R} * \omega_{N} * g(x)\right| & \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta_{R, d}(x-y)\left|\omega_{N} * g(y)\right| d y \\
& \leq c g_{\omega, N, m}^{*}(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta_{R, d}(x-y)(1+N|x-y|)^{m} d y \\
& \leq c \max \left(1,\left(\frac{N}{R}\right)^{m}\right) g_{\omega, N, m}^{*}(x) R^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(1+R|x-y|)^{m-d} d y \\
& \leq c \max \left(1,\left(\frac{N}{R}\right)^{m}\right) g_{\omega, N, m}^{*}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

provided we pick $d>m+n$ and $c$ independent of $g, N$ and $x$. Hence the proof of (2.6) is complete by using (5.3).

Proof of Lemma 2.4. By similarity, we only consider $L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}$. We use Lemma 2.2, in the form

$$
\left|\theta_{R} * \omega_{N} * f(x)\right| \leq c \max \left(1,\left(\frac{N}{R}\right)^{m}\right)\left(\eta_{N, m} *\left|\omega_{N} * f\right|^{r}(x)\right)^{1 / r}
$$

where $0<r<p^{-}, m>2 n+c_{\log }\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) r$ and $x \in P$. We have, with $k=\left(k_{1}, \cdots, k_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{N, m} *\left|\omega_{N} * f\right|^{r}(x) & =N^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\omega_{N} * f(z)\right|^{r}}{(1+N|x-z|)^{m}} d z \\
& =\int_{3 P} \cdots d z+\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, \max _{i=1, \cdots, n}\left|k_{i}\right| \geq 2} \int_{P+k l(P)} \cdots d z \\
& =J_{N}^{1}\left(\omega_{N} * f\right)(x)+\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, \max _{i=1, \cdots, n}\left|k_{i}\right| \geq 2} J_{N, k}^{2}\left(\omega_{N} * f\right)(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{\theta_{R} * \omega_{N} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{r} \\
\lesssim & \max \left(1,\left(\frac{N}{R}\right)^{m r}\right)\left\|\frac{J_{N}^{1}\left(\omega_{N} * f\right)}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}} \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r} \\
& +\max \left(1,\left(\frac{N}{R}\right)^{m r}\right) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}, \max _{i=1, \cdots, n}\left|k_{i}\right| \geq 2}\left\|\frac{J_{N, k}^{2}\left(\omega_{N} * f\right)}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}} \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r} . \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us prove that the first norm on the right-hand side is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left\|\frac{\omega_{N} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{3 P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{r} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\left|J_{N}^{1}\left(\omega_{N} * f\right)(x)\right| \lesssim N^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\left|\omega_{N} * f(z)\right|^{r} \chi_{3 P}(z)}{(1+N|x-z|)^{m}} d z
$$

Now the function $z \mapsto \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^{m}}$ is in $L^{1}$ (since $m>n$ ), then using the majorant property for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator $\mathcal{M}$, see [31, Chapiter 2, (3.9)], $\left(|g| * \frac{1}{(1+|\cdot|)^{m}}\right)(x) \lesssim\left\|\frac{1}{(1+|\cdot|)^{m}}\right\|_{1} \mathcal{M}(g)(x)$, it follows that for any $x \in P$, $\left|J_{N}^{1}\left(\omega_{N} * f\right)(x)\right| \leq C \mathcal{M}\left(\left|\omega_{N} * f\right|^{r} \chi_{3 P}\right)(x)$ where the constant $C>0$ is independent of $x$ and $N$. Hence the first norm of (5.4) is bounded by

$$
c\left\|\mathcal{M}\left(\frac{\left|\omega_{N} * f\right|^{r}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}} \chi_{3 P}\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r} \lesssim\left\|\frac{\left|\omega_{N} * f\right|^{r}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}} \chi_{3 P}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r}=\left\|\frac{\omega_{N} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{3 P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{r}
$$

after using the fact that $\mathcal{M}: L^{p(\cdot) / r} \rightarrow L^{p(\cdot) / r}$ is bounded. Notice that $3 P=$ $\cup_{h=1}^{3^{n}} P_{h}$, where $\left\{P_{h}\right\}_{h=1}^{3^{n}}$ are disjoint dyadic cubes with side length $l\left(P_{h}\right)=l(P)$. Therefore $\chi_{3 P}=\sum_{h=1}^{3^{n}=1} \chi_{P_{h}}$ and the expression in (5.5) can be estimated by

$$
c \sum_{h=1}^{3^{n}}\left\|\frac{\omega_{N} * f}{\left\|\chi_{P_{h}}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P_{h}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{r} \lesssim\left\|\omega_{N} * f\right\|_{L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}}^{r},
$$

where we have used the fact that $\frac{\left\|\chi_{P_{h}}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \leq c$, see Lemma 2.3 (ii) and the proof of the first part is finished. The summation in (5.4) can be rewritten us

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n},|k| \leq 4 \sqrt{n}} \cdots+\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n},|k|>4 \sqrt{n}} \cdots \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimate of the first sum follows in the same manner as in the estimate of $J_{N}^{1}\left(\omega_{N} * f\right)$, so we need only to estimate the second sum. Let us prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\||k|^{m-n-c_{\log }\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) r} \frac{J_{N, k}^{2}\left(\omega_{N} * f\right)}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}} \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r} \lesssim(N l(P))^{n-m}\left\|\frac{\left|\omega_{N} * f\right|^{r}}{\left\|\chi_{P+k l(P)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}} \chi_{P+k l(P)}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r} . \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x \in P, z \in P+k l(P)$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$ and $|k|>4 \sqrt{n}$. Then $|x-z| \geq \frac{3}{4}|k| l(P)$ and the term $\left|J_{N, k}^{2}\left(\omega_{N} * f\right)(x)\right|$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C|k|^{-m} N^{n-m}(l(P))^{-m} \int_{P+k l(P)}\left|\omega_{N} * f(z)\right|^{r} d z \\
\lesssim & |k|^{-m} N^{n-m}(l(P))^{-m} \int_{|z-x| \leq 2 \sqrt{n}|k| l(P)}\left|\omega_{N} * f(z)\right|^{r} \chi_{P+k l(P)}(z) d z \\
\lesssim & |k|^{n-m}(N l(P))^{n-m} \mathcal{M}\left(\left|\omega_{N} * f\right|^{r} \chi_{P+k l(P)}\right)(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the left-hand side of (5.7) is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (N l(P))^{n-m}\left\|C \mathcal{M}\left(|k|^{-c_{\log }\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) r} \left\lvert\, \frac{\left|\omega_{N} * f\right|^{r} \chi_{P+k l(P)}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}}\right.\right)\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r} \\
\lesssim & (N l(P))^{n-m}|k|^{-c_{\log \left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) r}}\left\|\frac{\left|\omega_{N} * f\right|^{r} \chi_{P+k l(P)}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r},
\end{aligned}
$$

after using the fact that $\mathcal{M}: L^{p(\cdot) / r} \rightarrow L^{p(\cdot) / r}$ is bounded. By Lemma 2.3 (i), $\frac{\left\|\chi_{P+k l(P)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \leq c|k|^{\left.c_{\log \left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right)}^{( }\right)}$, with $c>0$ independent of $N, h$ and $k$. Hence the last expression is bounded by

$$
c(N l(P))^{n-m}\left\|\frac{\left|\omega_{N} * f\right|^{r} \chi_{P+k l(P)}}{\left\|\chi_{P+k l(P)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r} .
$$

Since $m$ can be taken large enough such that $m>2 n+c_{\log }\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) r$, then the second sum in (5.6) is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (N l(P))^{n-m} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n},|k|>4 \sqrt{n}}|k|^{n+c_{\log }\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) r-m}\left\|\frac{\left|\omega_{N} * f\right|^{r}}{\left\|\chi_{P+k l(P)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}} \chi_{P+k l(P)}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r} \\
\lesssim & (N l(P))^{n-m} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n},|k|>4 \sqrt{n}}|k|^{n+c_{\log }\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) r-m}\left\|\omega_{N} * f\right\|_{L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}}^{r} \\
\lesssim & (N l(P))^{n-m}\left\|\omega_{N} * f\right\|_{L_{\tau(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}}^{r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the proof is complete.
Proof of Lemma 2.10. By similarity, we only consider $\ell^{\tau(\cdot), q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)$ spaces. Let $P \in \mathcal{Q}$. In view of the proof of Lemma 2.5 the problem can be reduced to the case when $\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)$ is a normed space. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(\frac{g_{v}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}  \tag{5.8}\\
\leq & \|\left(\sum_{k=0}^{v_{P}^{+}} \frac{2^{-|k-v| \delta} f_{k}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\left\|_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}\right. \\
& +\left\|\left(\sum_{k=v_{P}^{+}}^{v} \cdots\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)} \\
& \left.\| \sum_{k=v}^{\infty} \cdots\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}} \|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

The first norm is bounded by

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{v_{P}^{+}} 2^{\left(k-v_{P}^{+}\right) \delta}\left\|\left(\frac{2^{\left(v_{P}^{+}-v\right) \delta} f_{k}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}
$$

Let $Q_{k, h}$ be a dyadic cube such that $P \subset Q_{k, h}$. Obviously $v_{Q_{k, h}}^{+}=k$ and by Lemma 2.3 we have $\frac{\left\|\chi_{Q_{k, h}}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \lesssim 2^{n\left(v_{P}^{+}-k\right) / \tau^{-}}$. Therefore the last sum is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=0}^{v_{P}^{+}} 2^{\left(k-v_{P}^{+}\right)\left(\delta-n / \tau^{-}\right)}\left\|\left(\frac{f_{j}}{\left\|\chi_{Q_{k, h}}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{Q_{k, h}}\right)_{j \geq v_{Q_{k, h}}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell^{q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)} \\
\leq & \sum_{k=0}^{v_{P}^{+}} 2^{\left(k-v_{P}^{+}\right)\left(\delta-n / \tau^{-}\right)}\left\|\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell^{\tau(\cdot), q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\left.\ell^{\tau(\cdot)}\right), q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\delta>n / \tau^{-}$. Let $\sigma>\max \left(q^{+}, \frac{q^{+}}{p^{-}}\right)$and $\left\|\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell^{\tau(\cdot), q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}=1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{v=v_{P}^{+}}^{\infty}\left\|\left|\frac{\sum_{k=v_{P}^{+}}^{v} 2^{(k-v) \delta} f_{v}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \\
= & \sum_{v=v_{P}^{+}}^{\infty}\left\|\left|\sum_{k=v_{P}^{+}}^{\| 2^{(k-v) \delta} f_{v}}\right|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{v(\cdot) / \sigma} \chi_{P}\right\|_{\frac{\sigma p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}}^{\sigma} \\
\leq & \sum_{v=v_{P}^{+}}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{k=v_{P}^{+}}^{v} 2^{\frac{(k-v) \delta q^{-}}{\sigma}}\left\|\left|\frac{f_{v}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot) / \sigma} \chi_{P}\right\|_{\frac{\sigma p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}}\right)^{\sigma} \\
\lesssim & \left.\sum_{v=v_{P}^{+}}^{\infty}\| \| \frac{f_{v}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P} \|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \leq 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.9. The desired estimate is completed by the scaling argument. Now the last norm in (5.8) is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{-i \delta} f_{i+v}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{v \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)} \\
\leq & \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i \delta}\left\|\left(\frac{f_{k}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{k \geq v_{P}^{+}+i}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)} \\
\leq & \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-i \delta}\left\|\left(\frac{f_{k}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right)_{k \geq v_{P}^{+}}\right\|_{\ell q(\cdot)\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)} \lesssim\left\|\left(f_{v}\right)_{v}\right\|_{\ell^{\tau(\cdot), q(\cdot)}\left(L^{p(\cdot)}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the lemma is proved.
 $\left\|\lambda_{r, d}^{*}\right\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}$ Let us prove that $\left\|\lambda_{r, d}^{*}\right\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}} \leq c\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}}$. By the scaling argument, it suffices to consider the case $\|\lambda\|_{b_{p}^{\alpha(\cdot), ~), ~}(\cdot) \cdot(\cdot)}^{p(\cdot)}=1$ and show that the modular of a constant times the sequence on the left-hand side is bounded. It suffices to
prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left.\frac{\| \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \lambda_{v, m, r, d}^{*} \chi_{v, m}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \\
\leq & \left\|\left.\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{\epsilon i / r} \frac{\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \lambda_{v, m} \chi_{v, m}}{\left\|\chi_{Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{i-v_{P}}\right)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{\left.i-v_{P}\right)}\right.}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}}+2^{-v}=\delta \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $v \geq v_{P}^{+}, \epsilon=\left(n-d+a+n / \tau^{-}\right) / 2, P \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{i-v_{P}}\right)$ is the cube concentric with $P$ having the side length $2^{i-v_{P}}$. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{v=v_{P}^{+}}^{\infty}\left\|| |_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \lambda_{v, m, r, d}^{*} \chi_{v, m}\right\|_{P P}\left\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \lesssim 1
$$

for any dyadic cube $P$. The claim can be reformulated as showing that

$$
\left\|\delta^{-1}\left|\frac{c \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \lambda_{v, m, r, d}^{*} \chi_{v, m}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right|^{q(\cdot)} \chi_{P}\right\|_{\frac{p(\cdot)}{q(\cdot)}} \leq 1
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\delta^{-\frac{1}{q(\cdot)}} \frac{\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)} \lambda_{v, m, r, d}^{*} \chi_{v, m}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq c . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ we define $\Omega_{k}:=\left\{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}: 2^{k-1}<2^{v}\left|2^{-v} h-2^{-v} m\right| \leq 2^{k}\right\}$ and $\Omega_{0}:=\left\{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}: 2^{v}\left|2^{-v} h-2^{-v} m\right| \leq 1\right\}$. Then for any $x \in Q_{v, m} \cap P$, $\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \frac{\delta^{-\frac{r}{q(x)} 2^{v r \alpha(x)}\left|\lambda_{v, h}\right|^{r}}}{\left(1+2^{v}\left|2^{-v} h-2^{-v} m\right|\right)^{d}}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{k}} \frac{\delta^{-\frac{r}{q(x)}} 2^{v r \alpha(x)}\left|\lambda_{v, h}\right|^{r}}{\left(1+2^{v}\left|2^{-v} h-2^{-v} m\right|\right)^{d}} \\
\lesssim & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-d k} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{k}} \delta^{-\frac{r}{q(x)}} 2^{v r \alpha(x)}\left|\lambda_{v, h}\right|^{r} \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(n-d) k+(v-k) n+v r \alpha(x)} \delta^{-\frac{r}{q(x)}} \int_{\cup_{z \in \Omega_{k}} Q_{v, z}} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{k}}\left|\lambda_{v, h}\right|^{r} \chi_{v, h}(y) d y . \tag{5.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $x \in Q_{v, m} \cap P$ and $y \in \cup_{z \in \Omega_{k}} Q_{v, z}$, then $y \in Q_{v, z}$ for some $z \in \Omega_{k}$ and $2^{k-1}<2^{v}\left|2^{-v} z-2^{-v} m\right| \leq 2^{k}$. From this it follows that $y$ is located in some cube
$Q\left(x, 2^{k-v+3}\right)$. In addition, from the fact that
$\left|y_{i}-\left(c_{P}\right)_{i}\right| \leq\left|y_{i}-x_{i}\right|+\left|x_{i}-\left(c_{P}\right)_{i}\right| \leq 2^{k-v+2}+2^{-v_{P}-1}<2^{k-v_{P}+3}, \quad i=1, \cdots, n$,
we have $y$ is located in some cube $Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{k-v_{P}+4}\right)$. Since $1 / q$ is log-Hölder continuous and $\delta \in\left[2^{-v}, 1+2^{-v}\right]$, we have

$$
\delta^{\frac{1}{q(x)}-\frac{1}{q(y)}} \leq 2^{\left.\frac{1}{q(x)}-\frac{1}{q(y)} \right\rvert\,(2 v+1)} \leq 2^{\frac{c_{\log (q)(2 v+1)}^{\log (e+} \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{x-y \mid)}\right.}{|c|}} \leq 2^{\frac{c_{\log (q)}(2 v+1)}{v-k-h_{n}}} \lesssim 2^{2 c_{\log (q) k}}
$$

for any $k<\max \left(0, v-h_{n}\right)$ and any $y \in Q\left(x, 2^{k-v+3}\right)$, with $h_{n} \in \mathbb{N}$. If $k \geq$ $\max \left(0, v-h_{n}\right)$ then since again $\delta \in\left[2^{-v}, 1+2^{-v}\right], \delta^{\frac{1}{q(x)}-\frac{1}{q(y)}} \leq c 2^{\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{q(x)}-\frac{1}{q(y) \mid(2 v+1)}\right.} \leq c$


$$
2^{v(\alpha(x)-\alpha(y))} \lesssim\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
2^{c_{\log }(\alpha) k} & \text { if } \quad k<\max \left(0, v-h_{n}\right) \\
2^{\left(\alpha^{+}-\alpha^{-}\right) k} & \text { if } \quad k \geq \max \left(0, v-h_{n}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $c>0$ not depending on $v$ and $k$. Therefore, (5.11) does not exceed

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(n-d+a) k+(v-k) n} \int_{Q\left(x, 2^{k-v+3}\right)} \delta^{-\frac{r}{q(y)}} 2^{v \alpha(y) r} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{k}}\left|\lambda_{v, h}\right|^{r} \chi_{v, h}(y) \chi_{Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{k-v_{P}+4}\right)} d y \\
\lesssim & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(n-d+a) k} \mathcal{M}\left(\sum_{h \in \Omega_{k}} \delta^{-\frac{r}{q(\cdot)}} 2^{v \alpha(\cdot) r}\left|\lambda_{v, h}\right|^{r} \chi_{v, h} \chi_{Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{k-v_{P}+4}\right)}\right)(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the left-hand side of (5.10) is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c\left\|\frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(n-d+a) k} \mathcal{M}\left(\sum_{h \in \Omega_{k}} \delta^{-\frac{r}{q(\cdot)}} 2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2) r}\left|\lambda_{v, h}\right|^{r} \chi_{v, h} \chi_{Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{k-v_{P}+4}\right)}\right)}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}^{r}}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r}^{1 / r} \\
& \lesssim\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{\epsilon k}\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{\epsilon i / r} \frac{\sum_{h \in \Omega_{i}} \delta^{-\frac{1}{q(\cdot)}} 2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)}\left|\lambda_{v, h}\right| \chi_{v, h} \chi_{Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{\left.2-v_{P}\right)}\right.}}{\left\|\chi_{Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{2-v_{P}}\right)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{r}\right)^{1 / r} \\
& \lesssim\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{\epsilon k}\right)^{1 / r},
\end{aligned}
$$

where on the first estimate we use Lemma 2.3 and the boundedness of the maximal function on $L^{p / r}$ (since $r<p^{-}$), and for the last estimate we use the fact that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{\epsilon i / r} \frac{\sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \delta^{-\frac{1}{q(\cdot)}} 2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2)}\left|\lambda_{v, h}\right| \chi_{v, h}}{\left\|\chi_{Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{i-v_{P}}\right)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{i-v_{P}}\right)}\right\|_{p(\cdot)} \lesssim 1
$$

and $d$ sufficiently large such that $d>n+a+n / \tau^{-}$.

Now we consider the space $\widetilde{b}_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha(\cdot)}$. Obviously we need only to prove (5.9) with $\left|Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{i-v_{P}}\right)\right|^{1 / p(\cdot)}$ in place of $\left\|\chi_{Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{i-v_{P}}\right)}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}, \epsilon=\left(n-d+a+c_{\log }(1 / p)+n / p^{-}\right) / 2$, $P \in \mathcal{Q},|P| \leq 1\left(\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}\right.$ in place of $\left.\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}\right)$. We use the same arguments above, we obtain that the left-hand side of (5.10) (with power $r$ ) is bounded by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(n-d+a) k}\left\|\frac{1}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot)}^{r}} \sum_{h \in \Omega_{k}} \delta^{-\frac{r}{q(\cdot)}} 2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2) r}\left|\lambda_{v, h}\right|^{r} \chi_{v, h} \chi_{Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{k-v_{P}+4}\right)}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any dyadic cube $P \in \mathcal{Q}$, with $|P| \leq 1$. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
2^{n v_{P} / p(x)} & \lesssim\left(1+2^{v_{P}}|x-y|\right)^{c_{\log }(1 / p)} 2^{n v_{P} / p(y)} \lesssim 2^{k c_{\log (1 / p)}} 2^{n v_{P} / p(y)} \\
& \lesssim 2^{k\left(c_{\log }(1 / p)+n / p^{-}\right)}\left|Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{k-v_{P}+4}\right)\right|^{-1 / p(y)}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $x \in P$ and any $y \in Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{k-v_{P}+4}\right)$. Hence, (5.12) is bounded by

$$
c \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{2 \epsilon k}\left\|\frac{\sum_{h \in \Omega_{k}} \delta^{-\frac{r}{q(\cdot)}} 2^{v(\alpha(\cdot)+n / 2) r}\left|\lambda_{v, h}\right|^{r} \chi_{v, h} \chi_{Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{k-v_{P}+4}\right)}}{\left|Q\left(c_{P}, 2^{k-v_{P}+4}\right)\right|^{r / p(\cdot)}}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / r} \lesssim 1
$$

The proof of the lemma is thus complete.
The convergence of (4.6). Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. By (4.1)-(4.2)-(4.3) and the Taylor expansion of $\varphi$ up to order $L$ with respect to the off-points $x_{Q_{v, m}}$, we obtain for fixed $v$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{v, m} \varrho_{v, m}(y) \varphi(y) d y \\
= & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{v, m} \varrho_{v, m}(y)\left(\varphi(y)-\sum_{|\beta| \leq L}\left(y-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right)^{\beta} \frac{\partial^{\alpha} \varphi\left(x_{Q_{v, m}}\right)}{\beta!}\right) d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last factor in the integral can be uniformly estimated from the above by

$$
c 2^{-v(L+1)}\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{-M / 2} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{M / 2} \sum_{|\beta| \leq L+1}\left|\partial^{\alpha} \varphi(x)\right|,
$$

where $M>0$ is at our disposal. Let $0<t<\left(p(\cdot)\left(1-\frac{1}{\min \left(1, p^{-}\right)}\right)\right)^{-}+1$ and $s(x)=\alpha(x)+\frac{n}{p(x)}(t-1)$ be such that $L+1>-\alpha(\cdot)+n\left(\frac{1}{\min \left(1, p^{-}\right)}-1\right)>$ $-s(\cdot)$. Since $\varrho_{v, m}$ are $[K, L]$-atoms, then for every $S>0$, we have $\left|\varrho_{v, m}(y)\right| \leq$
$c 2^{v n / 2}\left(1+2^{v}\left|y-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right|\right)^{-S}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \lambda_{v, m} \varrho_{v, m}(y) \varphi(y) d y\right| \\
\leq & c 2^{-v(L+1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{v n / 2}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \frac{\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{-M / 2}}{\left(1+2^{v}\left|y-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right|\right)^{S}} d y \\
= & 2^{-v(L+1)} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} \int_{Q_{0, h}} \cdots d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.7 to obtain

$$
\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right|\left(1+2^{v}\left|y-x_{Q_{v, m}}\right|\right)^{-S} \lesssim \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \eta_{v, S} * \chi_{v, m}(y)
$$

We split $M$ into $R+S$. Since we have in addition the factor $\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{-S / 2}$, Hölder's inequality, the fact that $\left\|\chi_{Q_{0, h}}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)} \approx\left\|\chi_{Q_{0, h}}\right\|_{(p(\cdot) / t)^{\prime}} \approx 1$, see (2.5), and $\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{-R / 2} \lesssim\left(1+|h|^{2}\right)^{-R / 2}$ give that the term $\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \cdots d y\right|$ is bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c 2^{-v(L+1)} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left(1+|h|^{2}\right)^{-R / 2}\left\|\frac{\eta_{v, S} *\left[\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}} 2^{v n / 2}\left|\lambda_{v, m}\right| \chi_{v, m}\right]}{\left\|\chi_{Q_{0, h}}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{Q_{0, h}}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / t} \\
\lesssim & \sup _{P \in \mathcal{Q}, j \geq j_{P}^{+}}\left\|\frac{2^{(s(\cdot)+n / 2) j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}}\left|\lambda_{j, m}\right| \chi_{j, m}}{\left\|\chi_{P}\right\|_{\tau(\cdot)}} \chi_{P}\right\|_{p(\cdot) / t} \lesssim\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot) / t, \infty}^{s(\cdot), \tau(\cdot)}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first inequality follows by Lemma $2.5, L+1+s(\cdot)>0$ and by taking $R$ large enough. The convergence of (4.6) is now clear by the embeddings $\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), q(\cdot)}^{\alpha, \tau(\cdot)}} \hookrightarrow\|\lambda\|_{b_{p(\cdot), \infty}^{\alpha, \tau(\cdot)}} \hookrightarrow\|\lambda\|_{b_{p}^{s(\cdot), \tau) \tau(t), \infty}}$. The proof is completed.
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